

# A note on subnormality

T.A. Peng

Let  $H$  be a subgroup of a finite group  $G$  and let  $S$  be a set of generators of  $H$ . We prove that if  $G$  is soluble, then  $H$  is subnormal in  $G$  if and only if there exists an integer  $n$  such that for each  $g$  in  $G$  and  $a$  in  $S$  the commutator  $[g, \underbrace{a, \dots, a}_n]$  lies in  $H$ . This criterion for subnormality is also valid for soluble groups satisfying the maximal or the minimal condition on subgroups.

Let  $G$  be a group. For elements  $x$  and  $y$  of  $G$  we write  $[x, y]$  for the commutator  $x^{-1}y^{-1}xy$  and define  $[x, {}_n y]$  inductively by  $[x, {}_0 y] = x$  and  $[x, {}_{n+1} y] = [[x, {}_n y], y]$  for  $n \geq 0$ . Let  $H$  be a subgroup of  $G$  and let  $S$  be a set of generators of  $H$ . If  $H$  is subnormal in  $G$ , then there exists an integer  $n \geq 0$  such that for each  $g$  in  $G$  and  $a$  in  $S$  the commutator  $[g, {}_n a]$  lies in  $H$ . For an arbitrary group  $G$  the converse is false. (For an example, see [4], p. 230.) Is the converse true if  $G$  is a finite group? This question was raised by Wielandt† in a lecture at the Mathematics Institute, Warwick, in early 1973. (See also [6], p. 203.) In this note we give a partial answer to Wielandt†'s question by proving that the converse is true if  $G$  is a finite soluble group. We shall deduce this and a number of other results of a similar kind from a more general theorem on subnormality in soluble groups which are not necessarily finite.

We use standard notation. Let  $H$  be a subgroup of a group  $G$  and let  $S$  be a set of generators of  $H$ . We shall say that the set  $S$

---

Received 16 March 1976.

satisfies the condition  $C_n$  (in  $G$ ) if there exists an integer  $n \geq 0$  such that for each  $g$  in  $G$  and  $a$  in  $S$  the commutator  $[g, {}_n a]$  lies in  $H$ . We write  $H \text{ sn } G$  to indicate that  $H$  is a subnormal subgroup of  $G$ .

**THEOREM.** *Let  $G$  be a soluble group and let  $H$  be a subgroup of  $G$ . Suppose that  $H$  is generated by a finite normal subset  $S$  (that is,  $S^h = S$  for all  $h$  in  $H$ ). If  $S$  satisfies the condition  $C_n$  for some integer  $n \geq 0$ , then  $H$  is subnormal in  $G$ .*

*Proof.* We first prove the special case where  $S$  consists of a single conjugacy class of  $H$ . We do this by induction on the derived length  $d(G)$  of  $G$ .

The result is trivially true if  $d(G) = 1$  (that is, if  $G$  is abelian). So we assume that  $d(G) \geq 2$ . We also assume that  $n \geq 1$  since  $n = 0$  implies that  $H = G$ .

Let  $N$  be the last non-trivial term of the derived series of  $G$ . Clearly  $SN/N$  generates  $HN/N$  and consists of a single conjugacy class. Since  $SN/N$  satisfies the condition  $C_n$  in  $G/N$  and  $d(G/N) = d(G) - 1$ , it follows by induction that  $HN/N \text{ sn } G/N$  or  $HN \text{ sn } G$ . It is therefore sufficient to prove that  $H \text{ sn } HN$ .

Let  $M = H \cap N$ . Then  $M \triangleleft HN$ . Since  $H \text{ sn } HN$  if and only if  $H/M \text{ sn } HN/M$ , there is no loss of generality if we assume that  $M = 1$ . Under this assumption we have  $H = H/M \cong HN/N$ , so that the derived length  $d(H)$  of  $H$  is at most  $d(G) - 1$ . (The derived length of  $HN$  may well be  $d(G)$ , but this will not concern us.)

Let  $g \in N$  and  $a \in S$ . Then  $[g, {}_i a] \in N$  for all  $i \geq 0$ . This together with the condition  $C_n$  and the assumption  $M = 1$  yields  $[g, {}_n a] = 1$ . Let  $m \leq n$  be the smallest positive integer such that  $[g, {}_m a] = 1$  for all  $g \in N$  and  $a \in S$ . If  $m = 1$ , then  $[N, H] = 1$ , so that  $H \triangleleft HN$ . We may assume that  $m > 1$ .

By the choice of  $m$  there exist non-identity elements  $g \in N$  and  $a \in S$  such that  $[g, {}_m a] = 1$  but  $[g, {}_{m-1} a] \neq 1$ . Putting

$x = [g, m_{-1}a]$  , we get  $[x, a] = 1$  . We show that  $x$  centralizes the whole of  $H$  .

