Environmental Conservation



cambridge.org/enc

Research Paper

Cite this article: Kolahi M et al. (2024) Urban water footprint in Mashhad, Iran's second largest city. Environmental Conservation page 1 of 7. doi: 10.1017/S0376892924000195

Received: 12 December 2023 Revised: 12 June 2024 Accepted: 13 June 2024

Keywords:

Direct water footprint; north-eastern Iran; total water footprint; virtual water footprint; water scarcity

Corresponding author:

Mahdi Kolahi; Email: MahdiKolahi@um.ac.ir

Urban water footprint in Mashhad, Iran's second largest city

Mahdi Kolahi¹¹, Zeinab Sharifi²¹, Saeideh Heydari¹ and Mina Ansari¹

¹Department of Range and Watershed Management, Faculty of Natural Resources and Environment, Water and Environment Research Institute, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Mashhad, Iran and 2 Department of Rural Development Management, Faculty of Agriculture, Yasouj University, Yasouj, Iran

Summary

The water footprint (WF) is a metric used to quantify the total volume of fresh water consumed directly and indirectly by individuals and communities; it helps to inform responses to global challenges such as water scarcity, climate change and sustainable water management. This study assessed virtual (VWF), direct (DWF) and total (TWF) water footprints in Mashhad, Iran's second largest city. Data collected from 382 households showed that the average individual VWF was 1314 m³ per month, the average individual DWF was 228 m³ per month and the average individual TWF was 1538 m³ per month. Additionally, key consumption patterns were identified, with rice and bread emerging as the most consumed items and vegetables as the least consumed. Over a 5-year period, direct water use declined. Significant correlations emerged between family size, annual cost and VWF and TWF, yet no association was found between age and the WFs. There were income-based disparities in VWF and TWF but no differences across education levels in terms of DWF, VWF and TWF. These findings offer crucial insights for policymakers and water authorities in formulating effective water-saving policies to address pressing environmental concerns.

Introduction

The significance of water as a fundamental resource extends beyond mere survival, playing a pivotal role in societal and economic development and acting as a linchpin for human well-being and prosperity (Horton & Horton 2019, Rusu et al. 2023). Nevertheless, an alarming sixfold increase in water consumption has occurred in the last century; this trajectory is continuing (Fito et al. 2023), and global concerns regarding water scarcity are intensifying (Kahramanoğlu et al. 2020, Rusu et al. 2023).

Water scarcity is profoundly affecting all human practices across various communities and nations (Hoekstra et al. 2011). Urban areas are the primary contributors to this escalating demand due to the direct correlation between human population growth and water consumption (Corcoran 2010). It is predicted that the urban population will double by 2050, further exacerbating the strain on water resources (Jensen & Nair 2019, du Plessis 2023). Compounding this challenge, agriculture – a sector pivotal for human sustenance and economic development - accounts for 70-90% of water consumption (Morera et al. 2016). The intersecting dynamics of human population growth, urbanization and water scarcity pose a substantial threat to sustainable urban development and environmental integrity (Akubia et al. 2020, Jery et al. 2023, Mehdizadeh et al. 2023).

The crisis of water scarcity emanates from two primary issues: limited supply and burgeoning demand. Fresh water suitable for human consumption accounts for a mere 2.5% of the world's total water volume (Dong et al. 2013). Demand is propelled by economic growth as well as an expanding global human population (Lambin & Meyfroidt 2011).

To address water consumption at an individual level, the concept of 'virtual water' signifies the total water indirectly consumed through various products and services (Lee et al. 2016), and the 'water footprint' (WF) is an invaluable indicator of fresh water utilized in the production of goods and provision of services by individuals, communities and businesses, encompassing both virtual and direct water consumption (Hoekstra & Hung 2002, Hoekstra et al. 2011, Lee et al. 2016, Gómez-Llanos et al. 2020). The WF highlights the significance of consumption patterns and emphasizes the role of indirect water use in overall water consumption (Souza et al. 2021).

