

# MONOTONE FUNCTIONS ON LINEAR LATTICES

H. W. ELLIS AND HIDEGORÔ NAKANO

**1. Introduction.** If  $R$  is a sequentially continuous linear lattice, a function  $f(x)$ , defined on  $R^+ = \{x: 0 \leq x \in R\}$  with  $0 \leq f(x) \leq +\infty$ , will be called a monotone function if it satisfies

$$(M1) \quad f(x) \leq f(y) \quad \text{when } x \leq y,$$

$$(M2) \quad f(x) = \sup_i f(x_i) \quad \text{when } 0 \leq x_i \uparrow_{i=1}^{\infty} x.$$

Monotone functions, subject to additional conditions, have been studied at length. For example a length function **(2)** is a monotone function on the sequentially continuous linear lattice of equivalence classes of measurable functions. On  $L^p$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , the norms are monotone functions as are the positive continuous linear functionals. A modular on a universally continuous linear lattice is a monotone function **(3)**.

In the present paper we study the problems of extension of monotone functions from semi-normal manifolds of a sequentially continuous linear lattice  $R$  to  $R$  itself.

**2. Definitions and notation.** A semi-ordered vector space  $R$  in which each pair of elements  $x, y$  has a supremum  $x \cup y$  and an infimum  $x \cap y$  in  $R$  is called a *linear lattice* or *Riesz space*.

A linear lattice  $R$  is: (i) *sequentially continuous* (called continuous in **(3)**) if  $a_n \in R^+$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , implies

$$\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \in R;$$

(ii) *universally continuous* if for every collection  $a_\lambda \in R^+$  ( $\lambda \in \Lambda$ ),  $\bigcap_{\lambda} a_\lambda \in R$ ;

(iii) *superuniversally continuous* if it is universally continuous and if  $a_\lambda \in R^+$  ( $\lambda \in \Lambda$ ) implies the existence of a subsequence  $a_{\lambda_i}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ , such that

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{\lambda_i} = \bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} a_\lambda.$$

A monotone function  $f(x)$  is *convex* (*concave*) if

$$f(\alpha x + \beta y) \leq \alpha f(x) + \beta f(y) \quad (f(\alpha x + \beta y) \geq \alpha f(x) + \beta f(y))$$

for  $\alpha + \beta = 1$ ,  $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ .

A monotone function is *linear* if  $f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y)$ , *sublinear* if  $f(x + y) \leq f(x) + f(y)$ , *superlinear* if  $f(x + y) \geq f(x) + f(y)$ ; *homogeneous* if  $f(\alpha x) = \alpha f(x)$  for  $\alpha \geq 0$ ; and *additive* if  $x \perp y$  implies that  $f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y)$ .

---

Received January 23, 1962.

A monotone function that is linear is homogeneous. The proof uses only (M1) (1, Proposition 1, p. 33). Sublinear and convex (superlinear and concave) coincide if and only if  $f$  is homogeneous. Sublinear implies that  $f(nx) \leq nf(x)$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Convex with  $y = 0$ ,  $\alpha = 1/n$ ,  $\beta = (n - 1)/n$  implies that  $f(nx) \geq nf(x)$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . If  $f$  is both sublinear and convex it follows that  $f(nx) = nf(x)$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , then for  $n$  replaced by an arbitrary rational number, and finally, using (M2), for arbitrary  $\alpha > 0$ .

A monotone function that is homogeneous and convex or sublinear will be called a *length function*. A monotone function that is convex and additive will be called *modular*.

A linear subset  $S$  of a linear lattice  $R$  will be called a semi-normal manifold of  $R$  if  $x \in S$ ,  $|a| \leq |x|$  implies that  $a \in S$ . In the terminology of Birkhoff this is an  $l$ -ideal.  $S$  is itself a linear lattice for the ordering induced by  $R$ . If  $R$  is sequentially continuous, universally continuous, or superuniversally continuous, so is  $S$ .

**3. Extensions of monotone functions.** If  $S$  is a semi-normal manifold of a sequentially continuous linear lattice  $R$ , a monotone function  $f$  defined on  $R^+$  will be called an extension of a monotone function  $g$  defined on  $S^+$  if  $f(x) = g(x)$  in  $S^+$ .

