

## STANDARD SUBGROUPS OF $GL_2(A)$

by A. W. MASON

(Received 4th September 1985)

### Introduction

Let  $R$  be a commutative ring and let  $\mathfrak{q}$  be an ideal in  $R$ . Let  $E_n(R)$  be the subgroup of  $GL_n(R)$  generated by the elementary matrices and let  $E_n(\mathfrak{q})$  be the normal subgroup of  $E_n(R)$  generated by the  $\mathfrak{q}$ -elementary matrices. The order of a subgroup  $S$  of  $GL_n(R)$  is the ideal  $\mathfrak{q}_0$  in  $R$  generated by  $x_{ij}, x_{ii} - x_{jj}$ , where  $(x_{ij}) \in S$ , with  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$  and  $i \neq j$ . The subgroup  $S$  is called a *standard* subgroup if  $E_n(\mathfrak{q}_0) \leq S$ . An *almost-normal* subgroup of  $GL_n(R)$  is a non-normal subgroup which is normalized by  $E_n(R)$ .

It is known [1, Theorem 3.5, p. 239, Theorem 4.1(b), (c), p. 240] that if  $R$  is a Dedekind ring then the standard subgroups of  $GL_n(R)$  are precisely those normalized by  $E_n(R)$ , where  $n \geq 3$ . The restriction  $n \geq 3$  is necessary. It is known for example [6, 8] that, when  $A = \mathbb{Z}$  or  $K[x]$ , where  $\mathbb{Z}$  is the set of rational integers and  $K$  is a field, there are infinitely many normal, non-central subgroups of  $SL_2(R)$  which contain  $E_2(\mathfrak{q})$  only when  $\mathfrak{q} = 0$ . (By definition a subgroup has order 0 if and only if it is central.)

Using recent work of Liehl [4] we prove that if  $R = A$ , a Dedekind ring of arithmetic type with infinitely many units [2, p. 83], then every standard subgroup of  $GL_2(A)$  is normalized by  $E_2(A)$ . We prove also that if the primes dividing 2 and the units of  $A$  satisfy some further conditions then every  $E_2(A)$ -normalized subgroup of  $GL_2(A)$  is standard. (We provide examples to show that these conditions are necessary.) It follows for example that, when  $A = \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{6}]$  or  $\mathbb{Z}[\theta]$ , where  $\theta$  is a root of unity of order  $p^\alpha$ , with  $p$  a prime greater than 3, a subgroup of  $GL_2(A)$  is standard if and only if it is normalized by  $E_2(A)$ .

It seems natural to ask whether or not an  $E_n(A)$ -normalized subgroup of  $GL_n(A)$  is a normal subgroup, especially when such a subgroup is standard. In this paper we provide examples of almost-normal subgroups of  $GL_2(A)$ , for various  $A$ . For a given  $R$  the existence of almost-normal subgroups of  $GL_n(R)$  depends upon  $n$ . It is known [5, Corollary 4.2; 6] that almost-normal subgroups of  $GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$  exist if and only if  $n = 2$ . It is also known [5, Corollary 5.6] that, when  $n \geq 3$ , almost-normal subgroups of  $GL_n(\mathbb{Z}[i])$  exist if and only if  $n$  is even, where  $i^2 = -1$ .

Liehl's results [4] do not apply to the case where  $A$  is a Dedekind ring of arithmetic type with only finitely many units and it appears that in this case the standard subgroups of  $GL_2(A)$  have little in common with those normalized by  $E_2(A)$ . For example it is known [6] that there are infinitely many non-standard subgroups of  $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  which are normalized by  $E_2(\mathbb{Z})$ . On the other hand it is clear from [11] that there are infinitely many standard subgroups of  $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  (of order  $\mathfrak{q} = (6)$ ) which are not normalized by  $E_2(\mathbb{Z})$ .

Throughout this paper it will be assumed that  $A$  is a Dedekind ring of arithmetic type

with infinitely many units. By the Dirichlet unit theorem this means that  $A$  has units of infinite order. For each prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  in  $A$  we put  $N(\mathfrak{p}) = |A/\mathfrak{p}|$ .

