```
Sobel, A. E., Shaw, J. H., Hanok, A. & Nobel, S. (1960). J. dent. Res. 39, 462. Sognnaes. R. F. (1948). J. Amer. dent. Ass. 37, 676. Sognnaes, R. F. & Shaw, J. H. (1954). J. Nutr. 53, 195. Speirs, R. L. (1963). J. dent. Res. 42, 1103 (Abstract). Stack, M. V. (1957). J. dent. Res. 36, 325. Stack, M. V. & Holloway, P. J. (1959). J. dent. Res. 38, 1223 (Abstract). Steinman, R. R. (1962). Dentist, I, no. I. Steinman, R. R. & Haley, M. I. (1957a). J. dent. Res. 36, 532. Steinman, R. R. & Haley, M. I. (1957b). Proc. Soc. exp. Biol., N.Y., 94, 391. Steinman, R. R. & Haley, M. I. (1958). J. Dent. Child. 24, 211. Steinman, R. R., Haley, M. I. & O'Day, P. (1958). J. dent. Res. 37, 719. Steinman, R. R. & Hardinge, M. G. (1958). J. dent. Res. 37, 874. Sweeney, E. A., Shaw, J. H. & Rubin, R. P. (1962). J. dent. Res. 41, 866. Ten Cate, A. R. (1962). Arch. oral Biol. 7, 1. Turner, N. C. (1960). J. Amer. dent. Ass. 61, 20. Watson, B. D. & Muhler, J. C. (1959). J. dent. Res. 38, 618. Wills, J. H. & Forbes, J. C. (1939). J. dent. Res. 38, 618.
```

### Changes in the pattern of carbohydrate consumption in Britain

By J. P. Greaves and Dorothy F. Hollingsworth, Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food, Great Westminster House, Horseferry Road, London, SW1

Interest in carbohydrates, for long neglected by nutritionists, is reviving; this paper attempts to provide a factual assessment of carbohydrate consumption in Britain, as it exists today, and as it has changed over the past quarter-century. Estimates of consumption going back farther are necessarily less reliable, but general observations may be made with confidence. Thus it is well known that bread in former times occupied a much more prominent position in the diet than it does today: Lloyd (1936) has estimated that at the end of the eighteenth and early in the nineteenth century this one item represented 50% of the weekly expenditure on food in the labouring classes; today the proportion is about 7%.

#### Carbohydrate in the modern diet

National Food Survey (NFS) records for 1961 (Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food, unpublished information) showed that for all the households surveyed, bread and flour provided on average just over a third of the total carbohydrate and potatoes 10%, sugar and preserves together about a quarter, and milk 6% (Table 1). These three groups respectively provide carbohydrate predominantly as starch, sucrose and lactose.

It must be remembered, however, that the NFS does not record consumption of chocolates, sweets, ice-cream (other than that consumed at meals) or soft drinks: expenditure on these items in 1962 averaged 6.5% of total household expenditure on food, excluding that spent on meals out (Ministry of Labour, 1963). Furthermore, the term consumption, as used in the Survey and in this paper, must not be confused with ingestion: it refers to the quantity of food entering the household which is available for human consumption, and though the nutrient conversion factors make allowance for the presence of inedible material such as potato peelings, losses of

Table 1. Provision of carbohydrate in the average diet (National Food Survey, all households, 1961)

Foodstuff	Carbohydrate (monosaccharide)				
	g/person daily	% of total			
Liquid milk	20	5.7			
Sugar	77	22.5			
Preserves	8	2.4			
Potatoes	36	10.4			
Other vegetables	8	2.3			
Total fruit	13	3.8			
Bread	101	29.4			
Flour	21	6.1			
Cakes and pastries	15	4.4			
Biscuits	16	4.2			
Other cereals	17	4.9			
Other foods	11	3.5			
Total, all foods:	343	100			

edible food are not considered, although when the adequacy of the diet is assessed, amongst further adjustments an arbitrary deduction of 10% is made (Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food: National Food Survey Committee, 1963; Appendix A). A survey of London University students in 1962 (Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food, to be published) has provided evidence of one aspect of wastage. It was found that amongst those who took sugar in their tea the average quantity used was 10.7 g, of which just over 10% (1.1 g) remained in the tea dregs. A survey conducted by the Tea Bureau in 1951 showed that on average 75% of housewives take sugar in their tea, the proportion being lower in the richer classes and higher in the poorer.

