Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom

cambridge.org/mbi

Research Article

Cite this article: Kobayashi G, Itoh H, Nakajima N (2022). First mitochondrial genome of a lugworm (Annelida: Arenicolidae) and its phylogenetic position. *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom* **102**, 635–644. https://doi.org/ 10.1017/S0025315422001035

Received: 28 April 2022 Revised: 19 July 2022 Accepted: 31 October 2022 First published online: 6 January 2023

Key words:

Arenicolida; intertidal zone; Maldanomorpha; mitogenome; polychaetes

Author for correspondence: Genki Kobayashi, E-mail: genkikobayashi5884@gmail.com

© The Author(s), 2023. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom



First mitochondrial genome of a lugworm (Annelida: Arenicolidae) and its phylogenetic position

Genki Kobayashi¹ 💿, Hajime Itoh² and Nobuyoshi Nakajima² 💿

¹Ishinomaki Senshu University, 1 Shinmito Minamisakai, Ishinomaki, Miyagi 986-8580, Japan and ²National Institute for Environmental Studies, 16-2, Onogawa, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8506, Japan

Abstract

The annelid mitochondrial genomes (mitogenomes) have been well documented, and phylogenetic analyses based on the mitogenomes provide insightful implications for annelid evolution. However, the mitogenomes of some families remain unknown. Herein, we determined the complete mitogenome of the lugworm Abarenicola claparedi oceanica (15,524 bp), representing the first mitogenome from the family Arenicolidae. The gene order of this species is the same as the various lineages in Sedentaria. The maximum likelihood phylogenetic analyses were performed based on six different datasets, including 43 ingroups (oligochaetes, hirudineans, echiurans and closely related polychaetes) and two outgroups (Siboglinidae), namely, aligned and trimmed datasets consisting of the nucleotide sequences of protein-coding genes (PCGs) and rRNAs, and amino acid sequences of PCGs. Phylogenetic analyses based on the nucleotide sequences yielded trees with better support values than those based on the amino acid sequences. Arenicolidae is clustered with Maldanidae in all analyses. Analyses based on nucleotide sequences confirm the monophyly of Terebellidae, which was paraphyletic in recent mitogenomic phylogenetic studies. We also performed the phylogenetic analysis based on the RY-coding of the nucleotide sequences of PCGs only to yield phylogeny with generally low support values. Additional mitogenome sequences of related ingroup species would be needed to comprehensively understand the phylogenetic relationship, which was not present in this study.

Introduction

Mitochondrial genomes (mitogenomes) are often used for phylogenetic analyses focusing on the phylogenetic relationship of the shallow nodes from inter-familial to intra-species levels (Hirase *et al.*, 2016; Zhang *et al.*, 2018; Tan *et al.*, 2019; Alves *et al.*, 2020; Kobayashi *et al.*, 2021; Ogoh *et al.*, 2021; Sun *et al.*, 2021). The animal mitochondrial DNA is generally circular, comprising 15–20 kilobase pairs (kbp) (Boore, 1999), and is relatively conserved as compared with the quite diverse plant mitogenomes ranging from 6 kbp to ≥ 11 Mbp (Mower, 2020). The mitogenomes of animals are usually comprised of 13 protein-coding genes (PCGs; *cox1–3*, *atp6*, *atp8*, *cytb*, *nad1–6* and *nad4l*), 2 rRNAs and 22 tRNAs (Boore, 1999), and this conservation ensures the homology of genes when performing the phylogenetic analysis based on the mitogenomes.

The phylum Annelida consists of over 20,000 described species, such as polychaetes, echiurans, sipunculans, hirudineans and oligochaetes (Capa & Hutchings, 2021). Annelids include some early-branching lineages, Errantia (mobile annelids with well-developed parapodia) and Sedentaria (sessile annelids with less-developed parapodia) (Struck, 2019; Rouse *et al.*, 2022). Sedentaria comprises ecologically and morphologically diverse members from the high-altitude mountains to the hadal zone, such as echiurans, vestimentiferans and clitellates (hir-udineans and oligochaetes) (Manca *et al.*, 1998; Paterson *et al.*, 2009). The species of Sedentaria are interesting research subjects due to their evolutionary history. However, several families are not yet included in phylogenomics, and their phylogenetic position remains insufficiently resolved.

A family in Sedentaria, Arenicolidae includes four genera (*Branchiomaldane, Abarenicola, Arenicolides* and *Arenicola*) and 24 described species (Darbyshire, 2020). Arenicolids are known as 'lugworms' and are well-known polychaetes for their eye-catching faecal mounds in the intertidal to shallow sublittoral zones. Generally, arenicolids are deposit feeders, inhabiting silty sand by making burrows (Jumars *et al.*, 2015) that can process sediments up to 280 times their dry body weight per day (Taghon, 1988). The phylogenetic position of Arenicolidae is well known. The sister relationship between Arenicolidae and Maldanidae has been supported by molecular phylogeny (Bleidorn *et al.*, 2005; Struck *et al.*, 2007) and morphology (Bartolomaeus & Meyer, 1997). Arenicolidae is included in the clade of polychaetes (e.g. Terebellida, Capirellidae, Opheliidae and Scalibregmatidae), echiurans and clitellates (hirudineans and oligochaetes) (Struck *et al.*, 2015; Helm *et al.*, 2018; Martín-Durán *et al.*, 2021). Although a robust phylogenetic framework is established, the phylogenetic positions of some families in this clade remain unclear. The mitogenomic phylogeny might

provide implications for the phylogenetic relationships in this clade, although the position of Travisiidae and Terebellidae are unknown in the previous analyses based on mitogenomes (Zhong *et al.*, 2011; Nam *et al.*, 2021; Kobayashi *et al.*, 2022*a*, 2022*b*). The intra-familial relationship of Arenicolidae was also not entirely understood by phylogenetic analyses based on 16S or 18S rRNA sequences (Bleidorn *et al.*, 2005; Darbyshire, 2017). The mitogenome sequences of arenicolids would therefore help elucidate the intra-familial relationships of Arenicolidae in the future and the inter-familial relationships of related families. However, mitogenomes are not yet available for Arenicolidae.