**THEOREM 3.1.** *Let  $R$  be a sequentially continuous linear lattice,  $S$  a semi-normal manifold of  $R$ . Then each monotone function  $f$  on  $S$  has unique maximal and minimal extension  $f_M$  and  $f_m$  to  $R$  such that, for an arbitrary extension  $g$  of  $f$  from  $S$  to  $R$ ,*

$$f_m(x) \leq g(x) \leq f_M(x)$$

for all  $x$  in  $R^+$ . If  $f(x)$  is convex (concave, homogeneous, linear, sublinear, superlinear, or additive) so are  $f_M$  and  $f_m$ . Thus, if  $f$  is a length function (or modular) on  $S$ ,  $f_M$  and  $f_m$  are length functions (modular) on  $R$ .

If  $a \in R^+$  and there exists

$$x_i \uparrow_{i=1}^\infty a \quad (\text{that is, } 0 \leq x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \dots; \bigcup_{i=1}^\infty x_i = a) \quad x_i \in S^+,$$

then if (M2) is to hold for  $f_M$  and  $f_m$  we must define

$$f_M(a) = f_m(a) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} f(x_i) \leq +\infty.$$

If  $a \in R^+$  and there exists no sequence

$$x_i \uparrow_{i=1}^\infty a, \quad x_i \in S^+,$$

we define

$$f_M(a) = +\infty, \\ f_m(a) = \sup_{\substack{x \leq a \\ x \in S^+}} f(x).$$

Let  $\bar{S}$  denote the set of elements of  $R$  such that  $x \in \bar{S}$  implies the existence of a sequence  $x_i \in S^+$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ ; with

$$x_i \uparrow_{i=1}^{\infty} |x|.$$

It is easily verified that  $\bar{S}$  is a semi-normal manifold of  $R$ .

We next show that for  $a \in \bar{S}^+$ ,  $f_M(a)$  and  $f_m(a)$  depend only on  $a$  and not on the choice of sequence  $\{x_i\}$ ,

$$x_i \uparrow_{i=1}^{\infty} a.$$

LEMMA 3.1. *Let*

$$a \in \bar{S}^+, \quad x_i \uparrow_{i=1}^{\infty} a, \quad y_j \uparrow_{j=1}^{\infty} a, \quad x_i, y_j \in S^+, \quad i, j = 1, 2, \dots$$

*Then*

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} f(x_i) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} f(y_j).$$

*Proof of Lemma.* Fix  $j$ . Since  $S$  is semi-normal,  $x_i \cap y_j \in S^+$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ . Since  $y_j \leq a$ ,

$$x_i \uparrow_{i=1}^{\infty} a, \quad x_i \cap y_j \uparrow_{i=1}^{\infty} y_j$$

so that, by (M2) for  $S$ ,

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} f(x_i \cap y_j) = f(y_j).$$

Thus

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} f(x_i) \geq \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} f(x_i \cap y_j) = f(y_j), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots,$$

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} f(x_i) \geq \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} f(y_j).$$

Reversing the roles of  $x$  and  $y$  leads to equality.

COROLLARY.  $f_M$  and  $f_m$  are uniquely defined on  $R^+$  and coincide on  $\bar{S}^+$ .

We next prove that  $f_m$  is a monotone function on  $R^+$ . For  $x \leq y$  we have

$$f_m(x) = \sup_{x \triangleright a \in S^+} f(a) \leq \sup_{y \triangleright a \in S^+} f(a) = f_m(y),$$

that is (M1) holds.

If

$$x_i \uparrow_{i=1}^{\infty} x,$$

(M1) implies that

$$f_m(x) \geq \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} f_m(x_i).$$

For  $x \geq a \in S^+$  we have

$$a \cap x_i \in S^+, \quad a \cap x_i \uparrow_{i=1}^{\infty} a$$

and hence

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} f_m(x_i) \geq \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} f(x_i \cap a) = f(a).$$

Thus

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} f_m(x_i) \geq \sup_{x \succ a \in S^+} f(a) = f_m(x)$$

and (M2) holds. It follows from the corollary that  $f_m$  and  $f_M$  define the same monotone function on  $\tilde{S}^+$ .

We prove that  $f_M$  is a monotone function on  $R^+$ . (M1) is trivially true for  $f_M$  when  $y \notin \tilde{S}^+$ , and is therefore true for  $f_M$  on  $R^+$ . (M2) is trivially true if  $x_i \notin \tilde{S}^+$  for some  $i$ . We complete the proof by showing that if  $x_i \in \tilde{S}^+$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ , and

$$x = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i \in R,$$

then  $x \in \tilde{S}^+$ .