#### Changes in household consumption of carbohydrate since the prewar period

During the war and immediate postwar years the NFS was restricted to households of the urban working-class. Selected results are compared in Table 2 with those for the appropriate classes surveyed before the war by Crawford & Broadley (1938). In 1950 the Survey was extended to include households of all social classes, and average results are shown in Table 3, together with prewar estimates. In 1960 slightly different tables of food composition were introduced, and carbohydrate was expressed in terms of monosaccharides, not starch, as previously (Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food: National Food Survey Committee, 1962; paragraphs 32–35); values for carbohydrate given in Tables 2 and 3 for the years before 1960 have therefore been recalculated, and all values given in this paper are in terms of monosaccharides. The estimates of confectionery consumption shown in Table 3 are not obtained from survey data, but refer to national supplies at the retail level in the United Kingdom.

Bread consumption, which increased during the war to above the prewar level, declined sharply after 1952, paralleled by a fall in potato consumption. Consumption of flour purchased as such is today about half that before the war, and is declining

Table 2. Weekly consumption of chief carbohydrate-containing foods (oz/person, except for milk): urban working-class households: prewar and 1942-50.

	Crawford & oadley (193		Nati			
Foodstuff	1936-7	1942	1944	1946	1948	1950
Total liquid milk (pints/person)	2.4	3.5	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.6
Sugar	16.5	8.4	9.1	9.6	10.5	10.5
Preserves	5.0	4.9	6.1	5 .4	6.2	5.7
Bread	56.4	60.6	59.9	59.9	65.3	56.3
Flour	13.4	6.4	7.1	6.1	6.2	6.5
Cakes and biscuits	n.a.	9.6	11.6	12.1	12.5	12.7
Other cereals	n.a.	4.9	4.7	4.4	5.3	5.0
Potatoes	61.6	68.5	71.3	73.8	64.0	65.8
Total carbohydrate (g/day)	na	na	343	335	354	334
Percentage of total calories derived from carbohydrate		na	52	53	54	50
Percentage of carbohydrate provided by sugar		na	11.3	12.2	12.3	13.0

na, not available.

Table 3. Weekly consumption of chief carbohydrate-containing foods (0z/person, except for milk): all households: prewar and 1950-62

C	rawford a Broadley		National Food Survey						
Foodstuff	(1938) 1936–7	1950	1952	1954	1956	1958	1960	1962	
Total liquid milk (pints/person)	2.9	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	5.0	
Sugar	16.7	10.1	11.0	17.0	18.0	18.6	17.8	18.4	
Preserves	5.6	6.3	6.1	4.2	3.7	3.2	3.2	3.3	
Bread	54.6	57.8	61.5	56.3	51.1	47.2	45.4	43.6	
Flour	13.9	7.2	8.5	8.8	7.9	7.8	6.8	6.2	
Cakes and biscuits	na	10.4	10.2	10.3	11.0	11.4	12.0	12.3	
Other cereals	na	6.3	5.8	5.4	5.9	6.1	6.4	$6 \cdot 6$	
Potatoes	62.6	64.2	65.9	63.2	58.4	55.4	57.2	53.6	
Total carbohydrate (g/day)	na	344	356	374	370	357	345	342	
Percentage of total calories derived from carbo- hydrate		51	53	52	51	50	49	49	
Percentage of carbohydrate provided by sugar		12.5	13.3	19.4	20.7	22.2	22.0	22.9	
Confectionery (chocolate and sugar)*	7.01	4.8	5.6	8.9	8.5	8.4	8.3	8·o	

na, not available. \*Retail level estimate. †Prewar estimate.

steadily. Sugar rationing ended in 1953, and consumption immediately rose to the prewar level, and beyond, though it now appears possibly to have reached a plateau. Confectionery consumption has steadily declined since 1954, when it had risen sharply to over a quarter above the prewar level; the decline has been almost entirely in sweet confectionery, that of chocolate remaining at about 4 oz/person weekly.

Carbohydrate now supplies just less than half the total calories in the average household diet; the highest proportion since 1944 was 54% in 1948. Sugar, bought

as such, now forms twice the proportion of carbohydrate consumed that it did in 1944.

