

UNIFORM DENSITY AND  $m$ -DENSITY  
FOR SUBRINGS OF  $C(X)$

M.I. GARRIDO AND F. MONTALVO

This paper deals with the equivalence between  $u$ -density and  $m$ -density for the subrings of  $C(X)$ . It was proved by Kurzweil that such equivalence holds for those subrings that are closed under bounded inversion. Here an example is given in  $C(\mathbb{N})$  of a  $u$ -dense subring that is not  $m$ -dense. It is deduced that the two types of density coincide only in the trivial case where these topologies are the same, that is, if and only if  $X$  is a pseudocompact space.

For a completely regular space  $X$ ,  $C(X)$  and  $C^*(X)$  denote, respectively, the algebra of all real-valued continuous, and continuous and bounded, functions over  $X$ . We are interested in the following problem: Is every  $u$ -dense subring of  $C(X)$   $m$ -dense too?

Recall that the  $u$ -topology is defined on  $C(X)$  by taking as neighbourhood base of  $f \in C(X)$  the sets of the form

$$\{g \in C(X) : |f(x) - g(x)| < \varepsilon \text{ for all } x \in X\}$$

where  $\varepsilon$  is a positive real number, and that the  $m$ -topology is defined by taking the sets of the form

$$\{g \in C(X) : |f(x) - g(x)| < u(x) \text{ for all } x \in X\}$$

where  $u$  is a positive unit of  $C(X)$ .

Obviously the  $m$ -topology is finer than the  $u$ -topology, and it is well-known that the two coincide if and only if  $X$  is a pseudocompact space (Hewitt [5]), namely when  $C^*(X) = C(X)$ . Although, in general, these topologies are different, many families in  $C(X)$  that are  $u$ -dense are  $m$ -dense too. For instance, it was essentially proved by Kurzweil in [6] that  $u$ -density and  $m$ -density are equivalent for the subrings of  $C(X)$  that are closed under bounded inversion.

---

Received 21 June 1993

The authors want to thank Professor J.A. Jaramillo of University Complutense in Madrid for his helpful and thoughtful suggestions.

---

Copyright Clearance Centre, Inc. Serial-fee code: 0004-9729/94 \$A2.00+0.00.

In this note we shall prove that an analogue of Kurzweil's result is not possible for arbitrary subrings of  $C(X)$ . We first construct an example of a  $u$ -dense subring of  $C(\mathbb{N})$  that is not  $m$ -dense. From this example and taking into account that every non-pseudocompact space contains a  $C$ -embedded copy of  $\mathbb{N}$  (Gillman-Jerison [4]), we deduce that there is equivalence between  $u$ -density and  $m$ -density for the subrings of  $C(X)$  if and only if  $X$  is a pseudocompact space.

We start by setting out a sufficient and necessary condition for the  $u$ -dense subrings of  $C(X)$  to be  $m$ -dense. Throughout this paper the terminology and the notation will be as in Gillman-Jerison [4].

**PROPOSITION 1.** *Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be a subring of  $C(X)$ . Then  $\mathfrak{F}$  is  $m$ -dense if and only if it fulfills the following conditions:*

- (i)  $\mathfrak{F}$  is  $u$ -dense.
- (ii) For each  $f \in C(X)$  with  $f(x) > 0$  for every  $x \in X$ , there exists  $g \in \mathfrak{F}$  such that  $0 < g(x) \leq f(x)$  for every  $x \in X$ .

