www.cambridge.org/awf

Research Article

Cite this article: Barboza RSL, Correia JMS, Souto A and Schiel N (2024). Disturbed areas promote more parental care and less nesting preference in females of broad-snouted caiman (Caiman latirostris). Animal Welfare, 33, e56, 1–9

<https://doi.org/10.1017/awf.2024.60>

Received: 26 March 2024 Revised: 14 October 2024 Accepted: 21 October 2024

Keywords:

animal welfare; Atlantic Forest; behaviour; crocodilian; nesting ecology; reproduction

Corresponding author: Rafael Sa Leitao Barboza; Email: rafabarboza@gmail.com

Author contributions:

Conceptualisation: JC, NS, RB, AS; Data curation: RB; Formal analysis: RB; Funding acquisition: RB; Investigation: RB; Methodology: NS, RB; Project administration: JC, RB, NS; Supervision: JC, NS, RB, AS; werundation: Juliet Methodology: NS, RB; Project administration
JC, RB, NS; Supervision: JC, NS, RB, AS;
Validation: JC, NS, RB, AS; Writing – original ncurowows, row, nor, row, out, and an annumentation:
JC, RB, NS; Supervision: JC, NS, RB, AS;
Validation: JC, NS, RB, AS; Writing – original
draft: RB, NS; Writing – review & editing: JC, NS, RB, AS.

© The Author(s), 2024. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of The Universities Federation for Animal Welfare. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial licence [\(http://](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0)

[creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0\)](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original article is properly cited. The written permission of Cambridge University Press must be obtained prior to any commercial use.

Twitter: @UFAW_1926 webpage: <https://www.ufaw.org.uk/>

Disturbed areas promote more parental care and less nesting preference in females of broad-snouted caiman (Caiman latirostris)

Rafael Sa Leitao Barboza^{[1,2,3](#page-0-0)} , Jozelia Maria de Sousa Correia^{[1,2](#page-0-0)} \bullet Antonio Souto^{[4,5](#page-0-1)} and Nicola Schiel^{[1,3](#page-0-0)} and

¹Universidade Federal Rural de Pernambuco - UFRPE; ²Laboratório Interdisciplinar de Anfíbios e Répte is- LIAR; ³Laboratório de Etologia Teórica e Aplicada - LETA; ⁴Universidade Federal de Pernambuco - UFPE and ⁵Laboratório de Etologia - Labet

Abstract

The successful survival of crocodilian hatchlings is largely dependent upon nest care by females. Nonetheless, it is crucial to understand how environmental degradation affects nest site selection and parental behaviour in female crocodilians. Therefore, our objective was to evaluate the relationship between anthropogenic disturbances and nesting behaviour in free-living broadsnouted caiman (Caiman latirostris). We compared nests in a disturbed and a non-disturbed area, and anticipated differences in nest density, hatching success, female size, egg number and biomass, and parental care behaviour. We monitored 44 nests over four years in Brazil, covering 58.25 km, in a disturbed area (n = 8) and a non-disturbed area (n = 36). According to our findings, nest density was significantly higher in the non-disturbed area (1.31 nests per linear km) compared to the disturbed area (0.25 nests per linear km). However, there was a significantly higher frequency of parental care behaviour (86%) in the disturbed area compared to the non-disturbed area (34%). The other factors studied showed no statistical difference between the two areas. The results indicate that females prefer to nest in healthier, preserved environments, away from anthropogenic disturbances. Yet, presumably at the cost of extra energy expenditure, increased parental care appears to buffer against a reduction in hatching success. Despite the behavioural buffer, the clear preference for non-disturbed nesting and nursery locations underscores the need to identify and protect the remaining non-disturbed areas inhabited by these animals. Our findings suggest that these measures should positively impact the welfare and protection of C. latirostris and other crocodilian species.

Introduction

Anthropogenic disturbances in their most diverse forms can directly and/or indirectly impact the survival of species (Caro [2007;](#page-7-0) Wilson et al. [2020](#page-8-0)). For example, animals that inhabit anthropised environments, such as urban centres, may exhibit more aggressive behaviours at the expense of maternal care toward their young (Moroni et al. [2017](#page-8-1)), and sometimes lactating females adjust the frequency of feeding their young due to human presence (Lesmerises et al. [2017\)](#page-7-1). Crocodilians, as well as other large predators, are one of the groups that suffer most from the increasing anthropogenic impact, facing several challenges for survival and reproduction (Beal & Rosenblatt 2020), including behavioural changes (Zhang et al. [2023\)](#page-8-2). In this scenario, females are particularly exposed to environmental changes caused by human activities, as they remain close to their nests for extended periods to provide parental care (Vitt & Caldwell [2009](#page-8-3)). However, little is known about how female crocodilians respond behaviourally to environmental stressors (Hénaut & Charruau [2012\)](#page-7-2).

The simple presence of nests is one of the strongest indicators of reproductive success in crocodilians (Webb [2005](#page-8-4)). The nesting and egg incubation period is one of the most vulnerable stages in the life of crocodilians and therefore requires special care (Mazzotti [1989\)](#page-8-5). Massive urbanisation is responsible for the reduction of natural habitats and the increase in negative interactions between humans and crocodilians (Cox & Brumund [2018;](#page-7-3) Mascarenhas-Junior et al. [2021\)](#page-7-4). For instance, impacts caused by alterations in natural conditions, such as damming of water bodies, conversion of wetlands to agricultural land, pollution, and mining activities can negatively affect crocodilian populations (Verdade et al. [2010](#page-8-6); Campos et al. [2020](#page-7-5); Mosse et al. [2023\)](#page-8-7). Under these circumstances, environmental and anthropogenic factors can directly influence characteristics associated with reproduction. Consider the following examples: eggs exposed to pesticides suffer from delays in embryonic development, as well as enzymatic and metabolic disorders (Poletta et al. [2011\)](#page-8-8); due to their low selectivity, gill nets inadvertently capture adult females in the reproductive period and even crocodilian hatchlings (Mascarenhas-Júnior et al. [2018\)](#page-7-6); habitat degradation causes population decline, reduction in nest density and hatching

success (Fujisaki et al. [2007;](#page-7-7) Mosse et al. [2023](#page-8-7)); solid waste present in the environment can be used by females in building nests and negatively affect embryonic development (Barboza et al. [2020\)](#page-6-1); gold mining can cause genotoxic effects (Marrugo-Negrete et al. [2019](#page-8-9)); and fluctuations in incubation temperature interfere with sex ratio, hatching success and hatchling size (Simoncini et al. [2019](#page-8-10)).

The survival of the young depends heavily on the care females invest in their nests (Mazzotti [1989;](#page-8-5) Lance et al. [2009\)](#page-7-8). Female crocodilians exhibit strong maternal care, which begins with the careful selection of nesting sites (Royle et al. [2012\)](#page-8-11) and extends through the first few months of the juveniles' life, reducing the vulnerability of eggs and hatchlings to predation (Magnusson [1980;](#page-7-9) Murray et al. [2020\)](#page-8-12). Nesting areas are carefully selected based on a set of variables, such as proximity to water bodies, availability of nesting material, presence and quality of nursery areas (Magnusson [1980;](#page-7-9) Somaweera et al. [2013\)](#page-8-7), and solar incidence level (Balaguera-Reina et al. [2015\)](#page-6-2). The selection of nesting sites by females often leads to intraspecific competition (Cunha et al. [2016](#page-7-10); Rodrigues et al. [2021](#page-8-13)), with larger, older, and more experienced females typically securing the most desirable sites (Montini et al. [2006;](#page-8-14) Murray et al. [2013\)](#page-8-15). Under these circumstances, anthropogenic impacts significantly reduce the availability of suitable nesting areas by causing substantial habitat alteration and degradation (Fujisaki et al. [2007](#page-7-7)).