The major contributors to the global WF at the product category level are cereals, meat and milk products (Hoekstra & Mekonnen 2012). The surge in animal product consumption in particular has exacerbated freshwater usage due to the higher WF of livestock in comparison with crop products (Mekonnen & Hoekstra 2012). Detailed WF data assist governments in understanding the inefficiencies in water use related to production and consumption patterns (Hoekstra & Mekonnen 2012). Such insights guide policymakers in formulating strategies that

© The Author(s), 2024. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of Foundation for Environmental Conservation.





promote water-use efficiency and encourage a shift towards less water-intensive commodities, ultimately contributing to a more sustainable water-use pattern (Arabi Yazdi et al. 2009).

The WF concept serves as a multifaceted indicator of human water resource consumption, offering a platform for informed decision-making to ensure sustainable and equitable water use (Deepa et al. 2021). The applications of the WF include assessing freshwater use at corporation, product, city, regional and global levels (Gallo et al. 2022), with research primarily focusing on measuring and evaluating the WF across these various scales (Zhang et al. 2019) and that now encompasses diverse geographical and societal contexts, shedding light on WF components, consumption patterns and related determinants (Lee et al. 2016, Chini et al. 2017, Cai et al. 2019, Lee 2019, Souissi et al. 2019, Zhao et al. 2019, Alqahtani et al. 2021, Karaçil Ermumcu et al. 2024).

Iran, the second largest country in the Middle East, faces significant water scarcity (Karandish & Hoekstra 2017) from issues including declining water resources, insufficient water distribution infrastructure, population growth, migration and urbanization, degradation of natural landscapes, global warming, uneven rainfall distribution, frequent droughts and traditional agricultural practices (Arabi Yazdi et al. 2009, Kolahi et al. 2012, Kolahi 2013, 2014, Madani et al. 2016). Additionally, water leakage from urban water distribution networks in Iran is as high as 27% (Moslehi et al. 2021). Poor management, unregulated water use and more than half a century of drought have significantly impacted water-dependent practices (Alavijeh et al. 2021). Water supply rationing and disruptions have become common in major metropolitan areas in Iran during the hot summer months. The combination of limited water resources and increasing demand has heightened tensions and conflicts over trans-boundary water systems, both regionally (e.g., conflicts between provinces over Urmia and Zayandeh-Rud in Iran) and internationally (e.g., conflicts over the Hirmand River with Afghanistan; Madani 2014).

Mashhad, the second most populous and expansive city of Iran, faces prolonged water scarcity and associated challenges (Dadmand et al. 2020, Mohamadi et al. 2023). Given the constraints on increasing water supply due to climatic conditions, an alternative approach to conserving water resources in the current scenario is through water demand management (Radmehr & Shayanmehr 2018, Kolahi et al. 2024), with a particular focus on reducing the WF. Fresh water is essential for life, and its availability is finite, necessitating careful management (Hossain & Khan 2020).

Previous WF studies in Iran have predominantly focused on agricultural crops (Esmaeilzadeh et al. 2020). For instance, wheat and barley production are now recognized as significant consumers of green water resources, which refers to the rainwater stored in soil and used by plants. The grey WF is the volume of freshwater required to assimilate pollutants to meet specific water quality standards, while the blue WF pertains to the consumption of surface water and groundwater resources for irrigation. Average WFs, as well as the share of green, blue and grey WFs for citrus and saffron production, have been calculated (Bazrafshan et al. 2019, Bazrafshan & Dehghanpir 2020).

The WFs of urban areas in Iran have been neglected, yet 3.6% of the global human population resides there. The present study assesses the WFs of citizens in the city of Mashhad. Our hypotheses are that there are relationships between respondents' characteristics and the different components of the WF, specifically the direct water footprint (DWF), the virtual water footprint (VWF) and the total water footprint (TWF), particularly with respect to education level, monthly income, gender, marital status, housing status and income class.

Study area

Mashhad, the capital of the province of Razavi Khorasan, covers an area of 328 km² on the southern bank of the Kashfroud River (52°2′–60°36′E, 35°43′–37°7′N). It is surrounded by the Hazar Masjid Mountains to the north and the Binaloud Mountains to the south and west. The city features three main water channels -Chehel Bazeah Channel, Eghbal-e Gharbi Channel and Eghbal-e Shargi Channel - flowing from south-west to north-east, ultimately leading to the Kashfroud River (Fig. 1). Mashhad is divided into 13 urban districts, including 12 regular districts and the Saman district (the area around the Razavi shrine; Najafi & Eskandari Torbaghan 2021) and 41 urban planning zones, 38 services zones and 158 quarters. In 2015, Mashhad covered an area of 35 147 ha and was home to 3 001 184 individuals and 914 146 families (Statistical Center of Iran 2015). Additionally, it attracts c. 22 million pilgrims annually from across the country and beyond.

