J. Inst. Math. Jussieu (2024), **23**(5), 2175–2215 doi:10.1017/S1474748023000506

THE CERESA CLASS: TROPICAL, TOPOLOGICAL AND ALGEBRAIC

DANIEL CORE[Y](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5783-2201)^¹, JORDAN ELLENBER[G](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6307-8911)^² AND WANL[I](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2587-1107)N LI^³

 1 Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Nevada, Las Vegas, Las Vegas, NV, USA [\(daniel.corey@unlv.edu\)](mailto:daniel.corey@unlv.edu)

 2 Department of Mathematics, University of Wisconsin–Madison, Madison, WI, USA [\(ellenber@math.wisc.edu\)](mailto:ellenber@math.wisc.edu)

³Department of Mathematics, Washington University in St. Louis, St. Louis, MO, USA [\(wanlin@wustl.edu\)](mailto:wanlin@wustl.edu)

[\(](#page-0-0)Received 23 December 2020; revised 2 November 2023; accepted 7 November 2023; first published online 21 December 2023)

Abstract The Ceresa cycle is an algebraic cycle attached to a smooth algebraic curve with a marked point, which is trivial when the curve is hyperelliptic with a marked Weierstrass point. The image of the Ceresa cycle under a certain cycle class map provides a class in étale cohomology called the Ceresa class. Describing the Ceresa class explicitly for nonhyperelliptic curves is in general not easy. We present a 'combinatorialization' of this problem, explaining how to define a Ceresa class for a tropical algebraic curve and also for a topological surface endowed with a multiset of commuting Dehn twists (where it is related to the Morita cocycle on the mapping class group). We explain how these are related to the Ceresa class of a smooth algebraic curve over $\mathbb{C}((t))$ and show that the Ceresa class in each of these settings is torsion.

1. Introduction

When X is a smooth algebraic curve with a marked point over a field, there is a canonical algebraic 1-cycle on the Jacobian of X called the Ceresa cycle. The Ceresa cycle is homologically trivial, but, as Ceresa showed in [\[15\]](#page-39-0), it is not algebraically equivalent to zero for a very general curve of genus greater than 2. In some sense, it is the simplest nontrivial canonical algebraic cycle 'beyond homology' and as such it has found itself

Key words and phrases: algebraic cycles; hyperelliptic curves; mapping class group; monodromy; tropical curves

2020 Mathematics subject classification: Primary 14T25 Secondary 14H30; 15C50; 57K20

© The Author(s), 2023. Published by Cambridge University Press.

relevant to many natural problems in the geometry of curves and their Jacobians [\[22,](#page-39-1) [40,](#page-40-0) [42\]](#page-40-1).

In recent years, many useful notions in algebraic geometry, and especially in the geometry of algebraic curves, have been seen to carry over to the tropical context, where they become interesting combinatorial notions. The motivation for the present paper is to understand whether the theory of the Ceresa cycle (or, more precisely, a cohomology class associated to that cycle) can be given a meaningful interpretation in the tropical setting. In particular, since a tropical curve is just a graph with positive real lengths assigned to the edges and integer weights assigned to the vertices, the Ceresa cycle would be a combinatorial invariant of such a graph. We define such an invariant in the present paper and begin to investigate its properties. We show, for example, that the Ceresa class of any hyperelliptic graph is zero (in conformity with the classical case) but that the Ceresa class of the complete graph on four vertices with all edges of length 1 is a nonzero class of order 16; see Proposition [4.7](#page-17-0) and Remark [7.5,](#page-35-0) respectively. Moreover, we show in Example [7.2](#page-33-0) that the Ceresa class is nonzero for every tropical curve whose underlying graph is the complete graph on four vertices.

Our approach is to model a tropical curve with integral edge lengths as the tropicalization of a curve that degenerates to a stable curve. We start by considering an algebraic family of smooth complex curves of genus q over a punctured disc $D\$ ^{*}, which degenerates to a stable curve over the central fiber ∗. There are several lenses through which one can view such a degeneration.

- Topology: The family of complex genus-g curves over $D\backslash\ast$, considered as a manifold, is homotopic to a family of genus-q surfaces fibered over the circle, which we can think of as obtained by taking $\Sigma_q \times [0,1]$ and identifying $\Sigma_q \times 0$ with $\Sigma_q \times 1$ via a diffeomorphism of Σ_q defined up to homotopy, that is, an element of the mapping class group. The stable reduction implies that this mapping class is a multitwist; that is, product of integral powers of commuting Dehn twists. Which twists they are can be read off the dual graph of the stable fiber at ∗, and which powers of each twist appear are determined by the multiplicity of the nodes in the degeneration.
- *Tropical geometry*: It is well known that a stable degeneration gives rise to a *tropical* curve, which is to say a vertex weighted metric graph; in this case, it will be the dual graph of the stable fiber, with edge lengths determined by the multiplicity with which the family of curves strikes various boundary components of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_q$.
- Algebraic geometry over a local field: We can also restrict the holomorphic family to an infinitesimal neighborhood of \ast , yielding an algebraic curve over $\mathbb{C}((t))$ with stable reduction.

In each case, there is a certain combinatorial datum which describes the degeneration: in the first case, the mapping class; in the second case, the tropical curve itself and in the third case the action of the (procyclic) absolute Galois group of $\mathbb{C}((t))$ on the étale fundamental group of $X_{\overline{\mathbb{C}((t))}}$ (or, as we shall see, just on the quotient of that fundamental group by the third term of its lower central series.) These three data agree in a sense made precise in §§[3,](#page-7-0) [4.](#page-11-0)

The only one of these contexts in which there is a literal Ceresa class is the third one. But we shall see that we can in fact define the Ceresa cycle directly from the combinatorial datum. Thus, we may now speak of the Ceresa class of a multitwist in the mapping class group, or the Ceresa class of a unipotent automorphism of the geometric etale fundamental group of a curve over $\mathbb{C}(\mathfrak{t})$, or the Ceresa class of a tropical curve with integral edge lengths. (In this last case, our definition should be compared with that proposed by Zharkov in [\[43\]](#page-40-2); see Remark [7.3](#page-34-0) for some speculations about this.) We explain in §[4.3](#page-13-0) how to extend the definition to nonintegral edge lengths.

The topological definition rests fundamentally on the Morita cocycle on the mapping class group [\[31\]](#page-40-3) (an extension of the Johnson homomorphism). For the algebraic story, we use in a crucial way the work of Hain and Matsumoto [\[22\]](#page-39-1) relating the Ceresa class in ℓ tale cohomology (over any field K) to the Galois action on the 2-nilpotent fundamental group. Indeed, we could just as well have described this paper as being about the 'Morita class' rather than the 'Ceresa class' – it is the ℓ -adic Harris–Pulte theorem of Hain and Matsumoto [\[22,](#page-39-1) §8] that relates the Morita class in group cohomology with the image of the Ceresa cycle under the cycle class map.

In fact, most of the proofs and theorems in the paper are carried out not in an algebrogeometric context but in the setting of the mapping class group, which seems to be the easiest to work with in practice. In our context, the Ceresa class of a multitwist can be described quite simply. Recall that an element of the mapping class group Γ_q is hyperelliptic if it commutes with some hyperelliptic involution $\tau \in \Gamma_q$. The Ceresa class of a multitwist $\gamma \in \Gamma_q$ is an obstruction to the existence of an element $\tilde{\tau}$ of Γ_q which acts as $-I$ on homology (a hyperelliptic involution being an example of such a $\tilde{\tau}$) such that the commutator $[\tilde{\tau}, \gamma]$ lies in the Johnson kernel. In shorter terms, one might say a multitwist has Ceresa class zero if it is 'hyperelliptic up to the Johnson kernel'.

Our main theorem, Theorem [6.8,](#page-29-0) is that the Ceresa class we define is torsion for any multitwist (and thus for any tropical curve with integral edge lengths). The Ceresa cycle of a very general complex algebraic curve is known to be nontorsion modulo algebraic equivalence $[39,$ Theorem 3.2.1. So in some sense, our theorem shows that the $\acute{e}t$ ale Ceresa class defined here is throwing away a lot of information about the algebraic cycle; this is not surprising, since as we shall see it is determined by purely numerical data about the degeneration of a curve in a one-dimensional family. On the other hand, the Ceresa class is readily computable and implies nontriviality of the Ceresa cycle if it is nonzero. One might make the following analogy; if K is a discrete valuation ring and P is a point on an elliptic curve E/K with bad reduction, then knowledge of the Ceresa cycle is akin to identifying P, while knowledge of the Ceresa class is more like knowing which component of the Néron fiber P reduces to.

The fact that our Ceresa class is readily computable is significant because there are few examples of specific curves where the Ceresa cycle or étale Ceresa class is known to be trivial or nontrivial. One such example is the Fermat quartic curve, whose Ceresa

cycle was found to be not algebraically equivalent to 0 in [\[25\]](#page-40-5) using the construction of harmonic volume in $[24]$. The étale Ceresa class was computed and determined to be nontrivial (in fact, nontorsion) for some examples in [\[39,](#page-40-4) §3.4]. The result [\[10,](#page-39-2) Theorem 1.1] exhibits an explicit curve over a number field whose étale Ceresa class is torsion but does not determine its exact order or prove it to be nontrivial. Related nonhyperelliptic curves with torsion Ceresa class are studied in [\[6,](#page-39-3) [7,](#page-39-4) [29\]](#page-40-7). By recent results of Qiu and Zhang in [\[38,](#page-40-8) §4.2], there are positive dimensional families of nonhyperelliptic curves (with genera 4 and 5) with torsion Ceresa cycle modulo algebraic equivalence, which resolves a problem posed in [\[39,](#page-40-4) p.28].

That our analysis of the Ceresa class relies fundamentally on topological techniques lies in stark contrast to the way Ceresa cycles of families of curves are often studied, that is, using Hodge-theoretic techniques like variations of Hodge structures and normal functions as in [\[21,](#page-39-5) [23\]](#page-40-9). More precisely, given a family of curves $\pi: X \to S$ (X and S are smooth and projective, and the discriminant locus of π is a normal crossings divisor), the rational function that sends $s \in S$ to the image of the Ceresa cycle of X_s under the Abel–Jacobi map defines an admissible normal function. Green and Griffiths in [\[20\]](#page-39-6) prove that the singularity of an admissible normal function of a one-parameter family is always torsion, whereas Brosnan and Pearlstein in [\[12\]](#page-39-7) prove that the singularity of the normal function associated to the Ceresa class can be nontorsion for families over a higher-dimensional base.

The paper is structured as follows. In $\S2$, we define the Ceresa class of a multitwist. In §3, we explain the relation between the topological definition and the étale Ceresa class in algebraic geometry, and in §4, we explain how the definition extends to a tropical curve with arbitrary real edge lengths. In §§5–6, we prove Theorem [6.8](#page-29-0) and describe a finite group in which the tropical Ceresa class naturally lies, a group which might be thought of as a sort of tropical intermediate Jacobian. Finally, in §7, we compute the Ceresa classes of several low-genus graphs. We close with a question. Are there nonhyperelliptic tropical curves with Ceresa class zero?

2. The topological Ceresa class

2.1. The mapping class group and the symplectic representation

In this subsection, we recall some basic facts about the mapping class group; see [\[19\]](#page-39-8) for a detailed treatment.

Throughout the paper, let Σ_g denote a closed genus g surface and Σ_g^1 denote a genus g surface with one puncture. Let Γ_g (resp. Γ_g^1) be the mapping class group of Σ_g (resp. Σ_g^1 , that is, the group of isotopy classes of orientation-preserving diffeomorphisms of the surface, and $\Pi_q = \pi_1(\Sigma_q)$. These groups fit into the Birman exact sequence:

$$
1 \to \Pi_g \to \Gamma_g^1 \to \Gamma_g \to 1. \tag{2.1}
$$

Given a simple closed curve a in Σ_g (resp. Σ_g^1), denote by T_a the left-handed Dehn twist of a. A separating twist is a Dehn twist T_a , where a is a separating curve, and a bounding pair map is $T_a T_b^{-1}$, where a and b are homologous nonseparating, nonintersecting, simple closed curves.

The singular homology group $H_1(\Sigma_g^1, \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_1(\Sigma_g, \mathbb{Z})$, which we denote by H, has a symplectic structure given by the the algebraic intersection pairing $\hat{i}: H \wedge H \to \mathbb{Z}$. The action of Γ_g (resp. Γ_g^1) on H respects this pairing. This yields the symplectic representation of Γ_g (resp. Γ_g^1), and we have the short exact sequence

$$
1 \to \mathcal{I}_g \text{ (resp. } \mathcal{I}_g^1) \to \Gamma_g \text{ (resp. } \Gamma_g^1) \to \text{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Z}) \to 1,
$$
 (2.2)

where \mathcal{I}_g (resp. \mathcal{I}_g^1) is called the *Torelli group*. By [\[9,](#page-39-9) [35\]](#page-40-10), the Torelli group is generated by separating twists and bounding pair maps.

The Johnson homomorphism was introduced by Johnson in [\[27\]](#page-40-11) to study the action of the Torelli group on the third nilpotent quotient of a surface group. We provide the following characterization. Recall that for any symplectic free \mathbb{Z} -module V with symplectic basis α_i, β_i ($i = 1, \ldots, g$), the form

$$
\omega_V = \left(\sum_{i=1}^g \alpha_i \wedge \beta_i\right) \tag{2.3}
$$

does not depend on the choice of symplectic basis. When $V = H$, we simply write ω for this form. Set $L = \wedge^3 H$, and view H as a subgroup of L via the embedding $h \mapsto \omega \wedge h$. The Johnson homomorphism for a once-punctured surface is a group homomorphism $J: \mathcal{I}_{g}^{1} \to L$; by the previous paragraph, it suffices to describe how J operates on separating twists and bounding pair maps. If T_a is a separating twist, then $J(T_a) = 0$. Suppose $T_a T_b^{-1}$ is a bounding pair map. The curves a and b separate Σ_g^1 into two subsurfaces; let S be the subsurface which does not contain the puncture. The inclusion $S \hookrightarrow \Sigma_g^1$ induces an injective map $H_1(S,\mathbb{Z}) \to H$ which respects the symplectic forms on these spaces. Denote the image of this map by W. Then ω restricts to ω_W on W, and

$$
J(T_a T_b^{-1}) = \omega_W \wedge [a]. \tag{2.4}
$$

The Johnson homomorphism for Σ_q is a homomorphism $J : \mathcal{I}_q \to L/H$ and operates on separating twists and bounding pair maps as above, except that S may be either of the two subsurfaces cut off by a and b.

2.2. Construction of the Ceresa class

In this section, we construct a class in $H^1(\Gamma_q, L/H)$ whose restriction to \mathcal{I}_q equals twice the Johnson homomorphism. By the work of Hain and Matsumoto [\[22\]](#page-39-1), this class with l-adic coefficients agrees with the universal Ceresa class over \mathcal{M}_g . We discuss this further in §[3.2.](#page-8-0)

Let $F_{2g} = \pi_1(\Sigma_g^1)$, which is the rank-2g free group, and

$$
F_{2g} = L^1 F_{2g} \supseteq L^2 F_{2g} \supseteq L^3 F_{2g} \supseteq \dots
$$

be the lower central series of F_{2g} , that is, $L^{k+1}F_{2g} = [F_{2g}, L^k F_{2g}]$. The k-th nilpotent quotient of F_{2g} is $N_k = F_{2g}/L^k \tilde{F}_{2g}$. Note that $N_2 \cong H$. Set $gr_L^k \tilde{F}_{2g} = L^k F_{2g}/L^{k+1} F_{2g}$, which is a central subgroup of N_{k+1} . We note that the N_k and the $gr_L^k F_{2g}$ are all characteristic quotients of F_{2q} and thus carry natural actions of Aut (F_{2q}) ; in particular,

they carry actions of the mapping class group Γ_g^1 . What's more, the action of $\text{Aut}(F_{2g})$ on $gr_L^k F_{2g}$ factors through the natural homomorphism $Aut(F_{2g}) \to GL(H)$.

By [\[31,](#page-40-3) Proposition 2.3], $Aut(N_3)$ fits into an exact sequence of groups

$$
1 \to \text{Hom}(H, \text{gr}^2_L F_{2g}) \xrightarrow{\phi} \text{Aut}(N_3) \xrightarrow{p} \text{GL}(H) \to 1. \tag{2.5}
$$

Here, for any $f \in \text{Hom}(H, \text{gr}_{L}^{2}F_{2g})$ the action of $\phi(f)$ on N_3 is to send $\gamma \in N_3$ to $\gamma f(|\gamma|)$, where $|\gamma|$ is the image of γ under the natural projection to H. Because $\text{Hom}(H, \text{gr}_{L}^{2} F_{2g})$ is abelian, we write the group operation additively. The group $\text{Aut}(N_3)$ acts on $\text{Hom}(H, \operatorname{gr}^2_L F_{2g})$ by conjugation.

Let τ be an element of Aut (N_3) such that $p(\tau) = -I$. Since $p(\tau)$ is central in GL(H), any commutator in $\text{Aut}(N_3)$ of the form $[x, \tau]$ lies in $\text{Hom}(H, \text{gr}_{L}^{2}F_{2g})$. Define

$$
\mu_{\tau} : \text{Aut}(N_3) \to \text{Hom}(H, \text{gr}^2_L F_{2g}) \qquad x \mapsto [x, \tau]. \tag{2.6}
$$

Proposition 2.1. The map μ_{τ} is a crossed homomorphism, and its cohomology class

$$
\mu \in H^1(\text{Aut}(N_3), \text{Hom}(H, \text{gr}_L^2 F_{2g}))
$$

is independent of the choice of τ .

Proof. That μ_{τ} is a crossed homomorphism follows from the fact that $[xy, \tau] = [y, \tau]^x [x, \tau]$, and hence

$$
\mu_{\tau}(xy) = [xy, \tau] = \mu_{\tau}(x) + x \cdot \mu_{\tau}(y).
$$

Now, suppose we had made a different choice τ' ; then $\tau' = t\tau$ for some $t \in \text{ker } p$. One checks, using the fact that kerp is abelian, that

$$
[x, t\tau] = t^x t^{-1} [x, \tau]
$$

which is to say that

$$
\mu_{\tau'}(x) = \mu_{\tau}(x) - t + x \cdot t
$$

so $\mu_{\tau'}$ is cohomologous to μ_{τ} , as claimed.

The preimage of kerp under the natural morphism $\Gamma_g^1 \to \text{Aut}(N_3)$ is the Torelli group \mathcal{I}_g^1 , and the restriction of this morphism to \mathcal{I}_g^1 is the Johnson homomorphism. By the work of Johnson [\[27\]](#page-40-11), the homomorphism J is not surjective onto $\text{Hom}(H, \text{gr}^2_L F_{2g})$; rather, its image is the natural GSp(H)-equivariant inclusion of $L = \wedge^3 H$ into

$$
\operatorname{Hom}(H, \operatorname{gr}^2_L F_{2g}) = \operatorname{Hom}(H, \wedge^2 H).
$$

We can thus inflate μ to Γ_g^1 to get a cohomology class $\mu \in H^1(\Gamma_g^1, L)$ represented by the cocycle

$$
\mu_{\tau}(\gamma) = J([\gamma, \tau]), \tag{2.7}
$$

where $\tau \in \Gamma_g^1$ acts on H as $-I$. We say that τ is a hyperelliptic quasi-involution; a hyperelliptic quasi-involution that is an honest involution is called a hyperelliptic *involution.* Following Proposition [2.1,](#page-5-0) the class μ is defined independent of the choice of τ .

 \Box

Proposition 2.2. The class μ is the unique element in $H^1(\Gamma_g^1, L)$ whose restriction to \mathcal{I}_g^1 is $2J \in H^1(\mathcal{I}_g^1,L)$.

Proof. Pick an element $\gamma \in \mathcal{I}_g^1$ and fix a hyperelliptic quasi-involution $\tau \in \Gamma_g^1$. Because τ acts as $-I$ on H, it also acts as $-I$ on $\wedge^3 H$. Therefore, we have

$$
J([\gamma, \tau]) = J(\gamma) + J(\tau \gamma^{-1} \tau^{-1}) = J(\gamma) + \tau \cdot J(\gamma^{-1}) = 2J(\gamma).
$$

The uniqueness of μ follows from [\[22,](#page-39-1) Proposition 5.5].

Let $\nu \in H^1(\Gamma_a, L/H)$ denote the image of μ under the composition

$$
H^1(\Gamma^1_g, L) \to H^1(\Gamma^1_g, L/H) \cong H^1(\Gamma_g, L/H).
$$

The map $H^1(\Gamma_g, L/H) \to H^1(\Gamma_g^1, L/H)$ is induced by restriction, and is an isomorphism by [\[22,](#page-39-1) Proposition 10.3]. Similar to the once-punctured case, the class ν is represented by the cocycle $\gamma \mapsto J([\gamma, \tau])$, where $\tau \in \Gamma_g$ is a hyperelliptic quasi-involution.

Definition 2.3. The Ceresa class of $\gamma \in \Gamma_g$ (resp. Γ_g^1), denoted by $\nu(\gamma)$ (resp. $\mu(\gamma)$), is the restriction of ν (resp μ) to the cyclic group generated by γ , viewed as a cohomology class in $H^1(\langle \gamma \rangle, L/H)$ (resp. $H^1(\langle \gamma \rangle, L)$).

Remark 2.4. The justification for this notation is the ℓ -adic Harris–Pulte theorem of Hain and Matsumoto [\[22,](#page-39-1) \S §8,10], which identifies the ℓ -adic analogue of the classes μ, ν defined above with the image of the Ceresa cycle under a cycle class map in étale cohomology. We discuss this aspect in detail in §[3.2.](#page-8-0)

The Ceresa class $\nu(\gamma)$ lies in $H^1(\langle \gamma \rangle, L/H) \cong L/((\gamma -1)L+H)$. It is certainly trivial for any γ which commutes with τ , which is to say it is trivial for any γ in the *hyperelliptic* mapping class group. But the converse is not true; for instance, if γ is in the kernel of the Johnson homomorphism then so is $[\gamma, \tau]$, so $\mu(\gamma) = J([\gamma, \tau]) = 0$, but such a γ certainly need not be hyperelliptic. More generally, any mapping class whose commutator with τ lands in the Johnson kernel has trivial Ceresa class.

When the action of γ on H has no eigenvalues that are roots of unity, the group $H^1(\langle \gamma \rangle, L/H)$ is finite and the Ceresa class is torsion. At the other extreme, if γ lies in the Torelli group, $H^1(\langle \gamma \rangle, L/H) = L/H$ and the Ceresa class is an element of this free Z-module of positive rank and can be of infinite order.

The case of primary interest in the present paper is that where γ is a product of commuting Dehn twists, or a *positive multitwist*. In this case, the action of γ on H is unipotent, and $H^1(\langle \gamma \rangle, L/H)$ is infinite; however, in this case, as we shall prove in §[6,](#page-25-0) the Ceresa class is still of finite order.

Theorem (Theorem 6.8) Let $\gamma \in \Gamma_q$ be a positive multitwist. Then the Ceresa class $\nu(\gamma)$ is torsion.

In fact, we will show how the order of the Ceresa class can be explicitly computed, though the computation is somewhat onerous. We will, along the way, prove the analogous statement for multitwists in the punctured mapping class group Γ_g^1 as well.

 \Box

Our method for proving Theorem [2.2](#page-4-0) will be to show that the Ceresa class lies in a canonical finite subgroup of $H^1(\langle \gamma \rangle, L/H)$, which we might think of as the tropical intermediate Jacobian; see Remark [6.11.](#page-32-0) A notion of tropical intermediate Jacobian was proposed by Mikhalkin and Zharkov in [\[30\]](#page-40-12); it would be interesting to know whether the two notions agree in the context considered here.

The next two sections will explain the relationship between the topological, tropical and local-algebraic pictures; the reader whose interest is solely in the mapping class group can skip ahead to §[5.](#page-17-1)

3. The -**-adic Ceresa class**

In the previous section, we defined the Ceresa class $\nu(\gamma)$ (resp. $\mu(\gamma)$) as a cohomological invariant of any element γ in the mapping class group Γ_g (resp. Γ_g^1). In this section, we discuss how the Ceresa class of multitwists arise in arithmetic geometry. We begin by recalling the monodromy action associated to a one-parameter family of genus g surfaces degenerating to a stable curve.

3.1. Monodromy

Our discussion on the monodromy of a degenerating family of stable curves mainly follows [\[2,](#page-39-10) §3.2] and [\[4,](#page-39-11) §1.1]. For details on the construction of a local universal family of a stable curve, see [\[41,](#page-40-13) §3]. Our goal is to recall the nonabelian Picard–Lefschetz formula [\[4,](#page-39-11) Theorem 2.2] which says that the monodromy action on the fundamental group of a smooth fiber is given by a multitwist.

Let X_0 be a stable complex curve of genus $g \geq 2$. Let $\mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{D}$ be the local universal family for X_0 as was constructed in [\[41,](#page-40-13) Theorem 3.1.5]. The base $\mathcal D$ is homeomorphic to D^{3g-3} , where D denotes a small open complex disc centered at 0. Let $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{D}$ be the discriminant locus of $\mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{D}^* = \mathcal{D} \setminus \mathcal{B}$; each fiber \mathcal{Y}_p for $p \in \mathcal{D}^*$ is diffeomeorphic to a closed surface Σ_g . Choose a point $p_0 \in \mathcal{D}^*$ sufficiently close to 0 at which all loops in \mathcal{D}^* will be based when we consider its fundamental group.

The combinatorial data of a stable curve are recorded in its *dual graph*, which is a connected vertex-weighted graph defined in the following way. Recall that a vertexweighted graph \bf{G} is a connected graph G , possibly with loops or multiple edges, together with a nonnegative integer w_v for each vertex v of G. The dual graph **G** of $X₀$ consists of

- a vertex v_C for each irreducible component C of $X₀$ whose weight is the arithmetic genus of C, and
- an edge e between v_C and $v_{C'}$ for each node n in the intersection of C and C'.

For each edge e_i of **G**, choose a small loop ℓ'_i in the smooth locus of X_0 that goes around n_i . Shrinking D if necessary, the inclusion $X_0 \hookrightarrow Y$ admits a retraction $Y \to X_0$ so that $\mathcal{Y} \to X_0 \to \mathcal{Y}$ is homotopic to the identity. This gives rise to a specialization map $r_p: \mathcal{Y}_p \to X_0$ for each fiber \mathcal{Y}_p over $p \in \mathcal{D}$. Then each $\ell_i = r_p^{-1}(\ell'_i)$ defines a closed curve in \mathcal{Y}_p .

The discriminant locus β is a normal crossings divisor [\[4,](#page-39-11) Proposition 1.1] (see also [\[28,](#page-40-14) Theorem 2.7]). Following [\[4,](#page-39-11) Proposition 1.1(3)], choose coordinates z_1, \ldots, z_{3q-3} on D so that B_i , the irreducible component of B consisting of those $p \in \mathcal{D}$ such that ℓ_i is contractible in \mathcal{Y}_p , has the form $B_i = \{z_i = 0\} \cap \mathcal{D}$. In particular, $\mathcal{Y}_0 = X_0$. Then \mathcal{D}^* is homeomorphic to $(D^*)^N \times (D)^{3g-3-N}$, where N is the number of edges of G and $D^* = D \setminus 0$. Thus, $\pi_1(\mathcal{D}^*)$ is isomorphic to $\bigoplus_{i=1}^N \mathbb{Z} \cdot \lambda_i$, where λ_i is a loop in \mathcal{D}^* based at p_0 that goes around B_i . The monodromy action on Π_q is given by a nonabelian Picard– Lefschetz formula [\[4,](#page-39-11) Theorem 2.2]:

$$
\rho_{\mathcal{Y}} : \pi_1(\mathcal{D}^*) \to \text{Out}(\Pi_g) \qquad \lambda_i \mapsto T_{\ell_i}^{-1}.\tag{3.1}
$$

Let $\mathfrak{Y} \to D$ be a one-parameter degeneration such that $\mathfrak{Y}_0 = X_0$ is the only singular fiber. Suppose that the local equation in $\mathfrak Y$ near the node n_i corresponding to the edge e_i of the dual graph is $xy = t^{c_i}$, where t is the parameter on D and $c_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, $i = 1, ..., N$. The following proposition is a variant of [\[32,](#page-40-15) Main Lemma].

Proposition 3.1. The restriction of the monodromy map $\rho_{\mathcal{V}}$ to $\pi_1(D^*) = \mathbb{Z} \cdot \gamma$ is given by

$$
\rho_{\mathfrak{Y}} : \pi_1(D^*) \to \mathrm{Out}(\Pi_g) \qquad \gamma \mapsto \prod_{i=1}^N T_{\ell_i}^{-c_i}.
$$

Proof. The map $\mathfrak{D} \to D$ is given by the pullback of $\mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{D}$ under a map $j : D \to \mathcal{D}$, and c_i is the multiplicity at which $j(D)$ intersects the divisor B_i . Explicitly, with t being the local coordinate on D , the map j is given by

$$
j(t) = (a_1 t^{c_1}, \dots, a_N t^{c_N}, 0, \dots, 0) +
$$
higher order terms,

where $a_i \in \mathbb{C}^*$. Composing with the orthogonal projection to $B_i^{\perp} = \{z_k = 0 \mid k \neq i\}$ yields the map $D \to B_i^{\perp}$ given by $t \mapsto t^{c_i}$, and therefore $\pi_1(D^*) \to \pi_1(B_i^{\perp} \setminus 0)$ is given by $\gamma \mapsto c_i \cdot \lambda_i$. The proposition now follows from Equation [\(3.1\)](#page-8-1). \Box

3.2. The ℓ -adic Ceresa class for algebraic curves over $\mathbb{C}(\ell(t))$

In this subsection, we recall the definition of the Ceresa cycle associated with an algebraic curve and its induced class in Galois cohomology, following [\[22\]](#page-39-1). Using comparison theorems, this class agrees with the topological Ceresa class with ℓ -adic coefficients, justifying the definition of the Ceresa class ν in §[2.](#page-3-0)

Let K be a field of characteristic 0, G_K its absolute Galois group and ℓ a fixed prime number. Let X be a smooth, complete, genus $g \geq 3$ curve over K. For the moment, suppose X has a K-rational point ξ , which yields an embedding $\Phi_{\xi}: X \to \text{Jac}(X)$. Define algebraic cycles in CH₁(Jac(X)) given by $X_{\xi} := (\Phi_{\xi})_*(X)$ and $X_{\xi}^- := (\iota)_*(X_{\xi})$, where ι is the inverse map on Jac(X). By [\[22,](#page-39-1) §4.3], the cycle $X_{\xi} - X_{\xi}$ is null-homologous. Thus, the image of $X_{\xi} - X_{\xi}$ under the ℓ -adic Abel–Jacobi map produces a Galois cohomology class

$$
\mu^{(\ell)}(X,\xi) \in H^1(G_K, H^{2g-3}_{\text{\'et}}((\text{Jac } X)_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(g-1))).
$$

Via Poincaré duality,

$$
H^{2g-3}_{\text{\'et}}((\text{Jac } X)_{\overline{K}},\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}(g-1)) \cong H^{3}_{\text{\'et}}((\text{Jac } X)_{\overline{K}},\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}(1))(-1) \cong (\wedge^{3} H^{1}_{\text{\'et}}(X_{\overline{K}},\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}(1)))(-1).
$$

Let $H_{\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}} = H^1_{\text{\'et}}(X_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}(1)), L_{\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}} = (\wedge^3 H_{\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}})(-1)$ and $\omega \in \wedge^2 H_{\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}}$ the polarization.

The map $h \mapsto \omega \wedge h$ yields an embedding $H_{\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}} \hookrightarrow L_{\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}}$. The ℓ -adic Ceresa class, denoted by $\nu^{(\ell)}(X)$, is the image of $\mu^{(\ell)}(X,\xi)$ under the map $H^1(G_K,L_{\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}}) \to H^1(G_K,L_{\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}}/H_{\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}})$, where we view $\mu^{(\ell)}(X,\xi)$ as an element of $H^1(G_K,L_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell})$. By [\[22,](#page-39-1) §10.4], the class $\nu^{(\ell)}(X)$ only depends on the curve X/K and can be defined when X has no K-rational point. Hain and Matsumoto construct a universal characteristic class

$$
\hat{n}^{(\ell)} \in H^1(\mathrm{Out}\,\Pi_g^{(\ell)}, L_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}/H_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell})
$$

which is the ℓ -adic analog of ν defined in §[2.](#page-3-0) The class ν with \mathbb{Z}_{ℓ} coefficient corresponds to $(\hat{\rho}_X^{(\ell)})^*(\hat{n}^{(\ell)})$ under the comparison map

$$
H^1(\Gamma_g, L/H)\otimes {\mathbb Z}_\ell \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1_{\text{\'et}}({\mathcal M}_g\otimes \overline{K}, L_{{\mathbb Z}_\ell}/H_{{\mathbb Z}_\ell}),
$$

where

$$
\hat{\rho}_X^{(\ell)} : \pi_1(\mathcal{M}_g, X_{\overline{K}}) \to \mathrm{Out} \pi_1^{(\ell)}(X_{\overline{K}})
$$

is the universal monodromy representation. Let $n^{(\ell)}(X) \in H^1(G_K, L_{\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}}/H_{\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}})$ denote the pullback of $\hat{n}^{(\ell)}$ under the natural action $G_K \to \text{Out} \pi_1^{(\ell)}(X_{\overline{K}})$. The ℓ -adic Harris–Pulte theorem [\[22,](#page-39-1) Theorem 10.5] asserts

$$
n^{(\ell)}(X) = \nu^{(\ell)}(X). \tag{3.2}
$$

We now show that the ℓ -adic Ceresa class of a curve over $\mathbb{C}((t))$ is torsion. We obtain this by showing that the ℓ -adic Ceresa class is, in a natural sense, the ℓ -adic completion of the Ceresa class of product of Dehn twists attached to the curve in the previous section. This fact justifies calling that topologically defined class 'the Ceresa class', and allows us to apply Theorem 6.8 to the ℓ -adic Ceresa class.

Theorem 3.2. Suppose $K = \mathbb{C}((t))$ and X is a smooth curve over K. The ℓ -adic Ceresa class $\nu^{(\ell)}(X)$ is torsion.

Proof. We begin by reducing to the semistable reduction case. By the semistable reduction theorem, there is a positive integer n such that the pullback X' of X by the map

$$
\phi: \operatorname{Spec} K \to \operatorname{Spec} K \qquad \phi^{\#}(t) = t^n
$$

has semistable reduction. The map ϕ induces an endomorphism of $H^1(G_K, L_{\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}}/H_{\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}})$ which is multiplication by n. This means that $\nu^{(\ell)}(X)$ is torsion if and only if $\nu^{(\ell)}(X')$ is torsion.

Therefore, we may assume that X has a semistable model \mathfrak{X} defined over \mathcal{O}_K with special fiber X_0 . The étale local equation in $\mathfrak X$ of each node of X_0 is $xy = t^{c_i}$ for some $c_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Let

The Ceresa class: tropical, topological and algebraic 2185

$$
S = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[[x_1, ..., x_{3g-3}]], \qquad S' = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[[x_1^{\pm}, ..., x_N^{\pm}, x_{N+1}, ..., x_{3g-3}]],
$$

where N is the number of nodes of X_0 . The map $\mathfrak{X} \to \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_K$ is the pullback of the (algebraic) local universal family $\mathcal{X} \to S$ by a morphism i : Spec $\mathcal{O}_K \to S$ of the form

$$
i^{\#}(x_k) = a_k t^{c_k}
$$
 + higher order terms,

where $a_k \in \mathbb{C}^*$. Define an analytic family $\mathfrak{Y} \to D$ by the pullback of $\mathfrak{Y} \to \mathcal{D}$ under the map

$$
j: D \to \mathcal{D} \qquad t \mapsto (a_1 t^{c_1}, \dots, a_N t^{c_N}, 0, \dots, 0).
$$

Consider the following diagram.

$$
\pi_1(D^*) \xrightarrow{j_*} \pi_1(D^*) \xrightarrow{\rho_{\mathcal{Y}}} \text{Out}(\Pi_g)
$$
\n
$$
\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow
$$
\n
$$
G_K \xrightarrow{i_*} \pi_1^{\text{alg}}(S') \xrightarrow{\rho_{\mathcal{X}}} \text{Out}(\Pi_g^{(\ell)}).
$$
\n(3.3)

The left and middle vertical arrows are profinite completions, the right arrow is the l-adic completion and $\rho_{\mathcal{X}}$ is the monodromy map associated to $\mathcal{X} \to S$. The left square commutes because $G_K \to \pi_1^{\text{alg}}(S')$ is the profinite completion of $\pi_1(D^*) \to \pi_1(D^*)$, and the composition of the bottom two arrows may be identified with the natural action $G_K \to \text{Out}(\Pi_g^{(\ell)})$. Commutativity of the right square follows from commutativity of the following diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccc}\n1 & \longrightarrow & \Pi_g & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(\mathcal{Y}^*) & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(\mathcal{D}^*) & \longrightarrow & 1 \\
& & & & & & \\
1 & \longrightarrow & \widehat{\Pi}_g & \longrightarrow & \pi_1^{\text{alg}}(\mathcal{X}^*) & \longrightarrow & \pi_1^{\text{alg}}(S') & \longrightarrow & 1,\n\end{array}
$$

where \mathcal{X}^* and \mathcal{Y}^* are the smooth loci of $\mathcal{X} \to S$ and $\mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{D}$, respectively. Here, the vertical arrows are profinite completions and the rows are exact. Let $\gamma \in \text{Out}(\Pi_q)$ denote the image of the counterclockwise generator of $\pi_1(D^*)$ in $Out(\Pi_g)$. Commutativity of the diagram in Equation [\(3.3\)](#page-10-0) yields the following commutative square

$$
H^1(\text{Out}(\Pi_g), L/H) \longrightarrow H^1(\langle \gamma \rangle, L/H)
$$

$$
\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow
$$

$$
H^1(\text{Out}(\widehat{\Pi}_g), L_{\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}}/H_{\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}}) \longrightarrow H^1(G_K, L_{\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}}/H_{\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}}).
$$

Because the left arrow takes ν to $\hat{n}^{(\ell)}$, the right arrow takes $\nu(\gamma)$ to $\nu^{(\ell)}(X)$. By Proposition [3.1,](#page-8-2) γ acts as the multitwist $\prod_i T_{\ell_i}^{-c_i}$ on Π_g . The theorem now follows from Theorem [6.8.](#page-29-0) П

Remark 3.3. We are indebted to Daniel Litt for the observation that it ought to be possible to prove directly, via arguments on weights [\[8\]](#page-39-12), that the Ceresa class of a curve over $\mathbb{C}((t))$ is trivial, and to derive the topological theorems in this paper from

this algebraic fact using the fact that every multitwist can be modeled by an algebraic degeneration. Our feeling is that modeling the paper this way could create the misleading impression that the topological statement was true for reasons involving hard theorems in algebraic geometry, while in fact, as we shall see, it is a topological fact with a topological proof.

4. The tropical Ceresa class

4.1. Tropical curves

A tropical curve Γ consists of a vertex weighted graph **G**, together with a positive realvalue c_e associated to each edge e, recording its length.^{[1](#page-11-1)} The genus of Γ is

$$
g(\Gamma) = |E(G)| - |V(G)| + 1 + |w|,
$$

where G is the underlying graph of Γ, and $|w|$ is the sum of the vertex weights. This is consistent with the interpretation of a weight on a vertex as an 'infinitesimal loop'. The *valence* of a vertex v, denoted by val (v) , is the number of half-edges adjacent to v; in particular, a loop edge contributes 2 to the valence.

Given an arrangement of simple, closed, nonintersecting curves $\Lambda = \{\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_N\}$ in Σ_g , its dual graph is the vertex weighted graph with:

- a vertex v_S for each connected component S of $\Sigma_g \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^N \ell_i$ whose weight is the genus of S, and
- an edge e_i between v_S and $v_{S'}$ for each loop ℓ_i between S and S'.

Any vertex-weighted graph **G** of genus g may be realized as the dual graph to an arrangement of pairwise nonintersecting curves on Σ_q in the following way. For each vertex v, let Σ_v be a genus- w_v surface with val(v) boundary components. For each edge e of **G** between the (not necessarily distinct) vertices u and v, glue a boundary component of Σ_u to a boundary component of Σ_v ; denote the glued locus in the resulting surface by ℓ_e . This process yields a genus-g surface, together with an arrangement of pairwise nonintersecting curves $\Lambda = \{ \ell_e \mid e \in E(\Gamma) \}$ whose dual graph is **G**. For an illustration, see Figure [4.1.](#page-12-0) If Γ is a tropical curve with integral edge lengths c_e , then we have a canonical multitwist

$$
T_{\Gamma} = \prod_{e \in E(\Gamma)} T_{\ell_e}^{c_e}
$$

and we let $\delta_{\Gamma} \in Sp(H)$ denote the action of T_{Γ} on H. At this point, one may define the Ceresa class of Γ to be $\nu(T_{\Gamma}) \in H^1(\langle T_{\Gamma} \rangle, L/H) = H^1(\langle \delta_{\Gamma} \rangle, L/H)$. However, when the edge lengths of Γ are not integral, we cannot define δ_{Γ} and $\nu(\Gamma)$ in terms of a multitwist. We will define the Ceresa class for a tropical curve with real edge lengths and explain what it means for it to be trivial in §[4.3.](#page-13-0)

¹This definition is consistent with [\[3,](#page-39-13) [11,](#page-39-14) [16\]](#page-39-15). One usually considers tropical curves up to *tropical* equivalence. Compatibility between the tropical Ceresa class and this equivalence relation is discussed in §[4.3.](#page-13-0)

Figure 4.1. Passing from a tropical curve to a multitwist.

4.2. The tropical Jacobian

Now, suppose Γ has genus $g \geq 2$ and fix an orientation on the underlying graph G. Its Jacobian is the real g-dimensional torus

$$
Jac(\Gamma) = (H_1(G, \mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathbb{R}^{|w|})/(H_1(G, \mathbb{Z}) \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{|w|})
$$

together with the semipositive quadratic form Q_{Γ} which vanishes on $\mathbb{R}^{|w|}$ and on $H_1(G,\mathbb{R})$ is equal to

$$
Q_{\Gamma}\left(\sum_{e \in E(G)} \alpha_e \cdot e\right) = \sum_{e \in E(G)} \alpha_e^2 \cdot c_e.
$$

The form Q_{Γ} is positive definite when all vertex weights are 0, and $\det(Q_{\Gamma})$ is the first *Symanzik polynomial* of of G [\[2,](#page-39-10) Proposition 2.9]. That is,

$$
\det(Q_{\Gamma}) = \sum_{T} c_{T}, \quad \text{where} \quad c_{T} = \prod_{e \notin E(T)} c_{e} \tag{4.1}
$$

and the sum is taken over all spanning trees T of G.

When Γ has integral edge lengths, δ_{Γ} and Q_{Γ} are related in the following way. First, embed G into Σ_q so that each vertex v maps to a point in Σ_v , and each edge e maps to a simple arc intersecting the loop ℓ_e exactly one time, and no other $\ell_{e'}$. This embedding, which we denote by $\iota : G \hookrightarrow \Sigma_g$, induces an injective map on integral homology groups. Then

$$
Q_{\Gamma}(\gamma) = \hat{i}([\iota(\gamma)], (\delta_{\Gamma} - I)[\iota(\gamma)]). \tag{4.2}
$$

Here is a more explicit description of the relationship between Q_{Γ} and δ_{Γ} . Enumerate the edge set $E(G) = \{e_1, \ldots, e_N\}$ so that $E(G) \setminus \{e_1, \ldots, e_k\}$ are the edges of a spanning tree T. The graph $T \cup \{e_i\}$ has a unique cycle; denote by γ_i the image of this cycle under *ι*. The cycles $[\gamma_1], \ldots, [\gamma_h]$ form a basis for an isotropic subspace of H. Orient γ_i and ℓ_{e_i} so that $\hat{i}([\gamma_i],[\ell_{e_i}])=1$, for $1 \leq i \leq h$. Setting $\alpha_i = [\gamma_i]$ and $\beta_i = [\ell_{e_i}]$ yields a symplectic basis of a symplectic subspace of H. This extends to a symplectic basis $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_g, \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_g$ on all of H, allowing us to identify Q_{Γ} with a symmetric $g \times g$ matrix. Then

$$
\delta_{\Gamma} = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ Q_{\Gamma} & I \end{pmatrix} . \tag{4.3}
$$

In particular, we may identify Q_{Γ} with the restriction $\delta_{\Gamma} - I : H/Y \to Y$, where Y is the Z-submodule of H spanned by the β_i for $i = 1, \ldots, h$.

4.3. The tropical Ceresa class

We saw in §[4.1](#page-11-2) how one may define the Ceresa class of a tropical curve with integral edge lengths in terms of a multitwist. When the edge lengths of Γ are not integral, then we do not have access to such a multitwist. Instead, we will define what it means for a tropical curve to be Ceresa trivial.

The kernel of the Johnson homomorphism, denoted by \mathcal{K}_g , is a normal subgroup of Γ_g , which allows us to form the quotient $\mathcal{G}_g = \Gamma_g/\mathcal{K}_g$. This follows from the fact that \mathcal{K}_g is the kernel of the map $\Gamma_g \to \text{Out}(N_3)$. Let **G** be a vertex-weighted graph, and denote by $\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{G})$ the subgroup of \mathcal{G}_g generated by the twists T_{ℓ_e} for $e \in E(\mathbf{G})$. This is a free Z-module because the ℓ_e 's are nonintersecting, and it has rank $N-s$, where s is the number of separating edges in **G**. Given a hyperelliptic quasi-involution $\tau \in \mathcal{G}_g$, define

$$
\mathcal{B}_{\tau}(\mathbf{G}) = \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{G}) \cap C_{\mathcal{G}_g}(\tau) \leq \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{G}),
$$

where $C_{\mathcal{G}_a}(\tau)$ denotes the centralizer of τ in \mathcal{G}_q . Let

$$
\nu(\Gamma) = \sum_{e \in E(\mathbf{G})} c_e T_e \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{G})_{\mathbb{R}}.
$$

We say that Γ is *Ceresa trivial* if there exists a hyperelliptic quasi-involution τ such that $\nu(\Gamma) \in \mathcal{B}_{\tau}(\mathbf{G})_{\mathbb{R}}$. Proposition [4.2](#page-13-1) below shows that this notion agrees with the Ceresa class associated to the multitwist T_{Γ} being trivial in the case where Γ has integral edge length, but first we will need the following Lemma.

Lemma 4.1. The Ceresa class $\nu(T_{\Gamma})$ is trivial if and only if there is a hyperelliptic quasi-involution τ such that $J([T_\Gamma,\tau])=0$.

Proof. The 'if' direction is clear. Suppose $\nu(T_{\Gamma}) = 0$. The class $\nu(T_{\Gamma})$ is represented by the cocycle $\gamma \mapsto J([\gamma, \tau])$ for some hyperelliptic quasi-involution τ , and hence

$$
J([T_{\Gamma},\tau])=T_{\Gamma}\cdot h-h
$$

for some $h \in L/H$. Because the Johnson homomorphism is surjective, there is a $t \in \mathcal{I}_q$ such that $J(t) = h$. By rearranging the above equality, we see that $J([\gamma, t^{-1}\tau]) = 0$. The lemma now follows from the fact that $t^{-1}\tau$ is also a hyperelliptic quasi-involution. \Box

Proposition 4.2. Suppose Γ has integral edge lengths. Then Γ is Ceresa trivial if and only if $\nu(T_{\Gamma})=0$.

Proof. The tropical curve Γ is Ceresa trivial if and only if there is a hyperelliptic quasiinvolution τ such that $\nu(\Gamma) \in \mathcal{B}_{\tau}(\mathbf{G})$, if and only if $[T_{\Gamma}, \tau] = 1$ in \mathcal{G}_a , if and only if $J([T_{\Gamma},\tau]) = 0$ in L/H , if and only if $\nu(T_{\Gamma}) = 0$. The last equivalence is Lemma [4.1.](#page-13-2) \Box **Proposition 4.3.** The following are equivalent:

- 1. Γ is Ceresa nontrivial for all positive real edge lengths;
- 2. Γ is Ceresa nontrivial for all positive integral edge lengths;
- 3. $\nu(T_{\Gamma}) \neq 0$ for all positive integral edge lengths;
- 4. for any hyperelliptic quasi-involution τ , the subgroup $\mathcal{B}_{\tau}(\mathbf{G})$ is contained in a coordinate hyperplane of $\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{G})_{\mathbb{R}}$.

Proof. The implications $(4) \Rightarrow (1) \Rightarrow (2)$ are clear, and $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$ follows from Proposi-tion [4.2.](#page-13-1) Suppose there is a τ such that $\mathcal{B}_{\tau}(\mathbf{G})$ is not contained in a coordinate hyperplane of $\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{G})_{\mathbb{R}}$. This means that there is a lattice point in $\mathcal{B}_{\tau}(\mathbf{G})$ whose coordinates are all positive. This corresponds to a tropical curve Γ with underlying vertex-weighted graph **G** such that $\nu(T_{\Gamma}) = 0$. This proves $(3) \Rightarrow (4)$. П

We end this section by showing that the Ceresa class vanishes for hyperelliptic tropical curves. First, we recall some terminology. Let \bf{G} be a vertex-weighted graph. A vertex v of a vertex-weighted graph **G** is stable if

$$
2w_v - 2 + \operatorname{val}(v) > 0,
$$

and **G** is stable if all of its vertices are stable. A tropical curve is stable if its underlying weighted graph is stable. Two tropical curves are tropically equivalent if one can be obtained from the other via a sequence of the following moves:

- adding or removing a 1-valent vertex v with $w_v = 0$ and the edge incident to v, or
- adding or removing a 2-valent vertex v with $w_v = 0$, preserving the underlying metric space.

Every tropical curve Γ of genus $g \geq 2$ is tropically equivalent to a unique tropical curve whose underlying weighted graph is stable [\[13,](#page-39-16) Section 2].

Lemma 4.4. If Γ and Γ' are tropically equivalent, then $\nu(\Gamma) = \nu(\Gamma').$

Proof. Let v be a vertex with $w_v = 0$. Suppose val(v) = 1, and denote by e the adjacent edge. Then Σ_v is a disc, so ℓ_e is contractible, and hence $T_{\ell_e} = 1$. Now, suppose val $(v) = 2$, and denote by e, f the adjacent edges. Then ℓ_e is isotopic to ℓ_f , and hence $T_{\ell_e} = T_{\ell_f}$. We conclude that the Ceresa class of tropically equivalent tropical curves coincide. \Box

Suppose Γ is a stable hyperelliptic tropical curve with underlying vertex-weighted graph **G**, and σ the hyperelliptic involution of Γ. That is, $\sigma : \Gamma \to \Gamma$ is an isometry that induces an involution of **G** such that all vertices of positive weight are fixed and Γ/σ is a metric tree. By [\[18,](#page-39-17) Proposition 2.5], the edge set of Γ partitions into the subsets

- $\{e\}$ for separating edges e and σ restricted to e is the identity,
- $\{e,f\}$ where $e \neq f$ form a separating pair of edges and $\sigma(e) = f$, and
- $-e\$ where e is any other edge, and σ takes e to itself, interchanging its endpoints.

If $\{e,f\}$ is a separating pair, then $c_e = c_f$ because σ is an isometry.

Lemma 4.5. Suppose Γ is a two-edge connected stable hyperelliptic tropical curve, and $\{\ell_e | e \in E(\Gamma)\}\$ is an arrangement of loops on Σ_g whose dual graph is Γ . There is a hyperelliptic quasi-involution τ of Σ_g such that $\tau(\ell_e) = \ell_{\sigma(e)}$.

Remark 4.6. Note that the quasi-involution cannot in general be taken to be an involution; this means that the proof is necessarily more complicated than showing that a hyperelliptic involution of the graph lifts in some natural (functorial) way to an involution of Σ_q . On the other hand, if Γ is 2-vertex connected so that (in the language of the proof) there is only one Σ_i , the quasi-involution we construct is in fact an involution.

Proof. Let $\Gamma_1, \ldots, \Gamma_k$ be a block decomposition of Γ in the sense of [\[18,](#page-39-17) §2]. The hyperelliptic involution σ restricts to a hyperelliptic involution on each Γ_i because σ fixes vertices of positive weight and acts as $-I$ on Jac(Γ) [\[5,](#page-39-18) Theorem 5.19]. If Γ_i is a single vertex of weight 1, then let Σ_i be a genus-1 surface with one boundary component and $\tau_i : \Sigma_i \to \Sigma_i$ be a orientation-preserving homeomorphism that acts as $-I$ on $H_1(\Sigma_i,\mathbb{Z})$ and restricts to the identity on $\partial \Sigma_i$. If Γ_i is a single vertex with a loop edge e, then let Σ_i be a genus-1 surface with one boundary component and $\tau_i : \Sigma_i \to \Sigma_i$ be a orientationpreserving homeomorphism that acts as $-I$ on $H_1(\Sigma_i, \mathbb{Z}), \tau(\ell_e) = \ell_e$, and restricts to the identity on $\partial \Sigma_i$.

Otherwise, Γ_i is 2-vertex connected and genus $g_i \geq 2$. Form Σ_{g_i} as in §4.1. For each $u \in V(\Gamma_i)$ fixed by σ , remove a small open disc S_u from Σ_u° ; denote the resulting boundary curve by ℓ_u and the resulting surface by Σ_i . For each $u \in V(\Gamma_i)$ (resp. $e \in E(\Gamma)$) choose a point $p_u \in \Sigma_u^{\circ}$ (resp. $p_e \in \ell_e$). For each half-edge h of Γ_i , define a simple path η_h in Σ_i satisfying the following.

- If $\sigma(u) \neq u$, and h is a half-edge of e adjacent to u, then η_h is a simple path in Σ_u from p_u to p_e meeting $\partial \Sigma_u$ only at p_e .
- If $\sigma(u) = u$, and h is a half-edge of e adjacent to u, then η_h is a simple path in $\Sigma_u \setminus S_u$ from p_u to p_e meeting $\partial(\Sigma_u \setminus S_u)$ only at p_e .
- If h, h' are adjacent to u, then $\eta_h \cap \eta_{h'} = p_u$.

We claim that there are orientation-preserving homeomorphisms $\tau_u : \Sigma_u \to \Sigma_{\sigma(u)}$ so that

- $\tau_u(\eta_h) = \eta_{\sigma(h)},$
- $\tau_u|\ell_e = \tau_v|\ell_e$, and
- the restriction of τ_u to ℓ_u is the identity, for each $u \in V(\Gamma_i)$ fixed by σ .

Suppose $\sigma(u) = v \neq u$. Order the half edges of u (resp. v) by h_1, \ldots, h_a (resp. k_1, \ldots, k_a) such that $\sigma(h_j) = k_j$, and denote by e_j the edge containing h_j (resp. f_j the edge containing k_j). Let D be an oriented 3a-gon, and label the edges of D (counterclockwise) by $\eta_{h_1}, \ell_{e_1}, \eta_{h_1}^{-1}, \ldots, \eta_{h_a}, \ell_{e_a}, \eta_{h_a}^{-1}$. Gluing η_{h_j} along $\eta_{h_j}^{-1}$ (for $j = 1, \ldots, a$) yields a quotient map $\pi_u : D \to \Sigma_u$; see Figure [4.2](#page-16-0) for an illustration. Now. relabel the edge η_{h_j} by η_{k_j} , ℓ_{e_j} by ℓ_{f_j} and $\eta_{h_j}^{-1}$ by $\eta_{k_j}^{-1}$. Gluing η_{k_j} along $\eta_{k_j}^{-1}$ (for $j = 1, \ldots, a$) yields a quotient map $\pi_v : D \to \Sigma_v$. This map induces a homeomorphism on the quotient $\tau_u : \Sigma_u \to \Sigma_v$ such that $\tau_u(\eta_{h_j}) = \eta_{k_j}$ and $\tau_u(\ell_{e_j}) = \tau_u(\ell_{f_j})$. In particular, the composition $\tau_v \tau_u$ is the identity on Σ_u . If Γ is

The Ceresa class: tropical, topological and algebraic 2191

Figure 4.2. The gluing map $\pi_u : D \to \Sigma_u$ when $a = 3$.

2-vertex connected and stable, it has no vertices fixed by σ , and we may glue these τ_u to give a hyperelliptic involution τ .

Now, suppose $\sigma(u) = u$. Label the half-edges of u by $h_1, \ldots, h_a, k_1, \ldots, k_a$ such that $\sigma(h_j) = k_j$, and denote by e_j the edge containing h_j (resp. f_j the edge containing k_j). Let η_u be a simple path in $\Sigma_u \backslash S_u$ from p_u to a point p on ℓ_u that meets the other η_{h_i} 's and η_{k_i} 's only at p_u . Let D be an oriented $6a+3$ -gon. Label the edges of D (counterclockwise) by

$$
\eta_{h_1}, \ell_{e_1}, \eta_{h_1}^{-1}, \ldots, \eta_{h_a}, \ell_{e_a}, \eta_{h_a}^{-1}, \eta_u, \ell_u, \eta_u^{-1}, \eta_{k_a}, \ell_{f_a}, \eta_{k_a}^{-1}, \ldots, \eta_{k_1}, \ell_{f_1}, \eta_{k_1}^{-1}.
$$

Gluing η_u along η_u^{-1} , η_{h_j} along $\eta_{h_j}^{-1}$, and η_{k_j} along $\eta_{h_j}^{-1}$ (for $j = 1, ..., a$) yields a quotient map $\pi_u : D \to \Sigma_u$. Now, relabel η_{h_j} by η_{k_j} , $\eta_{h_j}^{-1}$ by $\eta_{k_j}^{-1}$, and ℓ_{e_j} by ℓ_{f_j} $(j = 1, ..., a)$. Gluing η_u along η_u^{-1} , η_{h_j} along $\eta_{h_j}^{-1}$, and η_{k_j} along $\eta_{k_j}^{-1}$ (for $j = 1, \ldots, a$) yields another quotient map $\pi'_u : D \to \Sigma_u$. This map induces a homeomorphism on the quotient $\tau_u : \Sigma_u \to \Sigma_u$ such that $\tau_u(\eta_{h_j}) = \eta_{k_j}, \tau_u(\ell_{e_j}) = \ell_{f_j},$ (for $j = 1, ..., a$) and is the identity on ℓ_u .

Finally, we may modify the τ_u 's in a collar neighborhood of $\partial \Sigma_u$ so that $\tau_u | \ell_e = \tau_v | \ell_e$ when e is an edge between u and v. Having done so, the resulting τ_u 's glue to give an orientation-preserving homeomorphism $\tau_i : \Sigma_i \to \Sigma_i$ that restricts to the identity on $\partial \Sigma_i$, and which sends ℓ_e to $\ell_{\sigma(e)}$ for all edges e.

Define a homology basis of Σ_{q_i} in the following way. Let $e_1,\ldots,e_{q_i} \in E(\Gamma_i)$ be a collection of edges whose removal from Γ_i is a spanning tree T. Denote by h_i the unique cycle in $T \cup \{e_j\}$. Let γ_i be the simple closed curve in Γ_{g_i} formed by the paths η_h for all half-edges in the path h_j . Orient ℓ_i and γ_i so that $\hat{i}([\gamma_i], [\ell_{e_i}]) = 1$; the cycles

$$
[\ell_{e_1}], \ldots, [\ell_{e_{g_i}}], [\gamma_1], \ldots, [\gamma_{g_i}]
$$

form a symplectic basis of $H_1(\Sigma_{a_i}, \mathbb{Z})$.

Next, we claim, for $j = 1, \ldots, q_i$, that

$$
\tau_*([\ell_{e_j}]) = -[\ell_{e_j}],\tag{4.4}
$$

$$
\tau_*([\gamma_j]) = -[\gamma_j].\tag{4.5}
$$

Consider Equation [\(4.4\)](#page-16-1). Denote the vertices of e_j by u_j and v_j . Without loss of generality, suppose Σ_{u_j} is on the left of ℓ_{e_j} . Because τ_{u_j} is orientation preserving, Σ_{v_j} appears on the left of $\tau(\ell_{e_j})$. If e_j is flipped, then $\tau(\ell_{e_j}) = \ell_{e_j}$ and because Σ_u appears on the left of ℓ_e but on the right of $\tau(\ell_e)$, we have $\tau_*([\ell_{e_j}]) = -[\ell_{e_j}]$. Now, suppose $\{e_j, f_j\}$ is a separating pair. Orient ℓ_{f_j} so that $[\ell_{e_j}] = [\ell_{f_j}]$. Their removal splits Σ_{g_i} into two surfaces S, S' with boundary curves ℓ_{e_j}, ℓ_{f_j} . The subsurfaces Σ_{u_j} and Σ_{v_j} belong to the same surface; suppose it is S. Because S lies on the left of both ℓ_{e_j} and $\tau(\ell_{e_j})$, we must have that $\tau_*([\ell_{e_j}]) = -[\ell_{f_j}] = -[\ell_{e_j}],$ and therefore Equation [\(4.4\)](#page-16-1).

Now, consider Equation [\(4.5\)](#page-16-2). Because τ_i is orientation-preserving, we have

$$
\hat{i}(\tau_*([\gamma_j]), \tau_*([\ell_{e_j}])) = \hat{i}(\tau_*([\gamma_j]), -[\ell_{e_j}]) = 1.
$$

Together with the fact that $\tau_i(\gamma_i) = \gamma_i$, we have $\tau_*([\gamma_i]) = -[\gamma_i]$.

Finally, we will piece together the τ_i 's to get the requisite hyperelliptic quasi-involution. For each cut-vertex u of Γ, let Σ_u be a genus-0 surface with n_u boundary components, where n_u is the number of blocks attached to u. For each block Γ_i attached at u, glue Σ_i to Σ_u along the corresponding boundary components. The orientation-preserving homeomorphism $\tau : \Sigma_g \to \Sigma_g$ given by

$$
\tau | \Sigma_i = \tau_i \quad \text{for} \quad i = 1, ..., k
$$

$$
\tau | \Sigma_u = \mathrm{id}_{\Sigma_u} \quad \text{if } u \text{ is a cut-vertex of } \Gamma
$$

acts as $-I$ on $H_1(\Sigma_g, \mathbb{Z})$ and satisfies $\tau(\ell_e) = \ell_{\sigma(e)}$ for all $e \in E(\Gamma)$, as required. \Box

Proposition 4.7. If Γ is a hyperelliptic tropical curve, then Γ is Ceresa trivial.

Proof. By Lemma [4.4,](#page-14-0) we may assume that Γ is stable. Denote by \mathbf{G}^2 (resp. Γ^2) the 2-edge connectivization of \bf{G} (resp. Γ) (this is obtained by contracting all separating edges; see [\[14,](#page-39-19) Definition 2.3.6]). Because $\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{G}) = \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{G}^2)$ and $\nu(\Gamma) = \nu(\Gamma^2)$, we may assume that Γ is 2-edge connected. Let $τ$ be the hyperelliptic involution from Lemma [4.5.](#page-15-0) If e is a separating edge, then T_{ℓ_e} is a separating twist, which is trivial in $\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{G})$. If $\{e,f\}$ is a pair of separating edges, then $T_{\ell_e} + T_{\ell_f} \in \mathcal{B}_{\tau}(\mathbf{G})$. If e is any other edge, then $T_{\ell_e} \in \mathcal{B}_{\tau}(\mathbf{G})$. Decompose $\nu(\Gamma)$ as

$$
\nu(\Gamma)=\sum (c_eT_{\ell_e}+c_fT_{\ell_f})+\sum c_eT_{\ell_e},
$$

where the sum on the left is over all separating pairs, and the sum on the right is over all nonseparating edges not in a separating pair. Because $c_e = c_f$ whenever $\{e, f\}$ is a separating pair, we have that $\nu(\Gamma) \in \mathcal{B}_{\tau}(\mathbf{G})_{\mathbb{R}}$, and hence Γ is Ceresa trivial. \Box

5. A finite subgroup of $H^1(\mathbb{Z}, L)$

5.1. Filtrations on $H^1(\mathbb{Z}, \wedge^k H)$

In this section, we set up a rather general framework for abelian groups with a unipotent automorphism, which we will apply in the case of the singular homology of a genus- q topological surface acted upon by a multitwist.

Let H be a finitely generated free Z-module and $\delta \in SL(H)$ be an element such that $(\delta - I)^2 = 0$. We consider the action of the cyclic group $\langle \delta \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}$ on H, which induces an action of $\langle \delta \rangle$ on $\wedge^k H$ for any $k \geq 0$. Let Y be the saturation of im $(\delta - I)$ in H. By the hypothesis on δ , we have $Y \leq \ker(\delta - I)$, that is, δ acts trivially on Y. Consider the following descending filtration on $\wedge^k H$:

$$
F_q \wedge^k H = (\wedge^q Y) \wedge (\wedge^{k-q} H). \tag{5.1}
$$

Note that $F_q \wedge^k H$ is saturated in $\wedge^k H$, so the graded piece $gr_q^F \wedge^k H := F_q \wedge^k H / F_{q+1} \wedge^k H$ is torsion-free. The following lemma shows that $(\delta - I)(F_q \wedge^k H) \leq F_{q+1} \wedge^k H$ for any $k \geq q \geq 0$.

Lemma 5.1. For $y \in \wedge^q Y$ and $h = h_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge h_{k-q} \in \wedge^{k-q} H$,

$$
(\delta - I)(y \wedge h) = \sum_{i=1}^{k-q} y \wedge h_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge (\delta - I)h_i \wedge \ldots \wedge h_{k-q} \mod F_{q+2} \wedge^k H.
$$

In particular, $(\delta - I)(F_a \wedge^k H) \leq F_{a+1} \wedge^k H$.

Proof. Because $\delta y = y$, we can write

$$
(\delta - I)(y \wedge h) = y \wedge (\delta - I + I)h_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge (\delta - I + I)h_{k-q} - y \wedge h
$$

and expand the latter as

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{k-q} y \wedge h_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge (\delta - I) h_i \wedge \ldots \wedge h_{k-q}
$$

+
$$
\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq k-q} y \wedge h_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge (\delta - I) h_i \wedge \ldots \wedge (\delta - I) h_j \wedge \ldots \wedge h_{k-q} + \ldots
$$

This means, in particular, that $\delta - I$ induces a map $\operatorname{gr}_{q-1}^F \wedge^k H \to \operatorname{gr}_q^F \wedge^k H$ for all q. While these maps are typically not surjective, what we will see in the lemma below is that at least half of them are surjective rationally; that is, their cokernels are finite. Compare this to the 'Lefschetz property' of the weight filtration associated to a nilpotent endomorphism as in [\[33,](#page-40-16) Lemma-Definition 11.9].

Lemma 5.2. The map

$$
(\delta-I)_{\mathbb Q}: \operatorname{gr}^F_{q-1} \wedge^k H_{\mathbb Q} \to \operatorname{gr}^F_q \wedge^k H_{\mathbb Q}
$$

is surjective for $q > k/2$.

Proof. Let $y = y_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge y_q \in \wedge^q Y_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and $h = h_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge h_{k-q} \in \wedge^{k-q} H_{\mathbb{Q}}$. It suffices to show that $y \wedge h$ lies in the image of $(\delta - I)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ mod $F_{q+1} \wedge^k H_{\mathbb{Q}}$ for all such y,h . Choose $x_i \in H_{\mathbb{Q}}$ such that $(\delta - I)x_i = y_i$. For $I \in \binom{[q]}{p}$, let \hat{y}_I be obtained from y by replacing y_i with x_i for each $i \in I$. Similarly, for $J \in \binom{[k-q]}{p}$ let \hat{h}_J be obtained from h by replacing h_j with $(\delta - I)h_j$ for each $j \in J$. We define

$$
\mathfrak{y}(a,b) = \sum_{I \in \binom{[q]}{a}, J \in \binom{[k-q]}{b}} \hat{y}_I \wedge \hat{h}_J \in F_{q-a+b} \wedge^k H_{\mathbb{Q}}.
$$

Note that $\mathfrak{y}(a,b) \neq 0$ only if $0 \leq a \leq q$ and $0 \leq b \leq k-q$, and $\mathfrak{y}(0,0) = y \wedge h$. By Lemma [5.1,](#page-18-0)

$$
(\delta - I)_{\mathbb{Q}}(\hat{y}_I \wedge \hat{h}_J) \equiv \sum_{i \in I} \hat{y}_{I \setminus \{i\}} \wedge \hat{h}_J + \sum_{j \notin J} \hat{y}_I \wedge \hat{h}_{J \cup \{j\}} \text{ mod } F_{q-a+b+2} \wedge^k H_{\mathbb{Q}}.
$$

In particular,

$$
(\delta - I)_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{y}(a,b)) \equiv (q - a + 1) \cdot \mathfrak{y}(a-1,b) + (b+1) \cdot \mathfrak{y}(a,b+1) \bmod F_{q-a+b+2} \wedge^k H_{\mathbb{Q}}.
$$
 (5.2)

Claim. For $0 \le p \le k - q$, $\mathfrak{y}(p,p)$ is in $\text{im}(\delta - I)_{\mathbb{Q}} \text{ mod } F_{q+1} \wedge^k H_{\mathbb{Q}}$.

The case $p = 0$ is exactly the statement that $y \wedge h \in \text{im}(\delta - I)_{\mathbb{Q}} \text{ mod } F_{q+1} \wedge^k H_{\mathbb{Q}}$. We will proceed by downward induction on p. Because $\mathfrak{y}(k - q + 1, k - q + 1) = 0$, Equation [\(5.2\)](#page-19-0) yields

$$
(\delta - I)_{\mathbb{Q}} (\mathfrak{y}(k - q + 1, k - q)) \equiv (2q - k) \cdot \mathfrak{y}(k - q, k - q) \bmod F_{q+1} \wedge^k H_{\mathbb{Q}},
$$

and therefore the claim holds when $p = q$, noting that $2q > k$. Assuming the claim is true for $p+1$, we will show that it is true for p. Again by Equation [5.2,](#page-19-0)

$$
(\delta - I)_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{y}(p+1,p)) \equiv (q-p) \cdot \mathfrak{y}(p,p) + (p+1) \cdot \mathfrak{y}(p+1,p+1) \bmod F_{q+1} \wedge^k H_{\mathbb{Q}}.
$$

By the inductive hypothesis, $\mathfrak{y}(p+1,p+1)$ is in the image of $(\delta - I)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ mod $F_{q+1} \wedge^k H_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and therefore so is $(q - p)\mathfrak{y}(p, p)$; by the hypothesis that $q > k/2$, we have $q - p \neq 0$, so $\mathfrak{y}(p,p)$ is in the image of $(\delta - I)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ mod $F_{q+1} \wedge^k H_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and we are done.

Remark 5.3. In the case where $\dim H = 2\dim Y$, the largest possible dimension of Y, the bound $q > k/2$ in Lemma [5.2](#page-18-1) is sharp. Set $d = \dim Y$, $e = \dim H$, and $u(q) = \dim(\text{gr}_q^F \wedge^k H)$. Then

$$
u(q) = \binom{d}{q} \binom{e-d}{k-q}.
$$

When $e = 2d$ and $0 \leq q \leq k/2$

$$
\frac{u(q)}{u(q-1)} = \frac{(d-q+1)(k-q+1)}{q(d-k+q)} \ge \frac{(d-q+1)(q+1)}{q(d-q)} > 1,
$$

and therefore u is a strictly increasing function on this interval. This means that $(\delta - I)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ as in Lemma [5.2](#page-18-1) cannot be surjective.

We denote by $A_q(\delta)$ and $B_q(\delta)$ the groups:

$$
A_q(\delta) = \text{im}(H^1(\langle \delta \rangle, F_q \wedge^{2q-1} H) \to H^1(\langle \delta \rangle, \wedge^{2q-1} H)),
$$

\n
$$
B_q(\delta) = \text{coker}(\delta - I : \text{gr}_{q-1}^F \wedge^{2q-1} H \to \text{gr}_q^F \wedge^{2q-1} H).
$$

Proposition 5.4. We have isomorphisms of groups

$$
A_q(\delta) \cong \frac{F_q \wedge^{2q-1} H}{((\delta - I) \wedge^{2q-1} H) \cap (F_q \wedge^{2q-1} H)}, \quad B_q(\delta) \cong \frac{F_q \wedge^{2q-1} H}{((\delta - I)F_{q-1} \wedge^{2q-1} H) + (F_{q+1} \wedge^{2q-1} H)}.
$$

In particular, $A_q(\delta)$ and $B_q(\delta)$ are finite.

Proof. It is a standard fact that

$$
H^1(\langle \delta \rangle, \wedge^k H) \to \wedge^k H/(\delta - I) \wedge^k H \qquad [\zeta] \mapsto \zeta(\delta)
$$

is an isomorphism. This yields the isomorphism involving $A_q(\delta)$, and the one involving $B_q(\delta)$ is clear. Because each $(\delta - I)_{\mathbb{Q}} : \operatorname{gr}_{i-1}^F \wedge^{2q-1} H_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \operatorname{gr}_i^F \wedge^{2q-1} H_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is surjective for $i \ge q$ by Lemma [5.2,](#page-18-1) we see that $F_q \wedge^{2q-1} H_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is contained in $(\delta - I)(F_{q-1} \wedge^{2q-1} H_{\mathbb{Q}})$. Therefore, $A_q(\delta)$ and $B_q(\delta)$ are finite. \Box

Proposition 5.5. If $\delta - I : \text{gr}^F_{q-2} \wedge^k H \to \text{gr}^F_{q-1} \wedge^k H$ is injective, then

$$
(\delta - I)(F_{q-2} \wedge^k H) \cap F_q \wedge^k H = (\delta - I)F_{q-1} \wedge^k H.
$$

In particular, this means that if $\delta - I : gr_{i-2}^F \wedge^{2q-1} H \to gr_{i-1}^F \wedge^{2q-1} H$ is injective for all $i \leq q$, then $A_q(\delta) \cong F_q \wedge^{2q-1} H/(\delta-1)F_{q-1} \wedge^{2q-1} H$, and hence there is a natural surjection $A_{\alpha}(\delta) \rightarrow B_{\alpha}(\delta).$

Proof. Suppose $y \in (\delta - I)(F_{q-2} \wedge^k H) \cap F_q \wedge^k H$ and $y = (\delta - I)x$. By injectivity of $\delta - I$: $\operatorname{gr}_{q-2}^F \wedge^k H \to \operatorname{gr}_{q-1}^F \wedge^k H$ and the fact that $y \in F_q \wedge^k H$, we see that $x \equiv 0 \mod F_{q-1} \wedge^k H$, that is, $y \in (\delta - I)F_{q-1} \wedge^k H$. The other inclusion follows from Lemma [5.1.](#page-18-0)

In §[7,](#page-32-1) we will need to show that certain classes in $H^1(\langle \delta \rangle, \wedge^k H)$ arising from topology are trivial, for which we will need the following explicit computation.

Proposition 5.6. Any element of $\wedge^k H$ of the form $y \wedge z_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge z_{k-1}$, where $y \in \text{im}(\delta - I)$ and $z_i \in \text{ker}(\delta - I)$ lies in $(\delta - I) \wedge^k H$.

Proof. Choose $x \in H$ such that $(\delta - I)x = y$. Then

$$
(\delta - I)(x \wedge z_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge z_{k-1}) = \delta x \wedge \delta z_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \delta z_{k-1} - x \wedge z_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge z_{k-1}
$$

= $\delta x \wedge z_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge z_{k-1} - x \wedge z_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge z_{k-1}$
= $y \wedge z_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge z_{k-1}$.

The main application of Proposition [5.4](#page-20-0) will be in the case $k = 3$ and we will denote $L = \wedge^3 H$ as before. For this reason, we simply write $A(\delta)$ and $B(\delta)$ for the subgroups $A_2(\delta)$ and $B_2(\delta)$, respectively. These groups are finite by Proposition [5.4,](#page-20-0) and in particular any element of $H^1(\langle \delta \rangle, L)$ which lies in $A(\delta)$ is torsion.

5.2. The maximal rank case

An important subcase is that where dim Y is as large as possible, namely dim $Y = g =$ $\frac{1}{2}$ dim H. Because $Y \subset H$ is saturated, there is a subgroup $X \subset H$ such that $H = X \oplus Y$.

Let $Q: X \to Y$ denote the restriction of $\delta - I$ to X and $q_1 \leq \cdots \leq q_g$ its invariant factors, with $q_i|q_{i+1}$. Because Q is rationally surjective, each q_i is positive and

$$
coker(Q) \cong \prod_{i=1}^{g} \mathbb{Z}/(q_i).
$$

is a finite group. Choose bases $\{x_1,\ldots,x_q\}$ of X and $\{y_1,\ldots,y_q\}$ of Y such that $Q(x_i)$ q_iy_i . To compute $A(\delta)$, we decompose $L = \wedge^3 H$ as the direct sum of

$$
V_1 = \text{span}\{x_i \wedge x_j \wedge x_k \mid i < j < k\}, \qquad V_2 = \text{span}\{x_i \wedge x_j \wedge y_j \mid i \neq j\},
$$
\n
$$
V_3 = \text{span}\{x_i \wedge x_j \wedge y_k \mid i < j, k \neq i, j\}, \qquad V_4 = \text{span}\{x_i \wedge y_i \wedge y_j \mid i \neq j\},
$$
\n
$$
V_5 = \text{span}\{x_i \wedge y_j \wedge y_k \mid j < k, i \neq j, k\}, \qquad V_6 = \text{span}\{y_i \wedge y_j \wedge y_k \mid i < j < k\}.
$$

Let A denote the matrix of $\delta-I$ with respect to the basis above, and A_{ij} the block whose rows correspond to V_i and columns correspond to V_j .

Lemma 5.7. The submatrices A_{ij} satisfy the following:

- 1. A_{13} , A_{24} and A_{35} are nonsingular,
- 2. coker $(A_{24}) \cong \text{coker}(Q)^{g-1}$,
- 3. coker $(A_{35}) \cong \prod_{i < j < k} (\mathbb{Z}/(q_i))^2 \times \mathbb{Z}/(2q_j q_k/q_i),$
- 4. coker $(A_{56}) \cong \prod_{i=1}^{g-2} (\mathbb{Z}/(q_i))^{(g-i)}$
- 5. $A_{12}, A_{14}, A_{15}, A_{16}, A_{23}, A_{25}, A_{26}, A_{34}, A_{36}, A_{45}, A_{46}$ and A_{ij} for $i \geq j$ are all 0.

Proof. The matrix A_{13} is nonsingular because its rows each have exactly one nonzero entry. Indeed, the row corresponding to $x_i \wedge x_j \wedge x_k$ has q_k in the column corresponding to $x_i \wedge x_j \wedge y_k$ and 0 for the remaining entries. Next, A_{24} is a square $g(g-1)$ matrix and $(\delta-1)(x_i \wedge x_j \wedge y_j) = q_i \cdot y_i \wedge x_j \wedge y_j \mod F_3L$. Therefore, A_{24} is nonsingular and its cokernel is of the desired form. Now, consider A_{35} . Given $i < j < k$, set

$$
V_{ijk} = \text{span}\{x_i \wedge x_j \wedge y_k, x_j \wedge x_k \wedge y_i, x_k \wedge x_i \wedge y_j\}
$$

$$
W_{ijk} = \text{span}\{x_k \wedge y_i \wedge y_j, x_i \wedge y_j \wedge y_k, x_j \wedge y_k \wedge y_i\}.
$$

The matrix A_{35} may be arranged into block-diagonal form, where each block has rows indexed by W_{ijk} and columns by V_{ijk} . With respect to the bases above, this block is

$$
\begin{pmatrix} 0 & q_j & q_i \\ q_j & 0 & q_k \\ q_i & q_k & 0 \end{pmatrix}
$$

whose invariant factors are $q_i, q_i, 2q_i q_k/q_i$. Therefore, coker A_{35} is isomorphic to the product of the $(\mathbb{Z}/(q_i))^2 \times \mathbb{Z}/(q_i q_k/q_i)$. Because each block matrix is nonsingular, A_{35} is also nonsingular. This completes the proof of (1) , (2) and (3) .

Now, consider (4). Because $(\delta - I)(x_i \wedge y_j \wedge y_k) = q_i \cdot y_i \wedge y_j \wedge y_k$, each column of A_{56} has exactly one nonzero entry. By only performing column operations, we may form a diagonal matrix B_{56} from A_{56} so that each diagonal entry is the gcd of the integers in its corresponding row. Because the nonzero entries of the row in A_{56} corresponding to

 $y_i \wedge y_j \wedge y_k$ are q_i, q_j, q_k , the corresponding diagonal entry in B_{56} is $gcd(q_i,q_j,q_k) = q_i$. From this, we see that $\text{coker}(A_{56})$ has the desired form.

Finally, consider (5). The matrices A_{ij} for $i \geq j$ are all 0 because the matrix of $\delta - I$, with respect to the above decomposition, is strictly lower-triangular. The remaining listed matrices are 0 because $\delta - I : X \to Y$ is diagonal with respect to the given bases. \Box

Proposition 5.8. The maps $\delta - I : \text{gr}_{q-1}^F L_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \text{gr}_q^F L_{\mathbb{Q}}$ are surjective when $q = 2,3$ and injective when $q = 1,2$. In particular,

$$
A(\delta) \cong \frac{F_2 L}{(\delta - I)F_1 L}.
$$

Proof. The surjectivity claim follows from Lemma [5.2.](#page-18-1) The matrix A_{13} is nonsingular by Lemma [5.7\(](#page-21-0)1), therefore $\delta - I$: $gr_0^FL_Q \rightarrow gr_1^FL_Q$ is injective. Because $\delta - I$: $gr_1^FL_Q \rightarrow$ $\operatorname{gr}^F_2 L_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is surjective and both spaces have the same dimension (equal to $g(\frac{g}{2})$), it is also injective. The last statement follows from Proposition [5.4](#page-20-0) and Proposition [5.5.](#page-20-1) □

Proposition 5.9. We have an isomorphism

$$
B(\delta) \cong \mathrm{coker}(Q)^{g-1} \times \prod_{i < j < k} (\mathbb{Z}/(q_i))^2 \times \mathbb{Z}/(2q_j q_k/q_i).
$$

Proof. In terms of the decomposition above, $\delta - I : \text{gr}_F^1 L \to \text{gr}_F^2 L$ is given by the block matrix

$$
A = \begin{pmatrix} A_{24} & A_{25} \\ A_{34} & A_{35} \end{pmatrix}.
$$

 \Box

The proposition now follows from Lemma [5.7.](#page-21-0)

Proposition 5.10. We have an isomorphism

$$
A(\delta) \cong B(\delta) \times \prod_{i=1}^{g-2} (\mathbb{Z}/(q_i))^{(g-i)}.
$$

Proof. Under the identifications $A(\delta) \cong F_2L/(\delta-I)F_1L$ and $B(\delta) \cong F_2L/((\delta-I)F_1L+$ F_3L) from Propositions [5.8](#page-22-0) and [5.4,](#page-20-0) the map $A(\delta) \to B(\delta)$ given by the projection

$$
\frac{F_2L}{(\delta - I)F_1L} \rightarrow \frac{F_2L}{(\delta - I)F_1L + F_3L}
$$

is surjective. Its kernel is isomorphic to $F_3L/(F_3L\cap (\delta - I)F_1L)$. The map $\delta - I : \text{gr}_{1}^{F}L \rightarrow$ $gr_2^F L$ is injective by Proposition [5.8,](#page-22-0) so $F_3L \cap (\delta - I)F_1L = (\delta - I)F_2L$ by Proposition [5.5.](#page-20-1) Therefore, we have an exact sequence

$$
0 \to \frac{F_3 L}{(\delta - I)F_2 L} \to A(\delta) \to B(\delta) \to 0.
$$

We claim that this exact sequence splits. The decomposition $F_2L \cong \operatorname{gr}^F_2L \times F_3L$ yields a projection $\pi : F_2L \to F_3L/(\delta - I)F_2L$. Given $a \in (\delta - I)F_1$, express it as $a = a_1 + a_2$ with $a_1 \in \operatorname{gr}_2^F L$ and $a_2 \in F_3$. In fact, $a_2 \in (\delta - I)F_2$ since $\delta - I : \operatorname{gr}_1^F L \to \operatorname{gr}_2^F L$ is injective.

Therefore, $a \in \ker \pi$, and hence π induces a splitting of $F_3L/(\delta - I)F_2L \to A(\delta)$. Finally, $F_3L/(\delta - I)F_2L \cong \prod_{i=1}^{g-2} (\mathbb{Z}/(q_i))^{(g-i)}$ by Lemma [5.7\(](#page-21-0)4) and (5). \Box

Corollary 5.11. When Y has maximal rank,

$$
|A(\delta)| = 2^{{g \choose 3}} \det(Q)^{{g \choose 2}} \prod_{i=1}^{g-2} q_i^{{g-i \choose 2}} \quad \text{and} \quad |B(\delta)| = 2^{{g \choose 3}} \det(Q)^{{g \choose 2}}.
$$

Proof. Observe that $\prod_{i < j < k} q_i q_j q_k = \det(Q)^{\binom{g-1}{2}}$ because each invariant factor occurs exactly $\binom{g-1}{2}$ times. The formulas for $|B(\delta)|$ and $|A(\delta)|$ now follow from Propositions [5.9](#page-22-1) and [5.10,](#page-22-2) respectively. \Box

5.3. The symplectic case

Finally, we consider the case where H is equipped with a symplectic form $\omega \in \wedge^2 H$, and δ is an element of Sp(H) such that $(\delta - I)^2 = 0$. We embed H into L via $h \mapsto \omega \wedge h$. Because δ preserves the form ω , it acts on L/H . We define

$$
F_q(L/H) = (F_qL + H)/H.
$$

Recall that Y is the saturation of im(δ -I), which is isotropic since δ is symplectic, and X a subgroup such that $H = X \oplus Y$. Because $H \subset F_1L$, $F_2L + H = F_2L \oplus X$, and $F_3L \cap H = 0$, each $F_q(L/H)$ is saturated in L/H . In particular, the graded pieces $gr_q^F(L/H)$ are free. By Lemma [5.1,](#page-18-0) $(\delta - I)$ takes $F_q(L/H)$ to $F_{q+1}(L/H)$, hence induces a map $gr_q^F(L/H) \rightarrow$ $gr_{q+1}^F(L/H)$. We denote by $\overline{A(\delta)}$ and $\overline{B(\delta)}$ the groups

$$
\overline{A(\delta)} = \text{im}(H^1(\langle \delta \rangle, F_2(L/H)) \to H^1(\langle \delta \rangle, L/H)),
$$

\n
$$
\overline{B(\delta)} = \text{coker}(\delta - I : \text{gr}_1^F(L/H) \to \text{gr}_2^F(L/H)).
$$

As we will show in the next section, the Ceresa class $\nu(f)$ of a positive multitwist f on a closed surface, with symplectic representation δ_f , lives in $A(\delta_f)$, provided Y has maximal rank. In this subsection, we will show that $\overline{A(\delta)}$ is finite, from which we conclude that the Ceresa class is torsion. When Y has maximal rank, $\overline{A(\delta)}$ naturally surjects onto $\overline{B(\delta)}$. It is much easier to compute the image of $\nu(f)$ in $B(\delta_f)$; see Equation [\(6.4\)](#page-31-0). We use this in §[7](#page-32-1) to determine nontriviality of $\nu(f)$ in some examples.

The following three propositions, and their proofs, are similar to Propositions [5.4,](#page-20-0) [5.8](#page-22-0) and [5.10.](#page-22-2)

Proposition 5.12. We have isomorphisms

$$
\overline{A(\delta)} \cong \frac{F_2L + H}{((\delta - I)L + H) \cap (F_2L + H)}, \quad \overline{B(\delta)} \cong \frac{F_2L + H}{(\delta - I)(F_1L) + F_3L + H}.
$$

In particular, $\overline{A(\delta)}$ and $\overline{B(\delta)}$ are finite.

Proposition 5.13. The map $(\delta - I)_{\mathbb{Q}} : \text{gr}^F_{q-1}(L/H)_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \text{gr}^F_q(L/H)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is surjective when $q = 2,3$. When Y has maximal rank, this map is injective for $q = 1,2$ and

$$
\overline{A(\delta)} \cong \frac{F_2L + H}{(\delta - I)F_1L + H}.
$$

Proposition 5.14. If Y has maximal rank, then

$$
\overline{A(\delta)} \cong \overline{B(\delta)} \times \prod_{i=1}^{g-2} (\mathbb{Z}/(q_i))^{(\frac{g-i}{2})}.
$$

The next two propositions compare $A(\delta)$ and $B(\delta)$ from the previous subsection to their counterparts $\overline{A(\delta)}$ and $\overline{B(\delta)}$.

Proposition 5.15. If Y has maximal rank, then we have an exact sequence

$$
0 \to \mathrm{coker}(Q) \to B(\delta) \to \overline{B(\delta)} \to 0.
$$

Proof. Consider the following commutative diagram

$$
0 \longrightarrow H \xrightarrow{\wedge \omega} F_1 L \longrightarrow F_1(L/H) \longrightarrow 0
$$

$$
\downarrow \delta - I \qquad \downarrow \delta - I \qquad \downarrow \delta - I
$$

$$
0 \longrightarrow Y \xrightarrow{\wedge \omega} F_2 L \longrightarrow F_2(L/H) \longrightarrow 0
$$

whose rows are exact. The map $\delta-I : F_1(L/H) \to F_2(L/H)$ is injective because it becomes an isomorphism after tensoring with \mathbb{O} by Proposition [5.13.](#page-24-0) We now get the desired exact sequence by the snake lemma. \Box

Proposition 5.16. If Y has maximal rank, then we have an exact sequence

$$
0 \to \mathrm{coker}(Q) \to A(\delta) \to \overline{A(\delta)} \to 0.
$$

Proof. First, observe that $A(\delta) \rightarrow \overline{A(\delta)}$ induced by

$$
\frac{F_2 L}{(\delta - I)F_1 L} \rightarrow \frac{F_2 L + H}{(\delta - I)F_1 L + H}
$$

is surjective. Let K denote its kernel. Then we have the following commutative diagram

$$
0 \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow A(\delta) \longrightarrow \overline{A(\delta)} \longrightarrow 0
$$

$$
\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow
$$

$$
0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{coker}(Q) \longrightarrow B(\delta) \longrightarrow \overline{B(\delta)} \longrightarrow 0
$$

whose rows are exact. The vertical map on the left is an isomorphism by Propositions [5.10](#page-22-2) and [5.14](#page-24-1) and the snake lemma. \Box

Corollary 5.17. When Y has maximal rank,

$$
|\overline{A(\delta)}| = 2^{\binom{g}{3}} \det(Q)^{\binom{g}{2}-1} \prod_{i=1}^{g-2} q_i^{\binom{g-i}{2}} \quad \text{and} \quad |\overline{B(\delta)}| = 2^{\binom{g}{3}} \det(Q)^{\binom{g}{2}-1}.
$$

6. The Ceresa class of a multitwist

6.1. Dehn twists and multitwists

In this subsection, we recall some basic facts about Dehn twists. We refer the reader to [\[19\]](#page-39-8) for a more detailed treatment.

Lemma 6.1. Let $f \in \Gamma_g$ (resp. Γ_g^1) and a the isotopy class of a simple closed curve. We have

- 1. $T_{f(a)} = f T_a f^{-1}$, in particular, $[T_a, f] = T_a T_{f(a)}^{-1}$, and
- 2. f commutes with T_a if and only if $f(a) = a$; in particular $T_aT_b = T_bT_a$ if and only if the geometric intersection number $i(a,b)=0$.

Proof. See [\[19,](#page-39-8) Facts 3.7, 3.8].

As before, set $H = H_1(\Sigma_g, \mathbb{Z}) = H_1(\Sigma_g^1, \mathbb{Z})$. We write [a] for the homology class of an isotopy class a of a simple closed curve, and \hat{i} for the algebraic intersection number on H. The induced map $(T_a)_* \in Sp(H)$ only depends on the homology class [a], and

$$
(T_a)_*([b]) = [b] + \hat{i}([a],[b])[a]. \tag{6.1}
$$

 \Box

See [\[19,](#page-39-8) Proposition 6.3].

Let Λ be a collection of isotopy classes of pairwise nonintersecting essential simple closed curves in Σ_g^1 (resp. Σ_g). Define

$$
\mathcal{B} = \langle T_{\ell} : \ell \in \Lambda \rangle < \Gamma_g^1 \text{ or } \Gamma_g, \quad \text{and} \quad Y = \langle [\ell] : \ell \in \Lambda \rangle < H.
$$

The group β is free and abelian by Lemma [6.1\(](#page-25-1)2), and Y is saturated in H since any $d = \text{rank } Y$ collection of simple closed curves $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_d$ whose homology classes are linearly independent extends to an integral basis of H. Given $f \in \mathcal{B}$, we write $\delta_f \in Sp(H)$ for the image of f under the symplectic representation (we could also call this f_* , but use δ_f to better match the notation of §[5\)](#page-17-1). An element of the form

$$
f = \prod_{\ell \in \Lambda} T_{\ell}^{c_{\ell}} \in \mathcal{B}
$$

with $c_{\ell} > 0$ for all ℓ is called a *positive multiturist* supported on Λ .