For any ring  $R$  we let  $U(R)$  denote its set of units and for each ideal  $\mathfrak{q}$  in  $R$  we put  $GL_n(\mathfrak{q}) = \text{Ker}(GL_n(R) \rightarrow GL_n(R/\mathfrak{q}))$  and  $SL_n(\mathfrak{q}) = GL_n(\mathfrak{q}) \cap SL_n(R)$ . We let  $H_n(\mathfrak{q})$  be the set of all matrices in  $GL_n(R)$  which are scalar (mod  $\mathfrak{q}$ ). For each  $r \in R$  and  $u, v \in U(R)$  we put

$$T(r) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & r \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad D(u, v) = \begin{bmatrix} u & 0 \\ 0 & v \end{bmatrix}.$$

To simplify the notation we put  $G = GL_2(A)$ ,  $\Gamma = SL_2(A)$ ,  $\Gamma(\mathfrak{q}) = SL_2(\mathfrak{q})$ ,  $\Delta(\mathfrak{q}) = E_2(\mathfrak{q})$ ,  $H(\mathfrak{q}) = H_2(\mathfrak{q})$  and  $G(\mathfrak{q}) = GL_2(\mathfrak{q})$ , where  $\mathfrak{q}$  is an ideal in  $A$ . (By definition  $\Gamma(A) = \Gamma$  and  $G(A) = H(A) = G$ .)

As usual if  $H, K$  are subgroups of a group  $L$  then  $[H, K]$  is the subgroup of  $L$  generated by all the commutators  $[h, k] = h^{-1}k^{-1}hk$ , where  $h \in H$  and  $k \in K$ .

**1. Liehl's results**

Bass, Milnor and Serre have shown that, for all  $\mathfrak{q}$  and for all  $n \geq 3$ ,  $E_n(\mathfrak{q})$  is a normal subgroup of  $GL_n(A)$  and that the factor groups  $C_n(\mathfrak{q})$  and  $C_m(\mathfrak{q})$  are (naturally) isomorphic, for all  $m \geq n$ , where  $C_n(\mathfrak{q}) = SL_n(\mathfrak{q})/E_n(\mathfrak{q})$ . (See [2, Theorem 7.5(c), Theorem 11.1(b)].) (This is also true if  $A$  has only finitely many units.) Liehl [4] has proved the following.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $\mathfrak{q}$  be any ideal in  $A$ .*

- (i)  $\Delta(\mathfrak{q}) \triangleleft \Gamma(\mathfrak{q})$ .
- (ii) For each  $n \geq 3$ , the map  $\phi_n: \Gamma(\mathfrak{q})/\Delta(\mathfrak{q}) \rightarrow C_n(\mathfrak{q})$ , defined by

$$\phi_n(g\Delta(\mathfrak{q})) = \bar{g}E_n(\mathfrak{q}) \quad (g \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{q})),$$

where  $\bar{g} = g \oplus I_{n-2}$ , is an isomorphism.

**Proof.** See [4, (20), (21)]. □

It follows that  $\Gamma = \Delta(A)$ , i.e.  $\Gamma$  is generated by elementary matrices, that  $\Delta(\mathfrak{q}) \triangleleft G$  and that  $\Gamma(\mathfrak{q})/\Delta(\mathfrak{q})$  is a subgroup of the group of roots of unity in  $A$ . (A formula for  $|\Gamma(\mathfrak{q})/\Delta(\mathfrak{q})|$  is given on p. 166 of [4].) Moreover if  $A$  is not the ring of integers of a totally imaginary number field then  $\Gamma(\mathfrak{q}) = \Delta(\mathfrak{q})$ , for all  $\mathfrak{q}$ , by [4, (19), (21)].

We now consider some immediate consequences of Liehl's results. If  $H$  is a group and  $m$  a positive integer we put  $H^m = \langle h^m : h \in H \rangle$ .

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $\mathfrak{q}$  be any ideal in  $A$ .*

- (a)  $[G, G(\mathfrak{q})] \leq \Delta(\mathfrak{q})$ .
- (b)  $[\Gamma, H(\mathfrak{q})] \leq \Delta(\mathfrak{q})$ .
- (c)  $[G, H(\mathfrak{q})]^2 \leq \Delta(\mathfrak{q})$ .

**Proof.** We note that  $[G, H(\mathfrak{q})] \leq \Gamma(\mathfrak{q})$ . Let  $g \in C$  and  $k \in G(\mathfrak{q})$ . Then, with the above notation,

$$\phi_4([g, k]\Delta(\mathfrak{q})) = g_0 E_4(\mathfrak{q}),$$

where  $g_0 = [g \oplus I_2, k \oplus I_2] = [g, k] \oplus I_2$ . Now  $g_0 \in [GL_4(A), GL_4(\mathfrak{q})]$  and  $[GL_4(A), GL_4(\mathfrak{q})] = E_4(\mathfrak{q})$  by [2, Theorem 11.1(a)]. Part (a) follows from Theorem 1.1.

