

ON THE GENERALIZED JOSEPHUS PROBLEM

by F. JAKÓBCZYK

(Received 4 January, 1972)

1. Introduction and statement of the problem. The problem of Josephus and the forty Jews is well known [1, 3]. In its most general form, this problem is equivalent to the problem of *m*-enumeration of a set, as described below.

Define the ordered set

$$Z_n = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}.$$

We choose and remove cyclically, from left to right, each *m*th element of Z_n until the set is exhausted. The chosen elements are ordered into a new ordered set

$$Z_n^{(m)} = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\},$$

which is therefore a permutation of Z_n , obtained by what we call *m*-enumeration of the set Z_n .

The following two questions arise:

(i) For any $i (1 \leq i \leq n)$, which position in $Z_n^{(m)}$ is occupied by *i*? In other words, when is the *i*th element of Z_n removed?

(ii) For any $k (1 \leq k \leq n)$, which element of Z_n occupies the *k*th position in $Z_n^{(m)}$? In other words, which element of Z_n is the *k*th to be removed?

The solution presented here is, as far as I am aware, essentially different from those of other authors. However, Rankin [2] used a somewhat similar method to answer the particular question: "Which element of Z_n is the *n*th to be removed?"

2. Notation and method of solution. We introduce the following notations:

$$[x] = \text{integer part of } x, \quad \{x\} = -[-x],$$

$$e_v = \left[\frac{n_v}{m} \right], \quad E_v = \sum_{i=0}^v e_i, \quad r_v = R(n_v, m) = n_v - m \left[\frac{n_v}{m} \right],$$

where $R(n_v, m)$ denotes the remainder on dividing n_v by m .

Let $n = n_0$, and denote the sequence of numbers in Z_n by \mathcal{S}_0 . We assume that $n > m$. We have

$$\mathcal{S}_0 : 1, 2, \dots, e_0 m + r_0 = n_0.$$

From the sequence \mathcal{S}_0 we remove the numbers $m, 2m, \dots, e_0 m$; they will form the 0th class in $Z_n^{(m)}$, and the sequence \mathcal{S}_0 becomes the sequence \mathcal{S}'_0 .

$$\mathcal{S}'_0 : 1, 2, \dots, m-1, m+1, \dots, 2m-1, 2m+1, \dots, e_0 m + r_0.$$

We renumber the terms of the sequence \mathcal{S}'_0 consecutively from r_0+1 to obtain the sequence \mathcal{S}_1 .

$$\mathcal{S}_1 : r_0+1, r_0+2, \dots, e_1 m+r_1 = n_1.$$

From the sequence \mathcal{S}_1 we remove the numbers $m, 2m, \dots, e_1 m$; they will form the first class in $Z_n^{(m)}$, and the sequence \mathcal{S}_1 becomes the sequence \mathcal{S}'_1 .

$$\mathcal{S}'_1 = r_0+1, r_0+2, \dots, m-1, m+1, \dots, 2m-1, 2m+1, \dots, e_1 m+r_1.$$

We renumber the terms of the sequence \mathcal{S}'_1 consecutively from r_1+1 to obtain the sequence \mathcal{S}_2 .

$$\mathcal{S}_2 = r_1+1, r_1+2, \dots, e_2 m+r_2 = n_2.$$

We proceed thus until we obtain the inequality

$$n_t < m.$$

The last sequence \mathcal{S}_t will be

$$\mathcal{S}_t = r_{t-1}+1, r_{t-1}+2, \dots, n_t,$$

which contains $n_t - r_{t-1} = u$ numbers. Among them there is no number of type τm . Since $n_t < m$, we have $u < m$.

Further m -enumeration leads to the sequence \mathcal{S}_t being permuted to yield the sequence

$$\mathcal{C} = \{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_u\},$$

say, and this will be the t th and last class in $Z_n^{(m)}$.

The following relation is easy to verify:

$$n_{v+1} = n_v - e_v + r_v - r_{v-1} \quad (v = 0, 1, \dots, t-1).$$

Now, for an integer i ($1 \leq i \leq n$), we let i_v (respectively i'_v) denote the value of that integer in \mathcal{S}_v (respectively \mathcal{S}'_v) which has been derived from $i = i_0$ in \mathcal{S}_0 ($1 \leq v \leq t-1$), provided that such an integer exists. Then

$$i_{v+1} = \left\{ \frac{m-1}{m} i'_v \right\} + r_v - r_{v-1},$$

and conversely

$$i'_v = \left[\frac{m(i_{v+1} - r_v + r_{v-1}) - 1}{m-1} \right].$$

Therefore the sequences $\mathcal{S}_v, \mathcal{S}_{v+1}$ are related by

$$i_{v+1} = \left\{ \frac{m-1}{m} i'_v \right\} + r_v - r_{v-1}, \tag{1}$$

and

$$i'_v = \left[\frac{m(i_{v+1} - r_v + r_{v-1}) - 1}{m-1} \right], \tag{2}$$

where $i_v \neq \tau m$ for any integer τ , and

$$r_{v-1} + 1 \leq i_v \leq n_v \quad \text{for } v = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

The equivalence of the relations (1), (2) follows from the following easily proved lemma.