**PROOF:** It is enough to prove the sufficient condition because the other follows at once.

Let  $h \in C(X)$  and let  $u \in C(X)$  be a positive unit. From hypothesis (ii), there exists  $g_1 \in \mathfrak{F}$  with  $0 < g_1(x) \leq u(x)$  for every  $x \in X$ . Since  $\mathfrak{F}$  is  $u$ -dense, we can take a function  $g_2 \in \mathfrak{F}$  such that

$$|h(x)/g_1(x) - g_2(x)| < 1 \text{ for every } x \in X.$$

Thus, we have that  $|h(x) - g_1(x)g_2(x)| < g_1(x) \leq u(x)$  for every  $x \in X$ , which completes the proof.  $\square$

Although Proposition 1 is a straightforward result on  $m$ -density, it will be very useful throughout the paper and we shall now give some of its consequences. Recall that  $\mathfrak{F} \subset C(X)$  is *closed under bounded inversion* if for each  $f \in \mathfrak{F}$  with  $f(x) \geq 1$  for every  $x \in X$ , the function  $1/f$  belongs to  $\mathfrak{F}$ .

**COROLLARY 2.** (Kurzweil [6]) *Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be a subring of  $C(X)$  closed under bounded inversion. Then  $\mathfrak{F}$  is  $u$ -dense if and only if  $\mathfrak{F}$  is  $m$ -dense.*

**PROOF:** By applying Proposition 1, it is enough to see that every  $u$ -dense subring  $\mathfrak{F}$  of  $C(X)$  that is closed under bounded inversion fulfills the above condition (ii).

Let  $f \in C(X)$  with  $f(x) > 0$  for all  $x \in X$ . From the  $u$ -density of  $\mathfrak{F}$  we can choose  $g \in \mathfrak{F}$  such that  $|2 + 1/f(x) - g(x)| < 1$  for every  $x \in X$ . It is easy to verify that the function  $1/g$  belongs to  $\mathfrak{F}$ , and  $0 < 1/g(x) \leq f(x)$  for every  $x \in X$ , as we required.  $\square$

Now we need to recall the following results taken from [3].

**THEOREM 3.** [3] *A linear subspace over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ,  $\mathfrak{F} \subset C(X)$ , is  $u$ -dense in  $C(X)$  if and only if for each countable cover of  $X$ ,  $\{C_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ , by cozero-sets such that  $C_n \cap C_m = \emptyset$  if  $|n - m| > 1$ , there is a function  $h \in \mathfrak{F}$  with  $|h(x) - n| < 2$  when  $x \in C_n$  ( $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ).*

**THEOREM 4.** [3] *Let  $\{C_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  be a countable cover of  $X$  by cozero-sets such that  $C_n \cap C_m = \emptyset$  if  $|n - m| > 1$ . If  $\mathfrak{F}$  is a subring of  $C(X)$  that completely separates every pair of disjoint zero-sets in  $X$ , then there exists a partition of unity  $\{g_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  by functions in  $\mathfrak{F}$  with  $\text{coz}(g_n) \subset C_n$  for each  $n$ .*

Theorem 3 together with condition (ii) of Proposition 1 provides us with a necessary and sufficient condition of  $m$ -density for the subrings of  $C(X)$  which are linear subspaces over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , the so-called *divisible subrings*. These divisible subrings were mainly studied by Anderson in [1], where he obtained Corollary 5 below. Now we have a short way to derive this Corollary from Proposition 1.

**COROLLARY 5.** (Anderson [1]) *Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be a divisible subring of  $C(X)$  which satisfies:*

- (i)  $\mathfrak{F}$  completely separates every pair of disjoint zero-sets in  $X$ .
- (ii) For every sequence  $\{f_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  of nonnegative functions in  $\mathfrak{F}$  such that the family of their cozero-sets,  $\{\text{coz}(f_n)\}_{n=0}^\infty$ , is a star-finite cover of  $X$  (that is, each member of the cover meets at most finitely many of the other members), the function  $\sum_{n=0}^\infty f_n$  belongs to  $\mathfrak{F}$ .

Under these conditions  $\mathfrak{F}$  is  $m$ -dense in  $C(X)$ .

**PROOF:** The  $u$ -density of  $\mathfrak{F}$  was proved by us in [3] as a consequence of the preceding Theorems 3 and 4. Thus it is enough to verify that  $\mathfrak{F}$  also fulfills condition (ii) of Proposition 1.