Crocodilians of the Caiman genus, particularly C. latirostris (broad-snouted caiman) naturally occur in lentic water bodies along eastern South America in Brazil, Uruguay, Paraguay, Bolivia, and northern Argentina (Coutinho et al. [2013](#page-7-11)). Their presence has been recorded in large urban centres (Verdade et al. [2010;](#page-8-6) Correia et al. [2021\)](#page-7-12), exposing them to several anthropogenic factors occurring near water bodies, including pollution by domestic and industrial effluents and solid waste, intense traffic of motorised vessels, hunting and predatory fishing (Neves [2019](#page-8-16); Barboza et al. [2020](#page-6-1)). Their survival in impacted areas depends largely on the connectivity with the remaining scarce protected areas (Leverington et al. [2010;](#page-7-13) Correia et al. [2021\)](#page-7-12). In light of these challenges, behavioural ecology research plays a crucial role in informing strategic decisions on the welfare and conservation of crocodilians (Caro [2007\)](#page-7-0). While studies have sought to assess the impact of anthropogenic factors on various reproductive characteristics of crocodilians, such as the effects of contaminants, degraded areas, human presence, atypical weather events, nutritional stress, and hydroelectric plants (Larriera & Piña [2000](#page-7-14); Beldomenico et al. [2007](#page-6-3); Fujisaki et al. [2007;](#page-7-7) Simoncini et al. [2011;](#page-8-17) Fernández et al. [2013;](#page-7-15) Barão-Nóbrega et al. [2016;](#page-6-4) Campos et al. [2017,](#page-7-16) [2019](#page-7-17)), the specific impact of these factors on the nesting behaviour of female crocodilians remain unclear. Although each crocodilian species has distinct characteristics, they share ecological nesting and behavioural patterns (Murray et al. [2020](#page-8-12)), making C. latirostris a valuable model for addressing and understanding knowledge gaps in other threatened species. Furthermore, behaviour serves as a key indicator for assessing animal welfare, especially in the wild (Dawkins [2004](#page-7-1)). In an effort to bridge these gaps, the main objective of this study was to evaluate the relationship between anthropogenic disturbances and nesting characteristics of C. latirostris in its natural environment. To investigate this, we compared nests found in a disturbed area (affected by anthropogenic influence) with nests in a non-disturbed area (free from anthropogenic influence). Given that anthropogenic impacts influence the nesting process, that female crocodilians may change nesting locations (Henaut & Charruau [2012](#page-7-2)), and that nest density is typically lower in degraded habitats (Fujisaki et al. [2007](#page-7-7)), we expected to find the following: (i) lower nest density

in the disturbed area due to human activity (Eversole et al. [2018;](#page-7-4) Beal & Rosenblatt [2020](#page-6-0)); (ii) reduced hatching success in the disturbed area, as documented by Fujisaki et al. [\(2007](#page-7-7)); (iii) a higher proportions of smaller females nesting in the disturbed area, since competition between females for the best nesting areas tends to favour larger, more experienced individuals (Murray et al. [2013;](#page-8-15) Rodrigues *et al.* [2021\)](#page-8-13); (iv) fewer eggs per nest in the disturbed area, consistent with established allometric relationship between female crocodilians and the size of their clutch (Verdade [2001\)](#page-8-18); (v) lower egg biomass in the disturbed area, reflecting the predominance of smaller females (Murray et al. [2013\)](#page-8-15); and finally (vi) a reduction in parental care behaviours in the disturbed area, potentially leading to nest abandonment by females, due to the fact that crocodilians tend to avoid more anthropised environments (Eversole et al. [2018;](#page-7-4) Beal & Rosenblatt [2020\)](#page-6-0). We are confident that these results will positively impact the welfare and protection of C. latirostris and other crocodilian species.

Materials and methods

Study area and subjects

The study area encompassed the surroundings of the Tapacurá reservoir, built in 1973 in the municipality of São Lourenço da Mata (8º03'S and 35°10'W), in Northeast Brazil (Moura et al. [2012\)](#page-8-19). The region is characterised by semi-deciduous forests with a tropical climate, and an annual average rainfall of 1,300 mm (Moura et al. [2012\)](#page-8-19). The area includes private properties where agriculture, fishing, and extensive cattle and goat farming are practiced, as well as remaining Atlantic Forest fragments within protected areas with different environmental conservation levels, totalling 590 ha ([Figure 1](#page-2-0)).

The study areas were selected within native forest fragments at various stages of forest maturity. Although the active search method was used in both protected areas, the areas have different surveillance levels, and one of the areas is characterised by intense anthropogenic impact. We categorised the study region into a nondisturbed and a disturbed area based on the level of anthropogenic impact: (i) the non-disturbed area is characterised by ongoing environmental protection (where the presence of humans is limited to surveillance, specific research activities, and environmental education as described by Moura [2018](#page-8-20)), the absence of exotic livestock (cattle or goats), regular daily surveillance to prevent hunting, and native forest fragments at different stages of forest maturity (316.86 ha); (ii) in contrast, the disturbed area lacks consistent environmental protection, with only occasional surveillance, and is characterised by the presence of human activities, unfenced open areas with farmed and domestic animals (cattle, goats, and dogs), and frequent access by people engaged in activities such as plant extraction and hunting (R Barboza, personal observation 2022) ([Figure 1\)](#page-2-0). It is worth mentioning that the aquatic environment of the reservoir (710 ha) covers both areas and activities, such as predatory fishing, including illegal caiman hunting, occur there (Mascarenhas-Júnior et al. [2018](#page-7-6)). On the edge of the non-disturbed area, we observed no fishermen, and part of this region is inaccessible due to dense aquatic vegetation (R Barboza, personal observation 2022).

We monitored 44 nests (eight in the disturbed area and 36 in the non-disturbed area), along with the females associated with them. We captured nine of these females, five in the disturbed area and four in the non-disturbed area (Larriera et al. [2004\)](#page-7-18), to collect biometric data and mark them for future identification. The females

usage around Tapacurá reservoir, Pernambuco, Brazil (Bing Satellite images).

were captured in terrestrial environments by a handler with extensive experience in crocodilian procedures, using a catchpole and appropriate husbandry and handling techniques (Hewitt & Small [2021\)](#page-7-19). All captured females were released at the capture site after a quick biometric assessment. To minimise stress and ensure positive animal welfare (Kirkwood [2013](#page-7-3)), we avoided capturing females when they could be identified by their scales during nest management. We did not observe any recapture of the same female during the nesting period.

Ethical approval

Research, marking, and capture activities were approved by the Brazilian Environmental Agency (ICMBio/SISBio), under licence #63030-11, and authorised by the Animal Use Ethics Committee (CEUA) #068/2014 and 2022.

Procedures

The study was conducted during the breeding seasons of C. latirostris, from 2018 to 2022. Each field campaign lasted approxi-C. *ialirosiris*, from 2018 to 2022. Each field campaign lasted approximately five days, with field trips every two weeks over a four-month
period, from February (when nesting and egg-laying occur) to May
(when the hatchin period, from February (when nesting and egg-laying occur) to May 2022). Nests were found through active daytime searches, covering a 100-m sweep from the waterline at the edge of the reservoir to the interior of the forest. Standardised procedures for field research on crocodilian nests were followed, and data related to the characteristics of the nesting habitat, nests, eggs, females, and hatchlings were gathered (Campos et al. [2019\)](#page-7-17). The following data were then

collected for each sampling area: distance travelled during the active search; the number of nests; the number of eggs per nest (clutch size; CS); egg sizes (length; EL, width; EWI, mass; EMA, and clutch mass; CM); hatching rate (ER; number of hatched eggs/total number of eggs × 100); and female biometric data (snout-vent length; SVL, total eggs × 100); and lemale blometric data (shout-vent length; S v L, total
length; TL, and body mass; BM). Females were assumed to be the
mothers of the nests based on their proximity at the time of capture
(within 2–8 m from mothers of the nests based on their proximity at the time of capture behaviour (Larriera et al. [2004\)](#page-7-18). After performing in situ biometric measurements of the egg and females, followed by microchipping and marking through caudal-scale clipping, the females were released at the original capture site (Larriera et al. [2004\)](#page-7-18). Viable eggs underwent preliminary identification through the presence of an opaque band of calcification (Brown et al. [2021\)](#page-6-5).