There exist sequences

$$x_{ij} \in S^+, \quad x_{ij} \uparrow_{j=1}^{\infty} x_i, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots,$$

and

$$x = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i = \bigcup_{i,j} x_{ij}.$$

With

$$\bar{x}_n = \bigcup_{j=1}^n x_{nj} \in S^+,$$

we have

$$\bar{x}_n \uparrow_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{i,j} x_{ij} = x$$

and  $x \in \tilde{S}$ .

Let  $g$  be an arbitrary monotone function extending  $f$  on  $S$  to  $R$ . Since  $g$  and  $f_M$  coincide in  $\tilde{S}^+$  and  $f_m = +\infty$  in  $R^+ - \tilde{S}^+$ ,  $g(x) \leq f_M(x)$  for all  $x \in R^+$ . For every  $a \in S^+$  with  $a \leq x$ , (M1) implies that  $g(x) \geq g(a) = f(a)$ , whence  $g(x) \geq f_m(x)$  for all  $x$  in  $R^+$ .

It is not difficult to show that if  $f$  on  $S$  has one of the additional properties in the theorem, then  $f_M$  and  $f_m$  have the same property on  $R$ . We give a proof for  $f$  sublinear.

If  $x, y \in R^+$ ,  $x + y \in R^+$ . For  $a \leq x + y$ ,  $a \in S^+$ ,  $a = a \cap (x + y) \leq a \cap x + a \cap y$  (3, 10, p. 10). Thus

$$f_m(x + y) = \sup_{\substack{a \in S^+ \\ a \leq x+y}} f(a) \leq f_m(x) + f_m(y).$$

Thus  $f_m$  is sublinear on  $R$  and both  $f_m$  and  $f_M$  are sublinear on  $\tilde{S}$ . If one of  $x, y$  is not in  $\tilde{S}$ ,  $f_M(x + y) \leq f_M(x) + f_M(y)$  trivially. This completes Theorem 3.1.

**THEOREM 3.2.** *Let  $S$  be an arbitrary semi-normal manifold of  $R$ . Then in order that  $f_m(x) = f_M(x)$  for every monotone function  $f$  on  $S^+$  and all  $x \in R^+$ , it*

is necessary and sufficient that  $R = \bar{S}$ . In order that  $f_m(x) = f_M(x)$  for every  $x \in R^+$ ,  $f$  a fixed monotone function on  $S^+$ , it is necessary and sufficient that  $f_m(x) = +\infty$  on  $R^+ - \bar{S}^+$ .

*Proof.* The proof is trivial, necessity in the first part being shown by the monotone function  $g(x) = 0, x \in S^+$ .

**4. Full semi-normal manifolds of sequentially continuous linear lattices.** Elements  $x, y$  of a linear lattice  $R$  are called mutually orthogonal if  $|x| \cap |y| = 0$  and we then write  $x \perp y$ . The set of all elements  $y \in R$  orthogonal to  $x$  is denoted by  $x^\perp$  and the set of all elements of  $R$  orthogonal to every element of a set  $A$  is denoted by  $A^\perp$ . A subset  $N$  of  $R$  is called a normal manifold of  $R$  if to each  $a \in R$  corresponds  $x \in N$  and  $y \in N^\perp$  with  $a = x + y$ . A normal manifold is necessarily a semi-normal manifold ( $l$ -ideal). If  $R$  is sequentially continuous,  $z \in R$ , then every  $a \in R$  can be written uniquely as  $a = x + y$  with  $x \in z^{\perp\perp}, y \in z^\perp$ . The mapping  $a \rightarrow x$  is a projection determined by  $z$ . The corresponding linear operator, which is written as  $[z]$ , is called a *projector*. Projectors are studied in detail in (3). The sets  $z^{\perp\perp} = [z]R$  and  $z^\perp$  are normal manifolds of  $R$  and  $z \in z^{\perp\perp}$ . As an example let  $R$  be the continuous linear lattice  $L^1(X, S, \mu)$  of equivalence classes of integrable functions on the measure space  $(X, S, \mu)$ . Then if  $f \in L^1$  and  $e_f = \{x \in X : f(x) \neq 0\}$ ,  $[f]$  means projection on  $e_f$ , modulo null sets.

For an arbitrary index set  $\Lambda$  and elements  $x_\lambda \in R (\lambda \in \Lambda)$  we say that the set  $\{x_\lambda\}$  is filtering for  $\leq$  and write  $x_\lambda \uparrow_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  if to any two elements  $x_\lambda, x_{\lambda'}$  corresponds an element  $x_{\lambda''}$  such that  $x_\lambda \cup x_{\lambda'} \leq x_{\lambda''}$ . If in addition  $x = \cup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} x_\lambda$  we write  $x_\lambda \uparrow_{\lambda \in \Lambda} x$ . For projection operators on  $R$ ,  $[x_\lambda] \uparrow_{\lambda \in \Lambda} [x]$  means that for every  $a \in R^+, [x_\lambda]a \uparrow_{\lambda \in \Lambda} [x]a$ .

**DEFINITION.** A semi-normal manifold  $S$  of  $R$  is full (in  $R$ ) if  $x \in R, x \perp S$  implies that  $x = 0$ . An orthogonal system  $a_\lambda, \lambda \in \Lambda$ , of elements of  $R$  is full in  $R$  if  $x \in R, x \perp a_\lambda$  for all  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  implies that  $x = 0$ .

If  $R$  denotes the sequentially continuous linear lattice of Lebesgue measurable functions on  $(-\infty, \infty)$ , with the natural ordering if  $S = L^1$ , the corresponding set of Lebesgue integrable functions, without identifying equivalence classes,  $S$  is a semi-normal manifold of  $R$  that is full in  $R$ . If  $S_1$  denotes the Lebesgue integrable functions vanishing outside  $(0, 1)$ ,  $S_1$  is a semi-normal manifold of  $R$  that is not full in  $R$ . If

$$f(x) = \int_0^1 x(t)dt,$$

$f$  is a linear monotone function on  $S_1$ . In this case clearly  $f$  has many linear, monotone function extensions to  $R$  different from  $f_m$  and  $f_M$ . On the other hand,  $\bar{S} = R$  so that

$$f(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x(t)dt,$$

which is a linear monotone function on  $S^+$ , has a unique extension to  $R^+$  as a monotone function. If  $x \in R^+ - S^+$ ,  $f(x) = +\infty$ . In the sequel we study full, semi-normal manifolds of sequentially continuous linear lattices.

LEMMA 4.1. *If  $S$  is a full semi-normal manifold of a sequentially continuous linear lattice  $R$ , then, for each  $x \in R^+$ ,*

$$x \cap s \uparrow_{s \in S^+} x.$$

*Proof.* We suppose that  $y \geq x \cap s$  for all  $s \in S^+$ . Then  $y \geq x \cap ns$  for all  $s \in S^+$  and  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  because  $s \in S^+$  implies  $ns \in S^+$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Thus we have  $y \geq [s]x$  for all  $s \in S^+$ . This implies that  $[s]y \geq [s]x$  for all  $s \in S^+$  and thus

$$[s](x - y)^+ = ([s]x - [s]y)^+ = 0,$$

for all  $s \in S^+$ , whence  $s \perp (x - y)^+$  and  $x \leq y$ .

THEOREM 4.0 (3, Theorem 13.2). *In order that a sequentially continuous linear lattice  $R$  be superuniversally continuous it is necessary and sufficient that for any orthogonal system  $a_\lambda$  ( $\lambda \in \Lambda$ ) in  $R$  and for any  $p \in R^+$  we have  $[p][a_\lambda] = 0$  except for at most countable  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ .*

THEOREM 4.1. *Let  $R$  be a sequentially continuous linear lattice. In order that  $f_m = f_M$  for every full semi-normal manifold  $S$  of  $R$  and every monotone function  $f$  on  $S^+$ , it is necessary and sufficient that  $R$  be superuniversally continuous.*

*Proof. Necessity.* We prove necessity by showing that, if  $R$  is not superuniversally continuous, there exists a full semi-normal manifold  $S$  of  $R$  with  $R \neq \bar{S}$ . Theorem 3.2, applied to  $S$ , completes the argument.

If  $R$  is not superuniversally continuous, Theorem 4.0 implies that there exists  $a \in R^+$  and an orthogonal system  $a_\lambda \in R^+$ ,  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , with the index set  $\Lambda$  not countable. If the orthogonal system is not maximal in  $R$  it can be extended to a maximal system  $a_\lambda, \lambda \in \Lambda$ , by Zorn's lemma or transfinite induction and a maximal system is full in  $R$  by definition.