# Influence of family size

The findings of the prewar Carnegie Survey on working-class families have been compared with appropriate results of the NFS for the period 1955-8 (Baines, Hollingsworth & Leitch, 1963); selected data are shown in Table 4. Purchases of

Table 4. Weekly household consumption (oz/person, except for milk) in working-class families, 1937-9 and 1955-8

	(	Household One child	man and Five children				
				e children			
Foodstuff	1937–9 England	1955–8 Great Britain	1937–9 England	1955–8 Great Britain	1937–9 England	1955–8 Great Britain	
Total milk and cream (pints or							
equivalent pints)	4.3	5.3	3.1	4.7	3.1	4.3	
Sugar	18.9	18.8	14.8	12.1	13.0	12.2	
Preserves	3.9	3.2	3.1	3.3	2.4	3.4	
Bread	43 · 6	50.9	40.4	45.8	41.0	50.7	
Flour	14.4	8.2	14.4	6.8	14.9	4.2	
Cakes and biscuits	7.5	11.9	4.5	8.7	4.4	6.5	
Other cereals	5.2	7.0	4.7	6·1	3.3	5.4	
Potatoes	58.2	62.8	57:3	58.1	53.0	52.6	
Total carbohydrate (monosaccharide) (g/day)	358	376	310	322	294	297	
Percentage of total calories from carbohydrate	52	51	56	54	57	56	
Percentage of carbo- hydrate from sugar (bought as such)	23	21	20	20	19	17	

bread were greater after than before the war, but the difference was offset by reduced purchases of flour. Consumption of cakes and biscuits, 'other' cereals, and total milk was greater after the war. The effect of an additional child on the food consumption of the household was investigated by fitting linear regressions to total consumption data: it was estimated that the additional consumption of sugar attributable to each additional child in the family was, in the prewar survey, 6.8 oz/week, equivalent to 12.9% of the estimated young couple's consumption; in the postwar period it was 11.0 oz/week, equivalent to 24.3% of the couple's consumption. The percentage increment in household consumption per child increased for potatoes from 27% to 38%; it also increased for preserves and all cereal foods other than bread, for which it decreased from 80% to 49% (Baines et al. 1963).

The effect of family size on the consumption of potatoes, as revealed by the NFS, is what would be expected for a food which is a fairly cheap, but not the cheapest, source of energy. As the size of the family increases and income per head declines, the average consumption (per person) of potatoes at first falls because the presence

of children reduces average energy requirements per head, then rises because of increased dependence on the cheaper foods, and in the largest families may fall again at a time when even potatoes are considered relatively expensive compared with bread. This upward turn from the second to the third child occurred consistently in the Survey up to 1956, frequently with a slight downward turn for the fourth child. Since that date the minimum consumption of potatoes has shifted from the advent of the second to that of the third child (when the minimum consumption of bread usually occurs), though the earlier pattern was repeated in 1959. Further details of potato consumption are given by Wadsworth & McKenzie (1963).

## Influence of social class

NFS records show that, though households in the lower social classes derive a greater proportion of their calorie needs from carbohydrate than do those in the higher, the differences are slight. For example, in 1962 households consisting of childless couples under 55 years of age in Class A obtained 46% of their calories in this way, and similar households in Classes C & D1 obtained 48%; both groups of households obtained 24% of their total carbohydrate from sugar, bought as such. (Social classes in the Survey are defined in terms of income ranges of heads of households, which are reviewed each year (Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food: National Food Survey Committee, 1963; Appendix A).)

Since 1955 the consumption of sugar in households of both richer and poorer classes has changed little, and has not differed appreciably between the classes; the contribution of sugar to carbohydrate consumption has tended to increase slightly, but again at similar rates in the different classes. However, substantial changes have occurred since before the war. Crawford & Broadley's (1938) survey between October 1936 and March 1937, though not entirely comparable with the NFS, may be used to estimate general trends in class differences. Table 5 shows that the very considerable narrowing in the gap between the classes for liquid milk consumption is due to the consumption of the poorest classes having more than doubled. The consumption of sugar in these classes has risen by about twice as much as it has in the richest, thus almost obliterating the class differences that existed before the war.

Table 5. Changes in consumption of carbohydrate sources by social classes

	poorest groups	veen richest and as percentage of onsumption	Percentage change in consumption of richest and poorest groups between 1936-7 and 1962-3			
Foodstuff	1936-7*	1962-3†	Richest	Poorest		
Liquid milk	121	30	+ 25	+209		
Sugar	16	4	+ 15	+ 29		
Potatoes (purchased)	<b>–</b> 3	15	- 25	- 15		
Bread	26	- 32	- 35	<b>– 28</b>		
Flour	II	- 2	- 41	- 34		

<sup>\*</sup>Crawford and Broadley (1938) survey, October 1936 – March 1937. Classes (AA+A) and D. †National Food Survey, October 1962 – March 1963. Classes A<sub>1</sub> and D (all).