The presence of the female near the nest after laying was considered evidence of parental care aimed at preventing egg predation (Larriera et al. [2004\)](#page-7-18). This information was gathered via direct visual identification of the female at the nest, remote identification using camera traps, or by detecting tracks and traces of nest maintenance throughout the entire monitoring period, from laying to hatching (Barão-Nóbrega et al. [2014;](#page-6-6) Merchant et al. [2018\)](#page-8-21). Camera traps (Bushnell Trophy Cam, Bushnell Corporation, USA) were used as a complementary tool to enhance the identification and characterisation of parental care and predation in the absence of human presence. However, we only had access to four cameras, which were installed individually and randomly at different nests in photography mode and moved to a new nest once the eggs were fully predated or hatched. Cameras were installed exclusively in the non-disturbed area due to the risk of theft in the disturbed area.

Statistical analysis

In order to evaluate the influence of the areas (predictor variable) on the nesting characteristics (response variable), the Student's t-test was performed on the variables that followed a normal distribution (CS and CM), while the Mann-Whitney U test was applied to the variables that did not follow a normal distribution (ER, SVL, and nest density). The Shapiro-Wilk test was used to assess normality. Nest density in each area over five years (number of nests per distance in km covered annually), egg production $(CS = total number of eggs per nest)$, egg biomass $(CM = total mass)$ of eggs per nest), and hatching rate (ER = number of hatched eggs/ total number of eggs per nest \times 100) were analysed to determine the influence of each type of sampled area (non-disturbed vs disturbed). The data collected over the four years of the study were pooled for analysis. A Chi-squared test with Yates correction was used to assess the presence of females performing parental care of the nests in each type of sampled area (Deitz & Hines [1980](#page-7-20)).

Data adversely affecting the variables CM, CS, and ER due to predation events (nests lacking information on the total number of eggs), nests with communal nesting (two females laying in the same nest), and eggs not fully separated were excluded. P-values ≤ 0.05 were considered significant.

Results

Over the course of five reproductive seasons, we identified and monitored a total of 44 C. latirostris nests (eight in the disturbed area and 36 in the non-disturbed area). These nests were located throughout a total distance of 58.25 km, with 23 km in the nondisturbed area and 35.25 km in the disturbed area. All the nests were found in forested environments.

Based on the analysed variables, nest density was significantly higher in the non-disturbed area (1.31 nests per linear km; $P = 0.009$) compared to the disturbed area (0.25 nests per linear km) ([Table 1;](#page-3-0) [Figures 2\[a\]](#page-4-0) and [3](#page-4-1)).

Parental care behaviour was significantly higher in the disturbed area (86%) in comparison with the non-disturbed area (34%) $(n = 39; df = 1; Chi-squared test; P = 0.04, with Yates correction;$ [Figure 2\[b\]](#page-4-0)). No female recaptures were recorded. In the 25 nests equipped with cameras, females were observed engaging in parental care behaviour in only three nests. We documented a range of parental care behaviours, including nest maintenance, nest guarding, nest defence against non-human animals, stillness in the presence of humans, opening nests and aiding in egg hatching, and carrying hatchlings to the water ([Figure 3](#page-4-1)). The other variables, including hatching success, female size, clutch size, and clutch mass did not differ significantly between the two areas ([Table 1](#page-3-0)). Females exhibited an average SVL variation of 77.88 cm, with the smallest nesting female measuring 67 cm in SVL.

Discussion

In the present study, we aimed to assess the influence of anthropogenic activities on aspects related to nesting and parental care in the broad-snouted caiman. To achieve this, we compared a set of variables between an area disturbed by human activity and a non-disturbed area.

We found the average nest density in the disturbed area to be significantly lower than in the non-disturbed area. It is known that crocodilians tend to avoid areas with higher levels of human activity (Eversole et al. [2018;](#page-7-4) Beal & Rosenblatt [2020\)](#page-6-0), which seems to be consistent with our results. Although some authors consider C. latirostris to be a resilient and tolerant species to

*Data exhibited a normal distribution. df = degrees of freedom.

Figure 2. Showing (a) graphic representation of nest density of Caiman latirostris in a disturbed and a non-disturbed area (n = 10; the dashed line represents the mean; * denotes Mann-Whitney; P = 0.009) and (b) representation of the presence of C. latirostris females performing parental care in nests within the disturbed and the non-disturbed area (n = 39; $*$ denotes Chi-squared test; $P = 0.04$, with Yates correction).

Figure 3. (A) Map showing the spatial distribution of nests (n = 44) of the broad-snouted caiman (Caiman latirostris) in the sample areas studied (2018–2022) around the Tapacurá reservoir, Pernambuco, Brazil (Bing Satellite images). The images depict: (B) a nest, (C) eggs measured for biometrics, and (D) a female assisting a hatchling (dashed circle in yellow), recorded by a camera trap.

anthropogenic pressure (Coutinho et al. [2013](#page-7-11)), there is evidence of a preference for healthier and more protected environments, especially when selecting nesting sites (Eversole & Henke [2022](#page-7-21)). The non-disturbed area in our study site has been subject to increasing conservation efforts since the construction of the dam in the 1970s. It is characterised by restricted land access, comprehensive fencing to control livestock intrusion, Atlantic Forest remnants, reforestation zones, natural landscape recovery areas, and possible human presence excluding any form of extractivism (Moura [2018\)](#page-8-20). In addition to the river damming, the increase in water surface area, forest recovery, and limited human

access have likely benefited the caiman population by providing more suitable habitats over time. Therefore, non-disturbed sites were identified and progressively used by females for nesting purposes, resulting in a gradual increase in the number of adult females and young in zones considered potential nurseries within this area. Conversely, the open land areas of the reservoir were favoured by large adults (Mascarenhas-Júnior et al. [2020](#page-7-21)), especially males (Barboza unpublished data). The designated nursery areas were more sheltered aquatic habitats, far from the main body of water, offering food and protection for the young, similar to what was documented in Crocodylus porosus (Magnusson [1980\)](#page-7-9).

Crocodilians show differences in habitat use between males and females. In Melanosuchus niger, females prefer areas that are difficult to access, particularly near nesting and nursery sites, while adult males tend to inhabit more open areas (Da Silveira & Thorbjarnarson [1999\)](#page-7-22), which is in keeping with our observations in the focal area of our study with C. latirostris (Barboza, unpublished data). Another important factor may be related to preference and intraspecific competition among females. For example, there are records of communal nesting (also see Rodrigues et al. [2021](#page-8-13)), gregarious nests, and fidelity to nesting sites of C. latirostris within the non-disturbed area (Barboza unpublished data), highlighting the preference for non-disturbed sites. Both sampled areas contain 'nursery areas', but nest concentration was lower in the disturbed area. This is probably due to vessel access and predatory fishing activities, which compromise the protection of these nursery areas. Consequently, females may perceive the terrestrial environments near the nests as safer, given the potential risks in the disturbed nursery area. In Alligator mississippiensis, higher nest density is thought to play a key role in promoting hatchling diversity across different clutches (Hunt & Watanabe [1982\)](#page-7-23), which presumably reduces predation risk and enhances parental care efficiency for both eggs and hatchlings in nursery areas.

Contrary to our expectations, there was no significant difference in hatching success between the two types of sampled areas. This is particularly interesting, as one would typically expect reduced hatching success in disturbed areas. However, we observed that females in the disturbed area were more invested in parental care (see below for a more detailed discussion on this topic), which may have contributed to hatching success despite the environmental disturbances. Hatching success is influenced mainly by factors such as egg viability, predation, flooding, and incubation temperature (Campos [1993;](#page-7-7) Simoncini et al. [2019](#page-8-10)). Embryos can be harmed when eggs are exposed to prolonged sunlight or rotated in predated nests, even if they are not preyed upon directly, further reducing the hatching rate (Donayo et al. [2002\)](#page-7-9). Importantly, egg loss due to flooding was not observed in the study area, and neither incubation temperature nor predation was assessed due to the risk of camera theft in the disturbed area. Variation in hatching success based on nesting habitat has been documented in other studies of C. latirostris and C. yacare (Campos [1993](#page-7-7); Imhof et al. [1996;](#page-7-24) Montini et al. [2006\)](#page-8-14). However, all the nests in this study were located within the same forest habitat. The long-term impact of such behaviour on female welfare remains unanswered.

