Phanocles: at fr. 1.11 the transmitted αὐτίκα δ' ὑπῆν, which scans as an unlikely *meiouros*, is probably corrupted (*pace* Alexander), given the absence of a connective particle at 12. Note that the scholar emending τîε to ἤ in fr. 5 is not Giacomo Leopardi, but Paul Leopardus.

Simias: the poet did not lead a campaign for the colonisation of Amorgos from Samos and did not participate in the foundation of any cities; the Suda entry for T 1 contains information on Semonides of Amorgos wrongly attributed to Simias: see Adler $ad \sigma 431$.

Simylus: at fr. 1.5 read αp for αp'.

Sostratus/Sosicrates: in T 1, for Ἡρόδοτος πρώτη 'por primera vez', read πρώτφ (scil. βυβλίφ), i.e. at 1.148.1: see Billerbeck *ad* St.Byz. μ 229.

Adespota papyracea: in SH 964, whilst the content of 'poem 2' remains wholly obscure, I wonder, after a glance at the online picture of the papyrus, whether its title could not have been $\dot{o} \, \delta \alpha \dot{\mu} [\omega v \, (rather than \dot{o} \delta \upsilon \rho \mu] \, or 'O \delta \upsilon \mu])$, given that $\delta \alpha \dot{\mu} \upsilon \upsilon \upsilon \varsigma$ occurs later in 1. 41 with reference to either Heracles or 'the demon of Mt. Oeta' and that *daimones* like the Trophoniades appear elsewhere in the papyrus. At SH 966.7 ἐν τεμέ[νει hardly works at the end of a hexameter: write ἐν τεμέ[νεσσι?

I noticed some typos, mostly clustered in the bibliography and generally unobtrusive, with the exception of 3.7.9-10, where a verse was erroneously printed twice. Minor shortcomings and disagreements are inevitable in a work of such remarkable scope and erudition. There are in fact many instances, in which G.C. suggests sensible improvements to the given text, for example in Parthen. fr. 2 ii 19, where ἐρυσάρματοι is put forward in lieu of χρυσάρματοι as metaplasm of ἐρυσάρματες.

This book has many virtues. The explanatory notes are clear, detailed and to the point. The apparatus criticus is extremely generous, and there is ample evidence of laborious philological work conducted on the original manuscripts. Translations have the merit of clarity and elegance. The bibliography is extensive and up to date. The volume, beautifully produced and inexpensively priced for a book of over 800 pages, is certainly destined to become a major reference work in the field of Hellenistic poetry.

University of Liverpool

MARCO PERALE perale@liverpool.ac.uk

A COMMENTARY ON CALLIMACHUS' HYMN TO ARTEMIS

ADORJÁNI (Z.) (ed., trans.) *Der Artemis-Hymnos des Kallimachos. Einleitung, Text, Übersetzung und Kommentar*. (Texte und Kommentare 66.) Pp. xii+436. Berlin and Boston: De Gruyter, 2021. Cased, £100, €109.95, US\$126.99. ISBN: 978-3-11-069842-8. doi:10.1017/S0009840X22002840

An up-to-date, comprehensive commentary on Callimachus' *Hymn to Artemis* is long past due. Many years have passed since the publication of the last commentary dedicated exclusively to this hymn (F. Bornmann's 1968 commentary – now out of print and difficult to find), making A.'s recent commentary a most welcome addition to Callimachean studies. The recent past has seen a surge of interest in Callimachus' hymns, but the

The Classical Review (2023) 73.1 96–98 \odot The Author(s), 2022. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of The Classical Association

Hymn to Artemis – arguably the most charming of Callimachus' hymns – has been relatively neglected.

A. begins with a lengthy introduction, broken into six sections. The first section, 'Der Hymnos auf Artemis innerhalb des Hymnenbuchs', looks at the hymn in the context of the other five hymns and is mostly a review of previous scholarship. A. follows the widely accepted view that the hymns are interconnected and comprise a Hellenistic poetry book, perhaps even edited by Callimachus himself. The second section, 'Die poetische Einheit des Hymnos', deals with the fraught issue of the hymn's unity, which has historically dominated scholarship on the hymn. A. points out parallels in different sections of the hymn, building on the previous models of P. Bing and V. Uhrmeister (*JHS* 114 [1994]) and A. Köhnken (*Callimachus II* [2004]), and describes the hymn as a 'literary fugue' (p. 24) with several themes that return and repeat. The argument is persuasive, but the accompanying table is difficult to decipher (p. 25). The list of invocations to the goddess (p. 31) is much clearer and more useful.

The introduction's third section, 'Gestalt und kultischer Aufgabenberich der Artemis', examines the figure of the goddess, especially viewing her form, function and cultic scope in the hymn as well as her two contrasting roles as urban and rural goddess. A. resists I. Petrovic's work (*Von den Toren des Hades* [2007]; 'Gods in Callimachus' *Hymns*', in: J.J. Clauss et al. [edd.], *The Gods of Greek Hexameter Poetry* [2016]), which connects contemporary Hellenistic religious practice to the hymn and argues that the hymn reflects long established, cultic reality. Instead, A. explains Artemis' duality through links to other Callimachean gods, and especially to the repeated theme of sibling rivalry, through which A. correctly sees a relationship to the importance of siblings in the Ptolemaic court. This need not exclude Petrovic's interpretation, which adds much to our understanding of the nature and purpose of Callimachus' hymns by placing them in context. Indeed, A. purposefully focuses on literary allusion and philology throughout, perhaps a necessary limit in an already long commentary, but at times this comes across as limiting and somewhat old-fashioned.