Methods

To identify the nine main quarters for the study, we conducted a pre-test questionnaire to categorize the 158 quarters of Mashhad into three family income levels: high, middle and low. Based on this categorization, we selected three quarters for each income level, resulting in nine main quarters for our analysis: Khaje Rabi, Panj Tan, Gaz, Sanabad, Azad Shar, Seyed Razi, Ahmad Abad, Sajad and Honarestan (Table S1).

To acquire data, a questionnaire was designed based on the Water Footprint Personal Extended Calculator (www.waterfootprint.org); the first part captured demographic characteristics, while the second part focused on respondents' food consumption habits (Tables 1 & 2).

The sample size of 382 households was determined using Cochran's formula (Cochran 1977). A systematic random sampling technique was employed with the assistance of Geographical Information System (GIS) software, distributing points across the selected quarters. Houses adjacent to these points were then selected, and individuals over 18 years old were personally interviewed. Additionally, monthly water usage data for families during 2012–2016 were obtained from the Mashhad Water & Waste Water Company.

The WF was estimated by combining both the direct water use by individuals and their indirect water use. DWF specifically refers to the water consumption by individuals at home (Van Oel et al. 2009), representing the amount of water directly consumed in daily routines (Gómez-Llanos et al. 2020). The DWF data were acquired from respondents' bills provided by the Mashhad Water & Waste Water Company.

The VWF (indirect WF) refers to the water utilized by others to produce goods and services that are subsequently consumed by the individual (Van Oel et al. 2009); it was calculated using the Water Footprint Network (WFN; www.waterfootprint.org). The website provides geographically explicit data on blue (crop irrigation water), grey (water required to dilute pollutants) and green (rainwater evaporated from the soil) WFs of crop growing in different countries (Souissi et al. 2019).

By obtaining the DWF and VWF, the TWF was calculated according to Equation 1:



Figure 1. Diagrammatic maps showing the location and municipal areas of Mashhad, Iran.

$$TWF = DWF + VWF \tag{1}$$

The data were analysed using *SPSS*, employing descriptive statistics, analysis of variance (ANOVA) tests to compare means among different income groups and correlation analyses to identify relationships between variables.

Results

The majority of respondents were middle-aged, came from small families, predominantly including married females functioning as housewives, and had education levels primarily below diploma level (Table 1). A significant proportion of respondents were homeowners (Table S2). The data across nine urban quarters based on three assumed family income levels resulted in high standard deviations (SDs) of monthly family expenditure and home area.

Rice and bread were the dominant foods consumed, while vegetables were the least consumed (Table 2 & Fig. S1a). In high-income areas, meat, dairy, vegetables, fruit and coffee were consumed more frequently than in other areas (Fig. S1b). The respondents' monthly water usage over 5 years revealed a decline, suggesting a positive trend (Fig. S2).

Pearson correlations revealed significant relationships between the DWF, VWF and TWF and certain variables (Table 3). Family size and annual cost exhibited notable correlations with VWF and TWF. Larger families tended to economize their food intake, resulting in reduced VWF and TWF. Conversely, higher annual costs were associated with elevated VWFs and TWFs;

Table 1. Respondents' characteristics.

Variable	Indicator	Percentage
Marital status	Single	10.5
	Married	89.5
Education level	Less than diploma	36.7
	Diploma	26.9
	Associate	10.3
	Bachelor	16.4
	MSc	7.9
	PhD	1.8
Occupation	Unemployed	2.6
	Student	3.4
	Housewife	42.4
	Retired	13.4
	Self-employed	24.0
	Private	3.9
	Employee	10.3
Monthly family income	<10	28.3
(million rials)	10-20	36.1
	20-30	15.7
	30–40	7.1
	40–50	5.2
	50-60	2.4
	>60	5.2

however, there were no significant correlations between age, area of residence and the WFs.