The hatching success in the study area (59%; Table 1) was similar to that reported in other regions (62% in Argentina: Montini et al. [2006;](#page-8-14) 66% in Australia: Somaweera & Shine [2012](#page-8-22)). It was also assumed that the larger, more experienced females would prefer nesting in the more protected area (Montini et al. [2006;](#page-8-14) Murray et al. [2013\)](#page-8-15). However, contrary to expectations, we did not find a significant difference between the sampled areas. It is possible that the order of arrival and occupation of the most desirable areas at the beginning of the nesting period had a greater influence than female experience (Simoncini et al. [2013](#page-8-23)). The lack of an effect of female size between the sampled areas may also explain the nonsignificant results observed for the variables egg number and egg biomass. As confirmed in other studies, these variables show positive allometric correlations (Verdade [2001](#page-8-18); Larriera et al. [2004\)](#page-7-18).

Overall, parental care in the study area was relatively low (43%). Although few studies report similar values, Hunt and Ogden [\(1991\)](#page-7-25) documented 66% parental care for A. mississippiensis in Okefenokee Swamp, USA. We initially expected that the disturbed area would lead to a reduction in parental care due to the associated

impacts and risks. Interestingly, we found the opposite: females nesting in anthropogenic areas displayed significantly more parental care. Thus, the data suggest that females in the disturbed area remain close to the nest from egg-laying until hatching, likely reducing the predation risks and presumably minimising exposure/vulnerability to potential threats resulting from displacements in the water body. This behavioural strategy may reduce energy costs and limit encounters with humans (a potential predator) during the nutritionally demanding period of nest parental care (Barão-Nobrega et al. 2016). On the other hand, the similarity in the results between the two areas for the studied variables (i.e. eclosion rate) may be due to compensation, whereby higher energy costs (Audzijonyte & Richards [2018](#page-6-7)) incurred by the females engaging in more intensive parental care in the disturbed area offset the expected differences in hatching success. Nevertheless, it is important to consider that, while maternal care may be an individual behaviour, animals can still display plastic behavioural changes as their initial response to environmental shifts (Tuomainen & Candolin [2010\)](#page-8-24).

Behavioural adjustments may enhance offspring survival in more disturbed areas (Candolin *et al.* [2014](#page-7-26)), highlighting the sensitivity of females to anthropogenic disturbances. The only likely predator of adult caimans in our study area is humans, consistent with local reports of frequent predatory hunting and fishing activities (Mascarenhas-Júnior et al. [2018](#page-7-6); Barboza et al. [2021](#page-6-8)). This aligns with the absence of natural predators, such as jaguars (Panthera onca), giant otters (Pteronura brasiliensis), and anacondas (Eunectes spp), which are typically the main predators of juvenile and adult caimans (Da Silveira et al. [2010](#page-7-27); Ribas et al. [2012;](#page-8-0) Thomas & Allain [2021](#page-8-25)). Furthermore, caiman eggs and hatchlings are preyed upon by native species, such as the tegu lizard (Salvator merianae), coati (Nasua nasua), ocelot (Leopardus pardalis), crabeating fox (Cerdocyon thous), racoon (Procyon cancrivorus) and armadillo (Dasypus novemcinctus) (Barboza et al. [2012;](#page-6-9) Oliveira [2012\)](#page-8-26), as well as non-native species like domestic dogs (Canis familiaris). Nests can also be trampled by cattle, which have unrestricted access to the disturbed area. Several of these animals were observed near the nests, captured by camera traps, and have been described in previous studies (Moura et al. [2012](#page-8-19)).

Our findings suggest that it is crucial to address the impact of researchers' presence on the parental care behaviour of females. With camera traps, we identified and recorded parental care behaviours of five females, who had no direct contact with the research team during the same reproductive season of the photographic record. These females, recorded by camera traps, were observed engaging in activities such as chasing away predators (e.g. tegu lizard), maintaining nests that had been disturbed by predators, opening nests to help hatchlings, and transporting them to the water. However, among the nine captured females, two were present at the nests but were not captured by the researchers on two separate occasions. These brief interactions with the team were due to the need to adjust the camera near the nest. Nevertheless, it appears that both females abandoned their nests shortly afterwards, as no further evidence or traces of their presence were found. The eggs from these nests were not predated. During the encounters, all females displayed inert behaviour, indicating caution towards human presence, which was also documented for A. mississippiensis by Kushlan and Kushlan ([1980\)](#page-7-28). Some researchers claim that females' lack of aggressive behaviour towards humans near nests may result from negative past experiences, given that crocodilians can learn (Bustard [1968](#page-6-10); Joanen & McNease [1989;](#page-7-29) Webb & Messel [1979;](#page-8-27) Somaweera et al. [2011;](#page-8-19) Hénaut & Charruau [2012](#page-7-2)).

The tendency for parental care abandonment observed in response to the mere presence of humans in our study suggests that these animals perceive humans as potential threats. This perception likely stems from previous negative experiences and the risks associated with human activity in the reservoir area (i.e. hunting; Mascarenhas-Junior et al. [2024\)](#page-7-30). As early as 1980, Kushlan and Kushlan also reported that female crocodilians recognise humans as potential threats and may alter their behaviour. Other studies also indicate that human disturbance or capture of females, even during research, can cause them to leave the nest (Staton & Dixon [1977;](#page-8-28) Deitz & Hines [1980;](#page-7-20) Magnusson [1980](#page-7-9), [1982](#page-7-31); Mazzotti [1989;](#page-8-5) Hunt & Ogden [1991](#page-7-25); Lance et al. [2009\)](#page-7-8) or to change nesting sites in subsequent years (Mazzotti [1989](#page-8-5)). In contrast, Barão-Nóbrega et al. [\(2014\)](#page-6-6) and Simoncini et al. ([2016](#page-8-29)) documented that researchers' activity at the nests did not influence the parental care behaviour of females, which differs from our findings.

Animal welfare implications

This study underscores the importance of preserving nondisturbed habitats for the welfare and protection of crocodilian species and provides valuable insights into their behavioural responses to anthropogenic disturbances. Especially for crocodilians, parental care is a crucial strategy to increase the chances of survival, reproductive success, and the development of young recruits. Disruptions to this process can adversely affect the welfare of females and, consequently, their nest protection behaviour. Further research is needed to fully understand the long-term effects of anthropogenic activities on crocodilian populations and to develop effective welfare and conservation strategies. Additionally, research on the impact of human disturbance on parental care behaviour should be extended to include other variables, crocodilian species, and geographic regions to better inform welfare and conservation practices.

Conclusion

In general, the awareness of crocodilian females, especially during parental care, should not be underestimated. Behavioural choices and traits suggest that these animals may not be as resilient to anthropogenic pressures as previously thought. Their preference for more pristine nesting sites indicates that anthropogenic disturbances must be seriously factored in to develop effective welfare and conservation strategies. This is particularly important if we consider that, despite a preference for the non-disturbed area, disturbed sites are also used for nesting. Moreover, increased nest parental care in the disturbed area indicates that the females are aware of the additional risks this area poses. We further suggest that direct human-caiman interaction is a critical factor that should not be overlooked, as it may interfere with parental care behaviour.

Although this study provides valuable insights into how human disturbances affect nesting behaviour and parental care in C. latirostris females, it is important to account for unanalysed variables that may also influence these behaviours and warrant investigation in future studies. Differences between the surveyed areas may reflect significant selective pressures affecting behaviours, such as nest site selection and female presence at nesting sites. Therefore, considering disturbance levels as the primary factor influencing behaviour demands a careful interpretation of field findings. Further research is needed to better understand if the behaviours observed in C. latirostris females in our study occur in

other crocodilian species. Given their cryptic nature, we emphasise the importance of implementing increasingly non-invasive research methods using alternative technologies to minimise or eliminate interference with their behaviour and promote better welfare (e.g. Kirkwood [2013;](#page-7-3) De Moraes et al. [2014](#page-7-13); González-Desales et al. [2020\)](#page-7-16).