The fourth section of the introduction, 'Datierung und Sitz im Leben', uses connections to the *Hymn to Delos* and the *Aetia* to place the hymn's date between 274 and 267 BCE. The fifth section, 'Artemis und Arsinoe', follows A.'s earlier article (*Hermes* 145 [2017]), arguing for an identification of the goddess with Arsinoë II. This argument is primarily based on the relationship between the *Hymn to Artemis* and the *Hymn to Delos* and the fact that Apollo and Artemis are siblings. A.'s view is that, since the *Hymn to Delos* was written for Ptolemy II and associates the king with Apollo, the *Hymn to Artemis*, honouring Apollo's sister, must have been written for Arsinoë II, Ptolemy's sister. Additionally, he argues that the hymn's focus on Ephesus, once renamed for Arsinoë, also points to the Ptolemaic queen. I find the latter argument more compelling than the first, which, while possible, is somewhat circular and depends on the view that the *Hymn to Delos* over the many other connections between various hymns. This is all the more problematic since the *Hymn to Delos* is not primarily a hymn to Apollo. Finally, the last section, 'Metrische Analyse', is a close examination of the poem's metrics.

The introduction is followed by a text, translation and commentary. The text is R. Pfeiffer's with six fairly minor differences; perhaps the most important is the restoration of the manuscript's $\delta\lambda\beta\sigma\varsigma$ ('prosperity') for A. Meineke's conjecture $o\iota\kappa\sigma\varsigma$ ('household'; line 131). A remarkable result of this restoration goes unmentioned: $\delta\lambda\beta\sigma\varsigma$ connects the poem more closely to the *Hymn to Zeus'* closing prayer, whose very last word is $\delta\lambda\beta\sigma\nu$ (line 96). The passage containing the restoration describes Artemis' remuneration of just and unjust cities, that is, her acting as a goddess of the city, which is one of the

controversial features of the hymn, since Artemis is usually a goddess of the countryside. This restoration highlights the close bond of Artemis with her father (most explicit in the poem's opening scene) and could contribute to clarifying her dual depiction in the hymn.

The commentary is encyclopaedic and guides readers to both ancient parallels and primary sources as well as modern scholarship. A. makes clear that this volume is not intended to be a replacement of earlier commentaries. Regrettably, this makes the volume less useful without Bornmann's volume at hand, which is frequently cited. A. breaks down the commentary into four sections: 'Prooimion' (1–3), 'Diegesis' (4–109), 'Aretalogia' (110–258) and 'Epilog' (259–68). The first of these, the proem, is cut off mid-sentence, which demonstrates the synthetic nature of these boundaries and, in turn, leads back to the question of the hymn's structure and unity. There are further subsections (e.g. 'Artemis at the Cyclopes', 'Artemis on Olympus' etc.) within the larger segments, which allows for specific passages and scenes to be consulted with ease.

Finally, the commentary is followed by a bibliography, *index locorum, index rerum notabilium, index nominum* and *index vocabulorum Graecorum*, focused on technical terms and words given special attention and explanation in the commentary.

This is an impressive and important book, especially useful for doctoral students and scholars of Callimachus and Hellenistic poetry. It belongs in every library. Although the scope of the volume is generally advanced, the side-by-side translation adds accessibility for Germanophone readers, contributing to the book's value as a resource for students. Besides filling the great need for a commentary that takes into account recent (and even not-so-recent) scholarship, the material and arguments in the introduction add much to the study of this hymn, particularly A.'s discussion of the hymn's unity and thematic cohesion.

Loyola University Chicago

LEANNA BOYCHENKO lboychenko@luc.edu

MEGA BIBLION – MEGA THAEMA

KYRIAKOU (P.), SISTAKOU (E.), RENGAKOS (A.) (edd.) Brill's Companion to Theocritus. Pp. xx + 832, colour ills. Leiden and Boston: Brill, 2021. Cased, $\notin 195$, US\$234. ISBN: 978-90-04-37355-6. doi:10.1017/S0009840X22002098

The poetry of Theocritus has offered its readership intellectual reward and inspiration as well as rich material for academic study ever since Hellenistic times; and its appeal has not waned over the years. It is therefore a great pleasure to read this new Companion devoted to Theocritus. The volume consists of six sections, which encompass 33 chapters, and begins with a foreword by A. Kampakoglou, which is a useful methodological introduction explaining the book's compilation and approach to the problems in Theocritus' poetry as faced by both editors and particular authors.

The first part, particularly instructive and useful for students, is an excellent compendium about the author and the corpus of his extant texts. Chapter 1, 'A Poet's Lives', by T. Phillips, is an illuminating analysis of the ancient approach to (auto)biographical and meta-biographical elements in Hellenistic poetry. The next chapter by C. Meliadò is devoted to historical issues and those associated with the interrelationships

The Classical Review (2023) 73.1 98–101 © The Author(s), 2022. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of The Classical Association