In this study, we determined and examined the first mitochondrial genome of Arenicolidae. The phylogenetic trees were reconstructed based on the nucleotide and amino acid sequences of the part of Sedentaria, including the mitogenomes of recently published families, which resulted in the most inclusive taxon sampling of ingroup families to elucidate the phylogenetic relationship of *Abarenicola claparedi oceanica* and closely related families.

Materials and methods

A specimen of *Abarenicola oceanica* was collected from the shallow subtidal zone (\sim 1 m) in Rishiri Island, Hokkaido (45°06′57″N 141°17′18″E) (Kobayashi *et al.*, 2018*a*). The specimen was fixed and preserved in 70% ethanol and was deposited at the Rishiri Town Museum (RTMANL001). The total DNA was extracted from the body wall tissue using a DNeasy Blood and Tissue Kit (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany).

Long PCR was performed for the mitogenome following a method developed by Wu et al. (2009). The partial sequence of the 16S rRNA gene sequence was determined using the method described by Kobayashi et al. (2018b), using a universal 16S primer set (16Sar: 5'-CGCCTGTTTATCAAAAACAT-3'/16Sbr: CCGGTCTGAACTCAGATCACGT-3'; Palumbi, 1996). A primer set for long PCR (MalMito16SF: 5'-GAARAAGWTTGGCAC CTCGATGTTGGCTTAG-3'/MalMito16SR: 5'-ATTATATGCTA CCTTAGCACGGTCAGGRTACCGC-3') was designed based on the dataset consisting of the 16S rRNA gene sequence of arenicolids and maldanids. The PCR mixture for long PCR comprised 2.2 µl of sterilized water, 6.25 µl of KOD One PCR Master Mix (TOYOBO, Osaka, Japan), 1.0 µl of 10 µM forward and reverse primers and 3.3 µl of template DNA solution diluted 10 times with sterilized water. PCR amplification was performed as follows: 60 s at 94°C for an initial denaturation, 35 cycles of 10 s at 98°C and 3 min at 68°C. PCR product of >15 kb in size was checked by electrophoresis in 1% agarose gel at 100 V for 40 min and used as a sample for next-generation sequencing.

A paired-end sequencing $(2 \times 300 \text{ bp})$ of the mitogenome amplicons was performed using an Illumina MiSeq System (Illumina) at the National Institute for Environmental Studies, Japan. The raw reads were registered at the NCBI repository (DRA013990, PRJDB13458 and SAMD00467651). A mitogenome sequence was assembled by GetOrganelle v1.7.1a (Jin et al., 2020) with the default setting except for -R set to 20 using the 16S rRNA gene sequence as a seed sequence. Assembled contigs and the 16S rRNA gene sequence were manually concatenated, and the complete mitogenome of A. claparedi oceanica was obtained. The PCGs and tRNAs were identified using the MITOS2 web server, and then the start and end positions of PCGs were manually checked. The annotated mitogenome sequence was deposited in GenBank through the DNA Data Bank of Japan with the accession number LC707921. Compositional skews were calculated as follows: AT skew = (A - T)/(A + T), GC skew = (G - C)/(A + T)(G+C). A graphical map of the mitogenome was drawn using CGView (Stothard & Wishart, 2004) and edited using Inkscape 0.91 (http://www.inkscape.org).

The maximum likelihood (ML) phylogeny was reconstructed based on the following subsets: (1) nucleotide sequences of 13 PCGs (nucP), (2) nucleotide sequences of 13 PCGs and 2 rRNAs (nucPR), (3) nucleotide sequences of 13 PCGs with ambiguous positions trimmed (nucPt), (4) nucleotide sequences of 13 PCGs and 2 rRNAs with ambiguous positions trimmed (nucPRt), (5) amino acid sequences of 13 PCGs (aaP) and (6) amino acid sequences of 13 PCGs with ambiguous positions trimmed (aaPt). In addition, phylogenetic analysis based on the RY-coding of the nucleotide sequences of PCGs was performed to reduce base compositional biases. This is because RY-coding was judged an effective strategy in inferring the phylogenetic relationship within Terebellida using mitogenomes by Zhong et al. (2011). The same group was included in this study. On the other hand, the RY-coding considerably reduces information; therefore, the phylogenetic analysis based on the RY-coding was considered only for discussion on Terebellida. The nucleotide sequences were RY-coded with a Perl program RYcode (https:// github.com/ebraun68/RYcode).

Each dataset consisted of 43 ingroups (oligochaetes, hirudineans, echiurans and closely related polychaetes) and two out-(Siboglinidae). The nucleotide sequences groups of mitogenomes of some Sedentaria, such as spionids and serpulids, are quite different from those of other Sedentaria (e.g. Sun et al., 2021), and they are not suitable for phylogenetic analyses. We therefore focused on the part of Sedentaria, which is phylogenetically related to Arenicolidae, and chose siboglinids as outgroups, referring to the current understanding of the phylogenetic relationships of Sedentaria (Struck, 2019; Rouse et al., 2022). The sequences were obtained from GenBank except for the newly determined sequence of A. claparedi oceanica (Table 1). The ML analysis was conducted with IQ-TREE v1.6.12 (Nguyen et al., 2014) using 1000 ultrafast bootstrap replicates. The NEXUS partition files were prepared to input sequence data into IQ-TREE. The best-fit substitution model for each gene was selected with ModelFinder (Kalyaanamoorthy et al., 2017), except for JC2 for the RY-coding dataset, implemented in IQ-TREE (Supplementary data). The amino acid sequences were translated using invertebrate mitochondrial code with SeqKit (Shen et al., 2016). The PCGs were aligned with MAFFT v7 (Katoh & Standley, 2013) using the default option for PCGs. Q-INS-I option, which considers the secondary structure, was used for rRNAs in the MAFFT web server (https://mafft.cbrc.jp/ alignment/server/index.html). FigTree v1.4.3 (http://tree.bio. ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/) was used to illustrate phylogenetic trees.