A key step for future longitudinal studies is to investigate the survival of newborns in areas with varying levels of disturbance. Overall, it is imperative to foster welfare and conservation efforts by preventing predatory hunting and fishing of caimans, as well as by identifying and protecting nursery areas. Preserving terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems is an effective strategy for the welfare and conservation of this animal group. Establishing a population and reproductive monitoring programme will help evaluate the effectiveness of the adopted conservation strategies. We are confident that these actions will contribute to supporting the welfare and conservation of C. latirostris and other crocodilian species.

Competing interest. None.

References

- Audzijonyte A and Richards SA 2018 The energetic cost of reproduction and its effect on optimal life-history strategies. The American Naturalist 192(4): **Idzijonyte A and Richards SA** 2018 The entits effect on optimal life-history strategies.
E150–E162. <https://doi.org/10.1086/698655>
- Balaguera-Reina SA, Venegas-Anaya M, Sanjur OI, Lessios HA and Densmore LD 2015 Reproductive ecology and hatchling growth rates of the American Crocodile (Crocodylus acutus) on Coiba Island, Panama. South American Crocodile (Crocodylus acutus) on Coiba Island, Panama. South
American Crocodile (Crocodylus acutus) on Coiba Island, Panama. South
American Journal of Herpetology 10(1): 10–22. [https://doi.org/10.2994/sajh](https://doi.org/10.2994/sajh-d-14-00024.1)[d-14-00024.1](https://doi.org/10.2994/sajh-d-14-00024.1)
- Barão-Nóbrega JAL, Marioni B, Dutra-Araújo D, Botero-Arias R, Nogueira AJ, Magnusson WE and Da Silveira R 2016 Nest attendance influences the diet of nesting female spectacled caiman (Caiman crocodilus) in central All, Magnusson WE and Da Silveira R 2016 Nest attendant
diet of nesting female spectacled caiman (Caiman crocoa
Amazonia, Brazil. The Herpetological Journal 26(2): 65–71.
- Barão-Nóbrega JAL, Marioni B, Villamarín F, Soares AMV, Magnusson WE and Da Silveira R 2014 Researcher disturbance has minimal impact on natural predation of caiman nests in Central Amazonia. Journal of Herpetoland Da Silveira R 2014 Researcher disturband
natural predation of caiman nests in Central An
ogy 48: 338–342. <https://doi.org/10.1670/13-081>
- Barboza RSL, Correia JMS and Santos EM 2020 Solid waste in the nest composition of broad-snouted caiman Caiman latirostris (Daudin 1802). ogy 48: 338–342. https://doi.org/10.1670/13-081
 rboza RSL, Correia JMS and Santos EM 2020 Solid waste in the nest

composition of broad-snouted caiman *Caiman latirostris* (Daudin 1802).
 Herpetology Notes 13: 891–894
- Barboza RSL, da Costa JFR, Andrade AF, de Brito Pezzuti JC and Rebêlo GH 2012 Indícios de predação de ovos de Caiman crocodilus (Jacaré Tinga) Por Saimiri sp. (macaco-de-cheiro). Neotropical Primates 19(1): 24–27. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1896/044.019.0104)
2012 Indícios de predação de ovos de Caiman crocodilus (Jacaré Tinga) Por
Saimiri sp. (*macaco-de-cheiro*). Neotropical Primates 19(1): 24–27. htt doi.org/10.1896/044.019.0104. [Title translation: Evidence of egg predation on Caiman crocodilus (spectacled caiman) by Saimiri sp. (squirrel monkey)].
- Barboza RSL, Maranhão ES and Correia JMS 2021 Etnozoologia aplicada à

conservação de jacarés. In: Barreto-Lima AF, Santos MRD and Nóbrega YC

(eds) Tratado de Crocodilianos do Brasil pp 423–455. Editora Instituto conservação de jacarés. In: Barreto-Lima AF, Santos MRD and Nóbrega YC Marcos Daniel: Vitória, Brazil. [Title translation: Ethnozoology Applied to caiman conservation; Treatise on Brazilian Crocodilians].
- Beal ER and Rosenblatt AE 2020 Alligators in the big city: spatial ecology of American alligators (Alligator mississippiensis) at multiple scales across an urban landscape. Scientific Reports 10(1): 1-9. [https://doi.org/10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-73685-x) [s41598-020-73685-x](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-73685-x)
- Beldomenico PM, Rey F, Prado WS, Villarreal JC, Munoz-de-Toro ML and Luque EH 2007 In ovum exposure to pesticides increases the egg weight loss and decreases hatchlings weight of Caiman latirostris (Crocodylia: Alligator-In the HH 2007 In ovum exposure to pesticides increases the egg weight loss
and decreases hatchlings weight of *Caiman latirostris* (Crocodylia: Alligator-
idae). *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety* **68**(2): 246–251. [org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2006.12.018](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2006.12.018)
- Brown GJ, Forbes PB, Myburgh JG and Nöthling JO 2021 A comparison of calcium and phosphorus in components of fertile and size-matched
unbanded Nile crocodile eggs. African Journal of Herpetology 70(2):
139–154. <https://doi.org/10.1080/21564574.2021.1980119> unbanded Nile crocodile eggs. African Journal of Herpetology 70(2):
- Bustard HR 1968 Rapid learning in wild crocodiles (Crocodylus porosus). 139-154. https://doi.org/10.1080/21564574.2021.1980119
 stard HR 1968 Rapid learning in wild crocodiles (Cn

Herpetologica 24: 173-175.
- Campos Z 1993 Effect of habitat on survival of eggs and sex ratio of hatchlings of Caiman crocodilus yacare in the Pantanal, Brazil. Journal of Herpetology mpos Z 1993 Effect of habitat on survival of eg
Caiman crocodilus yacare in the Pantanal,
pp 127–132. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1564927>
- Campos Z, Mourão G and Magnusson WE 2017 The effect of dam construction on the movement of Paleosuchus trigonatus and Paleosuchus palpebrosus, in Brazilian Amazonia. PLoS One 12(11): e0188508. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0188508) [org/10.1371/journal.pone.0188508](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0188508)
- Campos Z, Muniz F and Magnusson WE 2019 Asynchronous reproduction in three species of crocodilians in south-eastern Amazonia. Journal of Natural History ⁵³: 585–593. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00222933.2019.1605006>
- Campos Z, Muniz F, Mourão G and Magnusson WE 2020 Responses of
crocodilians to construction of a hydro-electric dam on the Madeira River
in the Brazilian Amazon. British Herpetological Society 30: 215–221. [https://](https://doi.org/10.33256/hj30.4.215221) crocodilians to construction of a hydro-electric dam on the Madeira River doi.org/10.33256/hj30.4.215221
- Candolin U, Nieminen A and Nyman J 2014 Indirect effects of human-induced
environmental change on offspring production mediated by behavioural
responses. Oecologia 174(1): 87–97. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-013-2752-2> environmental change on offspring production mediated by behavioural
- Caro T 2007 Behavior and conservation: a bridge too far? *Trends in Ecology &* Evaluation 22(8): 394–400. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-013
 Francisco 2007 Behavior and conservation: a bridge too far? Trends in Eq. Evolution 22(8): 394–400. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2007.06.003>
- Correia JMS, Maranhão ES, Mascarenhas Junior PB and Barboza RSL 2021
Jacarés do Nordeste. In: Nobrega YC and Lima AFB (eds) Tratado de
Crocodilianos do Brasil pp 498–527. Editora Instituto Marcos Daniel: Jacarés do Nordeste. In: Nobrega YC and Lima AFB (eds) Tratado de Vitória, Brazil. [Title translation: Caimans of the Northeast].
- Coutinho ME, Marioni B, Farias IP, Verdade LM, Bassetti L, Mendonça SHST, Vieira TQ, Magnusson WE and Campos Z 2013 Avaliação do risco
de extinção do jacaré-de-papo-amarelo Caiman latirostris (Daudin, 1802) no
Brasil. Biodiversidade Brasileira 3(1): 13–20. [Title translation: Assessment of de extinção do jacaré-de-papo-amarelo Caiman latirostris (Daudin, 1802) no the extinction risk of the broad-snouted caiman Caiman latirostris (Daudin, 1802) in Brazil].
- Cox K and Brumund B 2018 Crocodile smiles: How listed species cope in an age of urbanization. Natural Resources extends the unitary matrices to be during
1802) in Brazil].
x **K and Brumund B** 2018 Crocodile smiles: How listed species cope in an age
of urbanization. *Natural Resources* & *Environmen* www.jstor.org/stable/26427695
- Cunha FAG, Barboza RSL and Rebêlo GH 2016 Communal nesting of Caiman crocodilus (Linnaeus, 1758) (Crocodylia: Alligatoridae) in Amazon Forest floodplain, Brazil. Herpetology Notes ⁹: 141–144. [http://doi.org/10.13140/](https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.26571.11049) [RG.2.2.26571.11049](https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.26571.11049)
- Da Silveira R, Ramalho EE, Thorbjarnarson JB and Magnusson WE 2010
Depredation by jaguars on caimans and importance of reptiles in the diet of
jaguar. Journal of Herpetology 44(3): 418–424. <https://doi.org/10.1670/08-340.1> Depredation by jaguars on caimans and importance of reptiles in the diet of
- Da Silveira R and Thorbjarnarson JB 1999 Conservation implications of commercial hunting of black and spectacled caiman in the Mamirauá Sustainable Development Reserve, Brazil. Biological Conservation 88(1): 103–1222 Conservant