The richest classes have reduced their consumption of bread, flour and potatoes more than have the poorest, so that for bread and potatoes the gap has widened, and the poorer classes still consume relatively more than the richer.

### Total food supplies

Trends in supplies of the main sources of carbohydrate are shown in Table 6. Before the First World War the United Kingdom included the whole of Ireland, and thus the drop in potato consumption recorded between the prewar and postwar

Table 6. Trends in carbohydrate supplies in the United Kingdom

	. 00 -		0	0			,	1962
Foodstuff (lb/person year):	1880	1909-13	1924-8	1934–8	1941	1947	1953 (F	provisional)
Liquid milk	213	210	217	217	265	303	330	326
Sugar*	64	80	89	105	69	84	102	115
Potatoes	296	243	230	190	188	286	245	208
Wheat flour	280	211	198	195	237	225	193	162
Other cereals	na	26	16	16	20	17	16	16
o tilor octours			10	10	20	• /		•
Calorie distribution (%)								
Total carbohydrate	66	56	54	51	53	55	51	48
Liquid milk	6	6	6	6	7	8	8	8
Sugar	ΙI	14	15	17	12	14	16	18
Potatoes	8	7	6	4	5	7	6	5
Cereals	48	37	33	30	40	36	30	24
Carbohydrate distribution (%	)							
Liquid milk	3	3	3	3	4	4	5	5
Sugar	17	25	28	33	22	25	31	37
Potatoes	11	11	10	8	8	11	10	9
Cereals	64	56	51	50	62	53	48	43
na, not available.			5	3		33	•	

<sup>\*</sup>Less the sugar used in brewing and distilling, but including that in manufactured foods.

periods may be partly accounted for by the exclusion of Eire from the second assessment (Ministry of Health: Advisory Committee on Nutrition, 1937). Figures for these two periods have been based on original data (Royal Society Committee, 1917; Flux, 1930), though the 'cottage' production of potatoes was thought to be overestimated, and later estimates of potato consumption have been preferred (Ministry of Health: Advisory Committee on Nutrition, 1937). Flux's (1930) estimates of consumption of the proximate principles have been accepted, subject to modifications due to the changed estimate of potato consumption, and also to the adoption of modern carbohydrate conversion factors for vegetables (particularly potatoes) and fruit. The early conversion factors gave very high values, being based presumably on the estimation of total carbohydrate by difference, with virtually no allowance for waste. Calorie values were revised by using the factors 4, 9 and 4 kcal/g for protein, fat, and carbohydrate (mixed), respectively. The 1880 estimate was based on Lloyd's (1953) interpretation of a British Association for the Advancement of Science (1882) report, and on comparisons with data for 1909-13. These early data have neither the same foundation, nor the same authority, as those for 1934-8 and

23 (2) 3

those given subsequently (Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food, 1962, 1963), which form a self-consistent series. Even so the prewar estimate of potato consumption (190 lb/person yearly) is regarded as probably an underestimate (Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food: National Food Survey Committee, 1963; Table 2, footnote d.).

Nevertheless the changes in the patterns of the calorie and carbohydrate distributions shown in Table 6 are believed to bear some approximation to the facts. The single years selected include a period of wartime restriction (1941), of postwar shortage (1947), and of the end of food controls (1953).

Lloyd (1936) on the basis of contemporary reports estimated the consumption of wheat flour and of sugar in 1835, respectively, as about 360 and 20 lb yearly per person, indicating that in the last century and a half flour consumption has rather more than halved, while sugar consumption has increased sixfold. The highest recorded figure for gross sugar consumption, including that used for brewing and distilling, was 122 lb/person yearly, in 1961, representing a 12% increase on the prewar gross estimate (Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food, 1963). The abrupt rise in consumption after about the mid-nineteenth century (Lyle, 1950) was rendered possible by the great fall in price (Lloyd, 1936) consequent on improved technology. Sugar in all its forms (excluding that used in brewing and distilling) now provides 18% of our total calorie supply and 37% of our total carbohydrate—twice as much as at the turn of the century.