Proposition 6.2. For any positive multitwist f supported on Λ , we have that Y is the saturation of im($\delta_f - I$).

Proof. We temporarily denote by Y' the saturation of $\text{im}(\delta_f - I)$ in H. Applying Equation [\(6.1\)](#page-25-2) to any $f = \prod T_{\ell}^{c_{\ell}}$ yields

$$
(\delta_f - I)(h) = \sum_{\ell \in \Lambda} c_{\ell} \hat{i}([\ell], h)[\ell], \qquad (6.2)
$$

and therefore $(\delta_f - I)(H) \leq Y$. Applying this to a positive multitwist f, we have $Y' \leq Y$. Because of this and the fact that Y and Y' are saturated subgroups of H , the equality

 $Y_{\mathbb{Q}} = Y_{\mathbb{Q}}'$ will imply $Y = Y'$. Denote by $(Y_{\mathbb{Q}})^{\omega} \leq H_{\mathbb{Q}}$ the symplectic complement to $Y_{\mathbb{Q}}$, that is,

$$
(Y_{\mathbb{Q}})^{\omega} = \{ h \in H_{\mathbb{Q}} \mid \hat{i}(y, h) = 0 \text{ for all } y \in Y_{\mathbb{Q}} \}
$$

and set $W_{\mathbb{Q}} = H_{\mathbb{Q}}/(Y_{\mathbb{Q}})^{\omega}$. Because $Y_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is an isotropic subspace of $H_{\mathbb{Q}}$, we have dim $W_{\mathbb{Q}} =$ dim Y_Q. Since $(Y_{\mathbb{Q}})^\omega$ lies in the kernel of $(\delta_f - I)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ by Equation [\(6.2\)](#page-25-3), the following

$$
(\alpha, \alpha') = \hat{i}(\alpha, (\delta_f - I)\alpha')
$$

defines a bilinear form on W_0 . We claim that this is actually an inner product. Indeed, we have

$$
\hat{i}(\alpha,(\delta_f - I)\alpha') = \sum_{\ell \in \Lambda} c_{\ell} \hat{i}([\ell], \alpha)\hat{i}([\ell], \alpha'),
$$

and hence this bilinear form is symmetric and positive semidefinite. If α is a nonzero element of $W_{\mathbb{Q}}$, then there is some $\ell \in \Lambda$ such that $\hat{i}([\ell], \alpha) \neq 0$. By the above equation, we see that $(\alpha, \alpha) > 0$, which establishes the positive definiteness. We conclude that $(\delta_f - I)_{\mathbb{Q}}$: $W_{\mathbb{Q}} \to Y_{\mathbb{Q}}'$ is an injective linear map of vector spaces that have the same dimension and hence is also surjective. This proves $Y_{\mathbb{Q}} = Y_{\mathbb{Q}}'$, and the proposition follows. □

The following proposition will be used for 'computing' the Ceresa class of a Lagrangian collection of curves, in the sense of §[6.3.](#page-27-0)

Proposition 6.3. Take elements $f_1, \ldots, f_M \in \mathcal{B}$, let f denote their product and let τ be a hyperelliptic quasi-involution. Then

$$
J([f,\tau]) = \sum_{i=1}^{M} (g_i)_* \cdot J([f_i,\tau])
$$

for some $q_1, \ldots, q_M \in \mathcal{B}$.

Proof. We proceed by induction on M. When $M = 1$ the Lemma is clear. Suppose that the Lemma is true for $M-1$. Then by Proposition [2.1,](#page-5-0) we have

$$
J([f,\tau]) = J([f_1,\tau]) + (f_1)_* \cdot J([f_2 \cdots f_M, \tau]) = J([f_1,\tau]) + (f_1)_* \sum_{i=2}^M (g_i)_* \cdot J([f_i,\tau]).
$$

 \Box

Since each f_i lies in \mathcal{B} , we have placed $J([f,\tau])$ in the desired form.

6.2. Handlebodies and the Luft–Torelli group

Let V be a handlebody with boundary Σ_g . Let $D \subset \Sigma_g$ be a small open disc so that $\Sigma_g^1 = \Sigma_g \setminus D$. The handlebody group $\mathcal{H}_g^1(V)$ is the subgroup of Γ_g^1 consisting of mapping classes that are restrictions of homeomorphisms of V. Denote by $\mathcal{L}^1_g(V)$ the kernel of the homomorphism

$$
\mathcal{H}_g^1(V) \to \operatorname{Aut} \pi_1(V).
$$

Figure 6.1. A basis of Σ_g^1 to compute $J(T_\gamma T_{\gamma'}^{-1})$; here, the β_1, \ldots, β_g are meridians of a handlebody.

The Luft–Torelli group of Σ_g^1 is

$$
\mathbf{LT}^1_g(V) = \mathcal{L}^1_g(V) \cap \mathcal{I}^1_g.
$$

A meridian is a nontrivial isotopy class of a simple closed curve in Σ_g^1 that bounds a properly embedded disc in V. Note that T_{ℓ} lies in $\mathcal{L}^1_g(V)$ if ℓ is a meridian. A *contractible* bounding pair is a bounding pair (γ, γ') such that γ and γ' are meridians, and a *contractible* bounding pair map is the product of Dehn twists $T_{\gamma}T_{\gamma'}^{-1}$, where (γ, γ') is a contractible bounding pair. The following is [\[34,](#page-40-17) Theorem 9].

Theorem 6.4. For $g \geq 3$, the Luft–Torelli group $LT_g^1(V)$ is generated by contractible bounding pair maps.

Given a handlebody V, the kernel Y_V of the map

$$
H_1(\Sigma_g^1, \mathbb{Z}) \to H_1(V, \mathbb{Z})
$$

induced by the inclusion $\Sigma_g^1 \hookrightarrow V$ is a Lagrangian subspace of H. Define a filtration of L similar to the one in Equation (5.1) :

$$
F_q^V L = (\wedge^q Y_V) \wedge (\wedge^{3-q} H).
$$

Proposition 6.5. If f is a contractible bounding pair map, then $J(f) \in F_2^V(L)$. In particular, if $g \geq 3$ and if $f \in \mathbf{LT}_g^1(V)$, then $J(f) \in F_2^V(L)$.

Proof. By Theorem [6.4,](#page-27-1) it suffices to prove the first statement. Let (γ, γ') be a contractible bounding pair; we may assume that γ and γ' are as in Figure [6.1.](#page-27-2) Using the basis in this figure, we compute

$$
J(T_{\gamma}T_{\gamma'}^{-1}) = \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \alpha_i \wedge \beta_i \wedge [\gamma].
$$

Since $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{d-1}, [\gamma]$ lie in Y, we see that $J(T_\gamma T_{\gamma'}^{-1})$ lies in $F_2^V L$, as required.

 \Box

6.3. The Lagrangian case

In this section and the next, we will prove our main result, that the Ceresa class is torsion. We begin by focusing on the case Σ_g^1 ; the closed surface case follows readily from this, as we explain in §[6.5.](#page-31-1)

Figure 6.2. Maximal arrangements of nonintersecting curves on Σ_2^1 .

We say that a collection of nonintersecting simple closed curves Λ is *Lagrangian* if the rank of Y is half the rank of H , the largest possible rank of Y. Given any Lagrangian $Λ$ on Σ_g^1 or Σ_g , there is a handlebody V of Σ_g such that each curve in Λ is a meridian of V; see the proof of [\[26,](#page-40-18) Lemma 5.7]. In this case, the subgroup $Y < H$ has three characterizations:

- 1. *Y* is the integral span of the curves $[\ell]$ for $\ell \in \Lambda$ (by definition),
- 2. Y is the saturation of $\text{im}(\delta_f I)$ for any positive multitwist f supported on Λ (by Proposition 6.2),
- 3. Y is the kernel Y_V of the homomorphism $H_1(\Sigma_q, \mathbb{Z}) \to H_1(V, \mathbb{Z})$.

Therefore, the filtrations $F_q^V L$ and $F_q L$ agree.

Theorem 6.6. Suppose Λ is a collection of pairwise disjoint simple closed curves in Σ_g^1 . Let $f = \prod_{\ell \in \Lambda} T_{\ell}^{c_{\ell}}$ be a positive multiturist, and let V be a handlebody in which each curve in Λ is a meridian. Choose a hyperelliptic quasi-involution τ that lies in $\mathcal{H}^1_g(V)$. Then $J([f,\tau])$ lies in $F_2^V(L)$. In particular, if Λ is Lagrangian and f is a positive multitwist supported on Λ , then $\mu(f) \in A(\delta_f)$ and $\mu(f)$ is torsion.

Proof. Suppose $g \geq 3$. The commutator $[f, \tau]$ lies in $\mathcal{L}_g^1(V)$ since each T_ℓ lies in $\mathcal{L}_g^1(V)$ and $\mathcal{L}_g^1(V)$ is a normal subgroup of $\mathcal{H}_g^1(V)$. The commutator also lies in \mathcal{I}_g^1 since τ maps to the center of Sp(H). So $[f, \tau] \in \mathbf{LT}_g^1(V)$ and therefore $J([f, \tau]) \in F_2^V(L)$ by Proposition [6.5.](#page-27-3)

Next, suppose $g = 2$. There are 15 arrangements Λ on Σ_2^1 ; it suffices to consider the three maximal arrangements as illustrated in Figure [6.2.](#page-28-0) For each surface, regard the 'inside' as the handlebody V and τ is rotation by 180 \degree about the axis horizontal to the page. For the left or middle case, label the isotopy classes in Λ in any order by $\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3, \ell_4$ and let $c_i = c_{\ell_i}$. The eight isotopy classes $\{\ell_i, \tau(\ell_i) : i = 1, 2, 3, 4\}$ pairwise have geometric intersection number 0. Thus, the corresponding collection of Dehn twists commute, so

$$
[f,\tau] = \prod_{i=1}^{4} (T_{\ell_i} T_{\tau(\ell_i)}^{-1})^{c_i}.
$$

Each $T_{\ell_i}T_{\tau(\ell_i)}^{-1}$ is either the identity or a contractible bounding pair, whence $J([f,\tau]) \in$ $F_2^V(L)$ by Proposition [6.5.](#page-27-3)

Finally, consider the arrangement on the right in Figure [6.2](#page-28-0) and label the isotopy classes left to right by $\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3, \ell_4$; the curves ℓ_2 and ℓ_3 are separating. Since $T_{\ell_1}T_{\tau(\ell_1)}^{-1}$ $T_{\ell_2}T_{\tau(\ell_2)}^{-1} = \text{id}$ and $\ell_3, \tau(\ell_3)$ are separating curves, we have

$$
J([f,\tau]) = c_4 J(T_{\ell_4} T_{\tau(\ell_4)}^{-1})
$$

which lies in $F_2(L)$ by Proposition [6.5](#page-27-3) since $(\ell_4, \tau(\ell_4))$ is a contractible bounding pair.

The last statement of the theorem follows from the fact that $F_2^V(L) = F_2(L)$ in the Lagrangian case and the finiteness of $A(\delta_f)$. \Box

Suppose now that Λ is Lagrangian and f is a positive multitwist supported on Λ . Because $\mu(f) \in A(\delta_f)$, we can consider the image of this class in $B(\delta_f)$, which we denote by w_f . The reason to consider w_f is that it admits a relatively simple formula.

Proposition 6.7. If Λ be a Lagrangian arrangement of curves on Σ_g and $f = \prod T_{\ell}^{c_{\ell}}$ is a positive multitwist supported on Λ , then

$$
w_f = \sum_{\ell \in \Lambda} c_{\ell} \cdot J([T_{\ell}, \tau]).
$$

Proof. By Proposition [6.3,](#page-26-0)

$$
J([f,\tau]) = \sum_{\ell \in \Lambda} c_{\ell} \cdot (g_{\ell})_{*} \cdot J([T_{\ell},\tau]) \quad \text{in} \quad B(\delta_{f}),
$$

where each $g_\ell \in \mathcal{B}$. The proposition now follows from the fact that \mathcal{B} acts trivially on $B(\delta_f)$. \Box

6.4. The non-Lagrangian case

As we will see in Example [7.7,](#page-37-0) when the collection of homology classes of the curves in Λ do not span a Lagrangian subspace of H , then the Ceresa class of a positive multitwist f need not live in $A(\delta_f)$. Nevertheless, this class is still torsion.

Theorem 6.8. Suppose Λ is any collection of pairwise disjoint simple closed curves in Σ_g^1 , and $f = \prod_{\ell \in \Lambda} T_{\ell}^{c_{\ell}}$ is a positive multitwist. Then $\mu(f)$ is torsion.

The proof will occupy this whole subsection. As usual, let $Y = \langle [\ell] : \ell \in \Lambda \rangle$, and $d = \dim Y$. Choose a subset of loops ℓ_1, \ldots, ℓ_d in Λ which are linearly independent (and thus rationally span Y). Fix a collection of isotopy classes of simple closed curves $a_1, \ldots, a_g, b_1, \ldots, b_g$ on Σ_g^1 such that

- 1. the classes a_i and b_j for $i, j = 1, \ldots, g$ are pairwise nonintersecting except $i(a_i, b_i) = 1$;
- 2. the classes a_i and b_j for $i, j = 1, \ldots, g d$ do not intersect the classes in Λ ;
- 3. the homology classes $\alpha_i = [a_i]$ and $\beta_j = [b_j]$ form a symplectic basis for H;
- 4. $b_{g+1-j} = \ell_j \text{ for } j = 1, ..., d.$

Let us explain why such a collection of curves exists. Let $\mathbf{G} = (G, w)$ be the weighted dual graph of Λ . Recall that the sum of the weights $w(v)$ over all vertices v is $q-d$. For each vertex v with $w(v) > 0$, choose a subsurface $S \cong \Sigma^1_{w(v)}$ of Σ_v so that $\partial \Sigma_v$ lies in $\Sigma_v \backslash S$. Now, define $w(v)$ of the a_i and b_i for $i \leq g-d$ by the basis in Figure [6.1.](#page-27-2) We have already specified $b_{q-d+1},...,b_q \in \Lambda$. Finally, we may choose the remaining a_i to complete the symplectic basis by [\[36,](#page-40-19) Lemma A.3].

Figure 6.3. A handlebody such that each b_i is a meridian.

Choose closed regular neighborhoods N_i of $a_i \cup b_i$; each N_i is homeomorphic to a torus with one boundary component, and ∂N_i is a separating curve. Choose N_i small enough so that, for each $i \leq g - d$, the boundary ∂N_i does not intersect the curves in Λ . The mapping class group Γ_1^1 is isomorphic to $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and the mapping class τ_i corresponding to $-I \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ takes a_i to a_i and b_i to b_i , reversing their orientations. We claim that if M is a handlebody of N_i , then $\tau_i \in \mathcal{H}_1^1(M)$. Since any two handlebody subgroups are conjugate to each other [\[26,](#page-40-18) §3] and $-I$ lies in the center of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$, it suffices to show that τ_i lies in $\mathcal{H}_1^1(M)$ for just one M. Take Σ_1^1 to be the surface in Figure [6.1](#page-27-2) (for $g=1$), and let M be the handlebody on the inside. A representative of the mapping class τ_i is given by a rotation of 180◦ horizontal to the page and applying a suitable isotopy so that it is the identity on D. Extending by the identity defines τ_i on Σ_g^1 , and the product $\tau = \tau_1 \cdots \tau_g$ is a hyperelliptic quasi-involution on Σ_g^1 .

For $i = 1, \ldots, g-d$, let A_i and B_i be handlebodies for N_i so that a_i is a meridian in A_i and b_i is a meridian in B_i . The surface $S = \sum_{j=0}^{1} \bigcup_{i=1}^{g-d} N_i^{\circ}$ is homeomorphic to $\sum_{d=1}^{g-d+1}$ (recall that N_i° denotes the relative interior of N_i). Let V be a handlebody for the surface obtained by capping off the boundary components of S so that ℓ is a meridian of V for each $\ell \in \Lambda$. For any two-part partition I, J of $\{1, \ldots, g-d\}$, let V_{IJ} be the handlebody of Σ_g^1 obtained by attaching A_i and B_j to V for $i \in I$ and $j \in J$. By the previous paragraph, we have that $\tau \in \mathcal{H}_g^1(V_{IJ})$.

Let

$$
\mathcal{F} = \bigcap_{I,J} F_2^{V_{IJ}}(L),
$$

where the intersection is taken over all two-part partitions I, J of $\{1, \ldots, g - d\}$. We note that in the case already treated, where Λ is Lagrangian, $g = d$, so I and J are both empty and there is only a single choice for V_{IJ} , namely the handlebody V of the previous section.

Lemma 6.9. The class $J([f, \tau])$ lies in \mathcal{F} .

Proof. By Proposition [6.5,](#page-27-3) it suffices to show that $[f, \tau] \in LT_{g}^{1}(V_{IJ})$ for all two-part partitions I,J of $\{1,\ldots,g-d\}$. The multitwist f lies in each $\mathcal{L}_g^1(V_{IJ})$, and τ lies in

each $\mathcal{H}_g^1(V_{IJ})$. Since $\mathcal{L}_g^1(V_{IJ})$ is a normal subgroup of $\mathcal{H}_g^1(V_{IJ})$ and the symplectic representation of τ is in the center of Sp(H), we have that $[f,\tau] \in \mathbf{LT}_g^1(V_{IJ})$, as required. \Box

Lemma 6.10. The image of F in $L/(\delta_f - I)L$, which can be expressed as

$$
\frac{\mathcal{F} + (\delta_f - I)L}{(\delta_f - I)L} \cong \frac{\mathcal{F}}{(\delta_f - I)L \cap \mathcal{F}}
$$
\n(6.3)

is a finite group.

Proof. To prove that the group in Equation (6.3) is finite, it suffices to show that

$$
(\delta_f-I)_{\mathbb{Q}}(L_{\mathbb{Q}})\cap \mathcal{F}=\mathcal{F}.
$$

The basis α_i, β_j of H induces coordinates on $L \cong \mathbb{Z}^{\binom{2g}{3}}$, and each $F_2^{V_{IJ}}(L)$ is a coordinate subspace of L in this basis. Therefore, their intersection $\mathcal F$ is also a coordinate subspace. It is generated by

- 1. $\alpha_i \wedge \beta_j \wedge \beta_k$ for $j,k > q-d$;
- 2. $\beta_i \wedge \beta_j \wedge \beta_k$ for $i,k > a-d$;
- 3. $\alpha_i \wedge \beta_i \wedge \beta_k$ for $i \leq q-d$ and $k>q-d$.