Let  $K = H \cap H^x$  .  $K$  is non-trivial since it contains the element  $a$  . Suppose that  $K$  is a proper subgroup of  $H$  . Let  $S_1 = \{a^h \mid h \in K\}$  and let  $H_1 = \langle S_1 \rangle$  . Since  $S_1 \leq H^x$  , we have  $S_1^{x^{-1}} \leq H$  , so that  $[x^{-1}, S_1] \leq H$  . Since  $x$  lies in the normal subgroup  $N$  , we have also  $[x^{-1}, S_1] \leq N$  . Therefore  $[x^{-1}, S_1] \leq H \cap N = 1$  . Hence  $x$  centralizes  $S_1$  .

Let  $S_1$  and  $H_1$  be as above. If the subset  $S_i$  and the subgroup  $H_i$  have already been defined for  $i \geq 1$  , then let  $S_{i+1} = \{a^h \mid h \in H_i\}$  and let  $H_{i+1} = \langle S_{i+1} \rangle$  . Since  $S$  is finite, there exists an integer  $r \geq 1$  such that  $S_{r+1} = S_r$  . But then  $S_r = \{a^h \mid h \in H_r\}$  . Hence  $H_r$  is generated by a subset  $S_r$  consisting of a single conjugacy class.

Let  $h \in H$  and  $b \in S_r$  . Then it follows from the condition  $C_n$  that  $[h^{x^{-1}}, {}_n b] \in H$  . Since  $x$  commutes with  $b$  , we have

$$[h, {}_n b] = [h^{x^{-1}}, {}_n b]^x \in H^x .$$

But  $[h, {}_n b]$  is an element of  $H$  . Hence  $[h, {}_n b] \in H \cap H^x = K$  . Since  $H_1 \triangleleft K$  and  $H_{i+1} \triangleleft H_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, r-1$  , we have

$$[h, {}_{n+r} b] = [[h, {}_n b], {}_r b] \in H_r .$$

Thus as a set of generators of  $H_r$  the subset  $S_r$  satisfies the condition  $C_{n+r}$  in  $H$  . Since  $d(H)$  is at most  $d(G) - 1$  , it follows by induction that  $H_r \triangleleft H$  . This implies that  $S$  lies in a proper normal subgroup of  $H$  . This contradiction shows that  $K = H$  . But then  $H \leq H^x$  and

consequently  $[x^{-1}, H] \leq H \cap N = 1$ , which proves that  $x$  centralizes  $H$ .

Let  $N_1 = C_N(H)$  be the centralizer of  $H$  in  $N$ .  $N_1$  is non-trivial since it contains the element  $x$ . In fact, our argument shows that  $N_1$  contains the element  $[g, {}_{m-1}a]$  for each  $g \in N$  and  $a \in S$ . Since  $N$  is abelian,  $N_1$  lies in the centre of  $HN$  and is therefore normal in  $HN$ . For any  $g \in N$  and  $a \in S$ , write  $\bar{g} = gN_1$  and  $\bar{a} = aN_1$ . Then in the factor group  $HN/N_1$  we have  $[\bar{g}, {}_{m-1}\bar{a}] = 1$  for all  $\bar{g} \in N/N_1$  and  $\bar{a} \in SN_1/N_1$ . We may therefore repeat the above argument to obtain a subgroup  $N_2/N_1$  of  $N/N_1$  given by  $N_2/N_1 = C_{N/N_1}(HN_1/N_1)$ . If  $N_2$  is a proper subgroup of  $N$ , then we repeat the argument again with  $N_2$  in place of  $N_1$ . Continuing in this way we obtain subgroups  $N_0 = 1, N_1, N_2, \dots$  of  $N$  with  $N_{i+1}/N_i = C_{N/N_i}(HN_i/N_i)$  for  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . Clearly the process must come to a stop after at most  $m$  repetitions. So there exists an integer  $1 \leq s \leq m$  such that  $N_s = N$ . Since  $N_{i+1}/N_i$  lies in the centre of  $HN/N_i$  for  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , it follows that  $HN_i/N_i$  is normal in  $HN_{i+1}/N_i$  or  $HN_i \triangleleft HN_{i+1}$ . Hence  $H \text{ sn } HN$ . This completes the proof of the special case.

We now prove the general case where  $S$  is a finite normal subset of  $H$ . We use induction on the order  $|S|$  of  $S$ . Suppose that  $S$  is a union of  $t \geq 1$  conjugacy classes of  $H$ ,  $D_1, \dots, D_t$  say. If  $t = 1$ , we are back in the special case. So we assume that  $t > 1$ . Let  $E_i = \langle D_i \rangle$  for  $i = 1, \dots, t$ . Then  $E_i \triangleleft H$ , so that  $D_i$  satisfies the condition  $C_{n+1}$  for each  $i$ . Since  $D_i$  is a finite normal subset of  $E_i$  and  $|D_i| < |S|$ , it follows by induction that  $E_i \text{ sn } G$  for each  $i$ . A result of Robinson ([5], Lemma 2.2) now gives  $H = \langle E_1, \dots, E_t \rangle \text{ sn } G$ . This completes the proof of the theorem.