Now let  $x \in \Gamma$  and  $y \in H(\mathfrak{q})$ . Then

$$\phi_4([x, y]\Delta(\mathfrak{q})) = x_0 E_4(\mathfrak{q}),$$

where  $x_0 = [x, y] \oplus I_2 = [x \oplus I_2, y \oplus I_2]$ . Clearly  $x_0 \in [SL_4(A), H_4(\mathfrak{q})]$  and  $[SL_4(A), H_4(\mathfrak{q})] = E_4(\mathfrak{q})$  by [1, Theorem 4.1(b), p. 240] and [2, Corollary 4.3]. Part (b) follows from Theorem 1.1.

Finally, let  $g \in G$  and  $h \in H(\mathfrak{q})$ . Then by part (a)  $[g, h]^2 \equiv [g, h^2] \pmod{\Delta(\mathfrak{q})}$ . Now by definition  $h \equiv \alpha I_2 \pmod{\mathfrak{q}}$ , for some  $\alpha \in A$ , where  $\alpha^2 \equiv \det h \pmod{\mathfrak{q}}$ . Thus  $h^2 = h_1 g_1$ , where  $h_1 = u I_2$  and  $g_1 \in \Gamma$  with  $u = \det h$ . Hence  $[g, h^2] \equiv [g, g_1] \equiv 1 \pmod{\Delta(\mathfrak{q})}$ , by part (a). Part (c) follows.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.3.** Every standard subgroup of  $G$  is normalized by  $\Gamma$ .

**Proof.** Follows immediately from Theorem 1.2(b).  $\square$

As we shall see later the converse of Corollary 1.3 does not always hold. We now consider conditions under which the inequalities in Theorem 1.2(a),(b) become equalities.

**Definition.**  $A$  is said to have property (\*) if it is equal to its ideal generated by  $u^2 - 1$ , where  $u \in U(A)$ .

**Theorem 1.4.** Let  $A$  have property (\*).

- (i) If  $\mathfrak{p}$  is any prime ideal in  $A$  then  $N(\mathfrak{p}) > 3$ .
- (ii) If  $\mathfrak{q}$  is any ideal in  $A$  then

$$[\Gamma, H(\mathfrak{q})] = [G, G(\mathfrak{q})] = \Delta(\mathfrak{q}).$$

In particular ( $\mathfrak{q} = A$ ),

$$\Gamma' = G' = \Gamma.$$

**Proof.** For (i) if  $N(\mathfrak{p}) = 2$  or  $3$  then  $u^2 - 1 \in \mathfrak{p}$ , for all  $u \in U(A)$ .

For (ii) it is sufficient to prove that  $\Delta(\mathfrak{q}) \leq [\Gamma, \Delta(\mathfrak{q})]$  by Theorem 1.2(a),(b). By (\*) there exist  $u_1, \dots, u_t \in U(A)$  and  $a_1, \dots, a_t \in A$  such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^t (u_i^2 - 1) a_i = 1.$$

Now let  $q \in \mathfrak{q}$ . Then

$$T(q) = \prod_{i=1}^t T(q(u_i^2 - 1)a_i) = \prod_{i=1}^t [D(u_i^{-1}, u_i), T(-qx_i)].$$

The result follows. □

Examples of  $A$  which have property (\*) are not hard to find.

**Theorem 1.5.** *Let  $m$  be the order of the group of roots of unity in  $A$ . If  $12|m$  or  $p|m$ , where  $p$  is a prime and  $p \neq 2, 3$ , then  $A$  has property (\*).*

**Proof.** Let  $\mathfrak{q}^*$  be the ideal generated by  $u^2 - 1$ , where  $u \in U(A)$  and let  $\theta$  be a primitive  $p$ th root of unity, where  $p$  is an odd prime dividing  $m$ . Then  $\theta \in A$  and  $p \in \mathfrak{q}^*$  since  $(p) = (\theta^2 - 1)^{p-1}$  by [12, p. 173].

Now let  $\psi = \theta^i$ , where  $1 \leq i \leq p-1$ . Then  $1 + \psi + \dots + \psi^{p-1} = 0$  and, since  $p$  is odd,  $u = 1 + \psi \in U(A)$ . Since  $(u^2 - 1) = (2 + \psi)$  it follows that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \{2 + \theta^i\} = 2p - 3 \in \mathfrak{q}^*.$$

Note that if  $4|m$  then  $i \in A$ , where  $i^2 = -1$ , and so  $2 \in \mathfrak{q}^*$ . The result follows. □

Examples of  $A$  which have property (\*) include  $A = \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{6}]$  and  $A = \mathbb{Z}[\theta]$ , where  $\theta$  is a primitive  $m$ th root of unity and  $m$  is divisible by a prime greater than 3. (See [12, p. 269].) When  $\theta$  is a primitive  $m$ th root of unity, where  $m = 2^\alpha$  or  $3^\beta$ , then  $\mathbb{Z}[\theta]$  has a prime  $\mathfrak{p}$  with  $N(\mathfrak{p}) = 2$  or 3 and so does not have property (\*) by Theorem 1.4(i). (See [12, p. 173].)