The simple wedges in (1) and (2) are already in F_2L , so they are in the image of $(\delta_f-I)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ by Proposition [5.4.](#page-20-0) For (3), let $x \in H_0$ satisfy $(\delta_f - I)_0(x) = \beta_k$. Since $(\delta_f - I)(\alpha_i) =$ 0 and $(\delta_f - I)(\beta_i) = 0$ for $i \leq g - d$, we have $(\delta_f - I)_{\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha_i \wedge \beta_i \wedge x) = \alpha_i \wedge \beta_i \wedge \beta_k$. So $(\delta_f - I)_{\mathbb{O}}(L_{\mathbb{O}}) \cap \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}$, as required. П

Proof of Theorem [6.8.](#page-29-0) The class $[f, \tau]$ lies in F by Lemma [6.9](#page-30-0) and so $J([f, \tau])$ lies in the group in Equation [\(6.3\)](#page-31-2). This group is finite by Lemma [6.10,](#page-31-3) and therefore $\mu(f)$ is torsion. \Box

6.5. The closed surface case

Let Λ be a collection of pairwise nonintersecting nontrivial isotopy classes of simple closed curves on Σ_g , and let $f = \prod_{\ell \in \Lambda} T_{\ell}^{c_{\ell}}$ be a positive multitwist. The natural map $\Gamma_g^1 \to \Gamma_g$ is surjective, so we may view f as a positive multitwist on Σ_g^1 . Also, the natural map $H^1(\langle \delta_f \rangle, L) \to H^1(\langle \delta_f \rangle, L/H)$ takes $\mu(f)$ to $\nu(f)$, so the torsionness of $\nu(f)$ follows from the torsionness of $\mu(f)$. This completes the proof that the Ceresa class of a multitwist on a closed surface is torsion.

The map $H^1(\langle \delta_f \rangle, L) \to H^1(\langle \delta_f \rangle, L/H)$ also induces a surjection $A(\delta_f) \to \overline{A(\delta_f)}$ as studied in Proposition [5.16,](#page-24-2) so when Λ is Lagrangian, the class $\nu(f)$ lies in $\overline{A(\delta_f)}$. The image of w_f under $B(\delta_f) \to \overline{B(\delta_f)}$ is the image of $\nu(f)$ under $\overline{A(\delta_f)} \to \overline{B(\delta_f)}$, which we denote by v_f . By Proposition [6.7,](#page-29-1) we have

$$
v_f = \sum_{\ell \in \Lambda} c_{\ell} \cdot J([T_{\ell}, \tau]). \tag{6.4}
$$

Figure 7.1. From left to right, these are the graphs K_4 , TL_3 . The vertices of the theta graph each have weight 1.

Remark 6.11. The finite group $\overline{A(\delta_{\gamma})}$, where γ is the multitwist associated to an integral tropical curve, is the group mentioned at the end of §[2.2.](#page-4-0) This group seems to be of interest in its own right, especially to what extent it can be thought of as a 'tropical intermediate Jacobian'.

7. Examples

In this section, we will compute the Ceresa class for the multitwist T_{Γ} for tropical curves whose underlying vertex-weighted graph is displayed in Figure [7.1.](#page-32-2) In the first two examples, we will consider tropical curves Γ whose vertex-weights are all 0 (see the left and middle graphs in Figure [7.1\)](#page-32-2). Let us describe our strategy for determining Ceresa nontriviality in this case.

Let Γ be a genus $q \geq 3$ tropical curve with integral edge lengths c_1, \ldots, c_N and whose vertex weights are all 0. Let ℓ_1, \ldots, ℓ_N be the collection of loops on Σ_g corresponding to Γ as in §[4.1.](#page-11-2) As discussed in §[6.5,](#page-31-1) the class $\nu(T_{\Gamma})$ lies in $A(\delta_{\Gamma})$, and its image in $B(\delta_{\Gamma})$, denoted by v_{Γ} , is described by Equation [\(6.4\)](#page-31-0). So to compute v_{Γ} , it suffices to compute each $J([T_{\ell_i},\tau])$ for some hyperelliptic involution τ . While the choice of τ does not matter, it is essential that we use the same τ to compute each $J([T_{\ell_i},\tau])$. Now, observe that $[T_{\ell_i}, \tau] = T_{\ell_i} T_{\tau(\ell_i)}^{-1}$ and ℓ_i is homologous to $\tau(\ell_i)$. Three things may happen.

- If ℓ_i is a separating curve, then so is $\tau(\ell_i)$, and hence $J(T_{\ell_i}T_{\tau(\ell_i)}^{-1})=0$.
- If ℓ_i is a nonseparating curve that does not intersect $\tau(\ell_i)$, then we may use Formula (2.4) to compute $J([T_{\ell_i},\tau]).$
- If ℓ_i is a nonseparating curve that intersects $\tau(\ell_i)$, we may find a sequence of loops $\ell_i =$ $\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_k = \tau(\ell_i)$ so that γ_j does not intersect γ_{j+1} , and compute each $J(T_{\gamma_j}T_{\gamma_{j+1}}^{-1})$ using formula [\(2.4\)](#page-4-1). Such a sequence must exist for $q > 3$ by [\[37,](#page-40-20) Theorem 1.9].

With an explicit formula for v_{Γ} in hand, let us show how to determine if it represents the trivial element of $B(\delta_{\Gamma})$. Recall that $B(\delta_{\Gamma})$ is the cokernel of the map

$$
\delta_{\Gamma} - I : \operatorname{gr}^F_1(L/H) \to \operatorname{gr}^F_2(L/H).
$$

Because the vertex weights of Γ are all 0, the polarization Q_{Γ} , viewed as a map $H/Y \to Y$, is nonsingular, and the map $\delta_{\Gamma} - I$ above is invertible after tensoring with Q. An explicit inverse is

$$
(\delta_{\Gamma} - I)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{-1} (y \wedge y' \wedge h) = \frac{1}{2} \left(Q_{\Gamma}^{-1} y \wedge y' \wedge h + y \wedge Q_{\Gamma}^{-1} y' \wedge h - Q_{\Gamma}^{-1} y \wedge Q_{\Gamma}^{-1} y' \wedge Q_{\Gamma} h \right) \tag{7.1}
$$

for $y, y' \in Y$ and $h \in H$. Thus, the class v_{Γ} is trivial if and only if $u_{\Gamma} = (\delta_{\Gamma} - I)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{-1}(v_{\Gamma})$ is integral, that is, lies in $gr_1^F(L/H)$. Thus, determining triviality of v_{Γ} is almost as simple as computing the coordinates of u_{Γ} in $gr^F_2(L)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ using a basis of H and seeing if they are integral, yet we still need to account for taking the quotient by H. Recall from Equation [\(2.3\)](#page-4-2) that $\omega = \alpha_1 \wedge \beta_1 + \cdots + \alpha_g \wedge \beta_g$ for any symplectic basis $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_g, \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_g$ of H. Therefore, any two representatives in L of an element in L/H differ only in coordinates of the form $\alpha_i \wedge \alpha_j \wedge \beta_i$ or $\alpha_i \wedge \beta_i \wedge \beta_j$. In conclusion, we have the following way to determine nontriviality of the Ceresa class.

Proposition 7.1. Suppose that the vertex weights of Γ are all 0. The Ceresa class $\nu(T_{\Gamma})$ is nontrivial if u_Γ has a coordinate of the form $\alpha_i \wedge \alpha_j \wedge \beta_k$, where i,j,k are distinct, whose coefficient is not integral.

We will now illustrate this analysis in some examples.

Example 7.2. Suppose Γ is a tropical curve whose underlying vertex-weighted graph is K_4 (the left graph in Figure [7.1\)](#page-32-2). Then Γ is Ceresa nontrivial.

By Proposition [4.3,](#page-14-1) it suffices to show that $\nu(T_{\Gamma}) \neq 0$ whenever Γ has integral edge lengths. Let ℓ_1,\ldots,ℓ_6 be configuration of essential closed curves illustrated in Figure [7.2.](#page-34-1) Because the vertex weights of Γ are all 0, this arrangement of curves is Lagrangian, and hence $\nu(T_{\Gamma}) \in A(\delta_{\Gamma})$. Let v_{Γ} denote the projection of $\nu(T_{\Gamma})$ to $B(\delta_{\Gamma})$. Let τ be the hyperelliptic involution given by a rotation of 180° through the axis horizontal to the page. Observe that $[T_{\ell_i}, \tau] = 1$ for $i = 1, 3, 4, 6$. The remaining two commutators $[T_{\ell_2}, \tau] = T_{\ell_2} T_{\tau(\ell_2)}^{-1}$ and $[T_{\ell_5}, \tau] = T_{\ell_5} T_{\tau(\ell_5)}^{-1}$ are bounding pair maps, so we may compute their images under J using Equation [\(2.4\)](#page-4-1). With respect to the basis of $H = H_1(\Sigma_3, \mathbb{Z})$ in Figure [6.1,](#page-27-2) these are

$$
J([T_{\ell_2}, \tau]) = \alpha_1 \wedge \beta_1 \wedge \beta_2
$$

$$
J([T_{\ell_5}, \tau]) = -\alpha_2 \wedge \beta_1 \wedge \beta_2 - \alpha_2 \wedge \beta_2 \wedge \beta_3 + \alpha_2 \wedge \beta_1 \wedge \beta_3.
$$

By Equation [6.4,](#page-31-0) the class v_{Γ} is

$$
v_{\Gamma} = c_2 \cdot \alpha_1 \wedge \beta_1 \wedge \beta_2 + c_5 \cdot (-\alpha_2 \wedge \beta_1 \wedge \beta_2 - \alpha_2 \wedge \beta_2 \wedge \beta_3 + \alpha_2 \wedge \beta_1 \wedge \beta_3). \tag{7.2}
$$

Next, we compute $u_{\Gamma} = (\delta_{\Gamma} - I)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{-1}(v_{\Gamma})$ using Equation [7.1:](#page-32-3)

$$
det(Q_{\Gamma}) u_{\Gamma} =
$$

\n
$$
-(c_1c_2c_3 + c_1c_2c_4 + c_1c_2c_5 + c_2c_3c_5 + c_2c_4c_5 + c_2c_3c_6 + c_2c_4c_6 + c_2c_5c_6
$$

\n
$$
+ c_3c_5c_6) \cdot \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2 \wedge \beta_1
$$

\n
$$
- c_2c_4(c_1 + c_5 + c_6) \cdot \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_3 \wedge \beta_1 + c_1c_5c_6 \cdot \alpha_2 \wedge \alpha_3 \wedge \beta_1
$$

\n
$$
+ (c_2c_3c_5 + c_2c_4c_5 + c_3c_4c_5 + c_2c_3c_6 + c_2c_4c_6 + c_2c_5c_6 + c_3c_5c_6) \cdot \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2 \wedge \beta_2
$$

\n
$$
+ c_2c_4c_6 \cdot \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_3 \wedge \beta_2 + c_1c_5(c_2 + c_4 + c_6) \cdot \alpha_2 \wedge \alpha_3 \wedge \beta_2
$$

\n
$$
- c_3c_4c_5 \cdot \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2 \wedge \beta_3 + c_2c_4c_5 \cdot \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_3 \wedge \beta_1 - c_1c_4c_5 \cdot \alpha_2 \wedge \alpha_3 \wedge \beta_3,
$$

Figure 7.2. An arrangement of simple closed curves on Σ_3 dual to K_4 .

where

$$
Q_{\Gamma} = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 + c_5 + c_6 & -c_6 & -c_5 \\ -c_6 & c_2 + c_4 + c_6 & -c_4 \\ -c_5 & -c_4 & c_3 + c_4 + c_5 \end{pmatrix} . \tag{7.3}
$$

By Equation [\(4.1\)](#page-12-1), $\det(Q_{\Gamma})$ is the first Symanzik polynomial of G. Observe that the absolute value of the coordinates of $\det(Q_{\Gamma})u_{\Gamma}$ consist of a sum of monomials of the form c_T for spanning trees T, each appearing with coefficient 1. Thus, for any positive value of the c_i 's, each coordinate of u_{Γ} has absolute value strictly between 0 and 1. By Proposition [7.1,](#page-33-1) the Ceresa class $\nu(T_{\Gamma})$ is nontrivial.

Remark 7.3. This is an opportune moment to remark on the relation between the definition of tropical Ceresa class in the present paper and the definition given by Zharkov in [\[43\]](#page-40-2). Consider the element $w_{\Gamma} = (\delta_{\Gamma} - 1)v_{\Gamma}$, which lies in $gr_3^F(L/H)$. If v_{Γ} lies in $(\delta_{\Gamma} 1)$ gr^F (L/H) , then certainly w_{Γ} lies in $(\delta_{\Gamma}-1)^2$ gr^F (L/H) . So the Ceresa class maps to

$$
gr_3^F(L/H)/(\delta_{\Gamma} - 1)^2 gr_1^F(L/H).
$$

Using the expression for v_{Γ} in Equation [\(7.2\)](#page-33-2), we see that

$$
w_{\Gamma} = -2c_2c_5 \cdot \beta_1 \wedge \beta_2 \wedge \beta_3.
$$

The group $(\delta_{\Gamma} - I)^2 \text{gr}_{1}^{F}(L/H)$ is generated by $(\delta_{\Gamma} - I)^2(\alpha_i \wedge \alpha_j \wedge \beta_k)$ for all i, j , $k \in \{1,2,3\}$ with $i < j$. Because

$$
(\delta_{\Gamma} - I)^2 (\alpha_i \wedge \alpha_j \wedge \beta_k) = 2 Q \alpha_i \wedge Q \alpha_j \wedge \beta_k = 2 \begin{vmatrix} q_{si} & q_{sj} \\ q_{ti} & q_{tj} \end{vmatrix} \beta_1 \wedge \beta_2 \wedge \beta_3,
$$

where (s,t,k) is an even permutation of $(1,2,3)$, we see that $(\delta_{\Gamma}-I)^2 \text{gr}_{1}^{F}(L/H)$ is generated by 2 times the 2×2 minors of the symmetric matrix Q_{Γ} from Equation [\(7.3\)](#page-34-2). Thus, this subgroup is generated by

$$
2(c_1c_4 - c_2c_5), \t 2(c_1c_4 - c_3c_6),2(c_2c_5 + c_4c_5 + c_4c_6 + c_5c_6), \t 2(c_2c_5 + c_1c_2 + c_1c_6 + c_2c_6),2(c_2c_5 + c_1c_3 + c_1c_5 + c_3c_5), \t 2(c_2c_5 + c_2c_3 + c_2c_4 + c_3c_4).
$$

So the Ceresa class of T_{Γ} is nontrivial whenever $-2c_2c_5$ does not lie in the subgroup of Z generated by the six integers above. This is precisely the condition Zharkov computes in [\[43,](#page-40-2) §3.2] for the algebraic nontriviality of his Ceresa cycle for a tropical curve with

Figure 7.3. Left: an arrangement of simple closed curves on Σ_4 dual to TL_3 . Right: the basis we use to compute $\nu(T_{\Gamma})$, oriented so that $\hat{i}(\alpha_i,\beta_i)=1$.

underlying graph K_4 . It remains to be understood whether this relation between our tropical Ceresa class and Zharkov's holds in general.

Remark 7.4. We observe that the element $u_{\Gamma} = (\delta_{\Gamma} - I)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{-1}(v_{\Gamma})$ is a point in a sixdimensional torus (identified by our choice of basis here with $(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^6$) which is zero if and only if the Ceresa class vanishes. What's more, u_{Γ} does not change when the edge lengths are scaled simultaneously since both $\delta_{\Gamma} - 1$ and v_{Γ} are homogeneous of degree 1 in the edge lengths. The space $M(K_4)$ of tropical curves with underlying graph K_4 is a positive orthant in \mathbb{R}^6 , or more precisely the quotient of this orthant by the action of the automorphism group S_4 (see [\[17\]](#page-39-20) for a full description) and the class u_{Γ} can be thought of as a map from the projectivization of this orthant to the 6-torus. The content of Example [7.2](#page-33-0) is then that the image of this map does not include 0. It would be interesting to understand whether this map can be naturally extended to the whole tropical moduli space of genus 3 curves.

Remark 7.5. Consider the case $c_1 = \cdots = c_6 = 1$. The invariant factors of Q_Γ are $q_1 = 1$, $q_2 = 4$, and $q_3 = 4$, and hence the projection $A(\delta_{\Gamma}) \to B(\delta_{\Gamma})$ is an isomorphism. We compute v_{Γ} and u_{Γ} to be

$$
v_{\Gamma} = \alpha_1 \wedge \beta_1 \wedge \beta_2 - \alpha_2 \wedge \beta_1 \wedge \beta_2 - \alpha_2 \wedge \beta_2 \wedge \beta_3 + \alpha_2 \wedge \beta_1 \wedge \beta_3,
$$

\n
$$
u_{\Gamma} = \frac{1}{16}(-9\alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2 \wedge \beta_1 - 3\alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_3 \wedge \beta_1 + \alpha_2 \wedge \alpha_3 \wedge \beta_1 + 7 \cdot \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2 \wedge \beta_2 + \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_3 \wedge \beta_2
$$

\n
$$
+3\alpha_2 \wedge \alpha_3 \wedge \beta_2 - \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2 \wedge \beta_3 + \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_3 \wedge \beta_1 - \alpha_2 \wedge \alpha_3 \wedge \beta_3).
$$

From this, we see that v_{Γ} has order 16 in $\overline{B(\delta_{\Gamma})}$, and therefore the Ceresa class $\nu(T_{\Gamma})$ also has order 16 in $\overline{A(\delta_{\Gamma})}$.

There were two key features in this example: each $[T_{\ell_i}, \tau]$ was either trivial or a bounding pair map, and each coordinate of $(\delta_{\Gamma} - I)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{-1}(\nu(T_{\Gamma}))$ had absolute value strictly between 0 and 1. In the next example, neither of these properties will hold.

Example 7.6. Suppose Γ is a tropical curve whose underlying vertex-weighted graph is TL_3 (the middle graph in Figure [7.1\)](#page-32-2). Then Γ is Ceresa nontrivial.

