# ALTERNATING COLOURINGS OF THE VERTICES OF A REGULAR POLYGON

## SHIVANI SINGH and YULIYA ZELENYUK<sup>®</sup>

(Received 18 November 2018; accepted 20 December 2018; first published online 13 February 2019)

#### Abstract

Let *n*,  $r, k \in \mathbb{N}$ . An *r*-colouring of the vertices of a regular *n*-gon is any mapping  $\chi : \mathbb{Z}_n \to \{1, 2, \ldots, r\}$ . Two colourings are equivalent if one of them can be obtained from another by a rotation of the polygon. An *r*-ary necklace of length *n* is an equivalence class of *r*-colourings of Z*n*. We say that a colouring is *k*alternating if all *k* consecutive vertices have pairwise distinct colours. We compute the smallest number *r* for which there exists a *k*-alternating *r*-colouring of  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  and we count, for any *r*, 2-alternating *r*-colourings of Z*<sup>n</sup>* and 2-alternating *r*-ary necklaces of length *n*.

2010 *Mathematics subject classification*: primary 05C15; secondary 05A15, 05E18, 11A25. *Keywords and phrases*: regular polygon, colouring, necklace, alternating, Burnside's lemma.

### 1. Introduction

Let *n*, *r*,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . An *r-colouring* of the vertices of a regular *n*-gon is any mapping  $\chi : \mathbb{Z}_n \to \{1, 2, \ldots, r\}$ . The group  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  naturally acts on its colourings by

$$
(\chi + a)(x) = \chi(x - a).
$$

Colourings  $\chi$  and  $\psi$  are *equivalent* if there is  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_n$  such that  $\chi + a = \psi$ , that is, if one of them can be obtained from another by a rotation of the polygon. An *r*-ary *necklace* of length *n* is an equivalence class of *r*-colourings of  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ . It is well-known that there are

$$
\frac{1}{n}\sum_{d|n}\varphi(d)r^{n/d}
$$

*r*-ary necklaces of length *n*, where  $\varphi$  is the Euler totient function (see [\[2\]](#page-4-0)).

In [\[5\]](#page-4-1) and [\[4\]](#page-4-2) symmetric colourings of  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  and symmetric necklaces were counted. A colouring  $\chi$  of  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  is *symmetric* if there is  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_n$  such that  $\chi(a - x) = \chi(x)$  for all

The first author was supported by NRF grant 107867 and the second author was supported by NRF grant IFR1202220164.

c 2019 Australian Mathematical Publishing Association Inc.

 $x \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ , that is, if it is invariant under some reflection of the polygon. There are

$$
S_r(n) = \begin{cases} \sum_{d|n} d \prod_{p|(n/d)} (1-p)r^{(d+1)/2} & n \text{ odd,} \\ \sum_{d|(n/2)} d \prod_{p|(n/2d)} (1-p)(r^{d+1}+r^d) - \sum_{d|m} d \prod_{p|(m/d)} (1-p)r^{(d+1)/2} & n \text{ even,} \end{cases}
$$

symmetric *r*-colourings of  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  (*m* is the greatest odd divisor of *n*) and

$$
s_r(n) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}(r+1)r^{n/2} & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ r^{(n+1)/2} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}
$$

symmetric *r*-ary necklaces of length *n*.

In this paper we study alternating colourings of  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ . We say that a colouring  $\chi$  of  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  is *k*-alternating if all *k* consecutive vertices have pairwise distinct colours, that is, if for every  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ , the restriction of  $\chi$  to  $\{x, x + 1, \ldots, x + k - 1\}$  is injective. Clearly, a colouring equivalent to a *k*-alternating one is also *k*-alternating. We compute the smallest number *r* for which there exists a *k*-alternating *r*-colouring of  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  and we count, for any  $r$ , 2-alternating  $r$ -colourings of  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  and 2-alternating  $r$ -ary necklaces of length *n*.

#### 2. Computing the smallest number of colours

Given  $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $k \leq n$ , let  $\rho(k, n)$  denote the smallest number *r* for which there exists a *k*-alternating *r*-colouring of the vertices of a regular *n*-gon. It is clear that  $k \leq \rho(k,n) \leq n$ .

<span id="page-1-0"></span>THEOREM 2.1. *Given n and*  $k \le n$ *, write*  $n = mk + l$  *and*  $l = k_0m + m_0$ *, where*  $0 \le l < k$  $and$  0  $\leq m_0 \leq m$ . Then

$$
\rho(k,n) = \left\lceil \frac{n}{m} \right\rceil = k + \left\lceil \frac{l}{m} \right\rceil = \begin{cases} k + k_0 & \text{if } m_0 = 0, \\ k + k_0 + 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$

Proof. Let  $\chi : \mathbb{Z}_n \to \{1, 2, ..., r\}$  be a *k*-alternating *r*-colouring. Then for each  $i \in \{1, 2, ..., r\}$ , one has  $|\chi^{-1}(i)| \leq m$ . Indeed, otherwise there is an increasing sequence  $(a)$ <sup>n+1</sup> in  $\{0, 1, ..., n-1\}$  such that  $a_{-k} = a > k$  for each  $t \leq m$  and  $a_{k} + n - a_{-k} > k$  $(a_t)_{t=1}^{m+1}$  in  $\{0, 1, \ldots, n-1\}$  such that  $a_{t+1} - a_t \ge k$  for each  $t \le m$  and  $a_1 + n - a_{m+1} \ge k$ , which implies that  $(m+1)k \le n$  It follows that  $r > \lceil n/m \rceil$ which implies that  $(m + 1)k \le n$ . It follows that  $r \ge \lceil n/m \rceil$ .