The gene orders of the ingroup were mapped on the cladogram of nucPt to compare gene orders, including PCGs, rRNAs and tRNAs. Species whose mitogenomes were partially determined were excluded from mapping. The *trnR* of *Perionyx excavatus* (EF494507) was not annotated and detected with ARAGORN (base 5856 to 5915) (Laslett & Canback, 2004).

Results

The mitogenome of *Abarenicola claparedi oceanica* was circular and consisted of 15,524 bp. The mitogenome included 13 PCGs (*atp6* and *atp8*, *cox1-cox3*, *cytb*, *nad1-nad6* and *nad4l*), 22 tRNA genes (one for each of the amino acids except for *trnL* and *trnS*) and 2 rRNA genes (*rrnS* or 12S rRNA and *rrnL* or 16S rRNA) (Figure 1 and Table 2). All determined genes were encoded on the '+' strand (Figure 1). Non-coding region (621 bp) between *trnR* and *trnH* with high A + T content (79.6%) was a putative control region. GC skew of all genes was negative, indicating that C outnumbered G, while AT skew was largely negative or almost zero except for *cox2*, *rrnL* and *rrnS* (Table 3). The gene order, including the tRNAs of A. *claparedi* Table 1. Mitogenome sequences used in this study. Bold indicates the sequence identified in this study

Group	Classification ^a	Family	Species ^b	GenBank accession no.
Oligochaetes	Moniligastrida	Moniligastridae	Drawida japonica	KM199288
	Crassiclitellata	Megascolecidae	Amynthas aspergillus	KJ830749
			Metaphire vulgaris	KJ137279
			Perionyx excavatus	EF494507
			Tonoscolex birmanicus	KF425518
		Lumbricidae	Aporrectodea rosea	MK573632
			Eisenia balatonica	MK642872
			Lumbricus terrestris	U24570
		Rhinodrilidae ^c	Pontoscolex corethrurus	KT988053
Hirudineans	Acanthobdellida	Acanthobdellidae	Acanthobdella peledina	MZ562997
	Arhynchobdellida			
		Haemadipsidae	Haemadipsa crenata	MW711186
	Hirudiniformes	Hirudinidae	Hirudo medicinalis	KU672396
			'Hirudo nipponia'	KC667144
			Hirudo verbana	KU672397
			'Hirudinaria manillensis'	KC688268
			Whitmania acranulata	KM655838
			Whitmania laevis	KC688269
			Whitmania laevis	KM655839
	Erpobdelliformes	Erpobdellidae	Erpobdella japonica	MF358688
			'Erpobdella octoculata'	KC688270
	Rhynchobdellida			
	Glossiphoniiformes	Glossiphoniidae	Haementeria officinalis	LT159848
			Placobdella lamothei	LT159849
			Placobdella parasitica	LT159850
	Oceanobdelliformes	Piscicolidae	Codonobdella sp.	MZ202177
			Zeylanicobdella arugamensis	KY474378
		Ozobranchidae	Ozobranchus jantseanus	KY861060
Polychaetes		Travisiidae	Travisia sanrikuensis	LC677172
	Terebellida	Ampharetidae	Auchenoplax crinita.	FJ976041
			Decemunciger sp.	KY742027
			Eclysippe vanelli	EU239687
		Alvinellidae	Paralvinella sulfincola	FJ976042
		Melinnidae	Melinna cristata	MW542504
		Trichobranchidae	Terebellides stroemii	EU236701
		Terebellidae	Neoamphitrite affinis	MZ326700
			Pista cristata	EU239688
			Thelepus plagiostoma	MW557377
		Pectinariidae	Pectinaria gouldii	FJ976040
	Arenicolida	Arenicolidae	Abarenicola claparedi oceanica	LC707921
		Maldanidae	Clymenella torquata	AY741661
	Capitellida	Cepitellidae	Notomastus sp.	LC661358
		Opheliidae	Armandia sp.	LC661359
Echiurans		Thalassematidae ^d	Urechis caupo	AY619711
			Urechis unicinctus	EF656365
Outgroup	-	Siboglinidae	Lamellibrachia luymesi	KJ789163
			Sclerolinum brattstromi	KJ789167

^aThe classifications are after Schmelz *et al.* (2021) for oligochaetes, Tessler *et al.* (2018) for hirudineans and Struck (2019) for polychaetes. ^bQuotation marks indicate that species were possibly erroneously identified and should be species of *Whitmania* (Ye *et al.*, 2015). ^cJames (2012). ^dGoto *et al.* (2020).



Fig. 1. Gene map of the mitochondrial genome of Abarenicola claparedi oceanica. The photograph shows A. claparedi oceanica. Red: protein-coding genes, blue: transfer RNAs, green: ribosomal RNAs, grey: control region.

oceanica, was the same as that observed in various lineages in Sedentaria (Bleidorn *et al.*, 2009; Oceguera-Figueroa *et al.*, 2016) (Figures 2 and 3).

