## RELATIVE SOLUBILITIES OF CORUNDUM, GIBBSITE, BOEHMITE, AND DIASPORE AT STANDARD STATE CONDITIONS: AN ADDENDUM

Key Words-Aluminum, Boehmite, Corundum, Diaspore, Gibbsite, Solubility.

In 1988, Peryea and Kittrick reported that acid pretreatment of corundum, gibbsite, boehmite, and diaspore resulted in lower measured solubilities than those obtained for similar samples that were not pretreated with acid. They suggested that this effect was the result of the removal of reactive surface coatings by the acid treatment. Gibbs free energies were calculated for these phases from the solubility data and from the value for the free energy of formation of  $Al^{3+}$ ,  $-489.4$  kJ/mole, given by Hemingway *et af.* (1978). The resulting free energies of formation were 1.8 to 12.4 kJ/mole more negative than values calculated for untreated mineral samples. The free energies calculated by Peryea and Kittrick (1988), however, may contain a systematic error, because they result in a redefinition of the free energy of formation of gibbsite, the value upon which the free energy of formation of  $Al^{3+}$  is based.

If the measurements of Peryea and Kittrick (1988; also see Bloom and Weaver, 1982) are to be preferred over those of Kittrick (1966) and Singh (1974), the procedure followed by Hemingway *et af.* (1978; also see Hemingway and Robie, 1977) to calculate the free energy of formation of  $Al^{3+}$  must be modified. Hemingway et al. (1978) calculated the free energy of formation of  $Al^{3+}$  based upon the free energy of gibbsite calculated from calorimetric measurements of the enthalpy of formation and entropy, using solubility data for gibbsite from Kittrick (1966) and Singh (1974). Therefore, if the solubility of gibbsite reported by Peryea and Kittrick (1988) is to be preferred over earlier measurements of the solubility of gibbsite, the value for the free energy of formation of  $Al^{3+}$  must be recalculated.

Following the procedures of Heminway *et af. (1978)*  and using the solubility data for gibbsite from Peryea and Kittrick (1988), the revised value for the free energy of formation of  $Al^{3+}$  should be  $-487.5$  kJ/mole. Based upon this value for the free energy of formation of  $Al^{3+}$  and the solubility data reported by Peryea and Kittrick (1988) for other aluminum oxide and oxyhydroxide phases, the Gibbs free energies of formation of corundum, diaspore, and boehmite should therefore be -1583.7, -923.4, and -919.1 *kJ/mole,* respectively. The Gibbs free energy of formation of gibbsite is the reference value and therefore remains unchanged. The revised values for the aluminum oxide and oxyhydroxide phases are at most 1.5 *kJ/ mole* more negative than earlier values.

It is unclear at this time which measured solubility

Copyright © 1989, The Clay Minerals Society

566

truly reflects equilibrium with gibbsite and, thus, is the appropriate data set to use in the calculation of the free energy of formation of  $Al^{3+}$ . Bloom and Weaver (1982) and Peryea and Kittrick (1988) observed a reduction in solubility for acid pretreated gibbsite samples; however, chlorine was present in each solution prior to equilibration, and the decrease in solubility may reflect the effect of an interaction with Cl- rather than the effect of acid pretreatment of the gibbsite sample.

