

σ_4 -ACTIONS ON HOMOTOPY SPHERES

CHAO-CHU LIANG

Let σ_4 denote the group of all permutations of $\{a, b, c, d\}$. It has 24 elements, partitioned into five conjugacy classes: (1) the identity 1; (2) 6 transpositions: $(ab), \dots, (cd)$; (3) 8 elements of order 3: $(abc), \dots, (bcd)$; (4) 6 elements of order 4: $(abcd), \dots, (adcb)$; (5) 3 elements of order 2: $x = (ab)(cd), y = (ac)(bd), z = (ad)(bc)$.

In this paper, we study the differentiable actions of σ_4 on odd-dimensional homotopy spheres modelled on the linear actions, with the fixed point set of each transposition a codimension two homotopy sphere.

A simple $(2n - 1)$ -knot is a differentiable embedding of a homotopy sphere K^{2n-1} into a homotopy sphere Σ^{2n+1} such that $\pi_j(\Sigma - K) = \pi_j(S^1)$ for $j < n$. For $n \geq 3$, the isotopy type of a simple $(2n - 1)$ -knot is determined by any one of its Seifert matrices, an integral matrix with

$$\det(A + \epsilon A') = \pm 1,$$

where A' denotes the transpose of A and $\epsilon = (-1)^n$ [5, p. 186].

For $g \in \sigma_4$, we let $F(g)$ denote the fixed point set of g under the σ_4 -action.

In this paper, we will construct infinitely many distinct differentiable σ_4 -actions on $(2n + 1)$ -homotopy spheres Σ^{2n+1} with $F((ab))$ a simple $(2n - 1)$ -knot for $n \geq 3$. Actually, for $n = 2k$, we will show that the cobordism classes of σ_4 -action on $(4k + 1)$ -homotopy spheres (see the definition in Section 3 below) contains infinitely many copies of the integers \mathbf{Z} .

1. In this section, we review the linear representations of σ_4 . We know that σ_4 is a semi-direct product of the normal subgroup $H = \{1, x, y, z\}$ and the subgroup σ_3 generated by (ab) and (abc) .

There are five inequivalent irreducible real representations for σ_4 : the trivial representation I ; the sign representation ϵ mapping odd permutations to -1 , and even ones to 1 ; the 2-dimensional representation θ induced from the one on $\sigma_4/H = \sigma_3$ by sending (ab) to a reflection along an axis and (abc) to the rotation by $2\pi/3$; a 3-dimensional representation ψ permuting four vectors $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$ in \mathbf{R}^3 with the first three linearly independent and $e_1 + e_2 + e_3 + e_4 = 0$, e.g. with respect to the basis

Received February 27, 1979. This research was supported by the University of Kansas General Research Fund.

$\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ we have

$$(ab) \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, (abc) \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and } (ab)(cd) \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix},$$

[11, p. 77]; and $\epsilon\psi$, the tensor product of ϵ and ψ . We have the following table (compare the character table in [9, p. 43]):

	I	ϵ	θ	ψ	$\epsilon\psi$
dim of representation	1	1	2	3	3
codim of $F((ab))$	0	1	1	1	2
codim of $F((abc))$	0	0	2	2	2
codim of $F(x)$	0	0	0	2	2

Therefore, we have the following six types of linear action of σ_4 on \mathbf{R}^m with $\text{codim } F((ab)) = 2$: (0) $(m - 2)I + \epsilon + \epsilon$; (I) $(m - 3)I + \epsilon + \theta$; (II) $(m - 4)I + \theta + \theta$; (III) $(m - 3)I + \epsilon\psi$; (IV) $(m - 4)I + \epsilon + \psi$; (V) $(m - 5)I + \theta + \psi$.

We refer to a σ_4 -action as one of type (r) (where r is one of the above six types) if the slice representation at a fixed point [1, p. 171] is the same as that of the linear action of type (r) . Types (0), (I), and (II) have been studied in [7], [8].

By examining the character table in [9, p. 43], we see the two restrictions $\epsilon\psi|_{\sigma_3} = \epsilon + \theta$ and $\psi|_{\sigma_3} = I + \theta$.

2. A σ_4 -action of type (0) is a semifree involution, and a σ_4 -action of type (I) or (II) is a σ_3 -action. Thus the following theorem is essentially proved in [7] and [8].

THEOREM 1. *Let C be a Seifert matrix of the form*

$$C = A(A - \epsilon A')^{-1}A$$

with

$$|\det(A + \epsilon A')| = 1 = |\det(A - \epsilon A')|.$$

Then there exists a differentiable σ_4 -action of type (r) ($r = 0, I, II$) on a homotopy sphere Σ^{2n+1} , $n \geq 3$, such that the simple knot $(\Sigma, F((ab)))$ has C as its Seifert matrix.