103–109. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0006-3207\(98\)00084-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0006-3207(98)00084-6)

103–109. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0006-3207(98)00084-6
- Dawkins M 2004 Using behaviour to assess animal welfare. Animal Welfare 13 103–109. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0006-3207(98)00084-6
 awkins M 2004 Using behaviour to assess animal welfare.

(S1): S3–S7. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0962728600014317>
- Deitz DC and Hines TC 1980 Alligator nesting in north-central Florida. Copeia 249–258. https://doi.org/10.1017/50962
249–258. https://doi.org/10.1017/50962
249–258. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1444001>
- De Moraes BLC, Da Silva Souto A and Schiel N 2014 Adaptability in stone tool use by wild capuchin monkeys (Sapajus libidinosus). American Journal of 249–258. https://doi.org/10.2307/1444001
 e Moraes BLC, Da Silva Souto A and Schiel N 2014 Adaptability

use by wild capuchin monkeys (Sapajus libidinosus). America

Primatology 76(10): 967–977. https://doi.org/10.1002/a
- Donayo P, Piña CI and Larriera A 2002 Período de incubación, banda de calcificación, peso de los huevos y desarrollo embrionario de Caiman latirostris a tres temperaturas diferentes. In: Verdade LM and Larriera A (eds) La Con-⁹⁶–109. Fundação de Estudos Agrários Luiz de Queiroz: Piracicaba, Brazil. servación y el Manejo de Caimanes y Cocodrilos de América Latina: Vol II pp [Translation of title: Incubation Period, calcification band, egg weight, and embryonic development of Caiman latirostris at three different temperatures].
- Eversole CB and Henke SE 2022 Wetland habitat characteristics predict nest
site selection of American alligators (Alligator mississippiensis) in an inland
freshwater ecosystem. Herpetologica 78(2): 133–138. https://doi.org site selection of American alligators (Alligator mississippiensis) in an inland [herpetologica-d-21-00024](https://doi.org/10.1655/herpetologica-d-21-00024)
- Eversole CB, Henke SE, Wester DB, Ballard BM, Powell RL and Glasscock S 2018 Spatial ecology and habitat utilization of American alligators in an urban-influenced ecosystem. Journal of Urban Ecology 4(1): juy018. [http://](https://doi.org/10.1093/jue/juy018) doi.org/10.1093/jue/juy018
- Fernández MS, Simoncini MS and Dyke G 2013 Irregularly calcified eggs and eggshells of Caiman latirostris (Alligatoridae:Crocodylia). Naturwissenschaften ¹⁰⁰(5): 451–457. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00114-013-1044-3>
- Fujisaki I, Rice KG, Woodward AR and Percival HF 2007 Possible generational effects of habitat degradation on alligator reproduction. *The Journal o*j **jisaki I, Rice KG, Woodward AR and Percival HF** 2007 Possible gene
ational effects of habitat degradation on alligator reproduction. *The Journal*
Wildlife Management 71(7): 2284–2289. <https://doi.org/10.2193/2006-278>
- González-Desales GA, Tello-Sahagún LA, Cadena-Ramírez CP, López-Luna MA, Buenrostro-Silva A, García-Grajales J, González-Ramón MC, Morales-Mavil JE, Charruau P, Sigler L, Rubio-Delgado A, Zarco-González MM and Monroy-Vilchis O 2020 Egg predation and vertebrates associated with wild crocodilian nests in Mexico determined using camera-traps. Journal of Natural Monroy-Vilchis O 2020 Egg predation and vertebrates associated with wild
crocodilian nests in Mexico determined using camera-traps. Journal of Natura
History 54(29)-30: 1813–1826. https://doi.org/10.1080/00222933.2020.1829
- Hénaut Y and Charruau P 2012 Nest attendance and hatchling care in wild American crocodiles (Crocodylus acutus) in Quintana Roo, Mexico. Animal *History* 54(29)-30: 1813–1826. https://doi.org/10.1080/00222933.2020.1829723
 Énaut Y and Charruau P 2012 Nest attendance and hatchling care in wild

American crocodiles (*Crocodylus acutus*) in Quintana Roo, Mexico. *A*
- Hewitt L and Small A 2021 Welfare of farmed crocodilians: Identification of potential animal-based measures using elicitation of expert opinion. Animals 11(12): 3450. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ani11123450>
- Hunt RH and Ogden JJ 1991 Selected aspects of the nesting ecology of mals 11(12): 3450. https://doi.org/10.3390/ani11123450
mals 11(12): 3450. https://doi.org/10.3390/ani11123450
mt RH and Ogden JJ 1991 Selected aspects of the nesting ecology of
American alligators in the Okefenokee Swamp. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1564768>
- Hunt RH and Watanabe ME 1982 Observations on maternal behavior of the American alligator, Alligator mississippiensis. Journal of Herpetology 110 125.
https://doi.org/10.2307/1564768
American alligator, Alligator mississippiensis. Journal of Herpetology 235–239. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1563716>
- Imhof A, Costa A and Larriera A 1996 The environment and its relationship with egg size, clutch size and hatchling success in different Caiman latirostris populations at Santa Fe, Argentina in Crocodiles. Proceedings of the 13th Working Meeting of the Crocodile Specialist Group, UICN - The World Conservation Union pp 249–253. Gland, Switzerland.
- Joanen TE and McNease LL 1989 Ecology and physiology of nesting and early development of the American alligator. American Zoologist 29(3): 987–998.
 Conservation Union pp 249–253. Gland, Switzerland.