Let  $S$  denote the set of elements of  $R$  with

$$|x| < n \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{\lambda_i},$$

for some positive integer  $n$  and some countable collection  $\lambda_i \in \Lambda, i = 1, 2, \dots$ . It is easy to verify that  $S$  is a semi-normal manifold of  $S$ . Since  $a_\lambda \in S$  for every  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  and the orthogonal system  $a_\lambda, \lambda \in \Lambda$ , is full in  $R$ ,  $S$  is full in  $R$ . Suppose that  $a \in \bar{S}^+$ . There is then a countable sequence  $x_i \in S^+$  with

$$a = \bigcup_1^{\infty} x_i.$$

The definition of  $S$  implies that  $[x_i][a_\lambda] = 0$  for all but a countable collection of values  $\lambda, i = 1, 2, \dots$ . Thus  $[a][a_\lambda] = 0$  for all but a countable collection of indices  $\lambda$ , giving a contradiction. Thus  $a \notin \bar{S}, R \neq \bar{S}$ .

*Sufficiency.* If  $x \in R^+$ ,  $x = \bigcup_{s \in S^+} (x \cap s)$  by Lemma 4.1, and the definition of superuniversal continuity implies that there is a sequence  $s_i \in S^+$  (which may be assumed to be increasing since  $S$  is semi-normal) with

$$x = \bigcup_{s \in S^+} (x \cap s) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} (x \cap s_i).$$

Thus every  $x$  is in  $\tilde{S}$  and Theorem 3.2 implies that  $f_m = f_M$ .

**COROLLARY.\*** *In order that  $R$  be superuniversally continuous it is necessary and sufficient that for every full, semi-normal manifold  $S$  of  $R$ ,  $\tilde{S} = R$ .*

If  $R$  is superuniversally continuous and  $S$  is a full semi-normal manifold of  $R$ , then  $f_m(x) = f_M(x)$  for every monotone function  $f$  on  $S^+$  and every  $x \in R^+$  by Theorem 4.1. Thus  $\tilde{S} = R$  by Theorem 3.2. If  $\tilde{S} = R$ , then for every monotone function  $f$  on  $S^+$ ,  $f_m(x) = f_M(x)$  for every  $x \in R^+$ . Thus if  $\tilde{S} = R$  for every full, semi-normal manifold of  $R$ ,  $R$  is superuniversally continuous by Theorem 4.1.

We note that  $S$  need not coincide with  $R$ . For example if  $R$  is the space of equivalence classes of Lebesgue measurable functions on  $(0, 1)$  with the natural partial ordering modulo null sets,  $R$  is superuniversally continuous by Theorem 4.0. If  $S = L^1$ ,  $S$  is a full, semi-normal manifold properly contained in  $R$ . Note that  $\tilde{S} = R$ .

**DEFINITION.** *The monotone function  $f$  on  $R^+$  is semi-continuous if  $x_\lambda \in R^+$ ,  $x_\lambda \uparrow_{\lambda \in \Lambda} x$ , implies that  $f(x) = \sup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} f(x_\lambda)$ .*

**THEOREM 4.2.** *Let  $f$  be an arbitrary monotone function on  $R^+$ , where  $R$  is a sequentially continuous linear lattice. Then in order that  $f$  be semi-continuous it is necessary and sufficient that  $a \geq 0$ ,  $[x_\lambda] \uparrow_{\lambda \in \Lambda} [x]$  imply*

$$f([x]a) = \sup_{\lambda} f([x_\lambda]a).$$

In (3) Nakano has defined semi-continuous norms on linear lattices in terms of countable sequences rather than filtering sets of elements. Noting that a norm on a sequentially continuous linear lattice is a subadditive monotone function, the present theorem is the analogue of his Theorem 30.5. The proof requires an extension to filtering sets of Theorem 6.18 which we give as

**LEMMA 4.2.** *Let  $R$  be a sequentially continuous linear lattice. If  $p \in R^+$ ,  $p_\lambda \uparrow_{\lambda} p$ , then for every  $a \in R^+$ ,  $[p_\lambda]a \uparrow_{\lambda} [p]a$ .*

*Proof of the lemma.* Since  $p \leq q$  implies  $[p] \leq [q]$ ,  $[p_\lambda]a \uparrow_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ . Since  $[p]a \geq [p_\lambda]a$  ( $\lambda \in \Lambda$ ), it remains to be shown that if  $x \geq [p_\lambda]a$  ( $\lambda \in \Lambda$ ), then  $x \geq [p]a$ .

---

\*The authors are indebted to the referee for suggesting this corollary and for comments improving several proofs.