## 2. Standard subgroups

In this section we obtain a partial converse to Corollary 1.3. We require the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $L$  be a local ring with maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  and suppose that either  $\frac{1}{2} \in L$  or  $|L/\mathfrak{m}| > 2$ . Then the centre of  $PSL_2(L)$  is trivial.*

**Proof.** Let  $X \in SL_2(L)$  map into the centre of  $PSL_2(L)$ . Then there exist  $\lambda, \mu \in U(L)$ , with  $\lambda^2 = \mu^2 = 1$ , such that

$$XT(1) = \lambda T(1)X \tag{1}$$

and

$$XY = \mu YX, \tag{2}$$

where  $Y = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ . Let  $X = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$ .

Suppose first that  $\frac{1}{2} \in L$ . Then  $\lambda, \mu = \pm 1$ . If  $\lambda = -1$  then  $c = d = 0$ . But  $ad - bc = 1$ . Hence  $\lambda = 1$  and so  $c = 0$  and  $a = d$ . From (2) we deduce that  $b = 0$ .

Suppose now that  $2 \in \mathfrak{m}$  and that  $|L/\mathfrak{m}| > 2$ . From (1) we have  $c + d = \lambda d$  and so  $c(2d + c) = 0$ . It follows that  $c \in \mathfrak{m}$  and hence that  $a, d \in U(L)$ .

From (2) we deduce that  $a = \mu d$  and  $b = -\mu c$ . Hence  $ac + bd = 0$ . Now repeat the argument with  $D(u, u^{-1})XD(u^{-1}, u)$ , where  $u \in U(L)$ . We deduce that  $ac + bdu^4 = 0$  and hence that  $b(u^4 - 1) = 0$ . The hypothesis  $|L/\mathfrak{m}| > 2$  ensures the existence of  $u \in U(L)$  such that  $u^2 - 1 \in U(L)$ . For such a case  $u^4 - 1 \in U(L)$  and so  $b = 0$ . Hence  $c = 0$ . Now repeat the argument with  $T(-1)XT(1) = T(-1)D(a, d)T(1)$ . It follows that  $a = d$ .  $\square$

**Definition.** A rational prime  $p$  is *unramified* in  $A$  if  $p \notin U(A)$  and  $(p) = \prod_{i=1}^t \mathfrak{p}_i$ , where  $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_t$  are distinct prime ideals.

**Definition.** The *level* of a subgroup of  $G$  is the largest ideal  $\mathfrak{q}$  such that  $\Delta(\mathfrak{q}) \leq S$ .

The level is well-defined since  $\Delta(\mathfrak{q}_1) \cdot \Delta(\mathfrak{q}_2) = \Delta(\mathfrak{q}_1 + \mathfrak{q}_2)$ , for all  $\mathfrak{q}_1, \mathfrak{q}_2$ . Clearly the order of a subgroup divides its level and they coincide if and only if the subgroup is standard.

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $A$  have property (\*) and suppose that  $2 \in U(A)$  or  $2$  is unramified in  $A$ . Then the standard subgroups of  $G$  are precisely those normalized by  $\Gamma$ .*

**Proof.** By Corollary 1.3 it is sufficient to prove that if  $N$  is a subgroup of  $G$  normalized by  $\Gamma$  then  $N$  is standard. By [13, Proposition 2, p. 492] the level  $\mathfrak{q}$  of  $N$  is *non-zero*. It is sufficient to prove that  $N \leq H(\mathfrak{q})$ .

We prove first that  $M = [\Gamma, N] \cdot \Gamma(\mathfrak{q})$  is contained in  $\Theta(\mathfrak{q})$ , where  $\Theta(\mathfrak{q}) = \Gamma \cap H(\mathfrak{q})$ . Now  $\Delta(\mathfrak{q}) \leq M$  and if  $\Delta(\mathfrak{q}') \leq N$  then by Theorem 1.4(ii)

$$\Delta(\mathfrak{q}') = [\Gamma, \Delta(\mathfrak{q}')] \leq [\Gamma, N][\Gamma, \Gamma(\mathfrak{q})] \leq N \cdot \Delta(\mathfrak{q}) = N.$$

It follows that  $M$  has level  $\mathfrak{q}$ . Now let  $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{q}_0 \mathfrak{q}_1$ , where  $\mathfrak{q}_0 = \mathfrak{p}^\alpha$ , with  $\mathfrak{p}$  prime, and  $\mathfrak{q}_1$  is prime to  $\mathfrak{p}$ .