Hemingway (1982, 303-305) reviewed much of the literature on the effect of  $Cl^-$  in this system. The studies of Hsu (1967) and Frink and Peech (1962) are of particular importance. Hsu (1967) showed that addition of Cl- to hydrolized solutions of aluminum had little or no effect, but that Cl<sup>-</sup> added prior to aluminum hydrolysis retarded precipitation of Al(OH), phases and caused a change in the phase that precipitated, which suggests that Cl<sup>-</sup> does indeed alter the normal sequence of aluminum hydrolysis. Frink and Peech (1962) used a single gibbsite sample, but varied the conditions under which solubility experiments were performed. Their results at several values of pH were plotted by Hemingway (1982, Figure 5) together with his interpretation of the equilibrium solubility curve for gibbsite. The measured solubilities from three of the four experimental conditions used by Frink and Peech (1962) appear to lie along three subparallel curves, one of which is consistent with the solubilities reported by Kittrick (1966) and Singh (1974) and the equilibrium solubility curve given by Hemingway (1982), one of which is consistent with the results of Bloom and Weaver (1982) and Peryea and Kittrick (1988), and one of which shows higher solubilities than the other two sets. In the fourth experimental design, HCl was added to a suspension of gibbsite. The latter solutions do not appear to have equilibrated with gibbsite and showed significantly lower aluminum solubility than the results obtained for the other experimental conditions. All of the solutions studies by Frink and Peech  $(1962)$  contained Cl<sup>-</sup>. Those to which HCl had been added showed a lower aluminum solubility than those that contained  $Cl^-$  as AlCl<sub>3</sub>. The results of Frink and Peech (1962) that are consistent with those of Bloom and Weaver (1982) and Peryea and Kittrick (1988) had both  $AICI<sub>3</sub>$  and HCl added to suspensions of gibbsite, and the results that are consistent with those of Kittrick  $(1966)$  and Singh  $(1974)$  had only AlCl<sub>3</sub> added to the gibbsite suspension.

The specific effect of HCI on the hydrolysis of alu-

minum is not known at this time; however, the addition of HCI prior to hydrolysis of aluminum clearly can cause a reduction in the observed concentration of aluminum solution species. It is not clear that the lower solubility thus detected represents equilibration between gibbsite and the measured solution species. Therefore, we do not, at this time, recommend a change in the accepted free energy of  $Al^{3+}$ . We note the problems posed by the results presented by Bloom and Weaver (1982) and Peryea and Kittrick (1988), as well as those of Hsu (1967) and Frink and Peech (1962), and await the results of further studies in these areas.



*Tree Fruit Reseach Center* F. J. PERYEA *Washington State University Wenatchee. Washington 98801* 

## REFERENCES

Bloom, P. R. and Weaver, R. M. (1982) Effect of removal of reactive surface material on the solubility of synthetic gibbsite: *Clays* & *Clay Minerals* 30, 281-286.

- Frink, C. R. and Peech, M. (1962) The solubility of gibbsite in aqueous solutions and soil extracts: *Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. Proc.* 26, 346-347.
- Hemingway, B. S. (1982) Gibbs free energies of formation for bayerite, nordstrandite,  $AI(OH)^{2+}$ , and  $AI(OH)^{-+}$ , aluminum mobility, and the formation of bauxites and laterites: *Adv. Phys. Geochem.* 2, 285-316.
- Hemingway, B. S. and Robie, R. A. (1977) The entropy and Gibbs free energy of formation of the aluminum ion: *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 41, 1402-1404.
- Hemingway, B. S., Robie, R. *A .,* and Kittrick, J. A. (1978) Revised values for the Gibbs free energy of formation of [Al(OH)<sub>4</sub>-aq], diaspore, boehmite, and bayerite at 298.15 K and I bar, the thermodynamic properties of kaolinite to 800 K and I bar, and the heats of solution of several gibbsite samples: *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 42,1533-1543.
- Hsu, P. H. (1967) Effects of salts on the formation of bayerite versus pseudoboehmite: *Soil Sci.* 103, 101-110.
- Kittrick, J. A. (1966) The free energy of formation of gibbsite and Al(OH)<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup> by solubility measurements: *Soil Sci. Soc. A mer. Proc.* 30, 595-598.
- Peryea, F. J. and Kittrick, J. A. (1988) Relative solubility of corundum, gibbsite, boehmite, and diaspore at standard state conditions: *Clays* & *Clay Minerals* 36,391-396.
- Singh, S. S. (1974) The solubility product of gibbsite at 15, 25 and 35°C: *Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. Proc.* 38,415-417.

*(Received* 15 *March* 1989; *accepted* 23 *July* 1989; *Ms. 1891)*