The analyses based on each dataset (nucleotide sequences of PCGs, nucleotide sequences of PCGs and rRNAs and amino acids) yielded different tree topologies. In contrast, the exclusion of ambiguously aligned positions had little effect on the topology (Figures 2 and S1-S5). In all phylogenetic analyses, A. claparedi oceanica was clustered with Clymenella torquata (Maldanidae) (bootstrap values, BS = 100% in nucleotide datasets and 85%/95% in aaP/aaPt). The monophyly of the Terebellida, Arenicolida and Travisiidae clade was supported in all analyses, although the phylogenetic relationships in this clade differed between analyses. Travisia sanrikuensis was clustered with Arenicolida in nucP (BS = 84%), nucPt (BS = 82%) and aaP/aaPt (BS < 50%), whereas this species was sister to the Arenicolida and Terebellida clade in nucPR (BS = 79%) and nucPRt (BS = 97%) and was clustered with Capitellidae and Opheliidae clade in the phylogeny based on the RY-coding (BS = 94%) (Figures 4 and S6). Pectinariidae was sister to the other Terebellida species in all analyses except for the analysis based on the RY-coding. Terebellidae was not

monophyletic in the analyses based on the amino acid sequences. However, the support values for the position of terebellid species were low, Thelepus plagiostoma was clustered with the Ampharetidae and Alvinellidae clade (BS = 71% in aaP and 69% in aaPt) and the other two terebellids (Neoamphitrite affinis and Pista cristata) were sister to the Melinnidae and Trichobranchidae clade (BS = 70% in aaP and 68% in aaPt) (Figure 4C). Monophyletic Terebellidae has clustered with the Melinnidae and Trichobranchidae clade. The clade, including these three families, was clustered with Ampharetidae and Alvinellidae in the analyses based on nucleotide sequences with generally high support values (BS = 76-97%). A phylogenetic analysis based on the RY-coding resulted in a different topology from the other analyses (Figure 5 and S6). The BS values were generally low in Terebellida except for the Ampharetidae and Alvinellidae clade (BS = 100%) and the N. affinis and P. cristata clade (BS = 98%).

The phylogenetic relationships of other clades (echiurans + Capitellidae + Opheliidae, hirudineans and oligochaetes) remained broadly stable between analyses. Thalassematidae (echiurans) was sister to the Capitellidae and Opheliidae clade (BS = 96–100%).

 Table 2. Summary of the mitogenome of Abarenicola claparedi oceanica

Gene	Gene Start Stop		Length	Start/stop codons
cox1	1	1557	1557	ATG/TAA
trnN (GTT)	1562	1630	69	
cox2	1631	2323	693	ATG/TAG
trnD (GTC)	2323	2391	69	
atp8	2393	2563	171	ATG/TAA
trnY (GTA)	2562	2626	65	
trnG (TCC)	2626	2693	68	
сох3	2694	3473	780	ATG/TAA
trnQ (TTG)	3489	3556	68	
nad6	3557	4039	483	ATG/TAA
cytb	4032	5171	1140	ATG/TAG
trnW (TCA)	5170	5235	66	
atp6	5236	5937	702	ATG/TAA
trnR (TCG)	5941	6007	67	
CR	6008	6626	619	
trnH (GTG)	6627	6691	65	
nad5	6692	8425	1734	ATG/TAA
trnF (GAA)	8430	8496	67	
trnE (TTC)	8499	8568	70	
trnP (TGG)	8570	8636	67	
trnT (TGT)	8637	8702	66	
nad4l	8703	9002	300	ATG/TAA
nad4	8996	10,354	1358	ATG/TAG
trnC (GCA)	10,356	10,421	66	
trnM (CAT)	10,422	10,488	67	
rrnS	10,490	11,361	872	
trnV (TAC)	11,357	11,428	72	
rrnL	11,417	12,739	1323	
trnL1 (TAG)	12,772	12,839	68	
trnA (TGC)	12,845	12,911	67	
trnS2 (TGA)	12,912	12,978	67	
trnL2 (TAA)	12,980	13,043	64	
nad1	13,044	13,977	934	ATG/T(AA)
trnI (GAT)	13,978	14,047	70	
trnK (TTT)	14,048	14,114	67	
nad3	14,115	14,486	372	ATG/TAA
trnS1 (TCT)	14,467	14,536	70	
nad2	14,537	15,524	987	ATG/T(AA)

The position of Thalassematidae was not resolved in the phylogenetic analysis based on the RY-coding, whereas Capitellidae and Opheliidae were monophyletic with full support (Figure S6). In the hirudinean clade, Acanthobdellidae was sister to the clade consisting of monophyletic Rhynchobdellida (Glossiphoniidae was clustered with the Piscicolidae and Ozobranchidae clade) (BS = 79–100%) and monophyletic Arhynchobdellida (Erpobdellidae and Hirudinidae) (BS = 100%). In oligochaetes, Rhinodrilidae was clustered with Moniligastridae and Crassiclitellata (Megascolecidae and Lumbricidae) clade (BS = 70–100%).

Discussion

This is the first study to determine the mitogenome sequence of Arenicolidae using *Abarenicola claparedi oceanica* and perform phylogenetic analyses using closely related families registered in GenBank. Using previously published mitogenome data (Bolbat *et al.*, 2021; Nam *et al.*, 2021; Kobayashi *et al.*, 2022*a*, 2022*b*), mitogenomic phylogenetic trees were reconstructed with the most inclusive taxon sampling of the part of the Sedentaria families (oligochaetes, hirudineans, echiurans and some polychaetes). Analyses based on the nucleotide sequences confirmed the monophyly of Terebellidae, which was paraphyletic in analyses based on the amino acid sequences and previous mitogenomic phylogenies.

The mitogenome of Abarenicola claparedi oceanica is similar to the mitogenome of several lineages of Sedentaria with respect to the genes and gene order, including the tRNAs. Although this gene order is conserved in the lineages of Sedentaria, such as Megascolecidae and Moniligastridae (oligochaetes), Erpobdellidae and Ozobranchidae (hirudineans) and Siboglinidae (Bleidorn et al., 2009; Oceguera-Figueroa et al., 2016), the present study is the first in the Terebellida + Arenicolida + Travisiidae clade. This finding supports that this gene order was conserved in the ancestral lineage of Sedentaria because a common origin and the subsequent changes of the gene order in each lineage are more parsimonious than obtaining the same gene order in multiple lineages independently. The gene order of Clymenella torquata (Maldanidae), which was clustered with A. claparedi oceanica in the phylogenetic analysis in this study, differed in trnK located between the trnR and trnH (Jennings and Halanych, 2005). The gene order of C. torquata may therefore be uniquely obtained in this species or the ancestor of Maldanidae.