Let  $b = [p]a - ([p]a) \cap x$ . Then, using (3, § 5),

$$[p_\lambda]b = [p_\lambda]([p]a - ([p]a) \cap x) = [p_\lambda]a - ([p_\lambda]a) \cap x = 0,$$

for all  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ . Now for  $q, r \in R$ ,  $[q]r = 0$  if and only if  $q \perp r$ . Thus  $b \perp p_\lambda$  ( $\lambda \in \Lambda$ ) and  $b \perp \cup_\lambda p_\lambda = p$  by (3, Theorem 4.2). Thus

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= [p]b = [p]([p]a - ([p]a) \cap x) = [p]a - ([p]a) \cap x, \\ [p]a &= ([p]a) \cap x \leq x. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof of Theorem 4.2.* The proof of (3, Theorem 30.5) now applies with minor changes. Necessity follows immediately from the definitions. To prove sufficiency we suppose that  $x_\lambda \uparrow_\lambda x$ ,  $x, x_\lambda \in R^+$ , and must show that the conditions of the theorem imply that  $f(x) = \sup_\lambda f(x_\lambda)$ . Since (M1) implies that  $\geq$  holds we need only show that  $\leq$  holds.

We fix  $\epsilon, 0 < \epsilon < 1$ , and define

$$p_\lambda = (x_\lambda - (1 - \epsilon)x)^+ \leq (x - (1 - \epsilon)x)^+ = (\epsilon x)^+ = \epsilon x,$$

for all  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ . Clearly  $p_\lambda \uparrow_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ . Suppose that  $y \geq p_\lambda$  ( $\lambda \in \Lambda$ ). Since

$$\begin{aligned} (x_\lambda - (1 - \epsilon)x)^+ &\geq x_\lambda - (1 - \epsilon)x, \\ y &\geq \cup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} x - (1 - \epsilon)x = \epsilon x. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $p_\lambda \uparrow_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \epsilon x$  and, since  $[\epsilon x] = [x]$ , if  $x \geq 0$  Lemma 4.2 implies that  $[p_\lambda] \uparrow_\lambda [x]$ , whence  $[p_\lambda]x \uparrow_\lambda [x]x = x$ . By hypothesis then

$$f(x) = \sup_\lambda f([p_\lambda]x).$$

Since  $[a^+]a = a^+$ ,  $[p_\lambda](x_\lambda - (1 - \epsilon)x) = (x_\lambda - (1 - \epsilon)x)^+$  and  $(1 - \epsilon)[p_\lambda]x \leq [p_\lambda]x_\lambda \leq x_\lambda$ .

Let

$$\epsilon_i \downarrow_{i=1}^\infty 0.$$

Then

$$(1 - \epsilon_i)([p_\lambda]x) \uparrow_{i=1}^\infty [p_\lambda]x$$

and, by (M2),  $\sup_i f[(1 - \epsilon_i)([p_\lambda]x)] = f([p_\lambda]x)$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_\lambda) &\geq f[(1 - \epsilon_i)([p_\lambda]x)], \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, \\ f(x_\lambda) &\geq f([p_\lambda]x), \\ \sup_\lambda f(x_\lambda) &\geq \sup_\lambda f([p_\lambda]x) = f(x). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $S$  be a semi-normal manifold of  $R$ . Then the restriction of an arbitrary monotone function  $f$  on  $R^+$  to  $S^+$  is a monotone function on  $S^+$  and has minimal and maximal extensions to  $R^+$  which we shall write  $f_{m(S)}$  and  $f_{M(S)}$  respectively.

**THEOREM 4.3.** *Let  $R$  be a sequentially continuous linear lattice,  $f$  a monotone function on  $R^+$ . Then in order that  $f = f_{m(S)}$  for every full semi-normal manifold  $S$  of  $R$ , it is necessary and sufficient that  $f$  be semi-continuous.*



*Proof. Necessity.* Assume that  $f$  on  $R^+$  is not semi-continuous. Then Theorem 4.2 implies that there exists  $a \in R^+$  and a sequence  $[a_\lambda] \uparrow_\lambda [a]$  such that

$$\sup_\lambda f([a_\lambda]a) < f(a).$$

Let  $S$  denote the collection of elements  $x \cup y$  with  $x \in a^\perp$ ,  $y$  in  $[a_\lambda]R$  for some  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ . It is easily verified that  $S$  is a semi-normal manifold of  $R$ . If  $b \perp S$ , then  $b \perp a^\perp$  and  $b \perp [a_\lambda]R$  ( $\lambda \in \Lambda$ ). If  $x \in R^+$ , since  $[a_\lambda] \uparrow_\lambda [a]$

$$\begin{aligned} [a_\lambda]x \uparrow_\lambda [a]x, \quad [a]x &= \cup_\lambda [a_\lambda]x, \\ b \cap [a]x &= b \cap (\cup_\lambda [a_\lambda]x) = \cup_\lambda (b \cap [a_\lambda]x) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

(3, 7, p. 9). Thus  $b \perp R$ ,  $b = 0$  and we have shown that  $S$  is full in  $R$ .

