

EDITORIAL POLICY & GUIDELINES FOR CONTRIBUTORS

The *European Constitutional Law Review* (EuConst) follows the classical approach of constitutionalism, to discuss EU law's developments as well as comparative public law of European states, political and constitutional theory and history. The journal is a platform for scholarly discussion of European constitutional events and evolution. It is open to contributions in this field from any country in the world and from any discipline. These contributions should satisfy as to substance, apart from the common scholarly criteria, two specific conditions, to a) have a distinctly European relevance and b) include a reference to and discussion of legal aspects involved.

Submitting an article, case note or book review

The editors of the *European Constitutional Law Review* are happy to receive contributions on relevant subjects at any time. Before submitting, authors should ensure that their contribution falls within the scope of EuConst as stated above.

Please submit your manuscript through the ScholarOne Manuscripts system by clicking the 'Submit your article' button on our website or by going to <https://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/euconst>.

EuConst has an exclusive submission policy. All submissions must be written in good English. Authors who are uncertain whether their English is of sufficient quality to enter the review process, should have their manuscript reviewed and edited by a native speaker. Accepted contributions will be further corrected on language before publication, subject to authors' approval. Please prepare your manuscript in a way that ensures your anonymity. All author information can be included on a separate title page. A short abstract in EuConst house style will also be required. At submission, formatting of the main manuscript should conform to the usual standards of European law scholarship in the English language and be globally in line with the EuConst house style. Upon acceptance, authors will be asked to bring their manuscript fully into line with the house style. A style sheet is available on our website.

Authors of article contributions are asked to aim for a length of no more than 10,000 words (including footnotes). Case notes and book review essays should not exceed 5,000 words. Upon request, the editors will consider whether relaxation of these limits is justified.

To contact the editors before or during your article or case note submission, please send an email to euconst@uva.nl. Questions about book review essays can be put to our book review editors Nik de Boer and Vestert Borger at books-euconst@uva.nl. For more information on the EuConst book review section, see our website.

Special sections

EuConst is happy to host a special section of articles stemming from a conference or research project in one of its issues each year. We are especially interested in sets of articles that form a coherent whole of excellent research and fit well into the scope of our journal. A call for proposals is issued each year and one proposal selected. Please see our journal homepage <cambridge.org/euconst> for any active call for proposals.



The *European Constitutional Law Review* is edited at the G.K. VAN HOGENDORP CENTRE FOR EUROPEAN CONSTITUTIONAL STUDIES, a Jean Monnet centre of excellence at the University of Amsterdam.

EUROPEAN CONSTITUTIONAL LAW REVIEW

2023 VOLUME 19 ISSUE 2

CONTENTS

Articles

Ula Aleksandra KOS – Controlling the Narrative: Hungary's Post-2010 Strategies of Non-Compliance before the European Court of Human Rights – 195

Lidia BONIFATI – Constitutional Design and the Seeds of Degradation in Divided Societies: The Case of Bosnia-Herzegovina – 223

Mohor FAJDIGA and Saša ZAGORC – Freedom or Fear of Expression of Judges? Exploring the 'Chilling Effect' on Judicial Speech – 249

Joan SOLANES MULLOR – Spain, Judicial Independence, and Judges' Freedom of Expression: Missing an Opportunity to Leverage the European Constitutional Shift? – 271

Case Notes

Elif Mendos KUŞKONMAZ – The Grand Gala of PNR Litigations: Case C-817/19, *Ligue des droits humains v Conseil des ministres* – 294

Alejandro SÁNCHEZ FRÍAS – A New Presumption for the Autonomous Concept of 'Court or Tribunal' in Article 267 TFEU ECJ 29 March 2022, Case C-132/20, *Getin Noble Bank* – 320

Giacomo DI FEDERICO and Giuseppe MARTINICO – Official Languages, National Identities and the Protection of Minorities: A Complex Legal Puzzle Court of Justice (Grand Chamber) 7 September 2022, Case C-391/20, *Boriss Cilevičs et al.*, ECLI:EU:C:2022:638 – 346

Review Essay

Vasiliki KOSTA – Investigating Lessons for the EU's Fundamental Rights Policies – 371

Rafael MACÍA BRIEDIS – Towards an Institutional Vision of Constituent Power? – 390

Cambridge Core

For further information about this journal please
go to the journal website at:
[cambridge.org/euconst](https://www.cambridge.org/euconst)



CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS