## A GENERALIZATION OF THE PAPPUS CONFIGURATION
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1. Introduction. A configuration is a system of $m$ points and $n$ lines such that each point lies on $\mu$ of the lines and each line contains $\nu$ of the points. It is usually denoted by the symbol ( $m_{\mu}, n_{\nu}$ ), with $m \mu=n \nu$. Two configurations corresponding to the same symbol are said to be equivalent if there exist $1 \mathbf{1}$ mappings of the points and lines of one onto the points and lines of the other which preserve the incidence relations. It is a combinatorial problem to determine whether a given set of integers $m, n, \mu, \nu$ with $m \mu=n \nu$ corresponds to an abstract configuration, and a geometric problem to determine whether the configuration exists in a given geometry. For example, there are two inequivalent configurations corresponding to the symbol $\left(12_{4}, 16_{3}\right)$, both of which exist in the real projective plane. A configuration is said to be inscriptible in a plane cubic if there exists an equivalent configuration whose points lie on the cubic. For such a configuration $\nu=3$.

A family of configurations $K_{n}$ corresponding to the symbol $\left(3 n_{n}, n^{2}{ }_{3}\right)$ ( $n=1,2, \ldots$ ) will be studied in this paper. $K_{1}$ is a line containing three distinct points, $K_{2}$ is the complete quadrilateral, $K_{3}$ is the Pappus configuration, and $K_{4}$ is a configuration studied by Zacharias [5]. In section 2 it will be shown that $K_{n}$ contains configurations of the type $K_{q}$ if $n$ is a multiple of $q$. In section 3 it will be shown that $K_{n}$ is inscriptible in the plane cubic curve as a real configuration with two degrees of freedom, and consequently exists in the real projective plane. This generalizes a result proved by Feld [2] for the Pappus configuration.
2. The family of configurations $K_{n}$. Let $A_{i}, B_{i}$, and $C_{i}(i=0,1, \ldots, n-1)$ be called points, and let ( $i j$ ) $(i, j=0,1, \ldots, n-1$ ) be called lines, where ( $i j$ ) represents the triple of points $A_{i}, B_{j}, C_{k}$ subject to the condition

$$
i+j+k \equiv 0
$$

$(\bmod n)$.
$K_{n}$ is defined abstractly as the system of $3 n$ points $A_{i}, B_{i}, C_{i}(i=0,1, \ldots$, $n-1$ ) and $n^{2}$ lines ( $\left.i j\right)(i, j=0,1, \ldots, n-1)$. It can easily be verified that each of the $3 n$ points lie on $n$ of the lines, and each of the $n^{2}$ lines contains 3 of the points, so that the configuration has the symbol $\left(3 n_{n}, n^{2}{ }_{3}\right)$. The $3 n$ points of $K_{n}$ are the vertices of $3 n$-gons in perspective in pairs from the vertices of the third, the $n^{2}$ lines of $K_{n}$ being the lines of perspectivity. $K_{n}$ can also be visualized as a $2 n$-gon $A_{0} B_{0} A_{1} B_{1} \ldots A_{n-1} B_{n-1}$ with the lines $A_{i} B_{j}$ passing through the point $C_{k}(i+j \equiv-k \bmod n ; k=0,1, \ldots, n-1)$.

If $n$ is not a prime number, the configuration $K_{n}$ has non-trivial components

[^0]which are configurations belonging to the same family. In the proof of the following theorem the matrix $\left(a_{i j}\right)(i=1,2 ; j=1,2, \ldots, f)$ will represent the $f^{2}$ lines $\left(a_{1 r} a_{2 s}\right)(r, s=1,2, \ldots, f)$.

Theorem 2.1. If $n$ is a multiple of $q, K_{n}$ contains $(n / q)^{2}$ distinct configurations $K_{q}$ no two of which have a line in common. Each line of $K_{n}$ is a line of one of the $K_{q}$, and each point of $K_{n}$ is a point of $n / q$ of the $K_{q}$.