While higher education levels showed an inclination towards higher WFs, particularly among those with doctoral qualifications (Fig. 2a), ANOVA tests (Table S3) demonstrated no significant differences in the WFs across education levels. The WFs tended to be greater at higher levels of income (Fig. 2b & Table S4).

Table 2. Respondents' food consumption and water footprint data.

Consumption type	Mean	SD
Consumption of dairy products (kg/week)	2.05	1.45
Consumption of meat (kg/week)	0.91	0.82
Consumption of eggs (number/week)	3.49	3.45
Consumption of rice and bread (kg/week)	3.05	1.79
Consumption of starchy materials (kg/week)	0.64	0.52
Consumption of coffee (cups/day)	0.38	0.85
Consumption of tea (cups/day)	3.54	2.74
Consumption of vegetables (kg/week)	0.52	0.55
Consumption of fruit (kg/week)	1.56	1.45
DWF (m ³ /month)	228.07	202.25
VWF (m ³ /month)	1314.09	578.39
TWF (m ³ /month)	1537.98	619.55

DWF = direct water footprint; TWF = total water footprint; VWF = virtual water footprint.

Males exhibited significantly higher VWFs and TWFs than females (Table 4 & Fig. S3a), and homeowner VWFs and TWFs were higher than those of non-homeowners. Single and married respondents did not differ in their WFs (Table 4).

DWFs, VWFs and TWFs were greater in high-income than in low-income areas. Middle-income areas exhibited the lowest DWF (Table 5 & Fig. S3b).

Discussion

The VWF being approximately six times larger than the DWF is consistent with findings from the USA (Chini et al. 2017), emphasizing the significance of accounting for indirect water usage.

High-income areas in Iran exhibited higher intakes of meat, dairy, vegetables, fruit and coffee. The influence of socioeconomic factors on dietary habits has been recognized elsewhere (Creutzig et al. 2018), while differences between low- and high-income countries in nutrition patterns have been acknowledged (Gerbens-Leenes et al. 2010).

A decline in monthly water usage bills over 5 years might suggest increased citizen awareness and efforts to curb water consumption. Public awareness measures through media and educational initiatives probably contributed to this positive trend, emphasizing the potential impact of proactive measures for alleviating water scarcity.

Contrary to certain existing studies, age did not exhibit a significant correlation with the WFs in Mashhad, although the absence of a significant impact of education levels on the WFs is in agreement with previous research (Lee 2019), and an influence of income levels is also consistent with prior studies (Cai et al. 2019, Alqahtani et al. 2021). The present study suggests that economic development may influence water consumption levels (Majeed &

Table 3. Results of Pearson correlations between water footprints and some variables.

	Age	Family size	Annual cost	Area of home	VWF	DWF	TWF
Age Family size Annual cost Area of home VWF DWF TWF	-	-0.226** _	0.139 -0.345** -	0.148** -0.021 -0.031 -	0.059 -0.340** 0.368** 0.025 -	0.038 0.032 0.107 0.043 0.035 -	0.073 -0.306** 0.387** 0.043 0.945** 0.357**

**Significant at the 0.01 probability level.

DWF = direct water footprint; TWF = total water footprint; VWF = virtual water footprint.

Table 4. Results of Student's t-tests of gender, marital status, housing status and the water footprints (WFs).

Characteristic WF	Indicator	n	Mean	t	р
Gender VWF	Female	240	1199.460	-4.900	0.000**
	Male	142	1507.830		
DWF	Female	240	213.169	-1.615	0.108
	Male	142	253.388		
TWF	Female	240	1409.076	-5.080	0.000**
	Male	142	1755.865		
Marital status VWF	Single	40	1454.468	1.721	0.086
	Married	339	1289.834		
DWF	Single	40	194.400	-1.131	0.259
	Married	339	232.774		
TWF	Single	40	1648.868	1.273	0.204
	Married	339	1517.801		
Hosing status VWF	Tenant	115	1156.643	-4.071	0.000**
	Owner	266	1384.427		
DWF	Tenant	115	227.910	-0.044	0.965
	Owner	266	228.923		
TWF	Tenant	115	1378.609	-3.878	0.000**
	Owner	266	1609.908		

**Significant at the 0.01 probability level.

DWF = direct water footprint; TWF = total water footprint; VWF = virtual water footprint.