Conversely, let  $r = k + \frac{d}{m}$ . Partition  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  into *m* consecutive blocks, the first  $m_0$ of which have  $(k + k_0 + 1)$  elements and the next  $m - m_0$  have  $(k + k_0)$  elements. We can do this because  $m_0(k + k_0 + 1) + (m - m_0)(k + k_0) = m(k + k_0) + m_0 = n$ . Define  $\chi : \mathbb{Z}_n \to \{1, 2, ..., r\}$  on each block  $\{a + 1, a + 2, ..., a + i, ...\}$  by  $\chi(a + i) = i$ . Figure [1](#page-2-0) illustrates the colouring for  $n = 17$  and  $k = 3$ . It is easy to see that the colouring  $\chi$  so defined is *k*-alternating.

<span id="page-2-0"></span>

Figure 1. Example of a colouring for Theorem [2.1.](#page-1-0)

#### Corollary 2.2.

- (1)  $\rho(k, n) = k$  *if and only if*  $k \mid n$ .
- (2)  $\rho(k, n) = n$  if and only if  $2k > n$ .
- (3) *If*  $2k \le n$ *, then*  $\rho(k, n) \le \lceil n/2 \rceil$ *.*

Proof. (1)  $\rho(k, n) = k$  if and only if  $m_0 = 0$  and  $k_0 = 0$ , that is,  $l = 0$ .

(2)  $2k > n$  if and only if  $m = 1$ . If  $m = 1$ , then  $\rho(k, n) = \lceil n/1 \rceil = n$ . If  $m \ge 2$ , then  $\rho(k, n) = \lceil n/m \rceil \leq \lceil n/2 \rceil$  and  $\lceil n/2 \rceil < n$  (since  $n \geq m \geq 2$ ).

(3) If  $2k \le n$ , then  $m \ge 2$  and so  $\rho(k, n) \le \lceil n/2 \rceil$ .

<span id="page-2-1"></span>COROLLARY 2.3. If  $n \geq k^2$ , then

$$
\rho(k,n) = \begin{cases} k & \text{if } k \mid n, \\ k+1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$

Proof. Since  $n \ge k^2$ , one has  $m \ge k$ , so  $k_0 = 0$  and  $m_0 = l$ .

Using Theorem [2.1,](#page-1-0) we can compute  $\rho(k, n)$  for small *k* and  $n < k^2$ . Since  $\rho(2, 2) = 2$ <br>d  $\rho(2, 3) = 3$ , we can extend Corollary 2.3 in the case  $k = 2$ and  $\rho(2, 3) = 3$ , we can extend Corollary [2.3](#page-2-1) in the case  $k = 2$ .

Corollary 2.4. *We have*

$$
\rho(2,n) = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ 3 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$

Notice that  $\rho(3,3) = 3$ ,  $\rho(3,4) = 4$ ,  $\rho(3,5)=5$ ,  $\rho(3,6)=3$ ,  $\rho(3,7) = 4$  and  $\rho(3,8)=4$ .

#### 3. Counting alternating colourings and necklaces

Given *n*,  $k, r \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $A_r(k, n)$  denote the number of *k*-alternating *r*-colourings of the vertices of a regular *n*-gon and  $a_r(k, n)$  the number of *k*-alternating *r*-ary necklaces of length *n*, and let  $A_r(n) = A_r(2, n)$  and  $a_r(n) = a_r(2, n)$ .

<span id="page-3-0"></span>Theorem 3.1. *We have*

$$
A_r(n) = (r-1)^n + (-1)^n (r-1).
$$

Theorem [3.1](#page-3-0) is a known fact. But we give its proof for the convenience of the reader. Our proof is direct and differs a bit from that in [\[3\]](#page-4-3).

Proof. There are  $r(r-1)^{n-2}$  colourings  $\chi : \{0, 1, ..., n-2\} \to \{1, ..., r\}$  such that  $\chi(i) \neq \chi(i+1)$  for each  $i < n-2$  and the number of such colourings with  $\chi(0) = \chi(n-2)$  is  $\chi(i + 1)$  for each  $i < n - 2$ , and the number of such colourings with  $\chi(0) = \chi(n - 2)$  is  $A_r(n-2)$ . Consequently,

$$
A_r(n) = A_r(n-2)(r-1) + (r(r-1)^{n-2} - A_r(n-2))(r-2)
$$
  
=  $(r^2 - 2r)(r-1)^{n-2} + A_r(n-2)$ .