The sister relationship of Arenicolidae and Maldanidae established in this study has been well understood (Bartolomaeus & Meyer, 1997; Bleidorn et al., 2005; Struck et al., 2007). The phylogenetic relationship of Terebellida, namely, the Ampharetidae + clade, the Trichobranchidae + Terebellidae + Alvinellidae Melinnidae clade and Pectinariidae, showed similar results to the transcriptome analyses (Stiller et al., 2020) except for Terebellidae, which was sister to the Trichobranchidae and Melinnidae clade in this study. However, Trichobranchidae was sister to the Terebellidae and Melinnidae clade in Stiller et al. (2020). Although the close relationship between Terebellidae and Trichobranchidae recovered in mitogenomic phylogeny was suggested to be due to compositional bias in the mitogenomes of the Ampharetidae and Alvinellidae (Zhong et al., 2011), phylogeny based on transcriptomes also supported that to Terebellidae Trichobranchidae is more close than Ampharetidae or Alvinellidae (Stiller et al., 2020). Zhong et al. (2011) stated that the compositional bias in the mitogenome of Ampharetidae and Alvinellidae influences the phylogenetic relationships of Terebellidae and Trichobranchidae. They conclude that no approach completely ameliorated the influence of bias, although the phylogenetic analysis based on the RY-coding was suggested as the most effective strategy in their analyses. Unfortunately, the support values of the phylogenetic analysis based on the RY-coding were generally low in the present study. This method did not sufficiently resolve the phylogenetic relationship in Terebellida. The paraphyletic status of Terebellidae in mitogenomic phylogeny was suggested by the phylogeny based on the nucleotide sequences of PCGs in Nam et al. (2021) and by the phylogeny based on the amino acid sequences in Kobayashi et al. (2022b). In the present analyses, although Terebellidae was paraphyletic in analyses based on amino acid sequences, it was monophyletic with high support values in analyses based on nucleotide sequences.

A previous study on the mitogenomic phylogeny indicated a close relationship between Travisiidae and the Terebellida and

	Length	А	С	G	Т	A + T	AT-skew	GC-skew
Mitogenome	15,524	31.9	22.4	13.5	32.2	64.1	0.00	-0.25
atp6	702	27.2	24.8	12.8	35.2	62.4	-0.13	-0.32
atp8	171	33.3	26.9	7.0	32.7	66.1	0.01	-0.59
cox1	1557	28.8	22.8	15.9	32.5	61.3	-0.06	-0.18
cox2	693	32.5	24.4	15.2	28.0	60.5	0.07	-0.23
сох3	780	26.7	24.6	17.3	31.4	58.1	-0.08	-0.17
cytb	1140	30.4	21.8	14.1	33.7	64.1	-0.05	-0.21
nad1	934	30.2	23.3	12.8	33.6	63.8	-0.05	-0.29
nad2	987	31.8	23.4	9.7	35.1	66.9	-0.05	-0.41
nad3	372	26.1	22.3	13.7	38.0	64.0	-0.19	-0.24
nad4	1358	32.7	23.2	10.9	33.3	65.9	-0.01	-0.36
nad4l	300	32.3	21	13.7	33.0	65.3	-0.01	-0.21
nad5	1734	30.3	24.8	11.2	33.7	64.0	-0.05	-0.38
nad6	483	29.0	22.6	9.5	38.9	68.0	-0.15	-0.41
rrnL	1323	37.1	21.2	14.7	27.0	64.0	0.16	-0.18
rrnS	872	35.4	21.4	17.2	26.0	61.4	0.15	-0.11
CR	619	42.3	12.4	7.9	37.3	79.6	0.06	-0.22

Table 3. Nucleotide composition (%) of 13 protein-coding genes, ribosomal RNAs, control region and the skewness of Abarenicola claparedi oceanica



Fig. 2. Maximum likelihood phylogeny of a subset of Sedentaria based on the dataset, including the nucleotide sequences of 13 protein-coding genes (PCGs) after ambiguous positions were excluded (10,938 characters; nucPt). Numbers above the branches represent the maximum likelihood bootstrap values (BS). Asterisks indicate the branches with BS = 100%. *Abarenicola claparedi oceanica*, whose nucleotide sequence is newly obtained, is shown in bold. Illustrations: *Pontoscolex corethrurus* (oligochaetes) and an unassigned hirudinean, obtained from phylopic.org, and a schematic illustration of Terebellidae (polychaetes).



Fig. 3. Comparison of the gene orders of the ingroup based on the phylogenetic tree shown in Figure 2. The species with partial mitogenomes are not related (species names and branches shown in grey). Abarenicola claparedi oceanica, whose nucleotide sequence is newly obtained, is shown in bold.

Arenicolida clade (Kobayashi *et al.*, 2022*a*). However, the phylogenetic position was not sufficiently clarified as the position of Travisiidae was poorly supported. The precise placement of Travisiidae was still ambiguous in the present analyses, which are more inclusive than the previous mitogenomic phylogenetic studies due to the addition of at least four polychaete families (Arenicolidae, Capitellidae, Melinnidae and Opheliidae) to the dataset of Kobayashi *et al.* (2022*b*). Scalibregmatidae was represented as the sister family of Travisiidae based on the molecular phylogenetic analyses (Persson & Pleijel, 2005; Paul *et al.*, 2010; Law *et al.*, 2014; see Blake & Maciolek, 2020 for review on taxonomic status of Travisiidae), and Scalibregmatidae showed a sister relationship to the Arenicolida and Terebellida clade in the phylogenetic analysis based on transcriptomes (Helm *et al.*, 2018; Martín-Durán *et al.*, 2021). These results suggest that Travisiidae is one of the early-branching lineages in the Terebellida and Arenicolida clade.