**COROLLARY 1.** *Let  $G$  be a soluble group and let  $H$  be a finite subgroup of  $G$ . If  $H$  is generated by a subset  $X$  which satisfies the*

condition  $C_n$  for some integer  $n \geq 0$ , then  $H$  is subnormal in  $G$ .

*Proof.* Let  $S = \{a^h \mid a \in X \text{ and } h \in H\}$ . Then  $S$  is a finite normal subset generating  $H$  and satisfying the condition  $C_n$ . It follows from the theorem that  $H$  is subnormal in  $G$ .

Let  $G$  be a soluble group satisfying the maximal condition on subgroups and let  $H$  be a subgroup of  $G$ . Then Kegel has shown in [3] that  $H$  is subnormal in  $G$  if and only if for each normal subgroup  $N$  of  $G$  with  $G/N$  finite  $HN/N$  is subnormal in  $G/N$ . This together with Corollary 1 gives the following result.

**COROLLARY 2.** *Let  $G$  be a soluble group satisfying the maximal condition on subgroups and let  $H$  be a subgroup of  $G$ . If  $H$  is generated by a subset  $S$  which satisfies the condition  $C_n$  for some integer  $n \geq 0$ , then  $H$  is subnormal in  $G$ .*

There is a corresponding result for soluble groups satisfying the minimal condition on subgroups. But we can prove more. Since it is well known that a soluble group satisfying the minimal condition on subgroups is abelian-by-finite, the corresponding result is contained in the following corollary.

**COROLLARY 3.** *Let  $G$  be a soluble abelian-by-finite group and let  $H$  be a subgroup of  $G$ . If  $H$  is generated by a subset  $S$  which satisfies the condition  $C_n$  for some integer  $n \geq 0$ , then  $H$  is subnormal in  $G$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $N$  be an abelian normal subgroup of  $G$  such that  $G/N$  is finite. Then  $HN/N$  is finite. By Corollary 1,  $HN/N$  is subnormal in  $G/N$  or  $HN$  is subnormal in  $G$ . Since  $N$  is abelian,  $H \cap N$  is normal in  $HN$ . Thus  $H/H \cap N$ , which is isomorphic to  $HN/N$ , is a finite subgroup of  $HN/H \cap N$ . By Corollary 1 again,  $H/H \cap N$  is subnormal in  $HN/H \cap N$  or  $H$  is subnormal in  $HN$ . Hence  $H$  is subnormal in  $G$ .

Another easy consequence of our theorem is the following result of Gruenberg ([2], Lemma 12): if  $a$  is a bounded left Engel element of a soluble group  $G$  that is, there exists an integer  $n \geq 0$  such that  $[g, {}_n a] = 1$  for all  $g \in G$ , then  $a$  is subnormal in  $G$ . Using this result and a well-known theorem of Baer ([1], §3, Satz 3) on the join of cyclic subnormal subgroups and an argument similar to that of Corollary 3 above,

we can prove easily the following result.

**COROLLARY 4.** *Let  $G$  be an extension of an abelian group by a finitely generated nilpotent group and let  $H$  be a subgroup of  $G$ . If  $H$  is generated by a subset  $S$  which satisfies the condition  $C_n$  for some integer  $n \geq 0$ , then  $H$  is subnormal in  $G$ .*

It may be worth remarking that the words "finitely generated" in Corollary 4 cannot be omitted. In fact, for each prime  $p$  there exists a metabelian  $p$ -group with an abelian subgroup  $H$  such that  $H$  itself satisfies the condition  $C_p$  but is not subnormal in  $G$  ([4], p. 230).

#### References

- [1] Reinhold Baer, "Nilgruppen", *Math. Z.* 62 (1955), 402-437.
- [2] K.W. Gruenberg, "The Engel elements of a soluble group", *Illinois J. Math.* 3 (1959), 151-168.
- [3] Otto H. Kegel, "Über den Normalisator von subnormalen und erreichbaren Untergruppen", *Math. Ann.* 163 (1966), 248-258.
- [4] T.A. Peng, "A criterion for subnormality", *Arch. Math. (Basel)* 26 (1975), 225-230.
- [5] Derek S. Robinson, "Joins of subnormal subgroups", *Illinois J. Math.* 9 (1965), 144-168.
- [6] Helmut Wielandt, "Kriterien für Subnormalität in endlichen Gruppen", *Math. Z.* 138 (1974), 199-203.

Department of Mathematics,  
University of Singapore,  
Singapore.