

ON A CANONICAL LIE ALGEBRA $\mathfrak{sl}(2r + 2)$ -BUNDLE OVER $\text{GRASS}(n, r)$

HISASI MORIKAWA

In the present note we shall construct a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}(2r + 2)$ -bundle over $\text{Grass}(n, r)$, canonically, which may be useful for theory of holomorphic vector bundles probably.

1. We use the following notations:

- $U(m)$: unitary group of degree m ,
- $u(m)$: unitary Lie algebra of degree m ,
- $U(r + 1, n + 1) = \{w | (r + 1) \times (n + 1)\text{-matrices such that } w^t \bar{w} = I\}$,
- $u(r + 1, n + 1) = \{(A, B) | (r + 1) \times (n + 1)\text{-matrices such that } A + {}^t \bar{A} = 0\}$,
- T^*M : the cotangent bundle of M ,
- \bar{T}^*M : the complex conjugate bundle of T^*M ,
- $E(M)$: the exterior algebra bundle over M generated by $T^*M \oplus \bar{T}^*M$ for a complex manifold M .

We mean by the upper $(r + 1)$ -part of an $(n + 1) \times (n + 1)$ -matrix

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} Y \\ Z \end{pmatrix} \begin{matrix} \} r + 1 \\ \} n - r \end{matrix}$$

the $(r + 1) \times (n + 1)$ -matrix Y , then $U(r + 1, n + 1)$ and $u(r + 1, n + 1)$ are regarded as the upper $(r + 1)$ -parts of $U(n + 1)$ and $u(n + 1)$, respectively. There exist the natural bundle structures

$$\begin{array}{c} U(n + 1) \\ \downarrow \tilde{\pi} \\ U(r + 1, n + 1) = U(n - r) \backslash U(n + 1) \end{array}$$

Received September 14, 1973.

$$\begin{aligned} &\downarrow \pi \\ \text{Grass}(n, r) &= U(r + 1) \backslash U(r + 1, n + 1) \\ &= U(r + 1) \times U(n - r) \backslash U(n + 1) . \end{aligned}$$

The space $U(r + 1, n + 1)$ is the canonical $U(r + 1)$ -bundle over complex Grassmann manifold $\text{Grass}(n, r)$.

An exponential map

$$\text{exp}: u(r + 1, n + 1) \longrightarrow U(r + 1, n + 1)$$

is defined by

$$(1) \quad \text{exp}(A, B) = \text{the upper } (r + 1)\text{-part of } \exp \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ -{}^t\bar{B} & 0 \end{pmatrix} .$$

We choose a positive number κ such that the projection π induces a homeomorphism of the submanifold

$$\{\text{exp}(0, B) \mid \|B\| < \kappa\}$$

in $U(r + 1, n + 1)$ onto an open neighbourhood W of $\pi(I, 0)$ on $\text{Grass}(n, r)$. Then we get a system of system of real analytic local cross sections σ_α ($\alpha \in U(n + 1)$) of $\text{Grass}(n, r)$ into $U(r + 1, n + 1)$ given by

$$(2) \quad \sigma_\alpha(\pi(\text{exp}(0, B) \cdot \alpha)) = \text{exp}(0, B)\alpha \quad (\|B\| < \kappa, \alpha \in U(n + 1)) .$$

The local cross section σ_α is defined on the image W_α of W by the action α :

$$\sigma_\alpha: W\alpha \longrightarrow \sigma_\alpha(W\alpha) .$$

There exist real analytic maps

$$\tau_{\beta, \alpha}: W\alpha \cap W\beta \longrightarrow U(r + 1) \quad (\alpha, \beta \in U(n + 1))$$

such that

$$(3) \quad \tau_{r, \beta} \tau_{\beta, \alpha} = \tau_{r, \alpha} , \quad (\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in U(n + 1)) .$$

$$(4) \quad \sigma_\beta = \tau_{\beta, \alpha} \sigma_\alpha$$

2. Denoting by $w = (w_{ii})$ the system of coordinates on $U(r + 1, n + 1)$, then

$$(5) \quad \theta = wd^t\bar{w}$$

is a $U(n + 1)$ -invariant connection form on $U(r + 1, n + 1)$, because

$$\theta + {}^t\bar{\theta} = wd^t\bar{w} = d(w^t\bar{w}) = dI = 0$$

and

$$(w\alpha)d^t(\bar{w}\bar{\alpha}) = w\alpha^t\bar{\alpha}d^t\bar{w} = wd^t\bar{w} \quad (\alpha \in U(n + 1)).$$

We mean by ω the curvature form of θ , i.e.

$$(6) \quad \omega = d\theta + \theta \wedge \theta.$$

LEMMA 1. Putting $w = (\overbrace{w^{(1)}}^{\tau+1}, \overbrace{w^{(2)}}^{n-\tau})$, we have

$$(7) \quad \theta_{(I,0)} = -dw^{(1)} = d^t\bar{w}^{(1)},$$

$$(8) \quad \omega_{(I,0)} = dw^{(2)} \wedge d^t\bar{w}^{(2)}.$$

Proof. From the definitions it follows;

$$\begin{aligned} dw^{(1)}d^t\bar{w}^{(1)} &= d(w^{(1)t}\bar{w}^{(1)} + w^{(2)t}\bar{w}^{(2)})_{(I,0)} = 0, \\ \theta_{(I,0)} &= (I, 0) \begin{pmatrix} d^t\bar{w}^{(1)} \\ d^t\bar{w}^{(2)} \end{pmatrix} = d^t\bar{w}^{(1)} = -dw^{(1)}, \\ \omega_{(I,0)} &= (d\theta)_{(I,0)} + \theta_{(I,0)} \wedge \theta_{(I,0)} \\ &= dw^{(1)} \wedge d^t\bar{w}^{(1)} + dw^{(2)} \wedge d^t\bar{w}^{(2)} - dw^{(1)} \wedge d^t\bar{w}^{(2)} \\ &= dw^{(2)} \wedge d^t\bar{w}^{(2)}. \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 2.

$$(9) \quad \tau_{\beta,\alpha}^*(\omega) = \tau_{\beta,\alpha}\omega\tau_{\beta,\alpha}^{-1} \quad (\alpha, \beta \in U(n + 1)).$$

Proof. Since $\tau_{\beta,\alpha}^*(w) = \tau_{\beta,\alpha}w$, it follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{\beta,\alpha}^*(\theta) &= \tau_{\beta,\alpha}^*(w)d^t(\overline{\tau_{\beta,\alpha}^*(w)}) = \tau_{\beta,\alpha}wd^t(\bar{w}\tau_{\beta,\alpha}^{-1}) \\ &= \tau_{\beta,\alpha}wd^t\bar{w}\tau_{\beta,\alpha}^{-1} - \tau_{\beta,\alpha}w^t\bar{w}\tau_{\beta,\alpha}^{-1}d\tau_{\beta,\alpha}\tau_{\beta,\alpha}^{-1} \\ &= \tau_{\beta,\alpha}\theta\tau_{\beta,\alpha}^{-1} - d\tau_{\beta,\alpha}\tau_{\beta,\alpha}^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{\beta,\alpha}^*(\omega) &= \tau_{\beta,\alpha}^*(d\theta) + \tau_{\beta,\alpha}^*(\theta) \wedge \tau_{\beta,\alpha}^*(\theta) \\ &= d\tau_{\beta,\alpha} \wedge \theta\tau_{\beta,\alpha}^{-1} + \tau_{\beta,\alpha}d\theta\tau_{\beta,\alpha}^{-1} \\ &\quad + \tau_{\beta,\alpha}\theta \wedge \tau_{\beta,\alpha}^{-1}d\tau_{\beta,\alpha}\tau_{\beta,\alpha}^{-1} - d\tau_{\beta,\alpha} \wedge \tau_{\beta,\alpha}^{-1}d\tau_{\beta,\alpha}\tau_{\beta,\alpha}^{-1} \\ &\quad + \tau_{\beta,\alpha}\theta \wedge \theta\tau_{\beta,\alpha}^{-1} - \tau_{\beta,\alpha}\theta\tau_{\beta,\alpha}^{-1} \wedge d\tau_{\beta,\alpha}\tau_{\beta,\alpha}^{-1} \\ &\quad - d\tau_{\beta,\alpha} \wedge \theta\tau_{\beta,\alpha}^{-1} + d\tau_{\beta,\alpha}\tau_{\beta,\alpha}^{-1} \wedge d\tau_{\beta,\alpha}\tau_{\beta,\alpha}^{-1} \\ &= \tau_{\beta,\alpha}(d\theta + \theta \wedge \theta)\tau_{\beta,\alpha}^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

PROPOSITION 1. Let $\omega^{(\alpha)}$ be the restriction of the curvature form on the local cross section $\sigma_\alpha(W\alpha)$ of $\text{Grass}(n, r)$ in $U(r + 1, n + 1)$. Then it follows:

$$(10) \quad \tau_{\beta, \alpha}^*(\omega^{(\beta)}) = \tau_{\beta, \alpha} \omega^{(\alpha)} \tau_{\beta, \alpha}^{-1} \quad (\alpha, \beta \in U(n + 1)).$$