LEMMA. *If $m \nmid b$, then $a = \left\{ \frac{m-1}{m} b \right\}$ if and only if $b = \left[\frac{ma-1}{m-1} \right]$.*

We shall now provide algorithms to answer the two questions posed in §1. For this purpose, we require to construct a table of values of the parameters $n_v, e_v, E_v, r_v, r_v - r_{v-1}$ for $v = 0, 1, 2, \dots, t$.

Question 1. Given $i \in Z_n$, put $i = i_0 = \tau_0 m + \rho_0$ ($0 \leq \rho_0 \leq m-1$). If $\rho_0 = 0$, then $i_0 = \tau_0 m$ and i_0 belongs to the 0th class in $Z_n^{(m)}$ at the τ_0 th position. Therefore $a_{\tau_0} = i_0$. If $\rho_0 > 0$, the number i_0 will go over to the sequence \mathcal{S}'_0 and thence to the sequence \mathcal{S}_1 , in which it will assume the value

$$i_1 = \left\{ \frac{m-1}{m} i_0 \right\} + r_0.$$

Let $i_1 = \tau_1 m + \rho_1$. If $\rho_1 = 0$, then $i_1 = \tau_1 m$ and the number i_0 will occupy the τ_1 th place of the first class of $Z_n^{(m)}$. Hence $a_{E_0 + \tau_1} = i_0$. If $\rho_1 > 0$, i_1 will go over to the sequence \mathcal{S}'_1 and thence to the sequence \mathcal{S}_2 , in which it will assume the value

$$i_2 = \left\{ \frac{m-1}{m} i_1 \right\} + r_1 - r_0.$$

Let $i_2 = \tau_2 m + \rho_2$.

Proceeding in this way we have: Let $i_v = \tau_v m + \rho_v$. If $\rho_v = 0$, then $i_v = \tau_v m$ and i_0 occupies the τ_v th place of the v th class in $Z_n^{(m)}$. Thus

$$i_0 = a_{e_0 + e_1 + \dots + e_{v-1} + \tau_v} = a_{E_{v-1} + \tau_v}.$$

If $\rho_v > 0$, i_v will go over to the sequence \mathcal{S}'_v and thence to the sequence \mathcal{S}_{v+1} .

If finally, the number i_0 goes over to the last sequence \mathcal{S}_t , it will be one of the numbers c_1, \dots, c_u . If, then, $i_0 \rightarrow i_t = c_j$, we have

$$i_0 = a_{E_{t-1} + j}.$$

We thus obtain the following rule for finding the position in $Z_n^{(m)}$ of i .

Rule. If $i = i_0 \rightarrow i_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow i_v = \tau_v m$, then $i = a_{E_{v-1} + \tau_v}$ ($v < t$).

If $i = i_0 \rightarrow i_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow i_t = c_j$, then $i = a_{E_{t-1} + j}$.

Question 2. We apply a procedure converse to the former. We consider two cases.

(i) If $k > E_{t-1}$, then let $k = E_{t-1} + j$, where $j \leq u$. To the number a_k there will correspond the number $c_j = i_t$. Applying formula (2) several times, we get:

$$i_{t-1} = \left\lceil \frac{m(i_t - r_{t-1} + r_{t-2}) - 1}{m-1} \right\rceil,$$

$$i_{t-2} = \left\lceil \frac{m(i_{t-1} - r_{t-2} + r_{t-3}) - 1}{m-1} \right\rceil,$$

.

$$i_0 = \left\lceil \frac{m(i_1 - r_0) - 1}{m-1} \right\rceil.$$

Therefore $a_k = i_0$. In this way, we can find a_n , the last element of Z_n to be removed.

(ii) If $k \leq E_{t-1}$, we choose v so that $E_{v-1} < k \leq E_v$, and put $k = E_{v-1} + \tau_v$, where $\tau_v \leq e_v$, $v \leq t-1$. In this case, the number a_k will occupy the τ_v th place in the v th class of $Z_n^{(m)}$. Then $i_v = \tau_v m$, and applying formula (2) several times we get

$$i_{v-1} = \left\lceil \frac{m(i_v - r_{v-1} + r_{v-2}) - 1}{m-1} \right\rceil,$$

$$i_{v-2} = \left\lceil \frac{m(i_{v-1} - r_{v-2} + r_{v-3}) - 1}{m-1} \right\rceil,$$

.

$$i_0 = \left\lceil \frac{m(i_1 - r_0) - 1}{m-1} \right\rceil.$$

Therefore $a_k = i_0$.