The results of this study support the monophyly of both Arhynchobdellida and Rhynchobdellida. The phylogenetic



Fig. 4. Schematic cladograms of the phylogenetic relationship between the families in the Terebellida + Arenicolida + Travisiidae clade as suggested by the maximum likelihood phylogenetic analyses in this study. (A) The nucleotide sequence of protein-coding genes (nucP) and after trimming ambiguously aligned positions (nucPt), (B) nucleotide sequences of PCGs and ribosomal RNAs (nucPR) and after trimming (nucPRt) and (C) amino acid sequences of PCGs (aaP) and after trimming (aaPt). Numbers above the branches represent the maximum likelihood bootstrap values (BS). The order of BS corresponds to each heading. Figures 2 and S1–S5 show the whole phylogenetic trees. BS on the branch of Terebellidae in (A) and (B) indicates BS for three terebellid species. Species names show the paraphyletic Terebellidae in (C). Asterisks indicate the branches with BS = 100%.



Fig. 5. Schematic cladogram of the phylogenetic relationship of Terebellida suggested by the maximum likelihood phylogeny based on the RY-coding of the nucleotide sequences of protein-coding genes (PCGs). Species names represent the paraphyletic Terebellidae. The numbers above the branches represent the maximum likelihood bootstrap values (BS).

relationship of hirudineans was contentious as the results were not congruent (Martin, 2001; Rousset et al., 2008; Tessler et al., 2018). For example, the Rhynchobdellida (proboscis-bearing leeches) was paraphyletic; Glossiphoniidae (Rhynchobdellida) was clustered with Arhynchobdellida (leeches without proboscis), not with Rhynchobdellida (Ozobranchidae and Piscicolidae) (Tessler et al., 2018). Moreover, the mitogenomic studies with limited taxon sampling of annelids showed the paraphyletic status of Rhynchobdellida (Wang et al., 2018; Sosa-Jiménez et al., 2020). These results were consistent with the paraphyly of Rhynchobdellida. Contrarily, the phylogenetic analysis based on the mitogenomes (Bolbat et al., 2020, 2021), anchored hybrid enrichment (Phillips et al., 2019) and transcriptomes (Erséus et al., 2020) with more taxon sampling revealed the sister relationship between Glossiphoniidae and Oceanobdelliformes (only Piscicolidae in Erséus et al. (2020)) and thus the monophyly of Rhynchobdellida. However, the number of the Arhynchobdellida families is still limited in the phylogenomic studies therefore further taxon sampling would be required to conclude the monophyly of hirudinean orders.

Supplementary material. The supplementary material for this article can be found at https://doi.org/10.1017/S0025315422001035

Acknowledgements. We are grateful to Hirokazu Abe (Ishinomaki Senshu University) and Shinri Tomioka (Rishiri Town Museum) for their help in collecting the specimen; Tomoyuki Nakano and Ryutaro Goto (Kyoto University) for their help in using the facilities at the Seto Marine Biological Laboratory, the Field Science Education and Research Center, Kyoto University; and two anonymous reviewers for their invaluable comments on the earlier draft.

Financial support. This work was supported by the Project for the Promotion of Environmental Genomics Studies by NIES (grant number 1620AQ007) and by JSPS KAKENHI (grant number JP22K15174).

Data availability. The mitogenome sequence of *Abarenicola claparedi oceanica* is available in GenBank under the accession no. LC707921. The raw reads were registered at the NCBI repository (DRA013990, PRJDB13458 and SAMD00467651).

References

- Alves PR, Halanych KM and Santos CSG (2020) The phylogeny of Nereididae (Annelida) based on mitochondrial genomes. *Zoologica Scripta* 49, 366–378.
- Bartolomaeus T and Meyer K (1997) Development and phylogenetic significance of hooked setae in Arenicolidae (Polychaeta, Annelida). *Invertebrate Biology* **116**, 227.
- Blake JA and Maciolek NJ (2020) Travisiidae Hartmann-Schröder, 1971, new family status. In Purschke G, Böggemann M and Westheide W (eds), Handbook of Zoology/Annelida: Basal Groups and Pleistoannelida, Sedentaria II. Berlin: De Gruyter, pp. 302–311.
- Bleidorn C, Podsiadlowski L, Zhong M, Eeckhaut I, Hartmann S, Halanych KM and Tiedemann R (2009) On the phylogenetic position of Myzostomida: can 77 genes get it wrong? *BMC Evolutionary Biology* 9, 1–11.
- Bleidorn C, Vogt L and Bartolomaeus T (2005) Molecular phylogeny of lugworms (Annelida, Arenicolidae) inferred from three genes. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 34, 673–679.
- Bolbat A, Matveenko E, Dzyuba E and Kaygorodova I (2021) The first mitochondrial genome of *Codonobdella* sp. (Hirudinea, Piscicolidae), an endemic leech species from Lake Baikal, Russia and reassembly of the *Piscicola geometra* data from SRA. *Mitochondrial DNA Part B* 6, 3112–3113.
- Bolbat A, Vasiliev G and Kaygorodova I (2020) The first mitochondrial genome of the relic *Acanthobdella peledina* (Annelida, Acanthobdellida). *Mitochondrial DNA Part B: Resources* 5, 3300–3301.
- Boore JL (1999) Animal mitochondrial genomes. *Nucleic Acids Research* 27, 1767–1780.
- Capa M and Hutchings P (2021) Annelid diversity: historical overview and future perspectives. *Diversity* 13, 129.
- Darbyshire T (2017) A re-evaluation of the Abarenicola assimilis group with a new species from the Falkland Islands and key to species. Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom 97, 897–910.
- **Darbyshire T** (2020) Arenicolidae Johnston, 1835. *Handbook of Zoology/ Annelida: Pleistoannelida, Sedentaria III and Errantia I.* Berlin: De Gruyter, pp. 163–185.