Consider the subgroup  $M_0 = [M \cap \Gamma(\mathfrak{q}_1)] \cdot \Gamma(\mathfrak{q}_0)$ . Clearly  $\Gamma(\mathfrak{q}_0) \leq M_0$ . If  $\Delta(\mathfrak{q}') \leq M_0$ , where  $\mathfrak{q}'$  divides  $\mathfrak{q}_0$ , then  $\Gamma(\mathfrak{q}') = \Delta(\mathfrak{q}') \cdot \Gamma(\mathfrak{q}_0)$  is also contained in  $M_0$ . (See [1, Corollary 9.3, p. 267].) Hence

$$\Gamma(\mathfrak{q}'\mathfrak{q}_1) = \Gamma(\mathfrak{q}') \cap \Gamma(\mathfrak{q}_1) \leq M_0 \cap \Gamma(\mathfrak{q}_1) = [M \cap \Gamma(\mathfrak{q}_1)] \cdot \Gamma(\mathfrak{q}) \leq M.$$

It follows that  $\mathfrak{q}_0 \mathfrak{q}_1$  divides  $\mathfrak{q}' \mathfrak{q}_1$  and hence that  $\mathfrak{q}' = \mathfrak{q}_0$ . We conclude that  $M_0$  has level  $\mathfrak{q}_0$ .

Let  $L = A/\mathfrak{q}_0$ . Then  $L$  is a local ring and so  $\Gamma/\Gamma(\mathfrak{q}_0)$  is naturally isomorphic to  $SL_2(L)$  and  $M_0/\Gamma(\mathfrak{q}_0)$  is mapped onto a normal subgroup  $\bar{M}$ , say, of  $SL_2(L)$ . (See [1, Corollary 9.3, p. 267].) Let  $\mathfrak{m}$  be the maximal ideal of  $L$ . Since  $A$  has property (\*) and  $2$  is unramified it is clear by Theorem 1.4(i) that either  $(\mathfrak{m} = (2))$  and  $|A/\mathfrak{m}| > 2$  or  $(\frac{1}{2} \in L)$  and  $|A/\mathfrak{m}| > 3$ . Suppose that  $\bar{M}$  is a non-central subgroup of  $SL_2(L)$ . Then by [3, Satz 3] together with the proof of [9, Corollary 2.2] it follows that  $\bar{M}$  contains  $\text{Ker}(SL_2(L) \rightarrow SL_2(L/\mathfrak{r}))$ , for some non-zero ideal  $\mathfrak{r}$  in  $L$ . It follows that  $M_0$  contains  $\Delta(\mathfrak{q}_2)$  for some  $\mathfrak{q}_2$  dividing  $\mathfrak{q}_0$ , where  $\mathfrak{q}_2 \neq \mathfrak{q}_0$ . This contradicts the maximality of  $\mathfrak{q}_0$ . Hence  $\bar{M}$  is central and so  $M \cap \Gamma(\mathfrak{q}_1) \leq \Theta(\mathfrak{q}_0)$ .

Again by [1, Corollary 9.3, p. 267] we have  $\Gamma = \Gamma(\mathfrak{q}_0) \cdot \Gamma(\mathfrak{q}_1)$  and so  $M \cdot \Theta(\mathfrak{q}_0)/\Theta(\mathfrak{q}_0)$  is a central subgroup of  $\Gamma/\Theta(\mathfrak{q}_0) \cong PSL_2(L)$ . We now apply Lemma 2.1 and conclude that  $M \leq \Theta(\mathfrak{q}_0)$ . By [10, Theorem 2.2(a)] it follows that

$$M \leq \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p}^a \parallel \mathfrak{q}} \Theta(\mathfrak{p}^a) = \Theta(\mathfrak{q}).$$

Let  $\bar{\Gamma}$  and  $\bar{N}$  be the images of  $\Gamma$  and  $N$ , respectively, in  $GL_2(A/\mathfrak{q})$ . By the above  $[\bar{\Gamma}, \bar{N}]$  is central and  $\bar{\Gamma} = SL_2(A/\mathfrak{q})$ , since  $A/\mathfrak{q}$  is semi-local. By Theorem 1.4(ii) we have  $\bar{\Gamma} = [\bar{\Gamma}, \bar{\Gamma}]$  and so we may apply [1, Lemma 5.1, p. 245]. We conclude that  $[\bar{\Gamma}, \bar{N}] = 1$ . Since  $\bar{N}$  is centralized by the elementary matrices it is central. Hence  $N \leq H(\mathfrak{q})$ .  $\square$

Theorem 2.2 applies for example to  $A = \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]$  or  $\mathbb{Z}[\theta]$ , where  $\theta$  is a root of unity of order  $p^\alpha$ , with  $p$  a prime greater than 3. (See [12, p. 174].)