Recall that σ_4 can be expressed as the semi-direct product of H and σ_3 , i.e., $0 \rightarrow H \xrightarrow{P} \sigma_4 \rightarrow \sigma_3 \rightarrow 0$, with $\sigma_3 = \{1, t, t^2, w_1, w_2, w_3\}$, where $P((ab)) = w_1, P((abc)) = t$, etc.

THEOREM 2. *Let $r = III, IV, \text{ or } V$. Let C be a Seifert matrix of the form*

$$\begin{pmatrix} B & O \\ O & -B \end{pmatrix} \text{ with}$$

$$B = A(A - \epsilon A')^{-1}A,$$

and

$$|\det (A + \epsilon A')| = 1 = |\det (A - \epsilon A')|.$$

Then there exists a differentiable σ_4 -action of type (r) on a homotopy sphere S^{2n+1} , $n \geq 3$, such that the simple knot $(\Sigma, F((ab)))$ has C as its Seifert matrix.

Proof. Consider a linear action of type (III), (IV) or (V) on a standard sphere. Since $H = Z_2 + Z_2$, and $\text{codim } F(x) = \text{codim } F(y) = \text{codim } F(z) = 2$, the orbit space $S^{2n+1}/H = S^{2n+1}/\{x\}/\{y\}$ is again a sphere M_1 . Also, we have an induced σ_3 -action on $M_1 = S^{2n+1}/H$. But we have

$$0 \rightarrow Z_3 \rightarrow \sigma_3 \rightarrow Z_2 \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus $t \in \sigma_3$ gives rise to a Z_3 -action on M_1 . Finally, w_1 generates an involution T on $M_1/\{t\}$. That is, we have the following sequence, where each φ_i is an orbit map.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} S^{2n+1} & \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} & S^{2n+1}/H & \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} & S^{2n+1}/H/\{t\} & \xrightarrow{\varphi_3} & S^{2n+1}/\sigma_4 \\ & & \parallel & & \parallel & & \parallel \\ & & M_1 & & M_2 & & M_3 \end{array}$$

Using the explicit expression of the representations mentioned in Section 1, it is easy to see that $\varphi_1(F((ab)))$ is a $(2n - 1)$ -disk in M_1 , and $\varphi_2\varphi_1(F((ab)))$ is a $(2n - 1)$ -disk D_1 in M_2 . Also, $\varphi_1(F(x) \cup F(y) \cup F(z))$ is a union of three $(2n - 1)$ -disks whose common intersection $\varphi_1(F(H))$ is a $(2n - 2)$ -sphere, and $\varphi_2\varphi_1(F(x) \cup F(y) \cup F(z))$ is a $(2n - 1)$ -disk D_2 in M_2 . Furthermore, the $(2n - 1)$ -sphere $D_1 \cup D_2$ is the fixed point set of the involution T on M_2 . We write $D_1' = \varphi_3(D_1)$ and $D_2' = \varphi_3(D_2)$ in $M_3 = S^{2n+1}/\sigma_4$.

If the action is of type (III) or (IV), then $\text{codim } F((abc)) = 2$. Thus M_2 is a sphere, and so is M_3 . But when the action is of type (V), then $\text{codim } F((abc)) = 4$, and M_2 is no longer a manifold.

Let W be a small open neighborhood of D_2' in M_3 . Then we define $U = (\varphi_3 \circ \varphi_2 \circ \varphi_1)^{-1}(W)$.

From the principal orbit type theorem [1, p. 179], we know that we may embed a disk D^{2n+1} in M_3 near D_1' such that

$$\begin{aligned} D^{2n+1} \cap \varphi_3\varphi_2\varphi_1(F(g)) &= \emptyset \quad \text{for all } g \in \sigma_4 \quad \text{and} \\ D^{2n+1} \cap W &= \emptyset. \end{aligned}$$

We construct a simple knot K^{2n-1} in D^{2n+1} with A as its Seifert matrix, [3, p. 255-257]. We then take the connected sum of K^{2n-1} and D_1' in M_3 (the connected sum operation $\#$ takes place away from $\varphi_3\varphi_2\varphi_1(F(g))$ and W). Now we use $D_2' \cup D_1' \# K^{2n-1}$ as the branched point set to construct

a 2-fold branched covering

$$\begin{array}{c} \beta_3 \\ \Sigma_2 \rightarrow M_3 \end{array}$$

[2]. The branched covering transformation gives us an involution T' on Σ_2 .