development of the American alligator. American Zoologist 29(3): 987–998. <https://doi.org/10.1093/icb/29.3.987> development of the American alligator. American Zoologist 29(3): 987–998.
[https://](https://doi.org/10.7120/09627286.22.1.147)doi.org/10.1093/icb/29.3.987
Kirkwood J 2013 Wild animal welfare. Animal Welfare 22(1): 147–148. https://
- doi.org/10.7120/09627286.22.1.147
- Kushlan JA and Kushlan MS 1980 Function of nest attendance in the American alligator. Herpetologica 27–32.
alligator. Herpetologica 27–32.
alligator. Herpetologica 27–32. alligator. Herpetologica 27-32.
Lance SL, Tuberville TD, Dueck L, Holz-Schietinger C, Trosclair III PL, Elsey
- RM and Glenn TC 2009 Multiyear multiple paternity and mate fidelity in the American alligator, *Alligator mississippiensis. Molecular Ecology* 18(21): 4508–4520. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294x.2009.04373.x> American alligator, Alligator mississippiensis. Molecular Ecology 18(21):
- Larriera A and Piña CI 2000 Caiman latirostris (broad-snouted Caiman) nest
predation: does low rainfall facilitate predator access. *Herpetological Natural*
History 7(1): 73–77. predation: does low rainfall facilitate predator access. Herpetological Natural
- Larriera A, Piña C, Siroski P and Verdade LM 2004 Allometry of reproduction
in wild broad-snouted caimans (*Caiman latirostris*). Journal of Herpetology
38(2): 301–304. <https://doi.org/10.1670/145-03a> in wild broad-snouted caimans (Caiman latirostris). Journal of Herpetology 38(2): 301–304. https://doi.org/10.1670/145-03a
Lesmerises F, Johnson CJ and St-Laurent MH 2017 Refuge or predation risk?
- Alternate ways to perceive hiker disturbance based on maternal state of female caribou. Ecology and Evolution ⁷(3): 845–854. [https://doi.org/10.1002/](https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.2672) [ece3.2672](https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.2672)
- Leverington F, Costa KL, Pavese H, Lisle A and Hockings M 2010 A global analysis of protected area management effectiveness. Environmental Manverington F, Costa KL, Pavese H, Lisle A and Hockings M 201
analysis of protected area management effectiveness. *Environme*
agement 46: 685–698. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00267-010-9564-5>
- Magnusson WE 1980 Hatching and créche formation by Crocodylus porosus. analysis of protected area management encented
agement 46: 685–698. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00
gnusson WE 1980 Hatching and créche formati
Copeia 359–362. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1444019>
- Magnusson WE 1982 Mortality of eggs of the Crocodylus porosus in Northern Australia. Journal of Herpetology 10.2307/1444019
 Copeia 359–362. https://doi.org/10.2307/1444019
 Australia. Journal of Herpetology 16: 121–130. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1563804>
- Mascarenhas-Junior PB, dos Anjos HR, dos Santos EM and de Sousa Correia

JM 2018 Caiman latirostris (Daudin, 1802) interaction with fishing nets in a

lentic area, Northeast of Brazil. *Herpetology Notes* 11: 977–980. JM 2018 Caiman latirostris (Daudin, 1802) interaction with fishing nets in a
- Mascarenhas-Junior PB, dos Santos EM, de Moura GJB, Diniz GTN and Correia JMS 2020 Space-time distribution of Caiman latirostris (Alligatoridae)

in lentic area of Atlantic Forest, northeast of Brazil. *Herpetology Notes* 13:

129–137. in lentic area of Atlantic Forest, northeast of Brazil. Herpetology Notes 13:
- Mascarenhas-Junior P, Maffei F, Muniz F, Freitas-Filho RF, Portelinha TCG, Campos Z and Bassetti LAB 2021 Conflicts between humans and crocodilians in urban areas across Brazil: a new approach to support management and conservation. Ethnobiology and Conservation 10. [https://doi.org/10.15451/](https://doi.org/10.15451/ec2021-12-10.37-1-19) [ec2021-12-10.37-1-19](https://doi.org/10.15451/ec2021-12-10.37-1-19)
- Mascarenhas-Junior PB, Strickland BA, Heithaus MR, Santos RL, Barboza RS, Simões PI and Correia JMS 2024 Artisanal fishing affects the local

distribution of broad-snouted caiman (Caiman latirostris) within the Atlantic Forest of Brazil. Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems 34(6): e4214. <https://doi.org/10.1002/aqc.4214>

- Marrugo-Negrete J, Durango-Hernández J, Calao-Ramos C, Urango-Cárdenas I and Díez S 2019 Mercury levels and genotoxic effect in caimans from tropical ecosystems impacted by gold mining. Science of the Total Environment 664: 899–907. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.01.340>
Environment 664: 899–907. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.01.340
- Mazzotti FJ 1989 Factors affecting the nesting success of the American crocodile, Environment 664: 899-907. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.01.340
azzotti FJ 1989 Factors affecting the nesting success of the American crocodile
Crocodylus acutus, in Florida Bay. Bulletin of Marine Science 44(1):
- Merchant M, Savage D, Cooper A, Slaughter M, Perkin JS and Murray CM 2018 Nest attendance patterns in the American alligator (Alligator mississippiensis). Copeia ¹⁰⁶(3): 421–426. <https://doi.org/10.1643/ch-17-709>
- Montini JP, Piña CI, Larriera A, Siroski P and Verdade LM 2006 The relationship between nesting habitat and hatching success in Caiman latirostris (Crocodylia, Alligatoridae). Phyllomedusa: Journal of Herpetology 5(2): ⁹¹–96. <https://doi.org/10.11606/issn.2316-9079.v5i2p91-96> 91–96. https://doi.org/10.11606/issn.2316-9079.v5i2p91-96
Moroni E, Crivelaro AZ, Soares TL and Guillermo-Ferreira R 2017 Increased
- behavioural responses to human disturbance in breeding Burrowing Owls Athene cunicularia. Ibis 159(4): 854–859. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ibi.12513>
behavioural responses to human disturbance in breeding Burrowing Owl
Athene cunicularia. Ibis 159(4): 854–859. https://doi.org/10.1111/ibi.12513
- Mosse M, Kibue G and Odadi W 2023 Nile crocodile nesting ecology under

varying human disturbance intensities along lower river tana, Kenya. Current

Trends in Natural Sciences 12(24): 110–126. [https://doi.org/10.47068/](https://doi.org/10.47068/ctns.2023.v12i24.012) varying human disturbance intensities along lower river tana, Kenya. Current [ctns.2023.v12i24.012](https://doi.org/10.47068/ctns.2023.v12i24.012)
- Moura GJB 2018 Caracterização Ecogeográfica e Diversidade Fitofisionômica no Estado de Pernambuco. Editora da UFRPE, Brazil.
- Moura GJB, Azevedo Junior SM and El-Deir ACA 2012 A Biodiversidade da Estação Ecológica do Tapacurá: Uma Proposta de Manejo e Preservação. UFRPE: Recife, Brazil. [Title translation: Biodiversity of Tapacurá Ecological Station: A management and conservation proposal].
- Murray CM, Crother BI and Doody JS 2020 The evolution of crocodilian nesting ecological station: A management and conservation proposal].
Station: A management and conservation proposal].
Irray CM, Crother BI and Doody JS 2020 The evolution 10(1): 131–149. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.5859) doi.org/10.1002/ece3.5859
- Murray CM, Easter M, Merchant M, Cooper A and Crother BI 2013 Can reproductive allometry assess population marginality in crocodilians? A
proponentive analysis of Gulf Coast American Alligator (*Alligator mississip-*
piensis) populations. Copeia 2: 268–276. https://doi.org/10.1643/ch-11comparative analysis of Gulf Coast American Alligator (Alligator mississip-
- Neves DNS 2019 Distribuição potencial do jacaré-de-papo-amarelo (Caiman latirostris, Daudin 1802) no Estado do Espírito Santo, Sudeste do Brasil. Dissertation, Universidade Vila Velha, Espírito Santo, Brazil. [Title translation: Potential distribution of the broad-snouted caiman (Caiman latirostris, Daudin 1802) in the state of Espírito Santo, Southeastern Brazil].
- Oliveira MAB 2012 Mamíferos terrestres da estação ecológica do Tapacurá, Pernambuco, Brasil. In: Barbosa de Moura GJ, Mendes de Azevêdo Júnior S and Karla Asfora El-Deir A (eds) A Biodiversidade da Estação Ecológica do Terra Errorio estas francesos certorios da colação ecológica do Tapacurá;
Pernambuco, Brasil. In: Barbosa de Moura GJ, Mendes de Azevêdo Júnior S
and Karla Asfora El-Deir A (eds) *A Biodiversidade da Estação Ecológica do*
 Recife, Brazil. [Title translation: Terrestrial mammals of the Tapacurá Ecological Station, Pernambuco, Brazil; Biodiversity of Tapacurá Ecological Station: A management and conservation proposal].
- Poletta GL, Kleinsorge E, Paonessa A, Mudry MD, Larriera A and Siroski PA. 2011 Genetic, enzymatic and developmental alterations observed in Caiman latirostris exposed in ovo to pesticide formulations and mixtures in an experiment simulating environmental exposure. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety* 74(4): 852–859. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2010.12.005> experiment simulating environmental exposure. Ecotoxicology and Environ-
- Ribas C, Damasceno G, Magnusson W, Leuchtenberger C and Mourão G 2012 Giant otters feeding on caiman: evidence for an expanded trophic niche of recovering populations. Studies on Neotropical Fauna and Environment 47 (1): 19–23. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01650521.2012.662795>
(1): 19–23. https://doi.org/10.1080/01650521.2012.662795
- Rodrigues CF, Barboza RS, Santos EM and Correia JM 2021 Communal nesting of broad-snouted caiman, Caiman latirostris (Daudin, 1802), in a

