

# ON THE DIOPHANTINE EQUATION $z^2 = x^4 + Dx^2y^2 + y^4$

by J. H. E. COHN

(Received 23 November, 1992)

The equation of the title in positive integers  $x, y, z$  where  $D$  is a given integer has been considered for some 300 years [4, pp 634–639]. As observed by V. A. Lebesgue, and probably known to Euler, if  $x, y, z$  is one non-trivial solution i.e., one with  $xy(x^2 - y^2) \neq 0$ , another is given by  $\bar{x} = 2xyz$ ,  $\bar{y} = |x^4 - y^4|$ ,  $\bar{z} = |z^4 - (D^2 - 4)x^4y^4|$ . It then follows that there are infinitely many such with  $(x, y) = 1$ . The question that remains is to determine for which values of  $D$  such solutions exist.

Brown [1], extending a method due to Pocklington [5], has completed this determination for  $0 \leq D \leq 100$ . He was obviously unaware of [2] which dealt in a rather similar way with the values  $D = n^2 - 2$  for  $1 \leq n \leq 100$ , including the value  $D = 47$  which occupies a whole section of [1]. The method is technically elementary, and in his conclusion Brown wonders whether such methods will always either produce a solution or prove that one does not exist. This seems not to be the case, for as was pointed out in [2], if  $n = 49$ , corresponding to  $D = 2399$  we obtain a pair of equations

$$51c^2 - 2401d^2 = 2a^2, \quad c^2 - 47d^2 = 2b^2.$$

These are consistent in the sense that they are satisfied by the values  $(a, b, c, d) = (7, 1, 7, 1)$ , notwithstanding which our equation is shown to be impossible in view of the fact that no solutions exist in which  $a, b, c, d$  are pairwise coprime. The demonstration of this fact appears to require non-elementary methods, and in [3] this was done using two different quadratic fields.

This phenomenon first seems to occur for  $D = 147$ , and it is the object of this note to consider this case in detail. We find using Pocklington's method that no non-trivial solution exists provided that each of the three sets

$$149c^2 - d^2 = 4a^2, \quad 145c^2 - d^2 = 4b^2 \tag{1}$$

$$149c^2 - 5d^2 = -4a^2, \quad 29c^2 - d^2 = -4b^2 \tag{2}$$

$$149c^2 - 29d^2 = -4a^2, \quad 5c^2 - d^2 = -4b^2 \tag{3}$$

of simultaneous quadratic equations has no solutions in pairwise coprime integers  $a, b, c, d$ . Although we shall demonstrate this, it does not seem to be possible using only elementary methods.

For any such solution both  $c$  and  $d$  would have to be odd in each case. We use the field  $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{149}]$  with unique factorisation for which the fundamental unit is  $\frac{1}{2}(61 + 5\sqrt{149})$  with norm  $-1$ .

From (1), we find  $c^2 = a^2 - b^2$  and so for coprime  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$ ,  $c = \lambda^2 - \mu^2$ ,  $a = \lambda^2 + \mu^2$  and so  $a + c = 2\lambda^2$  and  $a - c = 2\mu^2$ . But now in the field

$$\frac{1}{2}(d + c\sqrt{149}) \cdot \frac{1}{2}(d - c\sqrt{149}) = -a^2$$

gives for some coprime rational integers  $\rho, \sigma$

$$d + c\sqrt{149} = \frac{1}{4}(61 + 5\sqrt{149})(\rho + \sigma\sqrt{149})^2, \quad a = \frac{1}{4}|\rho^2 - 149\sigma^2|,$$

*Glasgow Math. J.* **36** (1994) 283–285.

whence  $c \equiv -2\rho\sigma$ ,  $a \equiv \pm(\rho^2 + \sigma^2) \pmod{5}$ . But then

$$2\lambda^2 = a + c \equiv \pm(\rho \mp \sigma)^2, \quad 2\mu^2 = a - c \equiv \pm(\rho \pm \sigma)^2 \pmod{5}$$

imply that both  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  are divisible by 5, which is impossible.