Figure 2. Bar charts of the virtual water footprint (VWF), direct water footprint (DWF) and total water footprint (TWF) in relation to (a) education level and (b) monthly income.

Table 5. Results of the analysis of variance on three income class levels and the water footprints (WFs).

WF	Income level	Ν	Mean	F	р
VWF	Low income	135	1181.103 ^a	5.829	0.003**
	Middle income	112	1363.481 ^b		
	High income	135	1406.099 ^b		
DWF	Low income	129	224.178 ^{ab}	4.156	0.016*
	Middle income	112	189.978 ^a		
	High income	134	263.670 ^b		
TWF	Low income	135	1395.310 ^a	6.778	0.001**
	Middle income	112	1553.455 ^{ab}		
	High income	135	1667.820 ^b		

^{a,b}Superscript letters indicate the results of post-hoc Tukey's honestly significant difference (HSD) tests. Different letters denote statistically significant differences between income groups at the 0.05 probability level.

*Significant at the 0.05 probability level; **significant at the 0.01 probability level.

DWF = direct water footprint; TWF = total water footprint; VWF = virtual water footprint.

Mazhar 2020). This is consistent with the Environmental Kuznets Curve, indicating that economic growth initially leads to increased environmental degradation, including higher water consumption, before driving more sustainable practices due to growing environmental concerns (Sarkodie & Strezov 2019).

The observed lack of significant impact of education level on the WFs raises concerns about the alignment of current educational practices with environmental sustainability objectives. It suggests that existing educational frameworks neither adequately prioritize environmental awareness nor incorporate the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs; Kolahi 2023, Kolahi & AzimiSeginSara 2023). This finding underscores a broader issue of a deficiency in holistic and systems-orientated thinking in environmental education.

Gender disparities were evident, with males displaying higher WFs, perhaps being attributable to their increased food consumption, particularly meat intake. However, the differences in DWFs were not significant, warranting further exploration of gender-based variations. Karaçil Ermumcu et al. (2024) recently emphasized the significantly higher total WFs among males, but differences in DWFs require deeper investigation of gender-based variations.

Housing status significantly influenced the WFs, notably impacting homeowners who had higher VWFs and TWFs, possibly due to income and lifestyle differences. Income-based disparities indicated higher water consumption, both direct and indirect, in high-income areas, supporting the research hypothesis and reinforcing previous research on the influence of regional income disparities on the WFs. This is consistent with findings from studies by Lee et al. (2016) and Zhao et al. (2019), underlining the impacts of income disparities on the WFs at a regional level.

Our finding that middle-income areas exhibited the lowest DWFs compared to high- and low-income areas may seem counterintuitive but could be attributed to variations in household water use behaviours. For instance, middle-income households may have different lifestyle patterns, such as spending more time outside the home due to work or other commitments, resulting in reduced domestic water consumption. Additionally, factors such as travel frequency or temporary absence from home may contribute to the observed differences in DWFs. Further investigations into the specific behaviours and habits of households in middle-income areas could provide valuable insights into the nuanced relationship between income levels and water consumption patterns.

Several challenges to successful completion of the present work help to indicate ways in which future research could be facilitated and strengthened. The data collection process for the DWF was very time-consuming and geographically dispersed, and the Mashhad Water & Waste Water Company was reluctant to provide the necessary data, requiring persistence to obtain this information. Information on monthly water usage was not consistent among families, months and years. These constraints did not undermine the overall findings, but they highlight areas for improvement in future research. Addressing these limitations should lead to more robust and comprehensive data for informed decision-making in water resource management. This study underscores the importance of fostering the free exchange of data for research and decision-making, and this necessitates strong collaboration between executive offices and universities.

Conclusion

We highlight educational reforms, gender disparities and policy development as three major issues that policymakers need to address. Firstly, significant reforms in educational curricula are needed to prioritize environmental awareness and integrate sustainability principles. Aligning educational content with environmental objectives, particularly SDG4 (quality education), is crucial. Emphasizing ecocentric ethics and sustainability in education can drive attitudinal and behavioural shifts.