- Erséus C, Williams BW, Horn KM, Halanych KM, Santos SR, James SW, Creuzé M and Anderson FE (2020) Phylogenomic analyses reveal a Palaeozoic radiation and support a freshwater origin for clitellate annelids. *Zoologica Scripta* **49**, 614–640.
- Goto R, Monnington J, Sciberras M, Hirabayashi I and Rouse GW (2020) Phylogeny of Echiura updated, with a revised taxonomy to reflect their placement in Annelida as sister group to Capitellidae. *Invertebrate Systematics* 34, 101–111.
- Helm C, Beckers P, Bartolomaeus T, Drukewitz SH, Kourtesis I, Weigert A, Purschke G, Worsaae K, Struck TH and Bleidorn C (2018) Convergent evolution of the ladder-like ventral nerve cord in Annelida. *Frontiers in Zoology* 15, 36.
- Hirase S, Takeshima H, Nishida M and Iwasaki W (2016) Parallel mitogenome sequencing alleviates random rooting effect in phylogeography. *Genome Biology and Evolution* 8, 1267–1278.
- James SW (2012) Re-erection of Rhinodrilidae Benham, 1890, a senior synonym of Pontoscolecidae James, 2012 (Annelida: Clitellata). *Zootaxa* 68, 67– 68.
- Jennings RM and Halanych KM (2005) Mitochondrial genomes of *Clymenella torquata* (Maldanidae) and *Riftia pachyptila* (Siboglinidae): evidence for conserved gene order in Annelida. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 22, 210–222.
- Jin JJ, Yu WB, Yang JB, Song Y, dePamphilis CW, Yi TS and Li DZ (2020) GetOrganelle: a fast and versatile toolkit for accurate de novo assembly of organelle genomes. *Genome Biology* 21, 241.
- Jumars PA, Dorgan KM and Lindsay SM (2015) Diet of worms emended: an update of polychaete feeding guilds. *Annual Review of Marine Science* 7, 497–520.
- Kalyaanamoorthy S, Minh BQ, Wong TKF, von Haeseler A and Jermiin LS (2017) ModelFinder: fast model selection for accurate phylogenetic estimates. *Nature Methods* 14, 587–589.
- Katoh K and Standley DM (2013) MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7: improvements in performance and usability. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 30, 772–780.
- Kobayashi G, Abe H, Itoh H, Tomioka S and Kojima S (2018*a*) New records of two arenicolid species (Annelida: Arenicolidae) from Rishiri Island, northern Japan with a brief review of previous records of Japanese arenicolids. *Rishiri Studies* **37**, 95–100. [In Japanese with English abstract.]
- Kobayashi G, Goto R, Takano T and Kojima S (2018b) Molecular phylogeny of Maldanidae (Annelida): multiple losses of tube-capping plates and evolutionary shifts in habitat depth. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* **127**, 332–344.
- Kobayashi G, Itoh H, Fukuda H and Kojima S (2021) The complete mitochondrial genome of the sand bubbler crab *Scopimera globosa* and its phylogenetic position. *Genomics* 113, 831–839.
- Kobayashi G, Itoh H and Kojima S (2022a) Mitogenome of a stink worm (Annelida: Travisiidae) includes degenerate group II intron that is also found in five congeneric species. *Scientific Reports* **12**, 4449.
- Kobayashi G, Itoh H and Nakajima N (2022b) First mitochondrial genomes of Capitellidae and Opheliidae (Annelida) and their phylogenetic placement. *Mitochondrial DNA Part B* 7, 577–579.
- Laslett D and Canback B (2004) ARAGORN, a program to detect tRNA genes and tmRNA genes in nucleotide sequences. Nucleic Acids Research 32, 11–16.
- Law CJ, Dorgan KM and Rouse GW (2014) Relating divergence in polychaete musculature to different burrowing behaviors: a study using Opheliidae (Annelida). *Journal of Morphology* 275, 548–571.
- Manca M, Ruggiu D, Panzani P, Asioli A, Mura G and Nocentini AM (1998) Report on a collection of aquatic organisms from high mountain lakes in Khumbu Valley (Nepalese Himalayas). *Memorie Dell'Istituto Italiano Di Idrobiologia* 57, 77–98.
- Martin P (2001) On the origin of the Hirudinea and the demise of the Oligochaeta. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **268**, 1089–1098.
- Martín-Durán JM, Vellutini BC, Marlétaz F, Cetrangolo V, Cvetesic N, Thiel D, Henriet S, Grau-Bové X, Carrillo-Baltodano AM, Gu W, Kerbl A, Marquez Y, Bekkouche N, Chourrout D, Gómez-Skarmeta JL, Irimia M, Lenhard B, Worsaae K and Hejnol A (2021) Conservative route to genome compaction in a miniature annelid. Nature Ecology and Evolution 5, 231–242.
- Mower JP (2020) Variation in protein gene and intron content among land plant mitogenomes. *Mitochondrion* 53, 203–213.