As in the previous example, it suffices to show that $\nu(T_{\Gamma})$ is nontrivial whenever Γ has integral edge lengths. Let v_{Γ} denote the image of $\nu(T_{\Gamma})$ in $B(\delta_{\Gamma})$. Let ℓ_1,\ldots,ℓ_9 be the configuration of essential closed curves in Figure [7.3,](#page-35-1) and choose τ to be rotation by 180[°] through the axis horizontal to the page. To compute each $J([T_{\ell_i},\tau])$, we will use the symplectic basis of $H = H_1(\Sigma_4, \mathbb{Z})$ displayed on the right in Figure [7.3;](#page-35-1) this

yields a much nicer expression for $u_{\Gamma} = (\delta_{\Gamma} - I)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{-1}(v_{\Gamma})$. Clearly, $[T_{\ell_i}, \tau] = 1$ for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$. Next, $[T_{\ell_i}, \tau] = T_{\ell_i} T_{\tau(\ell_i)}^{-1}$ are bounding pair maps when $i = 5, 6, 7, 9$, hence $J([T_{\ell_i}, \tau])$ may be computed using formula [\(2.4\)](#page-4-1). The only remaining loop is ℓ_8 , which intersects $\tau(\ell_8)$. However, $T_{\ell_8}T_{\tau(\ell_8)}^{-1} = (T_{\ell_8}T_{\ell_9}^{-1})(T_{\ell_9}T_{\tau(\ell_8)}^{-1})$ expresses $T_{\ell_8}T_{\tau(\ell_8)}^{-1}$ as a product of bounding pair maps, which we may use to compute $J([T_{\ell_8},\tau])$. Then

$$
v_{\Gamma} = -c_6 \cdot \alpha_2 \wedge \beta_1 \wedge \beta_2 + -c_5 \cdot \alpha_1 \wedge \beta_1 \wedge \beta_2
$$

+
$$
(-c_5 - c_7 + c_8 - c_9)(\alpha_1 \wedge \beta_1 \wedge \beta_3 + \alpha_1 \wedge \beta_1 \wedge \beta_4)
$$

+
$$
(c_6 - c_7 + c_8 + c_9)(\alpha_2 \wedge \beta_2 \wedge \beta_3 + \alpha_2 \wedge \beta_2 \wedge \beta_4).
$$

Next, we compute u_{Γ} using Equation [7.1:](#page-32-3)

$$
det(Q_{\Gamma}) u_{\Gamma} = c_{5}(c_{1}c_{3}c_{4} + c_{1}c_{3}c_{6} + c_{1}c_{4}c_{6} + c_{3}c_{4}c_{6} + 2c_{1}c_{3}c_{8} + 2c_{1}c_{4}c_{8} + 2c_{3}c_{6}c_{8} + 2c_{4}c_{6}c_{8}) \cdot \alpha_{1} \wedge \alpha_{2} \wedge \beta_{1}
$$

\n
$$
c_{4}(c_{1} + c_{6})(c_{2}c_{5} - c_{2}c_{8} - c_{5}c_{8} + c_{2}c_{9} + c_{5}c_{9} + c_{2}c_{7} + c_{5}c_{7}) \cdot \alpha_{1} \wedge \alpha_{3} \wedge \beta_{1}
$$

\n
$$
c_{3}(c_{1} + c_{6})(c_{2}c_{5} - c_{2}c_{8} - c_{5}c_{8} + c_{2}c_{9} + c_{5}c_{9} + c_{2}c_{7} + c_{5}c_{7}) \cdot \alpha_{1} \wedge \alpha_{4} \wedge \beta_{1}
$$

\n
$$
c_{6}(c_{2}c_{3}c_{4} + c_{2}c_{3}c_{5} + c_{2}c_{4}c_{5} + c_{3}c_{4}c_{5} + 2c_{2}c_{3}c_{7} + 2c_{2}c_{4}c_{7} + 2c_{3}c_{5}c_{7} + 2c_{4}c_{5}c_{7}) \cdot \alpha_{1} \wedge \alpha_{2} \wedge \beta_{2}
$$

\n
$$
-c_{4}(c_{2} + c_{5})(c_{1}c_{6} + c_{1}c_{8} + c_{6}c_{8} + c_{1}c_{9} + c_{6}c_{9} - c_{1}c_{7} - c_{6}c_{7}) \cdot \alpha_{2} \wedge \alpha_{3} \wedge \beta_{2}
$$

\n
$$
-c_{3}(c_{2} + c_{5})(c_{1}c_{6} + c_{1}c_{8} + c_{6}c_{8} + c_{1}c_{9} + c_{6}c_{9} - c_{1}c_{7} - c_{6}c_{7}) \cdot \alpha_{2} \wedge \alpha_{4} \wedge \beta_{2}
$$

\n
$$
c_{5}c_{6}(c_{3
$$

where

$$
Q_{\Gamma} = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 + c_6 & 0 & c_6 & c_6 \\ 0 & c_2 + c_5 & c_5 & c_5 \\ c_6 & c_5 & c_3 + c_5 + c_6 + c_7 + c_8 + c_9 & c_5 + c_6 + c_7 + c_8 + c_9 \\ c_6 & c_5 & c_5 + c_6 + c_7 + c_8 + c_9 & c_4 + c_5 + c_6 + c_7 + c_8 + c_9 \end{pmatrix}.
$$

By Equation [\(4.1\)](#page-12-1), $\det(Q_{\Gamma})$ is the first Symanzik polynomial of G. The coordinates of $\det(Q_{\Gamma})u_{\Gamma}$ of the form $\alpha_i \wedge \alpha_j \wedge \beta_k$, for i,j,k distinct, are a sum of monomials c_T for spanning trees T , each appearing with coefficient ± 1 . Thus, for any positive value of the

Figure 7.4. An arrangement of simple closed curves on Σ_4 dual to a theta graph with a weight on each vertex.

 c_i 's, each coordinate of these coordinates is strictly between -1 and 1. If they are all equal to 0, then

$$
(c1 + c6)c7 = c1c6 + c1c8 + c6c8 + c1c9 + c6c9,(c2 + c5)c8 = c2c5 + c2c9 + c5c9 + c2c7 + c5c7,(c3 + c4)c9 = c3c4 + c3c8 + c4c8 + c3c7 + c4c7.
$$

Solving for c_7 in the first equation and substituting this expression in the second equation yields

$$
c_1c_2c_5 + c_1c_2c_6 + c_1c_5c_6 + c_2c_5c_6 + 2c_1c_2c_9 + 2c_1c_5c_9 + 2c_2c_6c_9 + 2c_5c_6c_9 = 0
$$

which cannot happen if every c_i is positive. Therefore, the Ceresa class $\nu(T_{\Gamma})$ is nontrivial by Proposition [7.1.](#page-33-1)

In the previous two examples, each collection of curves is Lagrangian, and hence $\nu(T_{\Gamma})$ lies in $A(\delta_{\Gamma})$. However, the Ceresa class $\nu(T_{\Gamma})$ may not live in $A(\delta_{\Gamma})$, as we shall see in the following example.

Example 7.7. Let Γ be a tropical curve whose underlying graph is a theta graph. Suppose the two vertices each have weight 1, and each edge has length 1; see the right graph in Figure [7.1.](#page-32-2) Then $\nu(T_{\Gamma}) \notin \overline{A(\delta_{\Gamma})}$, but $3\nu(T_{\Gamma}) \in \overline{A(\delta_{\Gamma})}$. In particular, Γ is Ceresa nontrivial.

Let ℓ_1, ℓ_2, ℓ_3 be the configuration of essential closed curves illustrated in Figure [7.4.](#page-37-1) Consider the following basis of $H_1(\Sigma_4, \mathbb{Z})$, written in terms of the basis in Figure [6.1:](#page-27-2)

$$
\alpha'_2 = -\alpha_2, \, \alpha'_3 = 2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \, \beta'_2 = \beta_3 - 2\beta_2, \, \beta'_3 = \beta_2
$$

and $\alpha'_i = \alpha_i$, $\beta'_i = \beta_i$ for $i = 1, 4$. On this basis, $(\delta_{\Gamma} - I)(\alpha'_2) = \beta'_2$, $(\delta_{\Gamma} - I)(\alpha'_3) = 3\beta'_3$, and $(\delta_{\Gamma} - I)(\alpha'_i), (\delta_{\Gamma} - I)(\beta'_i) = 0$ otherwise. So $Y' = \text{span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\{\beta'_2, \beta\beta'_3\},\$ and $Y = \text{span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\{\beta'_2, \beta'_3\}.$ Next, we compute

$$
J([T_{\Gamma}, \tau]) = J([T_{\ell_1}, \tau]) + T_{\ell_1} \cdot J([T_{\ell_2}, \tau]) + T_{\ell_1} T_{\ell_2} \cdot J([T_{\ell_3}, \tau])
$$

= $\alpha'_1 \wedge \beta'_1 \wedge \beta'_2 + \alpha'_1 \wedge \beta'_1 \wedge \beta'_3 + \alpha'_2 \wedge \beta'_2 \wedge \beta'_3.$

Clearly, $\alpha'_2 \wedge \beta'_2 \wedge \beta'_3 \in F_2L$, and $\alpha'_1 \wedge \beta'_1 \wedge \beta'_2 \in (\delta_{\Gamma} - I)(L)$ by Proposition [5.6.](#page-20-2) However, the simple wedge $\alpha'_1 \wedge \beta'_1 \wedge \beta'_3$ is not contained in $F_2L + (\delta_{\Gamma} - I)L + H$, so $\nu(T_{\Gamma}) \notin \overline{A(\delta_{\Gamma})}$. Nevertheless, the class $3\nu(T_{\Gamma})$ lies in $\overline{A(\delta_{\Gamma})}$ because $Y' \leq 3Y$.

Figure 7.5. A hyperelliptic tropical curve that is not the tropicalization of a hyperelliptic curve.

Remark 7.8. The ℓ -adic Ceresa class of a hyperelliptic algebraic curve is trivial, which we may interpret as a property of hyperelliptic Jacobians via the Torelli theorem. Nevertheless, the tropical analog of this does not hold because the property of being hyperelliptic cannot be determined by the Jacobian alone. A tropical curve whose Jacobian is isomorphic to the Jacobian of a hyperelliptic tropical curve, as polarized tropical abelian varieties, is said to be of hyperelliptic type. By [\[18,](#page-39-17) Theorem 1.1], the tropical curve from Example [7.7](#page-37-0) is of hyperelliptic type, yet it is Ceresa nontrivial. Thus, the Ceresa class for a tropical curve is not an invariant of its Jacobian and can be used to distinguish hyperelliptic tropical curve from tropical curves of hyperelliptic type. One can ask whether the tropical Ceresa class is trivial exactly for hyperelliptic tropical curves. Translating this question into topological terms is a bit subtle because the multitwist T_{Γ} associated to a hyperelliptic tropical curve Γ is not necessarily hyperelliptic; that is, there may not be a hyperelliptic involution in the mapping class group which commutes with T_{Γ} . This is related to the issue that there exist hyperelliptic tropical curves which are not tropicalizations of any degenerating algebraic hyperelliptic curve. Consider, for instance, the curve in Figure [7.5.](#page-38-0)

This is a tropical hyperelliptic curve which is known not to be the tropicalization of a hyperelliptic curve. The genus-3 mapping class T_{Γ} corresponding to this curve is a product of three commuting separating Dehn twists; this mapping class is not hyperelliptic, but $J([T_{\Gamma},\tau])$ vanishes for all τ since T_{Γ} itself lies in the kernel of the Johnson homomorphism. Indeed, it is easy to describe a hyperelliptic quasi-involution which commutes with T_{Γ} not only up to the Johnson kernel, but on the nose: the product of the three Dehn half-twists about the separating curves.

Nonetheless, the explicit criterion given in [\[1,](#page-39-21) Theorem 4.13] shows that a hyperelliptic tropical curve yields a hyperelliptic mapping class under mild conditions; for instance, it is enough that the underlying graph of Γ be 2-vertex-connected. So one might ask: if γ is a positive multitwist whose Ceresa class vanishes and whose corresponding graph is 2-vertex-connected, is γ hyperelliptic?

Acknowledgements. The first author is partially supported by NSF-RTG grant 1502553 and 'Symbolic Tools in Mathematics and Their Application' (TRR 195, project-ID 286237555). The second author is partially supported by NSF-DMS grant 1700884. The third author is partially supported from the Simons Collaboration on Arithmetic Geometry, Number Theory and Computation. We are grateful for helpful comments and suggestions from Matt Baker, Benson Farb, Daniel Litt, Andrew Putman and Bjorn Poonen.

Competing interests. The authors have no competing interest to declare.

References

- [1] O. AMINI, M. BAKER, E. BRUGALLÉ AND J. RABINOFF, 'Lifting harmonic morphisms II: Tropical curves and metrized complexes', Algebra Number Theory **9**(2) (2015), 267–315.
- [2] O. AMINI, S. BLOCH, J. I. BURGOS GIL AND J. FRESAN, 'Feynman amplitudes and limits of heights', Izv. Ross. Akad. Nauk Ser. Mat. **80**(5) (2016), 5–40.
- [3] O. Amini and L. Caporaso, 'Riemann–Roch theory for weighted graphs and tropical curves', Advances in Mathematics **240** (2013), 1–23.
- [4] M. Asada, M. Matsumoto and T. Oda, 'Local monodromy on the fundamental groups of algebraic curves along a degenerate stable curve', J. Pure Appl. Algebra **103**(3) (1995), 235–283.
- [5] M. BAKER AND S. NORINE, 'Harmonic morphisms and hyperelliptic graphs', Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (15) (2009), 2914–2955.
- [6] A. BEAUVILLE, 'A non-hyperelliptic curve with torsion Ceresa class', C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris **359** (2021), 871–872.
- [7] A. Beauville and C. Schoen, 'A non-hyperelliptic curve with torsion Ceresa cycle modulo algebraic equivalence', Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (**5**) (2023), 3671–3675.
- [8] L. A. BETTS AND D. LITT, 'Semisimplicity of the Frobenius action on π 1', in p-adic Hodge Theory, Singular Varieties, and Non-Abelian Aspects, edited by BHARGAV BHATT and Martin Olsson (Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2023), 17–64.
- [9] J. S. Birman, 'On Siegel's modular group', Math. Ann. **191** (1971), 59–68.
- [10] D. BISOGNO, W. LI, D. LITT AND P. SRINIVASAN, 'Group-theoretic Johnson classes and non-hyperelliptic curves with torsion Ceresa class', $Épi=" *Conformal Geom. Algé* **Figure 7** (2023),$ Art. 8, 19.
- [11] S. BRANNETTI, M. MELO AND F. VIVIANI, 'On the tropical Torelli map', Adv. Math. **226**(3) (2011), 2546–2586.
- [12] P. Brosnan and G. Pearlstein, 'Jumps in the Archimedean height', Duke Math. J. **168**(10) (2019), 1737–1842.
- [13] L. Caporaso, 'Algebraic and tropical curves: comparing their moduli spaces', in Handbook of Moduli. Vol. I, Adv. Lect. Math. (ALM), vol. 24 (Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2013), 119–160.
- [14] L. CAPORASO AND F. VIVIANI, 'Torelli theorem for graphs and tropical curves', Duke Math. J. **153**(1) (2010), 129–171.
- [15] G. CERESA, 'C is not algebraically equivalent to C^- in its Jacobian', Ann. of Math. (2) **117**(2) (1983), 285–291.
- [16] M. Chan, 'Tropical hyperelliptic curves', J. Algebraic Combin. **37**(2) (2013), 331–359.
- [17] M. Chan, 'Lectures on tropical curves and their moduli spaces', in Moduli of Curves, (Springer, 2017), 1–26.
- [18] D. Corey, 'Tropical curves of hyperelliptic type', J. Algebraic Combin. **53**(4) (2021), 1215–1229.
- [19] B. Farb and D. Margalit, A Primer on Mapping Class Groups, Princeton Mathematical Series, vol. 49 (Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2012).
- [20] M. Green and P. Griffiths, 'Algebraic cycles and singularities of normal functions', Algebraic Cycles and Motives. Vol. 1, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. 343 (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2007), 206–263.
- [21] R. Hain, 'Normal functions and the geometry of moduli spaces of curves', Handbook of Moduli. Vol. I, Adv. Lect. Math. (ALM), vol. **24** (Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2013), 527–578.
- [22] R. Hain and M. Matsumoto, 'Galois actions on fundamental groups of curves and the cycle C −C−', J. Inst. Math. Jussieu **4**(3) (2005), 363–403.

- [23] R. HAIN AND D. REED, 'On the Arakelov geometry of moduli spaces of curves', J. Differential Geom. **67**(2) (2004), 195–228.
- [24] B. HARRIS, 'Harmonic volumes', Acta Math. **150**(1–) (1983), 91–123.
- [25] B. Harris, 'Homological versus algebraic equivalence in a Jacobian', Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **80**(4) (1983), 1157–1158.
- [26] S. Hensel, 'A primer on handlebody groups', in Handbook of Group Actions, edited by Lizhen Ji, Athanase Papadopoulos and Shing-Tung Yau (International Press of Boston, Inc., 2020), 143 –178.
- [27] D. JOHNSON, 'An abelian quotient of the mapping class group \mathcal{I}_g ', Math. Ann. **249**(3) (1980), 225–242.
- [28] F. F. Knudsen, 'The projectivity of the moduli space of stable curves. II. The stacks Mg,n', Math. Scand. **52**(2) (1983), 161–199.
- [29] D. T.-B. G. Lilienfeldt and A. Shnidman, 'Experiments with Ceresa classes of cyclic Fermat quotients', Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **151**(3) (2023), 931–947.
- [30] G. Mikhalkin and I. Zharkov, 'Tropical eigenwave and intermediate Jacobians', in Homological Mirror Symmetry and Tropical Geometry, Lect. Notes Unione Mat. Ital., vol. 15 (Springer, Cham, 2014), 309–349.
- [31] S. Morita, 'The extension of Johnson's homomorphism from the Torelli group to the mapping class group', Invent. Math. **111**(1) (1993), 197–224.
- [32] T. ODA, 'A note on ramification of the Galois representation on the fundamental group of an algebraic curve. II', J. Number Theory **53**(2) (1995), 342–355.
- [33] Chris A. M. Peters and J. H. M. Steenbrink, Mixed Hodge Structures, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics], vol. 52 (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008).
- [34] W. Pitsch, 'Trivial cocycles and invariants of homology 3-spheres', Adv. Math. **220**(1) (2009), 278–302.
- [35] J. POWELL, 'Two theorems on the mapping class group of a surface', Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **68**(3) (1978), 347–350.
- [36] A. Putman, 'Cutting and pasting in the Torelli group', Geom. Topol. **11** (2007), 829–865.
- [37] A. Putman, 'A note on the connectivity of certain complexes associated to surfaces', Enseign. Math. (2) **54**(3–4) (2008), 287–301.
- [38] C. Qiu and W. Zhang, 'Vanishing results in chow groups for the modified diagonal cycles', 2022, [https://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:2209.09736.](https://arxiv.org/abs/2209.09736)
- [39] J. Top, 'Hecke l-series related with algebraic cycles or with siegel modular forms', Ph.D. thesis, Utrecht, 1989.
- [40] B. Totaro, 'Complex varieties with infinite Chow groups modulo 2', Ann. of Math. (2) **183**(1) (2016), 363–375.
- [41] A. TSUCHIYA, K. UENO AND Y. YAMADA, 'Conformal field theory on universal family of stable curves with gauge symmetries', in Integrable Systems in Quantum Field Theory and Statistical Mechanics, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., vol. 19 (Academic Press, Boston, MA, 1989), 459–566.
- [42] S.-W. Zhang, 'Gross–Schoen cycles and dualising sheaves', Invent. Math. **179**(1) (2010), 1–73.
- [43] I. ZHARKOV, 'C is not equivalent to C^- in its Jacobian: A tropical point of view', Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (3) (2015), 817–829.