Secondly, the gender disparities in water consumption highlight the need to encourage sustainable dietary practices among males. Implementing incentive-based policies can promote responsible water usage by encouraging males to adopt diets with lower WFs, such as those involving the increased consumption of plantbased food.

Thirdly, our findings offer a foundation for policymakers, urban planners and water authorities to craft targeted policies for water security. Understanding the relationships between citizens' characteristics and water footprints enables stakeholders to design strategies that effectively reduce water consumption and foster sustainable practices.

By redesigning holistic engagement systems and educational materials to reflect ecocentric ethics and addressing socioeconomic factors in water consumption, we can cultivate a more environmentally responsible society and pave the way for a sustainable future. Further research exploring WF patterns across different regions in Iran will provide deeper insights into the country's WF landscape.

Supplementary material. For supplementary material accompanying this paper, visit https://doi.org/10.1017/S0376892924000195.

Data availability. The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author upon request.

Acknowledgements. We extend our heartfelt appreciation to the citizens who gave their valuable time to participate in our survey, and we thank the editors and reviewers for their improvements to the paper.

Financial support. We thank Ferdowsi University of Mashhad (FUM) for support and funding (reference number 42765), which played a crucial role in the successful execution of this study.

Competing interests. The authors declare none.

Ethical standards. All procedures in this work complied with the ethical standards of the Institutional Review Board of Ferdowsi University of Mashhad and the national ethical guidelines of Iran, and the research adheres to the principles outlined in the Helsinki Declaration of 1975, as revised in 2008 (World Medical Association 2008).

Author contributions. The authors' individual contributions were as follows: Supervisor: MK; Data collection and coding: ZS, SH and MA; Data analysis: MK and ZS; Writing – original draft preparation, reviewing and editing: MK and ZS. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Code availability. Available upon request.

References

- Akubia JE, Ahmed A, Bruns A (2020) Assessing how land-cover change associated with urbanisation affects ecological sustainability in the greater Accra Metropolitan Area, Ghana. *Land* 9: 182.
- Alavijeh NK, Falahi MA, Shadmehri MT, Salehnia N, Larsen MA, Drews M (2021) Perspectives of current and future urban water security in Iran. *Journal of Cleaner Production* 321: 129004.