Consider the $r^{2}$ matrices

$$
K(i j) \equiv\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
i r+i & 2 r+i & \ldots & (q-1) r+i \\
j & r+j & 2 r+j & \ldots
\end{array}(q-1) r+j\right)(i, j=0,1, \ldots, r-1)
$$

where $r=n / q$. The lines represented by $K(i j)$ are the lines of a $K_{q}$ for all $i, j=0,1, \ldots, r-1$. To see this define

$$
2.2 \quad A_{k r+i} \equiv A^{*}{ }_{k}, \quad B_{k r+j} \equiv B_{k}^{*}{ }_{k}, \quad C_{k r-i-j} \equiv C_{k}^{*} \quad(k=0,1, \ldots, q-1)
$$

The $3 q$ points 2.2 are the only points on the lines represented by $K(i j)$. From the condition 2.1 for collinearity it follows that the points $A^{*}{ }_{k}, B^{*}{ }_{l}, C^{*}{ }_{m}$ will be collinear if and only if
$2.3 \quad r(k+l+m) \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod n)$.
Since $r q=n, 2.3$ holds if and only if
2.4

$$
k+l+m \equiv 0
$$

$$
(\bmod q)
$$

The points 2.2 and the lines $A^{*}{ }_{k}, B^{*}{ }_{l}, C^{*}{ }_{m}$ subject to the condition 2.4 form a $K_{q}$ by definition.

The $r^{2}$ configurations $K_{q}$ represented by $K(i j)(i, j=0,1, \ldots, n-1)$ are all distinct. By a consideration of the matrices $K(i j)$ it is seen that no two have a line in common. Furthermore, any point of $K_{n}$ occurs in exactly $r$ of the $K_{q}$. The $q^{2} r^{2}=n^{2}$ lines of the $r^{2} K_{q}$ make up all the lines of $K_{n}$.

Corollary 1. The 16 lines of $K_{4}$ can be divided into four sets of four lines which form complete quadrilaterals.

This result was obtained by Zacharias [5].
Corollary 2. $K_{3 q}$ contains $q^{2}$ distinct Pappus configurations.
3. The inscription of $K_{n}$ in the non-singular plane cubic curve. Any real non-singular cubic $C^{C}$ may be transformed into the Weierstrass canonical form by a suitable choice of the triangle of reference. Then the co-ordinates of any point on $\mathfrak{C}$ can be expressed parametrically in the form ( $\wp u, \wp^{\prime} u, 1$ ) where $\wp u$ is the Weierstrass elliptic function. The point having the parameter $u$ will be denoted by $u$. The necessary and sufficient condition that the points $u, v, w$ be collinear is that

$$
u+v+w \equiv 0
$$

where $2 \omega$ and $2 \omega^{\prime}$ are the periods of $\wp u$. The real plane cubics fall into two classes, unipartite and bipartite, depending upon whether they have one or two real circuits. For the bipartite cubic $2 \omega$ and $2 \omega^{\prime} / i$ are real and positive, while for the unipartite cubics $2 \omega$ and $2 \omega^{\prime}$ are conjugate complex. The points on the even branch of the bipartite cubic are given by values of the parameter of the form $u+\omega^{\prime}$ where $u$ is real. Points on the odd branch of either type are given by real values of the parameter.

The conditions that the $3 n$ points

## 3.2

$$
A_{i}, B_{i}, C_{i}
$$

$$
(i=0,1, \ldots, n-1)
$$

of $\mathfrak{C}$ should be points of a $K_{n}$ are
3.3

$$
A_{i}+B_{j}+C_{k} \equiv 0 \quad\left(\bmod 2 \omega, 2 \omega^{\prime}\right)
$$

with
3.4

$$
i+j+k \equiv 0
$$

Sum those equations of 3.3 having $A_{i}$ in common:

$$
\sum_{j, k=1}^{n-1}\left(A_{i}+B_{j}+C_{k}\right) \equiv 0 \quad\left(\bmod 2 \omega, 2 \omega^{\prime}\right)
$$

so that

$$
n A_{i} \equiv-\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\left(B_{j}+C_{j}\right) \quad\left(\bmod 2 \omega, 2 \omega^{\prime}\right)
$$

for $i=0,1, \ldots, n-1$. Thus

$$
n A_{0} \equiv n A_{1} \equiv \ldots \equiv n A_{n-1} \quad\left(\bmod 2 \omega, 2 \omega^{\prime}\right)
$$