Proof. From Lemma 2 it follows

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{\beta, \alpha}^*(\omega^{(\beta)}) &= \tau_{\beta, \alpha}^*(\omega|_{\sigma_\beta(W\beta)}) = \tau_{\beta, \alpha}^*(\omega)|_{\sigma_\alpha(W\alpha)} \\ &= (\tau_{\beta, \alpha} \omega \tau_{\beta, \alpha}^{-1})|_{\sigma_\alpha(W\alpha)} = \tau_{\beta, \alpha} \omega|_{\sigma_\alpha(W\alpha)} \tau_{\beta, \alpha}^{-1} = \tau_{\beta, \alpha} \omega^{(\alpha)} \tau_{\beta, \alpha}^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

3. Let E be the exterior algebra generated by $dw_{ip}, d\bar{w}_{ip}$ ($0 \leq i \leq r; r + 1 \leq p \leq n$). We mean by $e(\xi)\eta$ and $i(\xi)\eta$ respectively the order and inner product $\xi \wedge \eta$ and the inner product of ξ with η with respect to the metric

$$2 \sum_{i=0}^r \sum_{p=r+1}^n (dw_{ip}, d\bar{w}_{ip}).$$

Since w_{ip} ($0 \leq i \leq r; r + 1 \leq p \leq n$) are independent complex variables, the inner and outer products satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} e(dw_{jp})i(dw_{jp}) + i(dw_{jp})e(dw_{jp}) &= \text{id}, \\ e(d\bar{w}_{jp})i(d\bar{w}_{jp}) + i(d\bar{w}_{jp})e(d\bar{w}_{jp}) &= \text{id}. \end{aligned}$$

Except these two cases $e(dw_{jp}), i(dw_{jp}), e(d\bar{w}_{jp}), i(d\bar{w}_{jp})$ ($0 \leq j \leq r; r + 1 \leq p \leq n$) are anti-commutative each other.

LEMMA 3.

$$(11) \quad \left[\sum_{p=r+1}^n e(dw_{jp})e(d\bar{w}_{ip}), \sum_{p=r+1}^n i(dw_{kp})i(d\bar{w}_{lp}) \right] \\ = -\delta_{k\ell} \sum_{p=r+1}^n i(d\bar{w}_{lp})e(d\bar{w}_{ip}) + \delta_{i\ell} \sum_{p=r+1}^n e(dw_{jp})i(dw_{kp}),$$

$$(12) \quad \left[\sum_{p=r+1}^n e(dw_{jp})i(dw_{ip}), \sum_{p=r+1}^n e(dw_{lp})e(d\bar{w}_{kp}) \right] \\ = \delta_{i\ell} \sum_{p=r+1}^n e(dw_{jp})e(d\bar{w}_{kp}),$$

$$(13) \quad \left[\sum_{p=r+1}^n i(d\bar{w}_{jp})e(d\bar{w}_{ip}), \sum_{p=r+1}^n e(dw_{ip})e(d\bar{w}_{kp}) \right] \\ = -\delta_{jk} \sum_{p=r+1}^n e(dw_{lp})e(d\bar{w}_{ip}),$$

$$(14) \quad \left[\sum_{p=r+1}^n e(dw_{j_p})i(dw_{i_p}), \sum_{p=r+1}^n i(dw_{k_p})i(\bar{w}_{i_p}) \right] \\ = -\delta_{jk} \sum_{p=r+1}^n e(dw_{i_p})i(d\bar{w}_{i_p}),$$

$$(15) \quad \left[\sum_{p=r+1}^n i(d\bar{w}_{j_p})e(d\bar{w}_{i_p}), \sum_{p=r+1}^n i(dw_{k_p})i(d\bar{w}_{i_p}) \right] \\ = \delta_{ii} \sum_{p=r+1}^n i(dw_{k_p})i(d\bar{w}_{j_p}).$$

Proof. From the above remark it follows

$$\sum_{p,q=r+1}^n \{e(dw_{j_p})e(d\bar{w}_{i_p})i(dw_{k_q})i(d\bar{w}_{i_q}) - i(dw_{k_q})i(d\bar{w}_{i_q})e(dw_{j_p})e(d\bar{w}_{i_p})\} \\ = \sum_{p=r+1}^n \{-e(dw_{j_p})i(dw_{k_p})e(d\bar{w}_{i_p})i(d\bar{w}_{i_p}) + i(dw_{k_p})e(dw_{j_p})i(d\bar{w}_{i_p})e(d\bar{w}_{i_p})\} \\ = -\sum_{p=r+1}^n e(dw_{j_p})i(dw_{k_p})\{e(d\bar{w}_{i_p})i(d\bar{w}_{i_p}) + i(d\bar{w}_{i_p})e(d\bar{w}_{i_p})\} \\ + \delta_{kj} \sum_{p=r+1}^n i(d\bar{w}_{i_p})e(d\bar{w}_{i_p}) \\ = \delta_{kj} \sum_{p=r+1}^n i(d\bar{w}_{i_p})e(d\bar{w}_{i_p}) - \delta_{ii} \sum_{p=r+1}^n e(dw_{j_p})i(dw_{k_p}),$$