- Alqahtani SH, Alropy ET, Kotb AA, Alaagib SEB (2021) Estimation of the standard model of the water footprint of individuals in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. *Arabian Journal of Geosciences* 14: 1–12.
- Arabi Yazdi A, Alizadeh A, Nairizi S (2009) Study of food security based on the concept of virtual water trade and ecological water foot print (case study: Khorasan Razavi Province). *Journal of Agroecology* 1: 1–12.
- Bazrafshan O, Dehghanpir S (2020) Application of water footprint, virtual water trade and water footprint economic value of citrus fruit productions in Hormozgan Province, Iran. *Sustainable Water Resources Management* 6: 114.
- Bazrafshan O, Ramezani Etedali H, Moshizi ZGN, Shamili M (2019) Virtual water trade and water footprint accounting of saffron production in Iran. *Agricultural Water Management* 213: 368–374.
- Cai B, Liu B, Zhang B (2019) Evolution of Chinese urban household's water footprint. *Journal of Cleaner Production* 208: 1–10.
- Chini CM, Konar M, Stillwell AS (2017) Direct and indirect urban water footprints of the United States. *Water Resources Research* 53: 316-327.
- Cochran WG (1977) Sampling Techniques, 3rd edition. Hoboken, NJ, USA: John Wiley & Sons.
- Corcoran E (2010) Sick Water? The Central Role of Wastewater Management in Sustainable Development: A Rapid Response Assessment. Nairobi, Kenya: UNEP/Earthprint.
- Creutzig F, Roy J, Lamb WF, Azevedo IM, Bruine de Bruin W, Dalkmann H, et al. (2018) Towards demand-side solutions for mitigating climate change. *Nature Climate Change* 8: 260–263.
- Dadmand F, Naji-Azimi Z, Farimani NM, Davary K (2020) Sustainable allocation of water resources in water-scarcity conditions using robust fuzzy stochastic programming. *Journal of Cleaner Production* 276: 123812.
- Deepa R, Anandhi A, Alhashim R (2021) Volumetric and impact-oriented water footprint of agricultural crops: a review. *Ecological Indicators* 130: 108093.
- Dong H, Geng Y, Sarkis J, Fujita T, Okadera T, Xue B (2013) Regional water footprint evaluation in China: a case of Liaoning. *Science of the Total Environment* 442: 215–224.
- du Plessis A (2023) Water resources from a global perspective. In *South Africa's Water Predicament: Freshwater's Unceasing Decline* (pp. 1–25). Cham, Switzerland: Springer International Publishing.
- Esmaeilzadeh S, Asgharipour MR, Khoshnevisan B (2020) Water footprint and life cycle assessment of edible onion production a case study in Iran. *Scientia Horticulturae* 261: 108925.
- Fito J, Ahmed I, Nkambule TTI, Kefeni KK (2023) Evaluation of water footprint in sugar industries and bioethanol distilleries in two different water basins toward water sustainability. *International Journal of Environmental Science and Technology* 20: 2427–2440.
- Gallo I, Landro N, La Grassa R, Turconi A (2022) Food recommendations for reducing water footprint. *Sustainability* 14: 3833.
- Gerbens-Leenes PW, Nonhebel S, Krol MS (2010) Food consumption patterns and economic growth. Increasing affluence and the use of natural resources. *Appetite* 55: 597–608.
- Gómez-Llanos E, Durán-Barroso P, Robina-Ramírez R (2020) Analysis of consumer awareness of sustainable water consumption by the water footprint concept. *Science of the Total Environment* 721: 137743.
- Hoekstra AY, Hung PQ (2002) Virtual Water Trade: A Quantification of Virtual Water Flows between Nations in Relation to International Crop Trade. Value of Water Research Report Series 12. Delft, The Netherlands: IHE Delft.
- Hoekstra AY, Mekonnen MM (2012) The water footprint of humanity. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 109: 3232–3237.
- Hoekstra AY, Chapagain AK, Aldaya MM, Mekonnen MM (2011) *The Water Footprint Assessment Manual: Setting the Global Standard*. London, UK: Earthscan.
- Horton P, Horton BP (2019) Re-defining sustainability: living in harmony with life on Earth. One Earth 1: 86–94.
- Hossain L, Khan MS (2020) Water footprint management for sustainable growth in the Bangladesh apparel sector. *Water* 12: 2760.
- Jensen O, Nair S (2019) Integrated urban water management and water security: a comparison of Singapore and Hong Kong. *Water* 11: 785.



- Jery AE, Aldrdery M, Ghoudi N, Moradi M, Ali IH, Tizkam HH, Sammen SS (2023) Experimental investigation and proposal of artificial neural network models of lead and cadmium heavy metal ion removal from water using porous nanomaterials. *Sustainability* 15: 14183.
- Kahramanoğlu İ, Usanmaz S, Alas T (2020) Water footprint and irrigation use efficiency of important crops in northern Cyprus from an environmental, economic and dietary perspective. *Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences* 27: 134–141.
- Karaçil Ermumcu MŞ, Çıtar Dazıroğlu ME, Erdoğan Gövez N, Acar Tek N (2024) Evaluation of personal water footprint components in Turkey: factors associated with obesity and food consumption. *International Journal of Environmental Health Research* 34: 432–442.
- Karandish F, Hoekstra AY (2017) Informing national food and water security policy through water footprint assessment: the case of Iran. *Water* 9: 831.
- Kolahi M (2013) Synergisms for the Intricate System of Biodiversity and Society in the Conservation Management of Iran. PhD thesis. Kyoto, Japan: Kyoto University.
- Kolahi M (2014) Protected Areas Management and Environmental Sociology in Iran. Chişinău, Moldova: Scholars' Press.
- Kolahi M (2023) Synergy of humanistic, ecocentric, cosmopolitan, and holistic engineering approaches for revising engineering education. *Iranian Journal* of Engineering Education 25: 107–125.
- Kolahi M, AzimiSeginSara R (2023) Socio-environmental responsibility in Iranian universities: a multidimensional perspective. *Environmental Conservation* 50: 267–274.
- Kolahi M, Davary K, Omranian Khorasani H (2024) Integrated approach to water resource management in Mashhad Plain, Iran: actor analysis, cognitive mapping, and roadmap development. *Scientific Reports* 14: 162.
- Kolahi M, Sakai T, Moriya K, Makhdoum MF (2012) Challenges to the future development of Iran's protected areas system. *Environmental Management* 50: 750–765.
- Lambin EF, Meyfroidt P (2011) Global land use change, economic globalization, and the looming land scarcity. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 108: 3465–3472.
- Lee YJ (2019) Ecological footprint and water footprint of Taipei. *Sustainability* 11: 5714.
- Lee YJ, Tung CM, Lee PR, Lin SC (2016) Personal water footprint in Taiwan: a case study of Yunlin County. *Sustainability* 8: 1112.
- Madani K (2014) Water management in Iran: what is causing the looming crisis? *Journal of Environmental Studies and Sciences* 4: 315–328.
- Madani K, AghaKouchak A, Mirchi A (2016) Iran's socio-economic drought: challenges of a water-bankrupt nation. *Iranian Studies* 49: 997–1016.
- Majeed MT, Mazhar M (2020) Reexamination of Environmental Kuznets Curve for ecological footprint: the role of biocapacity, human capital, and trade. *Pakistan Journal of Commerce and Social Sciences* 14: 202–254.