**Example 2.3.** Our first example shows that Theorem 2.2 does not hold when  $2 \notin U(A)$  and 2 is not unramified. Suppose that 2 is divisible by  $\mathfrak{p}^2$ , for some prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  in  $A$ .

Now  $2\mathfrak{p}^2 \leq \mathfrak{p}^4$  and so  $\Gamma(\mathfrak{p}^2)/\Gamma(\mathfrak{p}^4)$  is an elementary 2-abelian group in which each element is uniquely representable by a matrix of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1+a & b \\ c & 1+a \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $a, b, c \in \mathfrak{p}^2/\mathfrak{p}^4$ . (See [10, Theorem 4.1].)

Let  $\Lambda = \{k^2 + \mathfrak{p}^4 : k \in \mathfrak{p}\}$  and define a subgroup  $N(\Lambda)$  of  $\Gamma(\mathfrak{p}^2)$ , containing  $\Gamma(\mathfrak{p}^4)$ , by

$$N(\Lambda)/\Gamma(\mathfrak{p}^4) \cong \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1+a & b \\ c & 1+a \end{bmatrix} : a \in \mathfrak{p}^2/\mathfrak{p}^4, b, c \in \Lambda \right\}.$$

Then  $N(\Lambda)$  is a well-defined, normal subgroup of  $\Gamma$ . (See [9, Theorem 2.4].) Moreover the order of  $N(\Lambda)$  is  $\mathfrak{p}^2$  since  $\mathfrak{p}^2$  is principal (mod  $\mathfrak{p}^4$ ). ( $A$  is a Dedekind ring.)

Suppose that  $\Delta(\mathfrak{p}^3) \leq N(\Lambda)$  and let  $h$  be a generator of  $\mathfrak{p}^3 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^4}$ . Then there exists  $k \in \mathfrak{p}$  such that

$$k^2 \equiv h \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^4}.$$

Hence the level of  $N(\Lambda)$  is  $\mathfrak{p}^4$  and so  $N(\Lambda)$  is not standard.

**Example 2.4.** Our next example shows that Theorem 2.2 does not hold if  $A$  has not property (\*) even if 2 is unramified. Suppose that  $A$  has a prime  $\mathfrak{p}$  with  $N(\mathfrak{p}) = 3$ . (Consider for example  $A = \mathbb{Z}[\theta]$ , where  $\theta$  has order  $3^\alpha$  with  $\alpha > 1$ , [12, p. 174].)

By [1, Corollary 9.3, p. 267] we have  $\Gamma/\Gamma(\mathfrak{p}) \cong SL_2(F_3)$ , where  $F_3 = A/\mathfrak{p}$  is the field of order 3. From the well-known structure of  $SL_2(F_3)$  it is clear that, if  $\Gamma_0 = \Gamma(\mathfrak{p}) \cdot \Gamma'$ , then  $\Gamma/\Gamma_0$  is cyclic of order 3, "generated" by  $T(1)$ . Now let  $u \in U(A)$  be of infinite order with

$u \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$  and let

$$N = \langle D(u, 1)T(x), \Gamma_0 \rangle,$$

where  $x = 0, \pm 1$ . It is easily verified that  $N$  is normalized by  $\Gamma$ .

Now  $\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})'$  and so  $\Gamma'$  and hence  $N$  has order  $A$ . (See for example [13, Lemme 13, p. 522].) It can also be shown that  $M \cap \Gamma = \Gamma_0$  from which it follows that  $N$  has level  $\mathfrak{p}$ . We conclude that  $N$  is not standard.

Anticipating the next section we note that  $N$  is not normal in  $G$  when  $x = \pm 1$ . This follows from the fact that  $[D(-1, 1), D(u, 1)T(x)] = T(2x)$ .