- Nam S-E, Park HS, Kim SA, Kim B-M and Rhee J-S (2021) The complete mitochondrial genome of the terebellid polychaete *Thelepus plagiostoma* (Terebellida; Terebellidae). *Mitochondrial DNA Part B* **6**, 3114–3116.
- Nguyen L-T, Schmidt HA, von Haeseler A and Minh BQ (2014) IQ-TREE: a fast and effective stochastic algorithm for estimating maximum-likelihood phylogenies. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **32**, 268–274.
- Oceguera-Figueroa A, Manzano-Marín A, Kvist S, Moya A, Siddall ME and Latorre A (2016) Comparative mitogenomics of leeches (Annelida: Clitellata): genome conservation and placobdella-specific trnD gene duplication. *PLoS ONE* **11**, e0155441.
- Ogoh K, Futahashi R and Ohmiya Y (2021) Intraspecific nucleotide polymorphisms in seven complete sequences of mitochondrial DNA of the luminous ostracod, *Vargula hilgendorfii* (Crustacea, Ostracoda). *Gene Reports* 23, 101074.
- Palumbi SR (1996) Nucleic acids II: the polymerase chain reaction. In Hillis DM, Mortiz C and Mable BK (eds), *Molecular Systematics*. Sunderland, MA: Sinauer & Associates, pp. 205–247.
- Paterson GLJ, Glover AG, Barrio Froján CRS, Whitaker A, Budaeva N, Chimonides J and Doner S (2009) A census of abyssal polychaetes. Deep-Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography 56, 1739–1746.
- Paul C, Halanych KM, Tiedemann R and Bleidorn C (2010) Molecules reject an opheliid affinity for *Travisia* (Annelida). *Systematics and Biodiversity* 8, 507–512.
- Persson J and Pleijel F (2005) On the phylogenetic relationships of Axiokebuita, Travisia and Scalibregmatidae (Polychaeta). Zootaxa 14, 1–14.
- Phillips AJ, Dornburg A, Zapfe KL, Anderson FE, James SW, Erséus C, Moriarty Lemmon E, Lemmon AR and Williams BW (2019) Phylogenomic analysis of a putative missing link sparks reinterpretation of leech evolution. *Genome Biology and Evolution* 11, 3082–3093.
- Rouse GW, Pleijel F and Tilic E (2022) Annelida. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Rousset V, Plaisance L, Erséus C, Siddall ME and Rouse GW (2008) Evolution of habitat preference in Clitellata (Annelida). *Biological Journal* of the Linnean Society **95**, 447–464.
- Schmelz RM, Erséus C, Martin P, Van Haaren T and Timm T (2021) A proposed order-level classification in Oligochaeta (Annelida, Clitellata). *Zootaxa* 5040, 589–597.
- Shen W, Le S, Li Y and Hu F (2016) SeqKit: a cross-platform and ultrafast toolkit for FASTA/Q file manipulation. PLoS ONE 11, e0163962.
- Sosa-Jiménez VM, Torres-Carrera G, Manzano-Marín A, Kvist S and Oceguera-Figueroa A (2020) Mitogenome of the blood feeding leech Haementeria acuecueyetzin (Hirudinida: Glossiphoniidae) from Tabasco, Mexico. Mitochondrial DNA Part B: Resources 5, 3328–3330.
- Stiller J, Tilic E, Rousset V, Pleijel F and Rouse GW (2020) Spaghetti to a tree: a robust phylogeny for Terebelliformia (Annelida) based on transcriptomes, molecular and morphological data. *Biology* 9, 73.
- Stothard P and Wishart DS (2004) Circular genome visualization and exploration using CGView. Bioinformatics (Oxford, England) 21, 537–539.
- Struck TH (2019) Phylogeny. In Purschke G, Böggemann M and Westheide W (eds), Handbook of Zoology/Annelida: Basal Groups and Pleistoannelida, Sedentaria I. Berlin: De Gruyter, pp. 37–68.
- Struck TH, Golombek A, Weigert A, Franke FA, Westheide W, Purschke G, Bleidorn C and Halanych KM (2015) The evolution of annelids reveals two adaptive routes to the interstitial realm. *Current Biology* 25, 1993–1999.
- Struck TH, Schult N, Kusen T, Hickman E, Bleidorn C, McHugh D and Halanych KM (2007) Annelid phylogeny and the status of Sipuncula and Echiura. BMC Evolutionary Biology 7, 57.
- Sun Y, Daffe G, Zhang Y, Pons J, Qiu J-W and Kupriyanova EK (2021) Another blow to the conserved gene order in Annelida: evidence from mitochondrial genomes of the calcareous tubeworm genus *Hydroides*. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 160, 107124.
- Taghon GL (1988) The benefits and costs of deposit feeding in the polychaete *Abarenicola pacifica. Limnology and Oceanography* **33**, 1166–1175.
- Tan MH, Gan HM, Lee YP, Bracken-Grissom H, Chan TY, Miller AD and Austin CM (2019) Comparative mitogenomics of the Decapoda reveals evolutionary heterogeneity in architecture and composition. *Scientific Reports* 9, 1–16.
- Tessler M, de Carle D, Voiklis ML, Gresham OA, Neumann JS, Cios S and Siddall ME (2018) Worms that suck: phylogenetic analysis of Hirudinea solidifies the position of Acanthobdellida and necessitates the dissolution of Rhynchobdellida. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 127, 129–134.

- Wang Y, Huang M, Wang R and Fu L (2018) Complete mitochondrial genome of the fish leech Zeylanicobdella arugamensis. Mitochondrial DNA Part B: Resources 3, 659–660.
- Wu Z, Shen X, Sun M, Ren J, Wang Y, Huang Y and Liu B (2009) Phylogenetic analyses of complete mitochondrial genome of *Urechis unicinctus* (Echiura) support that echiurans are derived annelids. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 52, 558–562.
- Ye F, Liu T, Zhu W and You P (2015) Complete mitochondrial genome of Whitmania laevis (Annelida, Hirudinea) and comparative analyses within

Whitmania mitochondrial genomes. Belgian Journal of Zoology 145, 114-128.

- Zhang Y, Sun J, Rouse GW, Wiklund H, Pleijel F and Watanabe HK (2018) Phylogeny, evolution and mitochondrial gene order rearrangement in scale worms (Aphroditiformia, Annelida). *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 125, 220–231.
- Zhong M, Hansen B, Nesnidal M, Golombek A, Halanych KM and Struck TH (2011) Detecting the symplesiomorphy trap: a multigene phylogenetic analysis of terebelliform annelids. *BMC Evolutionary Biology* **11**, 369.