By definition

$$f_m(a) = \sup_{\substack{x \in S \\ x \leq a}} f(x).$$

If  $x \in S$ ,  $x \leq a$  implies that  $x \in [a_\lambda]R$  for some  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ . Since  $[a_\lambda]x \leq [a_\lambda]a$ ,

$$f_m(a) = \sup_{\substack{x \in S \\ x \leq a}} f(x) = \sup_{\substack{x \leq a \\ \lambda \in \Lambda}} f([a_\lambda]x) \leq \sup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} f([a_\lambda]a) < f(a).$$

*Sufficiency.* Let  $x \in R^+$ . By Lemma 4.1 fulness implies that  $x = \cup_{s \in S} (x \cap s)$ . Since  $\{x \cap s\}$  is filtering for  $\leq$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (x \cap s) \uparrow_{s \in S} x, \\ f(x) = \sup_{s \in S} f(x \cap s) &= \sup_{\substack{s \in S \\ s \leq x}} f(s) = f_m(x), \end{aligned}$$

if  $f$  is semi-continuous.

**THEOREM 4.4.** *Let  $R$  be a sequentially continuous linear lattice and suppose that  $R$  contains a superuniversally continuous full semi-normal manifold  $S$ . Then in order that a monotone function  $f$  on  $R^+$  be semi-continuous it is necessary and sufficient that for each  $a \in R^+$ ,*

$$(4.1) \quad f(a) = \sup_{s \in S} f([s]a).$$

*Proof. Necessity.* Since  $S$  is full in  $R$ , if  $a \in R^+$ ,

$$s \cap a \uparrow_{s \in S} a,$$

by Lemma 4.1. Lemma 4.2 then implies that  $[s \cap a] \uparrow_{s \in S} [a]$  and in particular that  $[s \cap a]a = [s]a \uparrow_{s \in S} [a]a = a$ . If the monotone function  $f$  is semi-continuous, (4.1) holds.

*Sufficiency.* We first note that if  $S$  is superuniversally continuous and  $s_0 \in S$ , then  $[s_0]R$  is superuniversally continuous. Suppose it is not and choose  $a_\lambda$  ( $\lambda \in \Lambda$ ),  $y \in ([s_0]R)^+$  with  $[y][a_\lambda] \neq 0$  for uncountably many  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ . Now  $s_0 \cap a_\lambda$  ( $\lambda \in \Lambda$ ) and  $y \cap s_0 \in S$  (since  $S$  is semi-normal). Since  $[s_0 \cap a_\lambda] = [a_\lambda]$ ,  $[s_0 \cap y] = [y]$ , this contradicts the fact that  $S$  is superuniversally continuous.

We now assume that  $a_\lambda$  ( $\lambda \in \Lambda$ ),  $a \in R^+$  and that  $a_\lambda \uparrow_{\lambda \in \Lambda} a$ . By (M1),

$$f(a) \geq \sup_\lambda f(a_\lambda),$$

and it is sufficient to prove that  $\leq$  holds when (4.1) is assumed.

Since  $[s_0]R$  is superuniversally continuous, Theorem 4.1 implies that, for every full semi-normal manifold  $S$  of  $[s_0]R$  and every monotone function  $f$  on  $S^+$ ,  $f_m = f_M$  on  $([s_0]R)^+$ . Thus on  $([s_0]R)^+$ ,  $f = f_{m(S)}$  for every full, semi-normal manifold  $S$  of  $[s_0]R$  and, by Theorem 4.3,  $f$  is semi-continuous on  $[s_0]R$ . Since  $a_\lambda \uparrow_\lambda a$  implies that  $[s_0]a_\lambda \uparrow_\lambda [s_0]a$ , Theorem 4.2 implies that

$$f([s_0]a) = \sup_\lambda f([s_0]a_\lambda).$$

Given  $\epsilon > 0$ , (4.1) implies that there exists  $s_0 \in S$  with

$$f(a) \leq f([s_0]a) + \epsilon = \sup_\lambda f([s_0]a_\lambda) + \epsilon \leq \sup_\lambda f(a_\lambda) + \epsilon.$$

Since  $\epsilon$  is arbitrary, the proof is complete.