### 3. Almost-normal subgroups

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $A$  have a property (\*) and suppose that  $2 \in U(A)$  or  $2$  is unramified in  $A$ . If  $A$  is not the ring of integers of a totally imaginary number field then  $G$  has no almost-normal subgroups.*

**Proof.** Let  $N$  be a subgroup of  $G$  of order  $\mathfrak{q}$  which is normalized by  $\Gamma$ . Then  $N$  is standard by Theorem 2.2 and so  $[G, N] \leq \Gamma(\mathfrak{q})$ . But  $\Gamma(\mathfrak{q}) = \Delta(\mathfrak{q})$  (see §1) and so  $[G, N] \leq N$ .  $\square$

Theorem 3.1 applies for example to  $A = \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{6}]$  or  $\mathbb{Z}[\rho]$ , where  $\rho^2 - \rho - 1 = 0$ . (By [12, p. 77] the ring of integers of the number field  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$  is  $\mathbb{Z}[\rho]$ . Clearly  $\mathbb{Z}[\rho]$  has property (\*) and  $2$  is unramified in  $\mathbb{Z}[\rho]$  by [12, p. 171].)

Example 2.4 (for the cases  $x = \pm 1$ ) is an almost-normal subgroup of  $G$  which is not standard. We now consider the existence of almost-normal subgroups which are standard.

**Theorem 3.2.** *Almost-normal, standard subgroups of order  $\mathfrak{q}$  exist if and only if  $[G, H(\mathfrak{q})] \not\leq \Delta(\mathfrak{q})$ .*

**Proof.** The proof is an adaptation of that of [7, Lemma 2]. The condition is clearly necessary. Suppose then that  $[G, H(\mathfrak{q})] \not\leq \Delta(\mathfrak{q})$  and choose  $x \in H(\mathfrak{q})$  such that  $[G, x] \not\leq \Delta(\mathfrak{q})$ . If  $\det x$  is a root of unity replace  $x$  with  $xx_0$ , where  $x_0 = uI_2$  and  $u$  is a unit of infinite order in  $A$ . We may assume therefore that  $\det x$  has infinite order.

Let  $S = \langle x, \Delta(\mathfrak{q}) \rangle$ . Then  $S$  is a standard subgroup and is therefore normalized by  $\Gamma$  by Corollary 1.3. Now  $[G, S] \leq \Gamma(\mathfrak{q})$  and so by Theorem 1.2(a) we have

$$[g_1 g_2, s] \equiv [g_1, s][g_2, s] \pmod{\Delta(\mathfrak{q})}$$

and

$$[g, s_1 s_2] \equiv [g, s_1][g, s_2] \pmod{\Delta(\mathfrak{q})},$$

for all  $g, g_1, g_2 \in G$  and  $s, s_1, s_2 \in S$ .

Suppose now that  $S \triangleleft G$ . Then  $[G, S] \leq S$  and so there exists  $g_0 \in G$  and an integer  $m \neq 0$  such that

$$[g_0, x] \equiv x^m \pmod{\Delta(\mathfrak{q})}.$$

But  $[G, [G, S]] \leq \Delta(\mathfrak{q})$  by Theorem 1.2(a) and so

$$1 \equiv [g_0, x^m] \equiv [g_0, x]^m \equiv x^{m^2} \pmod{\Delta(\mathfrak{q})}.$$

We conclude that  $S$  is not normal in  $G$ . □

**Corollary 3.3.** *If  $|\Gamma(\mathfrak{q}) : \Delta(\mathfrak{q})|$  is odd then  $G$  has no almost-normal subgroups of order  $\mathfrak{q}$  which are standard.*

**Proof.** We recall from §1 that  $\Gamma(\mathfrak{q})/\Delta(\mathfrak{q})$  is a finite cyclic group. Let  $M(\mathfrak{q}) = [G, H(\mathfrak{q})] \cdot \Delta(\mathfrak{q})$ . Clearly  $M(\mathfrak{q}) \leq \Gamma(\mathfrak{q})$ . If  $G$  has a standard, almost-normal subgroup of order  $\mathfrak{q}$  then  $M(\mathfrak{q}) \neq \Delta(\mathfrak{q})$  by Theorem 3.2. Hence  $M(\mathfrak{q})/\Delta(\mathfrak{q})$  is cyclic of order 2 by Theorem 1.2(c). □

From the above it is clear that if  $N$  is an almost-normal subgroup of order  $\mathfrak{q}$  which is standard then  $|\Gamma(\mathfrak{q}) \cap N : \Delta(\mathfrak{q})|$  must be even.

**Example 3.4.** For our last example let  $A = \mathbb{Z}[\theta]$ , where  $\theta$  is a unit of order  $p^2$ , with  $p$  an odd prime. (We must have  $\alpha > 1$  when  $p = 3$  to ensure that  $\mathbb{Z}[\theta]$  has infinitely many units.) We obtain an almost-normal, standard subgroup of  $G$  of order  $\mathfrak{q} = (4)$ . In this case  $|\Gamma(\mathfrak{q}) : \Delta(\mathfrak{q})| = 2$  by the formula on p. 166 of [4].