Similarly
3.6

$$
3.7
$$

3.7

$$
\begin{aligned}
n B_{0} \equiv n B_{1} \equiv \ldots \equiv n B_{n-1} & \left(\bmod 2 \omega, 2 \omega^{\prime}\right) \\
n C_{0} \equiv n C_{1} \equiv \ldots \equiv n C_{n-1} & \left(\bmod 2 \omega, 2 \omega^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The equation $n u \equiv v\left(\bmod 2 \omega, 2 \omega^{\prime}\right)$ has $n^{2}$ distinct solutions

$$
u \equiv v / n+2\left(r \omega+s \omega^{\prime}\right) / n \quad\left(\bmod 2 \omega, 2 \omega^{\prime}\right)(r, s=0,1, \ldots, n-1)
$$

If $\mathfrak{C}$ is unipartite and $v$ real, $u$ will be real if and only if $r=s$. This leaves $n$ distinct real solutions

$$
u \equiv v / n+2 r\left(\omega+\omega^{\prime}\right) / n \quad\left(\bmod 2 \omega, 2 \omega_{0}\right) \quad(r=0,1, \ldots, n-1)
$$

Thus, since the points $A_{i}(i=0,1, \ldots, n-1)$ are all distinct and since 3.5 holds we may take

$$
A_{i} \equiv A+2 i\left(\omega+\omega^{\prime}\right) / n \quad\left(\bmod 2 \omega, 2 \omega^{\prime}\right) \quad(i \equiv 0,1, \ldots, n-1)
$$

with $A$ real. Similarly we may take
with $B, C$ real. The condition 3.3 will be satisfied by the points 3.2 if and only if
3.12

$$
A+B+C \equiv 0 \quad\left(\bmod 2 \omega, 2 \omega^{\prime}\right)
$$

The configuration will degenerate if any two of the sets of points 3.9, 3.10. 3.11 are the same. Thus $n A, n B$ and $n C$ must be different modulo $2 \omega, 2 \omega^{\prime}$.

If $\mathbb{C}$ is bipartite and $v$ real, $u$ will be real if and only if $s=0$. This leaves $n$ distinct real solutions

$$
u \equiv v / n+2 r \omega / n \quad\left(\bmod 2 \omega, 2 \omega^{\prime}\right) \quad(r=0,1, \ldots, n-1)
$$

Thus we may take
3.16

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
3.14 & A_{i} \equiv A+2 i \omega / n & \left(\bmod 2 \omega, 2 \omega^{\prime}\right) & (i=0,1, \ldots, n-1) \\
3.15 & B_{i} \equiv B+2 i \omega / n & \left(\bmod 2 \omega, 2 \omega^{\prime}\right) & (i=0,1, \ldots, n-1)
\end{array}
$$

$$
3.15
$$

with $A, B$, and $C$ real satisfying condition 3.12. Thus $n A, n B$, and $n C$ must be different, as before, so that the configuration will not degenerate.

If $\mathfrak{C}$ is bipartite and $u-\omega^{\prime}$ real, then the points 3.13 will all be real and on the even branch. Thus if any one of the points 3.14 lies on the even branch, i.e. if $A-\omega^{\prime}$ is real, all the points 3.14 lie on the even branch. A similar statement holds for the points 3.15 and 3.16 . By condition 3.12 which must be satisfied by the points of $K_{n}$, either none or exactly two of the sets of points $3.14,3.15,3.16$ lie on the even branch.

We have proved
Theorem 3.1. $\quad K_{n}$ may be inscribed in a non-singular plane cubic © with two degrees of freedom. Any two real points $u$, v such that $n u, n v$, and $-n(u+v)$ are different $\left(\bmod 2 \omega, 2 \omega^{\prime}\right)$ may be selected as a pair of points of the configuration, and the remaining points are uniquely determined. If $\mathbb{C}$ is bipartite the $3 n$ points of $K_{n}$ fall into three sets of $n$ points such that either two or none of the sets lie on the even branch.

We have also proved
Theorem 3.2. $K_{n}$ exists in the real projective plane for all $n$.
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