$$\sum_{p,q=r+1}^n \{e(dw_{j_p})i(dw_{i_p})e(dw_{i_p})e(d\bar{w}_{k_q}) - e(dw_{i_q})e(d\bar{w}_{k_q})e(dw_{j_p})i(dw_{i_p})\} \\ = \sum_{p=r+1}^n e(dw_{j_p})\{i(dw_{i_p})e(dw_{i_p}) + e(dw_{i_p})i(dw_{i_p})\}e(d\bar{w}_{k_p}) \\ = \delta_{ii} \sum_{p=r+1}^n e(dw_{j_p})e(d\bar{w}_{k_p}),$$

$$\sum_{p,q=r+1}^n \{i(d\bar{w}_{j_p})e(d\bar{w}_{i_p})e(dw_{i_q})e(d\bar{w}_{k_q}) - e(dw_{i_q})e(d\bar{w}_{k_q})i(d\bar{w}_{j_p})e(d\bar{w}_{i_p})\} \\ = -\sum_{p=r+1}^n e(dw_{i_p})\{i(d\bar{w}_{j_p})e(d\bar{w}_{k_p}) + e(d\bar{w}_{k_p})i(d\bar{w}_{j_p})\}e(d\bar{w}_{i_p}) \\ = -\delta_{kj} \sum_{p=r+1}^n e(dw_{i_p})e(d\bar{w}_{i_p}),$$

$$\sum_{p,q=r+1}^n \{e(dw_{j_p})i(dw_{i_p})i(dw_{k_q})i(d\bar{w}_{i_q}) - i(dw_{k_q})i(d\bar{w}_{i_q})e(dw_{j_p})i(dw_{i_p})\} \\ = -\sum_{p=r+1}^n \{e(dw_{j_p})i(dw_{k_p}) + i(dw_{k_p})e(dw_{j_p})\}i(dw_{i_p})i(d\bar{w}_{i_p}) \\ = -\delta_{jk} \sum_{p=r+1}^n i(dw_{i_p})i(d\bar{w}_{i_p}),$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{p,q=r+1}^n \{i(d\bar{w}_{jp})e(d\bar{w}_{ip})i(dw_{kq})i(d\bar{w}_{iq}) - i(dw_{kq})i(d\bar{w}_{iq})i(d\bar{w}_{jp})e(d\bar{w}_{ip})\} \\ &= \sum_{p=r+1}^n i(dw_{kp})i(d\bar{w}_{ip})\{e(d\bar{w}_{ip})i(d\bar{w}_{ip}) + i(d\bar{w}_{ip})e(d\bar{w}_{ip})\} \\ &= \delta_{i\ell} \sum_{p=r+1}^n i(dw_{kp})i(d\bar{w}_{jp}) . \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 1. *Let L and A be the $(r + 1) \times (r + 1)$ -matrices whose (i, j) -th entries are given by*

$$L_{ij} = \sqrt{-1} \sum_{p=r+1}^n e(dw_{ip})e(d\bar{w}_{jp})$$

and

$$A_{ij} = -\sqrt{-1} \sum_{p=r+1}^n i(dw_{ip})i(d\bar{w}_{jp}) .$$

Then there exists a representation ρ of Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}(2r + 2)$ such that

$$(16) \quad \rho \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ A & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \text{tr}(AL) = \sum_{i,j=0}^r a_{ij}L_{ji}$$

$$(17) \quad \rho \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \text{tr}({}^tBA) = \sum_{i,j=0}^r b_{ij}A_{ij} .$$

Proof. Let ε_{ij} be the $(r + 1) \times (r + 1)$ -matrix whose only non-zero entry is the (i, j) -th entry 1. We denote by ρ the linear mapping given by

$$\begin{aligned} \rho \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \varepsilon_{ij} & 0 \end{pmatrix} &= L_{ij} , \\ \rho \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \varepsilon_{ij} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} &= A_{ij} , \\ \rho \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \varepsilon_{ij} \end{pmatrix} &= -\sum_{p=r+1}^n i(d\bar{w}_{jp})e(d\bar{w}_{ip}) , \\ \rho \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_{ij} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} &= -\sum_{p=r+1}^n e(dw_{jp})i(dw_{ip}) . \end{aligned}$$