For each  $a \in A$  let  $N(a)$  be the norm of  $a$  in  $A$  (usual definition [12, p. 184]). Then  $N(a)$  is a non-negative integer, for all  $a \in A$ . Let  $\phi$  be a primitive  $p$ -th root of unity. We use the Dirichlet theorem on primes in an arithmetic progression [2, (A.10), p. 83] to choose a prime element  $\alpha \in A$  such that  $\alpha \equiv 1 + 2\phi \pmod{\mathfrak{q}}$ . Then  $N(\alpha) \equiv N(1 + 2\phi) \pmod{4}$  and by [12, p. 185] we have  $N(1 + 2\phi) = b^m$ , where  $m = p^{\alpha-1}$  and

$$b = \prod_{j=1}^{p-1} \{1 + 2\phi^j\}.$$

(In fact  $b$  is the norm of  $1 + 2\phi$  in  $\mathbb{Q}(\phi)$ .) It follows that  $N(\alpha) \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$ .

Now  $\alpha^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{q}}$  and so we can choose  $X \in \Theta(\mathfrak{q}) = \Gamma \cap H(\mathfrak{q})$  such that  $X \equiv (1 + 2\phi)I_2 \pmod{\mathfrak{q}}$ . (See [10, p. 332].) We consider the element  $g = [D(-1, 1), X]$  of  $[G, H(\mathfrak{q})]$ . Clearly  $g \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{q})$  and under the natural isomorphism from  $\Gamma(\mathfrak{q})/\Delta(\mathfrak{q})$  to  $SL_3(\mathfrak{q})/E_3(\mathfrak{q})$  the element  $g\Delta(\mathfrak{q})$  is mapped to  $\bar{g}E_3(\mathfrak{q})$ , where  $\bar{g} = g \oplus 1$ . (See Theorem 1.1(ii).) By [5, Theorem 4.6] and the formula following it  $\bar{g} \notin E_3(\mathfrak{q})$ . Hence  $g \notin \Delta(\mathfrak{q})$  and so  $[G, H(\mathfrak{q})] \not\leq \Delta(\mathfrak{q})$ . By Theorem 3.2 therefore almost-normal, standard subgroups of order  $\mathfrak{q}$  exist.

By the proof of Theorem 3.2 it follows that  $\langle uX, \Delta(\mathfrak{q}) \rangle$  is such a subgroup, where  $u$  is any unit of infinite order in  $A$ . (We could take  $u = 1 + \theta$ , for example.)



## REFERENCES

1. H. BASS, *Algebraic K-theory* (Benjamin, New York, Amsterdam, 1968).
2. H. BASS, J. MILNOR and J.-P. SERRE, Solution of the congruence subgroup problem for  $SL_n(n \geq 3)$  and  $Sp_{2n}(n \geq 2)$ , *Publ. Math. I.H.E.S.* **33** (1967), 59–137.
3. W. KLINGENBERG, Lineare Gruppen über lokalen Ringen, *Amer. J. Math.* **83** (1961), 137–153.
4. B. LIEHL, On the group  $SL_2$  over orders of arithmetic type, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **323** (1981), 153–171.
5. A. W. MASON, On subgroups of  $GL(n, A)$  which are generated by commutators II, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **322** (1981), 118–135.
6. A. W. MASON, Anomalous normal subgroups of the modular group, *Comm. Algebra* **11** (1983), 2555–2573.
7. A. W. MASON, On non-normal subgroups of  $GL_n(A)$  which are normalized by elementary matrices, *Illinois J. Math.* **28** (1984), 125–138.
8. A. W. MASON, Anomalous normal subgroups of  $SL_2(K[x])$ , *Quart. J. Math. (Oxford) Ser. (2)*, **36** (1985), 345–358.
9. A. W. MASON, On  $GL_2$  of a local ring in which 2 is not a unit, *Canad. Math. Bull.*, to appear.
10. A. W. MASON and W. W. STOTHERS, On subgroups of  $GL(n, A)$  which are generated by commutators, *Invent. Math.* **23** (1974), 327–346.
11. M. NEWMAN, A complete description of the normal subgroups of genus one of the modular group, *Amer. J. Math.* **86** (1964), 17–24.
12. P. RIBENBOIM, *Algebraic Numbers* (Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1972).
13. J.-P. SERRE, Le problème des groupes de congruence pour  $SL_2$ , *Ann. of Math.* **92** (1970), 489–527.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
UNIVERSITY OF GLASGOW  
GLASGOW G12 8QW