protected area of Atlantic Forest in northeastern Brazil. Herpetology Notes 14: ⁶⁷⁷–680. [http://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.14827.05928](https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.14827.05928)

- Royle NJ, Smiseth PT and Kölliker M 2012 The Evolution of Parental Care. Oxford University Press: Oxford, UK. [https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:](https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199692576.001.0001) [oso/9780199692576.001.0001](https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199692576.001.0001)
- Simoncini M, Piña CI, Cruz FB and Larriera A 2011 Climatic effects on the reproductive biology of *Caiman latirostris* (Crocodylia: Alligatoridae).
Amphibia Reptilia 32: 305–314. <https://doi.org/10.1163/017353711x571874> reproductive biology of Caiman latirostris (Crocodylia: Alligatoridae).
- Simoncini MS, Cruz FB and Piña CI 2013 Effects of environmental tempera-
ture on the onset and the duration of oviposition period of *Caiman latirostris.*
Herpetological Conservation and Biology 8: 409–418. ture on the onset and the duration of oviposition period of Caiman latirostris.
- Simoncini MS, Leiva PM, Piña CI and Cruz FB 2019 Influence of temperature variation on incubation period, hatching success, sex ratio, and phenotypes in Caiman latirostris. Journal of Experimental Zoology Part A: Ecological and Integrative Physiology ³³¹(5): 299–307. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jez.2265>
- Simoncini MS, Marcó MVP, Portelinha TCG and Piña CI 2016 Evaluation of factors associated with predation on Caiman latirostris nests (Crocodylia: Alligatoridae) in Argentina. Phyllomedusa: Journal of Herpetology 15(2): 137–146. <https://doi.org/10.11606/issn.2316-9079.v15i2p137-146>.
137–146. https://doi.org/10.11606/issn.2316-9079.v15i2p137-146
- Somaweera R, Brien M and Shine R 2013 The role of predation in shaping crocodilian natural history. Herpetological Monographs 27(1): 23–51. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1655/herpmonographs-d-11-00001)doi.org/10.11606/issn.2316-9079.v15i2p137-146
 maweera R, Brien M and Shine R 2013 The role of predation in shaping

crocodilian natural histor doi.org/10.1655/herpmonographs-d-11-00001 Somaweera R, Stich At and Shine R 2012 The Foto of predation in shaping
crocodilian natural history. Herpetological Monographs 27(1): 23–51. https://
Somaweera R and Shine R 2012 Nest-site selection by crocodiles at a ro
- in the Australian tropics: Making the best of a bad lot. Austral Ecology 38(3): maweera R and Shine R 2012 Nest-site selection by crocod
in the Australian tropics: Making the best of a bad lot. Aust
313–325. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1442-9993.2012.02406.x>
- Somaweera R, Webb JK, Brown GP and Shine R 2011 Hatchling Australian
freshwater crocodiles rapidly learn to avoid toxic invasive cane toads. *Behav-*
iour 501–517. <https://doi.org/10.1163/000579511x565763> freshwater crocodiles rapidly learn to avoid toxic invasive cane toads. Behav-
- Staton MA and Dixon JR 1977 Breeding biology of the spectacled caiman, Caiman crocodilus crocodilus, in the Venezuelan Llanos, Volume 5. Department of the Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service: Washington DC, US.
- Thomas O and Allain S 2021 Review of prey taken by anacondas (Squamata, Boidae: Eunectes). Reptiles & Amphibians 28(2): 329–334. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.17161/randa.v28i2.15504)
Boidae: Eunectes). Reptiles & Amphibians 28(2): 329–334. https://doi. [org/10.17161/randa.v28i2.15504](https://doi.org/10.17161/randa.v28i2.15504) Tuomainen U and Candolin U 2010 Behavioural responses to human-induced
Tuomainen U and Candolin U 2010 Behavioural responses to human-induced
- Tuomainen U and Candolin U 2010 Behavioural responses to human-induced
environmental change. *Biological Reviews* **86**(3): 640–657. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-185X.2010.00164.x) [org/10.1111/j.1469-185X.2010.00164.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-185X.2010.00164.x)
- Verdade LM 2001 Allometry of reproduction in broad-snouted caiman (Caiman latirostris). Brazilian Journal of Biology ⁶¹: 431–435. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1590/s1519-69842001000300012) [org/10.1590/s1519-69842001000300012](https://doi.org/10.1590/s1519-69842001000300012)
- Verdade LM, Larriera A and Piña CI 2010 Broad-snouted caiman Caiman latirostris. In: Manolis C and Stevenson C (eds) Crocodiles: Status Survey and Conservation Action Plan, Third Edition pp 18–22. Crocodile Specialist Factoris. In: Manolis C and Stevenson C (eds) Crocodiles: Status Survey and
Conservation Action Plan, Third Edition pp 18–22. Crocodile Specialist
Group/SSC/IUCN – The World Conservation Union: Darwin, NT, Australia.
- Vitt LJ and Caldwell JP 2009 Herpetology: An Introductory Biology of Amphibian and Reptiles. Academic Press/Elsevier: USA. [http://doi.org/10.11606/](https://doi.org/10.11606/issn.2316-9079.v12i2p147-149) [issn.2316-9079.v12i2p147-149](https://doi.org/10.11606/issn.2316-9079.v12i2p147-149)
- Webb GJW and Messel H 1979 Wariness in Crocodylus porosus (Reptilia: Crocodilidae). Wildlife Research 6(2): 227–234. [https://doi.org/10.1071/](https://doi.org/10.1071/wr9790227)
Crocodilidae). Wildlife Research 6(2): 227–234. https://doi.org/10.1071/ [wr9790227](https://doi.org/10.1071/wr9790227)
- Webb KK 2005 Population ecology and habitat use of American alligators in inland freshwaters wetlands of east Texas. Msc Dissertation, Stephen F Austin State University, TX, USA.
- Wilson MW, Ridlon AD, Gaynor KM, Gaines SD, Stier AC and Halpern BS 2020 Ecological impacts of human-induced animal behavior change. Ecology
2020 Ecological impacts of human-induced animal behavior change. Ecology Letters 23(10): 1522–1536. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.13571/v1/review1>
2020 Ecological impacts of human-induced animal behavior change. *Eco*
Letters 23(10): 1522–1536. https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.13571/v1/review1 2020 Ecological impacts of human-induced animal behavior change. *Ecology*
Letters 23(10): 1522–1536. https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.13571/v1/review1
Zhang C, Li Y, Hu X, Ma X, Jia W, Liu K and Nie Y 2023 Human-induced
- behavioural changes of global threatened terrestrial mammals. Global Ecology ang C, Li Y, Hu X, Ma X, Jia W, Liu K and Nie Y 2023 Human-ind
behavioural changes of global threatened terrestrial mammals. *Global Ec*
and Biogeography 32(9): 1645–1659. <https://doi.org/10.1111/geb.13710>