Then by virtue of (11), (12), (13), (14), (15) it follows

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\rho \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \varepsilon_{ij} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \varepsilon_{kl} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] &= [L_{ji}, A_{kl}] = \delta_{kj}\rho \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \varepsilon_{i\ell} \end{pmatrix} - \delta_{i\ell}\rho \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_{kj} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \rho \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \varepsilon_{ij}\varepsilon_{k\ell} \end{pmatrix} - \rho \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_{k\ell}\varepsilon_{ij} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \rho \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \varepsilon_{ij} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \varepsilon_{k\ell} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] , \end{aligned}$$

In the previous paper [] we have proved the essentially same result.

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\rho \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_{ij} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \varepsilon_{k\ell} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] &= \rho \begin{pmatrix} -\varepsilon_{k\ell}\varepsilon_{ij} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \rho \left[\begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_{ij} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \varepsilon_{k\ell} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right], \\ \left[\rho \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \varepsilon_{ij} \end{pmatrix}, \rho \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \varepsilon_{k\ell} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] &= \rho \begin{pmatrix} -\varepsilon_{ij}\varepsilon_{k\ell} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \rho \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \varepsilon_{ij} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \varepsilon_{k\ell} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right], \\ \left[\rho \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_{ij} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \rho \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \varepsilon_{k\ell} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] &= \rho \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \varepsilon_{ij}\varepsilon_{k\ell} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \rho \left[\begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_{ij} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \varepsilon_{k\ell} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right], \\ \left[\rho \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \varepsilon_{ij} \end{pmatrix}, \rho \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \varepsilon_{k\ell} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] &= \rho \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\varepsilon_{k\ell}\varepsilon_{ij} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \rho \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \varepsilon_{ij} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \varepsilon_{k\ell} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right], \end{aligned}$$

This means that ρ gives a Lie algebra homomorphism of $(2r + 2)$. For any $(r + 1) \times (r + 1)$ matrices A and B

$$\begin{aligned} \rho \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ A & 0 \end{pmatrix} &= \sum_{i,j=0}^r a_{ij} \rho \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \varepsilon_{ij} & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{i,j=0}^r a_{ij} L_{ji} = \text{tr}(AL), \\ \rho \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} &= \sum_{i,j=0}^r b_{ij} \rho \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \varepsilon_{ij} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{i,j=0}^r b_{ij} A_{ij} = \text{tr}(BA). \end{aligned}$$

4. We mean by $E(\sigma_\alpha(W\alpha))$ the exterior algebra bundle generated by $T^*\sigma_\alpha(W\alpha) \oplus \bar{T}^*\sigma_\alpha(\alpha)$. We mean by $\omega^{(\alpha)}$ the restriction of the curvature form ω on the local cross section $\sigma_\alpha(W\alpha)$ of $\text{Grass}(n, r)$, and we define linear operators acting on $E(\sigma_\alpha(W\alpha))$ as follows:

(18)
$$L_{ij}^{(\alpha)} = \sqrt{-1} e(\omega_{ij}^{(\alpha)})$$

(19)
$$A_{ij}^{(\alpha)} = -\sqrt{-1} i_\alpha(\omega_{ij}^{(\alpha)}),$$

where $\omega_{ij}^{(\alpha)} = (\omega_{ij}^{(\alpha)})$ and $i_\alpha(\cdot)$ means the inner product with respect to the metric corresponding to $\text{tr } \omega^{(\alpha)} = \sum_{i=0}^r \omega_{ii}^{(\alpha)}$.

LEMMA 5. We denote

(20)
$$\tau_{\beta,\alpha}^*(L_{ij}^{(\beta)}) = \sqrt{-1} e(\tau_{\beta,\alpha}^*(\omega_{ij}^{(\beta)})),$$

(20)
$$\tau_{\beta,\alpha}^*(A_{ij}^{(\beta)}) = \sqrt{-1} i_\alpha(\tau_{\beta,\alpha}^*(\omega_{ij}^{(\beta)})).$$

Then we have

(22)
$$\tau_{\beta,\alpha}^*(L^{(\beta)}) = \tau_{\beta,\alpha} L^{(\alpha)} \tau_{\beta,\alpha}^{-1},$$

(23)
$$\tau_{\beta,\alpha}^*(A^{(\beta)}) = {}^t \tau_{\beta,\alpha}^{-1} A^{(\alpha)} {}^t \tau_{\beta,\alpha} \quad (\alpha, \beta \in U(n + 1)).$$

Proof. Since $e(dw_{i\ell})$ and $i(dw_{i\ell})$ depend on $dw_{i\ell}$ ($0 \leq i \leq r; 0 \leq \ell \leq n$) respectively covariantly and contravariantly, hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 e(\tau_{\beta,\alpha}\omega\tau_{\beta,\alpha}^{-1}) &= e(\tau_{\beta,\alpha}\omega^t\bar{\tau}_{\beta,\alpha}) = \tau_{\beta,\alpha}e(\omega)^t\bar{\tau}_{\beta,\alpha} = \tau_{\beta,\alpha}e(\omega)\tau_{\beta,\alpha}^{-1}, \\
 i(\tau_{\beta,\alpha}\omega\tau_{\beta,\alpha}^{-1}) &= i(\tau_{\beta,\alpha}\omega^t\bar{\tau}_{\beta,\alpha}) = {}^t\tau_{\beta,\alpha}^{-1}i(\omega)^t\tau_{\beta,\alpha}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore by virtue of the definitions of L and A , we have (26) and (27).

PROPOSITION 2. *There exists a representation $\rho^{(\alpha)}$ of $\mathfrak{sl}(2r + 2)$ as linear operators on $E(\sigma_\alpha(W\alpha))$ such that*

$$(24) \quad \rho^{(\alpha)}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ A & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \text{tr}(AL^{(\alpha)}),$$

$$(25) \quad \rho^{(\alpha)}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \text{tr}({}^tBA^{(\alpha)}),$$

$$(26) \quad \tau_{\beta,\alpha}^*(\rho^{(\beta)}(X)\xi_\beta) = \rho^{(\alpha)}\left(\begin{pmatrix} \tau_{\beta,\alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & \tau_{\beta,\alpha} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \times \begin{pmatrix} \tau_{\beta,\alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & \tau_{\beta,\alpha} \end{pmatrix}\right)\tau_{\beta,\alpha}^*(\xi_\beta),$$

($\alpha, \beta \in U(n + 1)$; $X \in \mathfrak{sl}(2r + 2)$).

Proof. Since $\omega_{(I,0)} = (\sum_{p=r+1}^n dw_{ip} \wedge d\bar{w}_{jp})$ and $dw_{ip}, d\bar{w}_{jp}$ ($0 \leq i \leq r$; $r + 1 \leq p \leq n$) form a linear base of the fibre $T_{(I,0)}^*\sigma_e(W) \oplus \bar{T}_{(I,0)}^*\sigma_e(W)$, the representation ρ of $\mathfrak{sl}(2r + 2)$ in Theorem 1 is the representation of $\mathfrak{sl}(2r + 2)$ acting on the fibre of $E(\sigma_\alpha(W))$ at $(I, 0)$ which satisfies (22) and (23). Since $\text{Grass}(n, r)$ is homogeneous for $U(n + 1)$ and ω is $U(n + 1)$ -invariant, translating the fibre by α in $U(n + 1)$, we get a representation $\rho^{(\alpha)}$ of $\mathfrak{sl}(2r + 2)$ acting on the fibre $E(\sigma_\alpha(W\alpha))$ at $\bar{\pi}\alpha$ satisfying (22) and (23), where $\bar{\pi}\alpha$ means the upper $(r + 1)$ -part of α . For each point Z_β on $\sigma_\beta(W\beta)$ there exists an element α in $(U(n + 1))$ such that $\tau_{\beta,\alpha}(\bar{\pi}\alpha) = Z_\beta$. Hence it is sufficient to prove that, if there exists a representation $\rho^{(\alpha)}$ of $\mathfrak{sl}(2r + 2)$ acting on the fibre of $E(\sigma_\alpha(W))$ at Z_α satisfying (22) and (23), then for a point $Z_\beta = \tau_{\beta,\alpha}Z_\alpha$ on $\sigma_\beta(W\beta)$ there exists a representation $\rho^{(\beta)}$ of $\mathfrak{sl}(2r + 2)$ acting on the fibre $E(\sigma_\beta(W\beta))$ at Z_β satisfying (22), (23), (24). By virtue of (20) and (21) we have

$$\tau_{\beta,\alpha}^*(L^{(\beta)}) = \tau_{\beta,\alpha}L^{(\alpha)}\tau_{\beta,\alpha}^{-1}$$

and

$$\tau_{\beta,\alpha}^*(A^{(\beta)}) = {}^t\tau_{\beta,\alpha}^{-1}A^{(\alpha)}{}^t\tau_{\beta,\alpha},$$