Estimates of carbohydrate consumption in terms of the monosaccharides of which it is composed, which of necessity must be rough approximations, suggest that total daily consumption was about 490 g monosaccharides/person in 1880, made up of 430 g glucose and about 50 g fructose, and 411 g in 1962, consisting of approximately 320 g glucose and 80 g fructose. Carbohydrate consumed as starch decreased from about 380 g to 230 g, the starch: sucrose ratio thus decreasing from  $4\frac{1}{2}$  to  $1\frac{1}{2}$  approximately.

The trends that have occurred in the United Kingdom this century are very similar to those reported for the United States (Leverton, 1963). There, carbohydrate supplied 57% of the calories in 1910, and 47% in 1962; corresponding figures for the UK are 56% and 48% (Table 6). In 1910, cereals and potatoes provided 44% of total calories in the US and also in the UK; in 1962 the figures are 24% and 29%, the decrease not being so great in the UK partly because potato consumption, which was halved in the US over this period, did not change so greatly in this country. The contribution of sugar and syrups to the US calorie supply rose from 11% in 1910 to 16% in 1962; for the UK the figures are 13% and 18%.

#### REFERENCES

Baines, A. H. J., Hollingsworth, D. F. & Leitch, I. (1963). Nutr. Abstr. Rev. 33, 653.
British Association for the Advancement of Science (1882). Report of Committee on Appropriation of Wages.

Crawford, W. & Broadley, H. (1938). The People's Food. London: Heinemann.

Flux, A. W. (1930). J. R. statist. Soc. 93, 538.

Leverton, R. M. (1963). Nutritional Trends and the Consumer's Food. USDA Agricultural Research Service. 41st Annual Agricultural Outlook Conference, Washington, DC.

```
Lloyd, E. M. H. (1936). J. agric. Econ. Soc. 4, 89.
```

Lloyd, E. M. H. (1953). J. agric. Econ. Soc. 10, 174.

Lyle, P. (1950). J. R. statist. Soc. Ser. A, 113, 531.

Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food (1962). Bd Trade J. 183, i.

Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food (1963). Bd Trade J. 185, 296.

Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food: National Food Survey Committee (1962). Domestic Food Consumption and Expenditure: 1960. London: H. M. Stationery Office.

Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food: National Food Survey Committee (1963). Domestic Food Consumption and Expenditure: 1961. London: H. M. Stationery Office.

Ministry of Health: Advisory Committee on Nutrition (1937). First Report. London: H. M. Stationery Office.

Ministry of Labour (1963). Family Expenditure Survey: 1962. London: H.M. Stationery Office.

Royal Society Committee (1917). The Food Supply of the United Kingdom. Cd. 8421. London: H.M. Stationery Office.

Wadsworth, G. R. & McKenzie, J. C. (1963). Nutr. Abstr. Rev. 33, 327.

### Carbohydrate consumption and diabetes

By JOAN B. WALKER, Diabetic Department, Leicester Royal Infirmary

While research into carbohydrate metabolism and the action of insulin is increasing, clinicians continue to treat diabetes by regulating the intake of carbohydrate. It is surprising that we achieve the present degree of success because one is bound to accept a multifactorial theory of aetiology of the disease. What part carbohydrate consumption may play must be correlated with such factors as sex, age, heredity, physique, hormonal adjustment, social status, energy expenditure, stress, and finally dietary habits. The increasing amount of information that is being gathered in each of these fields of study leaves us somewhat bewildered, yet we must be reaching the state of full saturation when crystallization of thought should take place.

Correction of diet is the basic therapy for all diabetics and how successful it will be depends not only on the intelligence and co-operation of the patient, but also on the experience and skilful art of the teacher.

#### Historical note

In retrospect, it is of interest that in the history of diabetes, essential 'management' has usually preceded investigation. Three hundred years ago, Willis advocated a reduction in carbohydrate intake as a means of bringing about an 'undernutrition cure'. Rollo (1796; quoted by Duncan, 1951) restricted his diabetic patients' diet to animal food and green vegetables. Bouchardat (1883) noted that one in twenty of the wealthy, well-fed professional class in Paris in 1875 would be found to be glycosuric. He prescribed a small diet and occasional days of fasting. This 5% of glycosuria compares with the recent figure of 4.8% that we found in 1962 in the well-fed village community of Ibstock.

It was Allen (1914) who systematized undernutrition therapy for diabetes in the belief that diabetes was a 'disorder of total metabolism and not of carbohydrate alone'. The late Elliot P. Joslin (Joslin, Root, White & Marble, 1959) in Boston and Russell Wilder (1957–8) of the Mayo Clinic used Allen's diet extensively up to the