Let  $f \in C(X)$  with  $f(x) > 0$  for all  $x \in X$ . By applying Theorem 4 to the cover of  $X$  by cozero-sets defined by

$$C_0 = \{x \in X : f(x) > 1/2\}$$

$$C_n = \{x \in X : 1/2^{n+1} < f(x) < 1/2^{n-1}\} \quad \text{when } n \geq 1,$$

we obtain a partition of unity  $\{g_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  by functions in  $\mathfrak{F}$  with  $\text{coz}(g_n) \subset C_n$  for all  $n$ .

Now from (ii), the function  $g = \sum_{n=0}^\infty (1/2^{n+3})g_n$  belongs to  $\mathfrak{F}$ , and it satisfies  $0 < g(x) \leq f(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ . Indeed, for  $x \in X$  there exists an  $n$  such that  $x \in C_n$  and  $x \notin C_m$  whenever  $|n - m| > 1$ . Suppose  $n > 0$  (with an analogous argument for  $n = 0$ ), then

$$g(x) = (1/2^{n+4}) \cdot (4g_{n-1} + 2g_n + g_{n+1}) = (1/2^{n+4}) \cdot (3g_{n-1} + g_n + 1)$$

and we have finally that  $0 < 1/2^{n+4} < g(x) < 5/2^{n+4} < 1/2^{n+1} < f(x)$ .  $\square$

The following example will be the key to establishing our main result.

**EXAMPLE 6.** Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be the following subset of  $C(\mathbb{N})$

$$\mathfrak{F} = \{(q \cdot z_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} : q \in \mathbb{Q} \text{ and } z_n \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ for every } n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

1.  $\mathfrak{F}$  is a linear subspace over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Obviously  $\mathfrak{F}$  is closed under rational multiplication. On the other hand, let  $(q \cdot z_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $(q' \cdot z'_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be two sequences in  $\mathfrak{F}$ . Clearly the set  $\{q \cdot z_n + q' \cdot z'_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is contained in the additive subgroup of  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $q\mathbb{Z} + q'\mathbb{Z}$ . Since  $q/q'$  is a rational number then  $q\mathbb{Z} + q'\mathbb{Z}$  is closed in  $\mathbb{R}$  and therefore it must be of the form  $p\mathbb{Z}$  for some rational number  $p$ . Thus,  $(q \cdot z_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} + (q' \cdot z'_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  belongs to  $\mathfrak{F}$ . (Recall that every additive subgroup of  $\mathbb{R}$  is either dense or of the form  $\alpha\mathbb{Z}$  for some  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ . Moreover, the subgroup  $\alpha\mathbb{Z} + \beta\mathbb{Z}$  is closed if and only if  $\alpha/\beta$  belongs to  $\mathbb{Q}$ .)

2.  $\mathfrak{F}$  is a subring. This is self-evident.

3.  $\mathfrak{F}$  is  $u$ -dense in  $C(\mathbb{N})$ . For this we can apply Theorem 3. Let  $\{C_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  be a countable cover of  $\mathbb{N}$  by cozero-sets (in this case, this means arbitrary subsets) such that  $C_n \cap C_m = \emptyset$  if  $|n - m| > 1$ . If we define

$$h(x) = \max\{n \in \mathbb{Z} : x \in C_n\},$$

then  $h$  is the desired function because  $h \in \mathfrak{F}$  and it is easy to verify that  $|h(x) - n| \leq 1 < 2$  when  $x \in C_n$ .

4.  $\mathfrak{F}$  is not  $m$ -dense. It is enough to see that  $\mathfrak{F}$  does not satisfy condition (ii) of Proposition 1. Indeed, there is no function  $(q \cdot z_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $\mathfrak{F}$  with

$$0 < q \cdot z_n \leq 1/n \quad \text{for every } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Otherwise, the sequence of positive numbers  $(q \cdot z_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  contained in the subgroup  $q\mathbb{Z}$  would have to converge to 0, but this is impossible because clearly  $q\mathbb{Z}$  has no accumulation points.