hence it follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\rho^{(\alpha)}\left(\begin{pmatrix} \tau_{\beta,\alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & \tau_{\beta,\alpha} \end{pmatrix}^{-1}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ A & 0 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} \tau_{\beta,\alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & \tau_{\beta,\alpha} \end{pmatrix}\right) \\
 &= \text{tr}(\tau_{\beta,\alpha}^{-1}A\tau_{\beta,\alpha}L^{(\alpha)}) = \text{tr}(A\tau_{\beta,\alpha}L^{(\alpha)}\tau_{\beta,\alpha}^{-1}) = \tau_{\beta,\alpha}^*\text{tr}(AL^{(\beta)}),
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \rho^{(\alpha)}\left(\begin{pmatrix} \tau_{\beta,\alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & \tau_{\beta,\alpha} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \tau_{\beta,\alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & \tau_{\beta,\alpha} \end{pmatrix}\right) \\ &= \text{tr}({}^t(\tau_{\beta,\alpha}^{-1} \beta \tau_{\beta,\alpha}) A^{(\alpha)}) = \text{tr}({}^t B {}^t \tau_{\beta,\alpha}^{-1} A^{(\alpha)} \tau_{\beta,\alpha}) = \tau_{\beta,\alpha}^* \text{tr}(A L^{(\beta)}). \end{aligned}$$

Since sl(2r + 2) is generated by the elements

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ A & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mid A, B \text{ are } (r + 1) \times (r + 1) \text{ matrices} \right\}$$

we get a representation $\rho^{(\beta)}$ of sl(2r + 2) acting on the fibre $E(\sigma_\beta(W\beta))$ at Z_β such that

$$\rho^{(\beta)}(X)\xi_\beta = \tau_{\beta,\alpha}^* \left(\rho^{(\alpha)} \left(\begin{pmatrix} \tau_{\beta,\alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & \tau_{\beta,\alpha} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} X \begin{pmatrix} \tau_{\beta,\alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & \tau_{\beta,\alpha} \end{pmatrix} \right) \tau_{\beta,\alpha}^* \xi_\beta \right).$$

This representation $\rho^{(\beta)}$ satisfies, (22), (23) and (24). Moreover the representation depends only on Z_β , which does not depend on the choice of α and Z_α , because by virtue of (8) and (03)

$$\tau_{\beta\gamma} \tau_{\gamma\alpha} = \tau_{\beta\alpha} \quad (\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in U(n + 1))$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \tau_{\beta\alpha} \left\{ \rho^{(\alpha)} \left(\begin{pmatrix} \tau_{\beta,\alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & \tau_{\beta,\alpha} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} X \begin{pmatrix} \tau_{\beta,\alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & \tau_{\beta,\alpha} \end{pmatrix} \right) \tau_{\beta,\alpha}^* \xi_\beta \right\} \\ &= \tau_{\beta,\alpha}^* \tau_{\alpha,r}^* \left\{ \rho^{(\alpha)} \left(\begin{pmatrix} \tau_{\beta,\alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & \tau_{\beta,\alpha} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \tau_{\beta,\alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & \tau_{\beta,\alpha} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} X \begin{pmatrix} \tau_{\beta,r} & 0 \\ 0 & \tau_{\beta,r} \end{pmatrix} \right) \tau_{\beta,\alpha}^* (\tau_{\beta,r}^* \xi_\beta) \right\} \\ &= \tau_{r,\beta}^* \left\{ \rho^{(r)} \left(\begin{pmatrix} \tau_{\beta,r} & 0 \\ 0 & \tau_{\beta,r} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} X \begin{pmatrix} \tau_{\beta,r} & 0 \\ 0 & \tau_{\beta,r} \end{pmatrix} \right) \tau_{\beta,r}^* \xi_\beta \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

1.5. We can now construct a canonical sl(2r + 2)-Lie algebra bundle $L(n, r)$ which acts naturally on $E(\text{Grass}(n, r))$.

We define an equivalence relation \sim in

$$\bigcup_{\alpha \in U(n+1)} \sigma_\alpha(W\alpha) \times \text{sl}(2r + 2)$$

such that $(Z_\alpha, X_\alpha) \sim (Z_\beta, X_\beta)$ if and only if

$$\pi Z_\alpha = \pi Z_\beta$$

and

$$X_\beta = \begin{pmatrix} \tau_{\beta,\alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & \tau_{\beta,\alpha} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} X \begin{pmatrix} \tau_{\beta,\alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & \tau_{\beta,\alpha} \end{pmatrix} \quad (\alpha, \beta \in U(n + 1)).$$