Since

$$
A_r(2) = r(r-1) = (r^2 - 2r) + r
$$
 and  $A_r(3) = r(r-1)(r-2) = (r^2 - 2r)(r-1)$ ,

it follows that if *n* is even, then

$$
A_r(n) = (r^2 - 2r)((r - 1)^{n-2} + (r - 1)^{n-4} + \dots + 1) + r
$$
  
=  $(r^2 - 2r)\frac{(r - 1)^n - 1}{(r - 1)^2 - 1} + r$   
=  $(r - 1)^n - 1 + r$   
=  $(r - 1)^n + (r - 1),$ 

and if *n* is odd, then

$$
A_r(n) = (r^2 - 2r)((r - 1)^{n-2} + (r - 1)^{n-4} + \dots + (r - 1))
$$
  
=  $(r^2 - 2r)(r - 1)\frac{(r - 1)^{n-1} - 1}{(r - 1)^2 - 1}$   
=  $(r - 1)((r - 1)^{n-1} - 1)$   
=  $(r - 1)^n - (r - 1).$ 

Now we turn to counting  $a_r(k, n)$ . For every  $m | n$ , let  $X_m$  denote the set of all *k*-alternating *r*-colourings of  $\mathbb{Z}_m$ .

<span id="page-3-1"></span>LEMMA 3.2. *For every*  $g \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ ,

$$
|\{\chi \in X_n : \chi + g = \chi\}| = A_r(k, n/|\langle g \rangle|).
$$

Proof. Let  $d = |\langle g \rangle|$ . For every  $\psi \in X_{n/d}$ , define  $\overline{\psi} \in X_n$  by

$$
\overline{\psi}(i+(n/d)j)=\psi(i),
$$

where  $i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n/d - 1\}$  and  $j \in \{0, 1, \ldots, d - 1\}$ . Then  $\overline{\psi} + g = \overline{\psi}$  and the mapping  $X_{n/d} \ni \psi \mapsto \overline{\psi} \in \{ \chi \in X_n : \chi + g = \chi \}$ 

is a bijection.

To see that it is a surjection, let  $\chi \in X_n$  and  $\chi + g = \chi$ . Define  $\psi \in X_{n/d}$  to be the striction of  $\chi$  to  $\{0, 1, ..., n/d - 1\}$ . Then  $\overline{\psi} = \chi$ . restriction of  $\chi$  to  $\{0, 1, \ldots, n/d - 1\}$ . Then  $\overline{\psi} = \chi$ .

<span id="page-4-5"></span>Theorem 3.3.

$$
a_r(k,n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{d|n} \varphi(d) A_r(k,n/d).
$$

Proof. Applying Burnside's lemma [\[1,](#page-4-4) I, Section 3] gives

$$
a_r(k,n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{g \in \mathbb{Z}_n} |\{\chi \in X_n : \chi + g = \chi\}|,
$$

and by Lemma [3.2,](#page-3-1)

$$
|\{\chi \in X_n : \chi + g = \chi\}| = A_r(k, n/|\langle g \rangle|).
$$

For every  $d \mid n$ , there is exactly one subgroup of  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  of order  $d$  and the number of its generators is  $\varphi(d)$ . Hence,

$$
a_r(k,n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{d|n} \varphi(d) A_r(k,n/d).
$$

From Theorems [3.3](#page-4-5) and [3.1](#page-3-0) we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 3.4. *We have*

$$
a_r(n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{d|n} \varphi(d) [(r-1)^{n/d} + (-1)^{n/d} (r-1)].
$$

#### **References**

- <span id="page-4-4"></span>[1] M. Aigner, *Combinatorial Theory* (Springer, Berlin–Heidelberg–New York, 1979).
- <span id="page-4-0"></span>[2] E. Bender and J. Goldman, 'On the applications of Möbius inversion in combinatorial analysis', *Amer. Math. Monthly* 82 (1975), 789–803.
- <span id="page-4-3"></span>[3] R. Reed, 'An introduction to chromatic polynomials', *J. Combin. Theory* 4 (1968), 52–71.
- <span id="page-4-2"></span>[4] Y. Zelenyuk and Yu. Zelenyuk, 'Counting symmetric bracelets', *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* 89 (2014), 431–436.
- <span id="page-4-1"></span>[5] Y. Zelenyuk and Y. Zelenyuk, 'Counting symmetric colourings of the vertices of a regular polygon', *Bull. Aust. Math.* 90 (2014), 1–8.

SHIVANI SINGH, School of Mathematics, University of the Witwatersrand, Private Bag 3, Wits, 2050, Johannesburg, South Africa e-mail: [1225827@students.wits.ac.za](mailto:1225827@students.wits.ac.za)

YULIYA ZELENYUK, School of Mathematics, University of the Witwatersrand, Private Bag 3, Wits, 2050, Johannesburg, South Africa e-mail: [yuliya.zelenyuk@wits.ac.za](mailto:yuliya.zelenyuk@wits.ac.za)