- Mehdizadeh G, Nikoo MR, Talebbeydokhti N, Vanda S, Nematollahi B (2023) Hypolimnetic aeration optimization based on reservoir thermal stratification simulation. *Journal of Hydrology* 625: 130106.
- Mekonnen MM, Hoekstra AY (2012) A global assessment of the water footprint of farm animal products. *Ecosystems* 15: 401–415.
- Mohamadi H, Ahmadi E, Ahmadi S, Hakimi Khoram A (2023) Analysis of the effects of Afghanistan Salma Dam on water stresses in Mashhad. *Human Geography Research 55*: 53–70.
- Morera S, Corominas L, Poch M, Aldaya MM, Comas J (2016) Water footprint assessment in wastewater treatment plants. *Journal of Cleaner Production* 112: 4741–4748.
- Moslehi I, Jalili-Ghazizadeh M, Yousefi-Khoshqalb E (2021) Developing a framework for leakage target setting in water distribution networks from an economic perspective. *Structure and Infrastructure Engineering* 17: 821–837.
- Najafi M, Eskandari Torbaghan B (2021) Investigating the possibility of using surface runoff in Mashhad, Iran. Water Resources Research 17: 212–227.
- Radmehr R, Shayanmehr S (2018) The determinants of sustainable irrigation water prices in Iran. Bulgarian Journal of Agricultural Science 24: 983–991.
- Rusu TM, Mihăiescu T, Odagiu A, Paulette L (2023) Effects of the eating habits of Romanian residents on the water footprint. *Water* 15: 1622.
- Sarkodie SA, Strezov V (2019) A review on Environmental Kuznets Curve hypothesis using bibliometric and meta-analysis. Science of the Total Environment 649: 128–145.
- Souissi A, Mtimet N, Thabet C, Stambouli T, Chebil A (2019) Impact of food consumption on water footprint and food security in Tunisia. *Food Security* 11: 989–1008.
- Souza FAA, Bhattacharya-Mis N, Restrepo-Estrada C, Gober P, Taffarello D, Tundisi JG, Mendiondo EM (2021) Blue and grey urban water footprints through citizens' perception and time series analysis of Brazilian dynamics. *Hydrological Sciences Journal* 66: 408–421.
- Statistical Center of Iran (2015) Iran National Census, Mashhad. Tehran, Iran: Statistical Center of Iran.
- Van Oel PR, Mekonnen MM, Hoekstra AY (2009) The external water footprint of the Netherlands: geographically-explicit quantification and impact assessment. *Ecological Economics* 69: 82–92.
- World Medical Association (2008) World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki: Ethical principles for medical research involving human subjects [www_document] URL https://www.wma.net/policies-post/wma-declarat ion-of-helsinki-ethical-principles-for-medical-research-involving-humansubjects/
- Zhang Y, Tian Q, Hu H, Yu M (2019) Water footprint of food consumption by Chinese residents. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health 16: 3979.
- Zhao G, Gao C, Xie R, Lai M, Yang L (2019) Provincial water footprint in China and its critical path. *Ecological Indicators* 105: 634–644.