Since $\tau_{\gamma,\alpha}\tau_{\beta,\alpha} = \tau_{\gamma,\alpha}$ ($\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in U(n + 1)$), the equivalence classes form a real analytic vector bundle

$$L(n, r) = \bigcup_{\alpha \in U(n+1)} \sigma_\alpha(W\alpha) \times \mathfrak{sl}(2r + 2) / \sim$$

over $\text{Grass}(n, r)$. Let us show $L(n, r)$ acts naturally on $E(\text{Grass}(n, r))$. The bundle $E(\text{Grass}(n, r))$ may be expressed

$$E(\text{Grass}(n, r)) = \bigcup_{\alpha \in U(n+1)} E(\sigma_\alpha(W\alpha)) / \sim .$$

where $\xi_\alpha \sim \xi_\beta$ if and only if $\xi_\alpha = \tau_{\beta,\alpha}\xi_\beta$. Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}(2r + 2)$ acts on $E(\sigma_\alpha(W\alpha))$ as follows:

$$(X, \xi_\alpha) \longrightarrow \rho^{(\alpha)}(X)\xi_\alpha .$$

By virtue of (30) in Proposition 2 it follows

$$\tau_{\beta,\alpha}^*(\rho^{(\beta)}(X)\xi_\beta) = \rho^{(\alpha)}\left(\begin{pmatrix} \tau_{\beta,\alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & \tau_{\beta,\alpha} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} X \begin{pmatrix} \tau_{\beta,\alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & \tau_{\beta,\alpha} \end{pmatrix}\right)\tau_{\beta,\alpha}^*(\xi_\beta)$$

$(\alpha, \beta \in U(n + 1), \xi_\beta \in E(\sigma_\beta(W\beta))) .$

This means that $L(n, r)$ acts on $E(\text{Grass}(n, r))$.

We now concluded that:

THEOREM 2. *Let $U(n - r) \setminus U(n + 1) \xrightarrow{\pi} \text{Grass}(n, r)$ be the canonical $U(r + 1)$ -bundle over $\text{Grass}(n, r)$, and let ω be the curvature form of the $U(n + 1)$ -invariant connections*

$$\theta = wd^t\bar{w} ,$$

where $U(n - r) \setminus U(n + 1)$ is regarded the space of $(r + 1) \times (n + 1)$ -matrices w satisfying $w^t\bar{w} = I$. Let $E(\text{Grass}(n, r))$ be the exterior algebra bundle over $\text{Grass}(n, r)$ generated by

$$T^* \text{Grass}(n, r) \oplus \bar{T}^* \text{Grass}(n, r) .$$

Then there exists a $\mathfrak{sl}(2r + 2)$ -Lie algebra bundle $L(n, r)$ over $\text{Grass}(n, r)$ with the following properties:

- i) The action of $U(n + 1)$ on $\text{Grass}(n, r)$ is lifted on $L(n, r)$.
- ii) The $U(r + 1)$ -bundle $U(n - r) \setminus U(n + 1)$ acts on $L(n, r)$ as follows

$$(\tau, \rho(X)) \longrightarrow \rho\left(\begin{pmatrix} \tau & 0 \\ 0 & \tau \end{pmatrix}^{-1} X \begin{pmatrix} \tau & 0 \\ 0 & \tau \end{pmatrix}\right)$$

for local sections τ and ρ into $U(n - r) \setminus U(n + 1)$ and $L(n, r)$, respectively.

iii) $L(n, r)$ acts on $E(\text{Grass}(n, r))$ as follows:

Let E be the exterior algebra generated over the dual space of the horizontal space at a point Z on $U(n - r) \setminus U(n + 1)$ with respect to the connection $wd^t\bar{w}$, and let L_{ij} and A_{ij} ($0 \leq i, j \leq r$) be the linear operators acting on E given by

$$L_{ij} = \sqrt{-1} e(\tilde{\omega}_{ij}) , \quad A_{ij} = -\sqrt{-1} i(\tilde{\omega}_{ij}) ,$$

where $\tilde{\omega} = (\tilde{\omega}_{ij})$ is the restriction of the curvature form ω on the horizontal space and the inner product $i(\)$ corresponds to $\text{tr } \tilde{\omega}$. Then, identified E with the fibre of $E(\text{Grass}(n, r))$ at the base point, of Z , the action of $L(n, r)$ on E is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \rho \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ A & 0 \end{pmatrix} &= \text{tr}(AL) = \sum_{i,j=0}^r a_{ij} L_{ji} , \\ \rho \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} &= \text{tr}({}^tBA) = \sum_{i,j=0}^r b_{ij} A_{ij} . \end{aligned}$$

REFERENCES

[1] H. Morikawa, On a canonical operation of $sl(2m)$ on the exterior algebra of the vector space of complex $m \times n$ -matrices, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* **B-1** (1973) 191-201.

Nagoya University