OP244 Tools And Experiences To Facilitate Effective Patient Participation In Health Technology Assessment

Ana Toledo-chávarri (anatoledochavarri@sescs.es), Lucia Prieto Remón, Nora Ibargoyen, Máximo Molina Linde, Yolanda Triñanes Pego, Eva Reviriego, Asun Gutierrez Iglesias, Vanesa Ramos García, Marta López-Argumedo and Yolanda Álvarez-Pérez

Introduction. In 2017, a Patient Involvement Interest Group (PIIG) was created in the Spanish Network for Health Technology Assessment of the National Health System (RedETS) to facilitate and promote Patient Involvement (PI) in Health Technology Assessment (HTA). The PIIG proposed a decisional flowchart to guide researchers' in decisions regarding PI methods in HTA. The flowchart proposed a combination of direct involvement and incorporation of patient-based evidence depending on the scope and the aims of the assessment.

This work aims to present the flowchart and the results of the evaluation of the latest experiences in PI in HTA in RedETS (2018–2020), including direct-involvement and patient-based evidence.

Methods. A survey was sent to the HTA researchers who implemented PI initiatives in RedETS assessments. The survey asked to describe their experiences, lessons learned, challenges and added value regarding the use of direct-involvement, systematic reviews (SR) and primary studies. A descriptive analysis was performed and the results were discussed in an online PIIG workshop.

Results. Thirty-two assessments included direct PI, twenty-one SR synthesized qualitative and quantitative studies about patient experiences, values and preferences and eight included primary studies, mainly of qualitative design. Recruitment and the lack of methodological resources were the main barriers both for direct PI and primary studies. Relevance of the included studies was the main barrier for SR. Added value was found in all PI methods. Direct-involvement had an impact on the project plan and PICO definition, outcomes relevance, information about the health condition and treatments. SR contributed with relevant patient-based evidence, deeper assessment of patient experiences, values and preferences and implementation factors. Primary studies developed new or contextualized knowledge directly applicable to decision-making.

Conclusions. The PI flowchart has served to facilitate the incorporation of patient input in HTA reports. The different approaches implemented have allowed to provide relevant and well-grounded data in each report to inform decision-making in patient-centered healthcare provision, but it is necessary that specific training and resources are provided to enable adequate and timely implementation.

OP248 A Minimum Data-Set For Left Ventricular Assist Device On Destination Therapy: Cross-Border Collaboration Pilot On Real World Data

Leonor Varela-Lema (leonor.varela@usc.es), Janet Puñal-Rioboo and María José Faraldo Vallés

Introduction. The European Health Technology Assessment Network (EUnetHTA) Work Package 5B1, is focused on testing the levels of cross-border collaboration on real world data for supporting reimbursement/pricing decision-making. Within this Work Package, we are conducting a pilot on Left Ventricular Assist Device on destination therapy in collaboration with the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE, UK), the Belgian Health Care Knowledge Centre (KCE, Belgium) and the Italian National Agency for Regional Health Services (AGENAS, Italy). This pilot aims to define the minimum data set for gathering and sharing high quality registry data on key uncertainties found at the time of the health technology assessment (HTA). Furthermore, the pilot will assess the feasibility of carrying out a common analysis or reusing this data for National or Joint Reassessments.

Methods. Evidence gaps were based on the four national assessments. Collaborating partners were responsible for agreeing on the key outcomes and proposing the minimum dataset to be registered. European clinical experts and patients rated and prioritized the dataset using a two round Delphi technique (not relevant, important but not critical; critical). The dataset will confirm the basis for the Spanish LVAD registry, implemented at the national health service level to inform inclusion into the healthcare portfolio.

Results. The key outcomes agreed upon by agencies relate to safety, effectiveness, satisfaction and acceptability of the patient and cost-effectiveness, budget impact and organizational impact. Expert cardiologists and cardiac surgeons representing the European and Spanish Society, among others, participated in the prioritization of basic data. The final dataset is expected by December 2020.

Conclusions. The variation in the quality and definition of outcome measures for measuring key evidence gaps reduces the utility of registries for HTA, making it difficult to compare, link, and aggregate data across countries. The EUnetHTA pilot is intended to offer a model for cross-border collaboration on real world data for supporting the decision-making process for pricing and reimbursement.

OP256 Recognising The Broader Value Of Vaccines In Health Technology Assessment: Worth A Shot?

Margherita Neri, Simon Brassel and Lotte Steuten (lsteuten@ohe.org)

Introduction. The COVID-19 pandemic shows that the impact of effective vaccines extends well beyond vaccinated individuals and healthcare systems. Yet, these externalities are not typically considered in health technology assessments (HTA) which may underestimate vaccines' broader value. We explored to what extent future vaccines relevant to England might exhibit such broader value.

Methods. We compared the ten value elements of an existing vaccine evaluation framework to the value elements considered in England according to the Joint Committee on Vaccine and Immunisation (JCVI) and the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence's (NICE) guidelines. Using literature and expert opinion we then explored, for a selection of ten vaccines with an expected UK-launch within five years, on which value elements each vaccine might potentially show added value.