From (2) we find  $d^2 = 149b^2 - 29a^2$  where  $a$  must be even and  $b$  odd. Thus

$$\left(\frac{d + b\sqrt{149}}{2}\right)\left(\frac{d - b\sqrt{149}}{2}\right) = -29\left(\frac{1}{2}a\right)^2 = \left(\frac{35 + 3\sqrt{149}}{2}\right)\left(\frac{35 - 3\sqrt{149}}{2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}a\right)^2,$$

whence  $4(d + b\sqrt{149}) = (3\sqrt{149} + 35q)(\lambda + \mu\sqrt{149})^2$ , with  $a = \frac{1}{2}|\lambda^2 - 149\mu^2|$  for some rational integers  $\lambda, \mu$  of like parity and  $q = \pm 1$ . Thus we find successively that

$$\begin{aligned} 4d &= 35q(\lambda^2 + 149\mu^2) + 894\lambda\mu \\ 4b &= 3(\lambda^2 + 149\mu^2) + 70q\lambda\mu \\ 4(d - 2qb) &= 29\{q(\lambda^2 + 149\mu^2) + 26\lambda\mu\} \\ 4(d + 2qb) &= 41q(\lambda^2 + 149\mu^2) + 1034\lambda\mu. \end{aligned}$$

But  $(d - 2qb)(d + 2qb) = 29c^2$ , where the factors on the left have no common factor. Thus by the above,

$$q\rho^2 = \lambda^2 + 149\mu^2 + 26q\lambda\mu, \quad q\sigma^2 = 41(\lambda^2 + 149\mu^2) + 1034\lambda\mu q,$$

where  $29 \nmid \sigma$ . But now  $q\sigma^2 \equiv 12(\lambda + 2q\mu)^2 \pmod{29}$ , which is impossible since  $(\pm 12 | 29) = -1$ .

Finally, from (3) we find  $d^2 = 149b^2 - 5a^2$ , where  $a$  must be even and  $b$  odd. Thus

$$\frac{1}{2}(d + b\sqrt{149}) \cdot \frac{1}{2}(d - b\sqrt{149}) = -5\left(\frac{1}{2}a\right)^2 = (12 + \sqrt{149})(12 - \sqrt{149})\left(\frac{1}{2}a\right)^2,$$

whence  $2(d + b\sqrt{149}) = (\sqrt{149} + 12q)(\lambda + \mu\sqrt{149})^2$ , with  $a = \frac{1}{2}|\lambda^2 - 149\mu^2|$  for some rational integers  $\lambda, \mu$  of like parity and  $q = \pm 1$ . Thus we find successively that

$$\begin{aligned} d &= 6q(\lambda^2 + 149\mu^2) + 149\lambda\mu \\ 2b &= (\lambda^2 + 149\mu^2) + 24q\lambda\mu \\ d - 2qb &= 5\{q(\lambda^2 + 149\mu^2) + 25\lambda\mu\} \\ d + 2qb &= 7q(\lambda^2 + 149\mu^2) + 173\lambda\mu. \end{aligned}$$

But  $(d - 2qb)(d + 2qb) = 5c^2$ , where the factors on the left have no common factor. Thus by the above,

$$q\rho^2 = \lambda^2 + 149\mu^2 + 25q\lambda\mu, \quad q\sigma^2 = 7(\lambda^2 + 149\mu^2) + 173\lambda\mu q,$$

where  $5 \nmid \sigma$ . But now  $q\sigma^2 \equiv 2(\lambda + 2q\mu)^2 \pmod{5}$ , which is again impossible since  $(\pm 2 | 5) = -1$ .

### REFERENCES

1. E. Brown,  $x^4 + dx^2y^2 + y^4 = z^2$ : some cases with only trivial solutions—and a solution Euler missed, *Glasgow Math. J.* **31** (1989), 297–307.
2. J. H. E. Cohn, Squares in arithmetical progressions I, *Math. Scand.* **52** (1983) 5–19.

3. J. H. E. Cohn, Squares in arithmetical progressions II, *Math. Scand.* **52** (1983) 20–23.
4. L. E. Dickson, *History of the theory of numbers, II*, (Chelsea Publishing Co., New York, 1952).
5. H. C. Pocklington, Some diophantine impossibilities, *Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc.* **17** (1914) 108–121.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
ROYAL HOLLOWAY UNIVERSITY OF LONDON  
EGHAM  
SURREY, TW20 0EX  
ENGLAND