Finally, we shall show that there is equivalence between  $u$ -density and  $m$ -density for the subrings of  $C(X)$  only in the trivial case.

**THEOREM 7.** For a completely regular space  $X$ , the following conditions are equivalent:

- (a)  $X$  is pseudocompact.
- (b) Every  $u$ -dense subring of  $C(X)$  is  $m$ -dense.

PROOF: (a) implies (b). This is clear because in this case the two topologies are identical.

(b) implies (a). Suppose  $X$  is not pseudocompact. Then  $X$  has a  $C$ -embedded copy of  $\mathbb{N}$ , that is, a discrete countable subspace of  $X$  such that every continuous function on it can be (continuously) extended to  $X$ . We shall denote this copy by  $\mathbb{N}$  and take  $\mathfrak{F}$  to be the  $u$ -dense and not  $m$ -dense subring of  $C(\mathbb{N})$  constructed in the above Example 6.

Let  $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}} = \{f \in C(X) : f|_{\mathbb{N}} \in \mathfrak{F}\}$ . Clearly  $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}$  inherits every algebraic property of  $\mathfrak{F}$  and so  $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}$  is a subring of  $C(X)$ .

Moreover  $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}$  is uniformly dense in  $C(X)$ . Indeed, let  $h \in C(X)$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Since  $\mathfrak{F}$  is  $u$ -dense in  $C(\mathbb{N})$  then there is  $g \in \mathfrak{F}$  such that  $|g - h|_{\mathbb{N}} < \varepsilon$ . If we take  $\tilde{g}$  to be any extension to  $X$  of the function  $g$ , then the function

$$f = [(h + \varepsilon) \wedge \tilde{g}] \vee (h - \varepsilon)$$

(where  $\vee$  and  $\wedge$  denote, respectively, supremum and infimum) belongs to  $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}$  because  $f|_{\mathbb{N}} = g$ , and it is clear that  $|h - f| < \varepsilon$ .

Finally, note that  $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}$  is not  $m$ -dense in  $C(X)$  because if  $\tilde{u} \geq 1$  is an extension to  $X$  of the continuous function  $u(n) = n$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then there is no  $f \in \mathfrak{F}$  such that

$$0 < f(x) \leq 1/\tilde{u}(x)$$

since, as we already know, there is no sequence in  $\mathfrak{F}$  of positive numbers that tends to zero.  $\square$

REMARKS. Note that the same proof is valid if, in the above theorem, instead of subring, we consider one of the following algebraic structures: divisible subring, linear subspace over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , subgroup, or sublattice. The reason is that the family  $\mathfrak{F}$  in Example 6 has each of these properties. Therefore, we can also establish the non-equivalence between  $u$ -density and  $m$ -density in those cases.

But what is the case for linear subspaces over  $\mathbb{R}$  or for subalgebras? Note that here we cannot use the same arguments as before since  $\mathfrak{F}$  has none of these structures. We proved in [2], with different techniques, that the analogous result holds for linear subspaces over  $\mathbb{R}$ . Nevertheless we do not know whether the same is true for the subalgebras of  $C(X)$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] F.W. Anderson, 'Approximation in systems of real-valued continuous functions', *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **103** (1962), 249–271.

- [2] M.I. Garrido and F. Montalvo, 'On uniformly dense and  $m$ -dense subsets of  $C(X)$ ', *Extracta Math.* **6** (1991), 15–16.
- [3] M.I. Garrido and F. Montalvo, 'Uniform approximation theorems for real-valued continuous functions', *Topology Appl.* **45** (1992), 145–155.
- [4] L. Gillman and M. Jerison, *Rings of continuous functions* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1976).
- [5] E. Hewitt, 'Rings of real-valued continuous functions. I', *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **64** (1948), 45–99.
- [6] J. Kurzweil, 'On approximation in real Banach spaces', *Studia Math.* **14** (1954), 214–231.

Departamento de Matemáticas  
Universidad de Extremadura  
Avda. de Elvas s/n.  
06071 Badajoz  
Spain