3. Illustration by an example. Suppose that $n = 117$, $m = 6$. We draw up the table of parameters $n_v, e_v, E_v, r_v, r_v - r_{v-1}$ for $v = 0, 1, \dots, n - E_{t-1} = 117 - 113 = 4$, so that the t th class in $Z_{117}^{(6)}$ is $\{c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4\}$, obtained by 6-enumeration of $\{r_{17} + 1, r_{17} + 2, r_{17} + 3, r_{17} + 4\} = (1, 2, 3, 4)$, and so

$$\{c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4\} = \{2, 1, 4, 3\}.$$

ν	n_ν	e_ν	E_ν	r_ν	$r_\nu - r_{\nu-1}$
0	117	19	19	3	3
1	101	16	35	5	2
2	87	14	49	3	-2
3	71	11	60	5	2
4	62	10	70	2	-3
5	49	8	78	1	-1
6	40	6	84	4	3
7	37	6	90	1	-3
8	28	4	94	4	3
9	27	4	98	3	-1
10	22	3	101	4	1
11	20	3	104	2	-2
12	15	2	106	3	1
13	14	2	108	2	-1
14	11	1	109	5	3
15	13	2	111	1	-4
16	7	1	112	1	0
17	6	1	113	0	-1
18	4	0	—	4	4

Examples of Question 1.

(a) When is the number 64 removed?

We have

$$i_0 = 64 \rightarrow 57 \rightarrow 50 \rightarrow 40 \rightarrow 36 = 6 \times 6$$

as

$$\nu \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 4,$$

so that $64 = a_{E_3+6} = a_{66}$; i.e., 64 is the 66th element of Z_{117} to be removed.

(b) When is the number 80 removed?

$$i_0 = 80 \rightarrow 70 \rightarrow 61 \rightarrow 49 \rightarrow 43 \rightarrow 33 \rightarrow 27 \rightarrow 26 \rightarrow 19 \rightarrow 19 \rightarrow 15 \rightarrow 14 \rightarrow 10 \rightarrow 10 \rightarrow 8 \rightarrow 10 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 4 = c_3$$

as

$$\nu = 0 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 6 \rightarrow 7 \rightarrow 8 \rightarrow 9 \rightarrow 10 \rightarrow 11 \rightarrow 12 \rightarrow 13 \rightarrow 14 \rightarrow 15 \rightarrow 16 \rightarrow 17 \rightarrow 18, \text{ so that}$$

$80 = a_{E_{17}+3} = a_{116}$; i.e., 80 is the 116th element to be removed.

Examples of Question 2.

(a) What is the 46th element to be removed?

$$46 = E_1 + 11,$$

so that 46 occupies 11th place in the 2nd class of $Z_{117}^{(6)}$. Applying formula (2), we get

$$i_v = 66 \rightarrow 76 \rightarrow 87$$

as

$$v = 2 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 0;$$

i.e., 87 is the 46th element to be removed.

(b) What is the last (117th) element to be removed?

$$117 = E_{17} + 4, a_{117} \rightarrow c_3 = 4.$$

$$i_v = 3 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 9 \rightarrow 7 \rightarrow 9 \rightarrow 9 \rightarrow 13 \rightarrow 14 \rightarrow 17 \\ \rightarrow 16 \rightarrow 22 \rightarrow 22 \rightarrow 27 \rightarrow 35 \rightarrow 39 \rightarrow 49 \rightarrow 56 \rightarrow 63$$

as

$$v = 18 \rightarrow 17 \rightarrow 16 \rightarrow 15 \rightarrow 14 \rightarrow 13 \rightarrow 12 \rightarrow 11 \rightarrow 10 \rightarrow 9 \\ \rightarrow 8 \rightarrow 7 \rightarrow 6 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 0;$$

i.e., 63 is the last element to be removed.

REMARK. In the last step of the above method, we have to carry out the m -enumeration of the set $\{r_{t-1} + 1, r_{t-1} + 2, \dots, n_t\}$, containing $u = r_t$ elements, where $r_t < m$.

If m is small, this operation may be carried out directly. However, if m is relatively large, we may, as in the general case of $n \leq m$, use, for example, the method of increasing divisors, which is based on the following principle:

If $a_{s,n}$ is the s th element from the right in $Z_n^{(m)}$, where $s < n$, then $a_{s,n+1} = R(a_{s,n} + m, n + 1)$ is the s th element from the right in $Z_{n+1}^{(m)}$. For $s = n$ we have $a_{s,s} = R(m, s)$.

REFERENCES

1. W. Ahrens, *Mathematische Unterhaltungen und Spiele* (Leipzig, 1918), 118–169.
2. R. A. Rankin (R. A. MacFhraing), Àireamh muinntir Fhinn is Dhubhain, agus sgeul Iosephuis is an dà fhichead Iudhaich, *Proc. Roy. Irish Acad. Sect. A.* **52** (1948), 87–93.
3. W. W. Rouse Ball and H. S. M. Coxeter, *Mathematical recreations and essays* (London, 1939), 32–35.

KATOLICKI UNIWERSYTET LUBELSKI
LUBLIN, POLAND.