**DEFINITION.**  $R$  is locally superuniversally continuous if to each  $x \in R^+$ ,  $x \neq 0$ , corresponds  $p \in R^+$ ,  $p \neq 0$ , such that  $[p] \leq [x]$  and  $[p]R$  is superuniversally continuous.

**THEOREM 4.5.** If  $R$  is a locally superuniversally continuous, sequentially continuous linear lattice and  $S$  denotes the set of elements  $s$  of  $R$  for which  $[s]R$  is superuniversally continuous, then  $S$  is a superuniversally continuous, full, semi-normal manifold of  $R$ .

*Proof.* If  $p \in S$ , since  $[p] = [|p|] = [\alpha p]$  for every real number  $\alpha \neq 0$ ,  $|p|$  and  $\alpha p$  are in  $S$ . For each  $p$ ,  $[p]R$  is a subspace of  $R$ . If  $|q| \leq |p|$ ,  $x \in [q]R$ ,  $y \in [p]R$ ,  $p \in S$ , with  $|y| \leq |x|$ , then  $|y| = y_1 + y_2$  with  $y_1 \in ([q]R)^+$ ,  $y_2 \in q^{\perp\perp}$ . Since  $y_2 \leq |y| \leq |x|$ ,  $y_2 \in q^{\perp\perp}$ ,  $y_2 = 0$  and  $y, |y| \in [q]R$ . Since  $[p]R$  is assumed to be superuniversally continuous it now follows easily from the definition that  $[q]R$  is superuniversally continuous and  $q \in S$ . Thus  $S$  is a semi-normal manifold of  $R$  if it is linear and this will follow if we show that  $|p| + |q| \in S$  if  $p, q \in S$ .

Using (3, Theorems 6.7 and 6.15), if  $a \in R^+$ ,

$$(|p| + |q|)a = [p, q]a = [p]a \cup [q]a.$$

Let  $a, a_\lambda \in ((|p| + |q|)R)^+$ . Now  $[a][a_\lambda] \neq 0$  if and only if  $a \cap a_\lambda \neq 0$ . Assuming  $a \cap a_\lambda \neq 0$ ,

$$a \cap a_\lambda = [|p| + |q|] (a \cap a_\lambda) = [p](a \cap a_\lambda) \cup [q](a \cap a_\lambda),$$

whence  $[p](a \cap a_\lambda) \neq 0$  and/or  $[q](a \cap a_\lambda) \neq 0$ .

With Theorem 4.0 this shows that if  $[|p| + |q|]R$  is not superuniversally continuous, then at least one of  $[p]R$ ,  $[q]R$  is not superuniversally continuous, giving a contradiction. We conclude that  $|p| + |q| \in S$ . An alternative proof

of this part, independent of Theorem 4.0, can be based on the fact that  $[p \cup q]R$  is a direct product of  $[p]R$  and

$$[q - \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (np \cap q)]R = (1 - [p])[q]R.$$

We next show that  $S$  is full in  $R$ . Suppose that  $h \perp S$  and assume that  $h \neq 0$ . Since  $R$  is locally superuniversally continuous, there then exists  $p \neq 0$ ,  $p \in R$  with  $0 < [p] \leq [h]$ ,  $p \in S$ , contradicting the hypothesis that  $h \perp S$ . We conclude that  $h = 0$ .

Finally we show that  $S$  is superuniversally continuous. Let  $a_\lambda, \lambda \in \Lambda$ , be any orthogonal set of elements of  $S$ ,  $x$  an arbitrary element of  $S$ . Since  $[x]R$  is superuniversally continuous

$$[x][a_\lambda] = [x][a_\lambda \cap x] = 0$$

for all but at most countably many  $\lambda$ , showing that  $S$  is superuniversally continuous.

#### REFERENCES

1. N. Bourbaki, *Éléments de mathématique*, Fasc. XIII, "Integration," Chaps. I-IV (Paris, 1952).
2. H. W. Ellis and I. Halperin, *Function spaces determined by a levelling length function*, Can. J. Math., 5 (1953), 576-592.
3. Hidegorô Nakano, *Modulated semi-ordered linear spaces* (Tokyo, 1950).

*Queen's University and  
the Summer Institute  
of the Canadian Mathematical Congress*