Since a neighborhood of $\varphi_3\varphi_2\varphi_1(F((abc)))$ is disjoint from K^{2n-1} , we may identify $\varphi_2\varphi_1(F((abc)))$ with

$$\beta_3^{-1}\varphi_3\varphi_2\varphi_1(F((abc))).$$

We construct a 3-fold branched covering

$$\begin{array}{c} \beta_2 \\ \Sigma_1 \rightarrow \Sigma_2 \end{array}$$

with $\varphi_2\varphi_1(F((abc)))$ as branched point set. In [8], we showed that Σ_1 is a homotopy sphere, and that we may lift the involution T' to Σ_1 , which together with the branched covering transformation Z_3 on Σ_1 gives us a σ_3 -action Σ_1

As in the preceding paragraph, we may identify $(\varphi_3\varphi_2)^{-1}(W)$ with $(\beta_3\beta_2)^{-1}(W)$. We then construct an H -action on a homotopy sphere Σ with an orbit space Σ_1 as follows: we first use $\varphi_1(F(z) \cup F(y))$ as branched point set to construct a 2-fold branched cover

$$\begin{array}{c} \alpha_2 \\ \Sigma' \rightarrow \Sigma_1 \end{array}$$

with associated involution G_2 , then use $\alpha_2'\varphi_1(F(x))$ as branched point set to construct a 2-fold branched cover

$$\begin{array}{c} \alpha_1 \\ \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma' \end{array}$$

with involution G_1 . We define $\beta_1 = \alpha_2 \circ \alpha_1$. It is easy to see that the H -action on

$$U' = \beta_1^{-1}(\varphi_3\varphi_2)^{-1}(W)$$

is equivalent to the H -action on

$$U = (\varphi_3\varphi_2\varphi_1)^{-1}(W).$$

The map of the complement $\Sigma - U' \rightarrow \Sigma' - \varphi(U')$ is a regular covering. According to [1, p. 64-67], we may lift G_2 to $\Sigma - U'$ inducing an H' -action on $\Sigma - U'$, where H' is a semidirect product of Z_2 and Z_2 . Since the lifting is unique [1, p. 66], and we may take $H|\partial U = H'|\partial U$, then $H' = H$.

Now we have an H -action on Σ , and a σ_3 -action on $\Sigma_1 = \Sigma/H$. We then use the argument in the preceding paragraph to lift the σ_3 -action via the regular covering

$$\begin{array}{c} \beta_1 \\ \Sigma - U' \rightarrow \Sigma_1 - \beta_1(U'), \end{array}$$

thus inducing a J -action on $\Sigma - U'$, where J is defined by $0 \rightarrow H \rightarrow J \rightarrow \sigma_3 \rightarrow 0$. Since

$$U' = (\beta_3\beta_2\beta_1)^{-1}(D_2')$$

is σ_4 -equivariantly diffeomorphic to

$$U = (\varphi_3\varphi_2\varphi_1)^{-1}(D_2'),$$

then from the uniqueness of the lifting [1, p. 66], we conclude that $J = \sigma_4$ and we thus obtain a σ_4 -action on Σ . We have the following sequence, with $\beta_1 = \alpha_2\alpha_1$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \Sigma & \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} & \Sigma/\{x\} & \xrightarrow{\alpha_2} & \Sigma/H & \xrightarrow{\beta_2} & \Sigma_1/\{t\} & \xrightarrow{\beta_3} & \Sigma/\sigma_4. \\ & & \parallel & & \parallel & & \parallel & & \parallel \\ & & \Sigma' & & \Sigma_1 & & \Sigma_2 & & M_3 \end{array}$$

From the construction of the σ_1 -action, we see that $\beta_1(F((ab)))$ is a disk, and $(\Sigma_1, \beta_1(F(x)) \cup \beta_1(F((ab))))$ has B as its Seifert matrix [6, p. 52]. Since α_1 (respectively α_2) is a branched covering map with a trivial knot $F(x)$ (respectively $\alpha_1(F(y) \cup F(z))$) as its branched point set, and x reverses the orientation of $F((ab))$, we conclude that the knot $(\Sigma, F((ab)))$ has $C = \begin{pmatrix} B & O \\ O & -B \end{pmatrix}$ as its Seifert matrix.

As in [7] or [8] we have the following corollary.

COROLLARY. *For each $\gamma = 0, I, \dots, V$; and $n \geq 3$, there exist infinitely many σ_4 -actions on $(2n + 1)$ -homotopy spheres (having the same orbit space) of type (r) .*

3. Let $I = [0, 1]$ denote the unit interval. We call two σ_4 -actions γ_1, γ_2 on a homotopy sphere Σ^{2n+1} cobordant if there exists a σ_4 -action γ on $\Sigma \times I$ such that

$$\gamma|_{\Sigma \times 0} = \gamma_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma|_{\Sigma \times 1} = \gamma_2.$$

The cobordance is an equivalence relation. Thus we have the notion of cobordism classes.

THEOREM 3. *For $k \geq 2$, the cobordism classes of σ_4 -actions on $(4k + 1)$ -homotopy spheres contains infinitely many copies of \mathbf{Z} for each type (r) , $r = 0, I, \dots, V$.*

Proof. A σ_4 -action of type (0) is an involution, hence this case of the theorem follows from that in [7, (4.3)].

For an action of type (I) or (II), which is just a σ_3 -action, we restrict the action to $\mathbf{Z}_2 \subseteq \sigma_3$. The theorem follows from Theorem 1 above and [7, (4.3)].

For actions of type (III), (IV), (V), we cannot use the above proof directly since the Seifert matrices $C = \begin{pmatrix} B & O \\ O & -B \end{pmatrix}$ are null-cobordant.

Instead, we consider the involution induced by the σ_3 -action on Σ^{2n+1}/H .

Let $\mathbf{Z}_{(2)}$ denote the ring of 2-adic integers. It follows from [1, pp. 122-124] that both $F((ab))$ and the orbit space $\Sigma/\{x\}$ are $\mathbf{Z}_{(2)}$ -spheres, and so therefore is $\Sigma/\{x\}/\{y\} = \Sigma/H$. Let $\beta: \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma/H$ denote the orbit map. By restricting to $\mathbf{Z}_2 \subseteq \sigma_3$, generated by (ab) , we have an involution T on Σ/H with fixed point set

$$F(T) = \beta(F(x)) \cup \beta(F((ab))).$$

With the notion of Seifert manifolds and Seifert matrices for the $\mathbf{Z}_{(2)}$ -knot $(\Sigma/H, F(T))$ [7, Section 4], we may use the arguments in [4], [10, (6.6)] and [7, (4.2)] to show that the $\mathbf{Z}_{(2)}$ -knot cobordism classes can be mapped surjectively to $C_\epsilon(\mathbf{Z}_{(2)})$ (see [7, (4.2)]). We note that for the action constructed in Theorem 2 above, the corresponding knot $(\Sigma/H, F(T))$ has B as its Seifert matrix, and the $\mathbf{Z}_{(2)}$ -knot $(\Sigma/H/T, F(T))$ has A as its Seifert matrix [6]. If two σ_4 -actions γ_1, γ_2 are cobordant, then the corresponding $\mathbf{Z}_{(2)}$ -knots $(\Sigma/H/T_1, F(T_1)), (\Sigma/H/T_2, F(T_2))$ are also cobordant. Levine [4, p. 243] constructed an infinite sequence of linearly independent elements of $C_{+1}(\mathbf{Z}_{(2)})$:

$$A_k = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & k & 0 \\ 0 & -k & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad k = 1, 2, \dots,$$

that is, for each k , the set of direct sums $\bigoplus_n A_k$ (n copies of A_k) generates a copy of \mathbf{Z} in $C_{+1}(\mathbf{Z}_{(2)})$. Since

$$|\det(A_k + \epsilon A_k')| = 1 = |\det(A_k - \epsilon A_k')|,$$

we may use $\bigoplus_n A_k$ as A in Theorem 2 to construct a σ_4 -action. The corresponding $\mathbf{Z}_{(2)}$ -knot $(\Sigma/H/T, F(T))$ has $\bigoplus_n A_k$ as its Seifert matrix.

The author wishes to thank the referee for helpful suggestions.

REFERENCES

1. G. E. Bredon, *Introduction to compact transformation groups* (Academic Press, New York, 1972).
2. A. Durfee and L. Kauffman, *Periodicity of branched cyclic covers*, Math. Ann. **218** (1975), 157-174.
3. M. A. Kervaire, *Les noeuds de dimensions superieures*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **93** (1965), 225-271.
4. J. Levine, *Knot cobordism groups in codimension two*, Comment. Math. Helv. **44** (1969), 229-244.
5. ——— *An algebraic classification of some knots of codimension two*, Comment. Math. Helv. **45** (1970), 185-198.

6. C. C. Liang, *Knots fixed by \mathbf{Z}_p -actions, and periodic links*, Math. Ann. 233 (1978), 49–54.
7. ——— *$\mathbf{Z}_{(2)}$ -knot cobordism in codimension two, and involutions on homotopy spheres*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 256 (1979), 89–97.
8. ——— *Some exotic dihedral group actions on homotopy spheres*, Indiana Univ. Math. Jour. 28 (1979), 127–130.
9. J.-P. Serre, *Linear presentations of finite groups* (Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg-Berlin, 1977).
10. N. W. Stoltzfus, *Unraveling the integral knot concordance group*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 192 (1977).
11. B. L. Van der Waerden, *Group theory and quantum mechanics*, Die Grund. der Math. 214 (Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg-Berlin, 1974).

*University of Kansas,
Lawrence, Kansas*