

Explanations for the increase in mental health problems in UK reserve forces who have served in Iraq

TESS BROWNE, LISA HULL, ODED HORN, MARGARET JONES, DOMINIC MURPHY, NICOLA T. FEAR, NEIL GREENBERG, CLAIRE FRENCH, ROBERTO J. RONA, SIMON WESSELY and MATTHEW HOTOPF

Background Deployment to the 2003 Iraq War was associated with ill health in reserve armed forces personnel.

Aims To investigate reasons for the excess of ill health in reservists.

Method UK personnel who were deployed to the 2003 Iraq War completed a health survey about experiences on deployment to Iraq. Health status was measured using self-report of common mental disorders, post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), fatigue, physical symptoms and well-being.

Results Reservists were older and of higher rank than the regular forces. They reported higher exposure to traumatic experiences, lower unit cohesion, more problems adjusting to homecoming and lower marital satisfaction. Most health outcomes could be explained by role, experience of traumatic events or unit cohesion in theatre. PTSD symptoms were the one exception and were paradoxically most powerfully affected by differences in problems at home rather than events in Iraq.

Conclusions The increased ill-health of reservists appears to be due to experiences on deployment and difficulties with homecoming.

Declaration of interest None. N.G. is a full-time active service medical officer seconded to the King's Centre for Military Health Research as a liaison officer, paid by the Ministry of Defence. S.W. is honorary civilian consultant advisor to the British Army. Other funding is detailed in Acknowledgements.

Reserve forces have increasingly supplemented UK regular armed forces during deployments. Since the Iraq War (Operation TELIC) began in January 2003 more than 12 000 reservists have been deployed, making up 11% of the UK forces in Iraq (National Audit Office, 2006). Reserve forces consist of approximately 36 000 volunteer reserves and 52 000 regular reserves (former regular personnel who retain a call-out liability; National Audit Office, 2006). Reservists take on a variety of roles, many of which require specialist skills (e.g. medical), and therefore tend to be older and have higher educational attainment than regular personnel. The context in which reservists deploy is different from that of regular forces; reservists often deploy as individuals with units of regular personnel and in many cases they will not have prior knowledge of many, or any, of their comrades. Owing to the nature of deployment, reservists may be more likely than regular forces to undertake work in theatre for which they have not been specifically trained or not experienced in their civilian employment. They also have the additional pressures of leaving civilian life behind, including their work colleagues, families and friends, who may have little understanding of what the reservist could face while away.

As the numbers of mobilised reservists increases, so too do concerns about their health (BBC News, 2006). We recently reported on the health outcomes in a random sample of UK armed forces personnel who were deployed to Iraq in 2003 (Hotopf *et al*, 2006). Although there was no major health effect of deployment for regulars, deployed reservists had a higher prevalence of five health outcomes compared with non-deployed reservists. Common mental disorders and fatigue were more common in deployed reservists than non-deployed reservists, and although confidence intervals were wide, odds ratios suggested that there was a potential effect of deployment for

reservists for post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) symptoms, multiple physical symptoms, and general perception of health. In our previous paper, we showed that this effect was not related to socio-demographic confounders (Hotopf *et al*, 2006). Here, we assess whether differences in health outcomes between reservists and regulars after deployment to Iraq could be attributed to socio-demographic differences, experiences during deployment (including main duty in theatre, exposure to potentially traumatic events or unit cohesion) or to problems at home (readjustment or relationship difficulties). We also investigated marital satisfaction in reserve and regular forces who deployed to Iraq and in personnel who did not deploy.

METHODS

Details of the sampling methods, participants and measures used are reported in our previous publication (Hotopf *et al*, 2006). The study was the first wave of a cohort study of military personnel comparing health and other outcomes between individuals who had been deployed on Operation TELIC 1 (the initial phase of the Iraq War, when major combat duties took place) and individuals who were in the military at the time but who were not deployed on this operation (referred to as 'era'). Random samples of a comparable size from each of these populations were selected, with reservists oversampled by a factor of 2:1. We made two sets of comparisons: primarily, between deployed reservists (referred to as 'TELIC reservists') and deployed regulars (referred to as 'TELIC regulars') and, when comparing marital satisfaction, additional comparisons with non-deployed reservists (referred to as 'era reservists') and non-deployed regulars (referred to as 'era regulars').

Health outcomes and exposures

A questionnaire was devised, and data were collected both by visits to military bases and by mail-outs of the questionnaires. Participants completed the following measures of current health: the 12-item General Health Questionnaire (GHQ-12; Goldberg & Williams, 1988; Goldberg *et al*, 1997) to measure symptoms of common mental disorder; the 17-item National Center for Post Traumatic Stress Disorder PTSD Checklist – Civilian Version (Blanchard *et al*, 1996) as a measure of symptoms of PTSD; the

Chalder Fatigue Scale (Chalder *et al*, 1993) to measure fatigue symptoms; and a checklist of 53 physical symptoms similar to those used in our previous study of Gulf War veterans (Unwin *et al*, 1999). We also asked participants to rate their current general health using a single item taken from the 36-item Short Form Health Survey (SF-36; Ware & Sherbourne, 1992). The defined cut-off values for each measure used in the analyses are shown in Table 1. Participants also provided information about demographic characteristics, experiences while on deployment (including main duty, potentially traumatic experiences, comradeship and unit cohesion) and experiences at home before, during and after deployment.

Statistical analysis

Statistically significant differences between the proportions were identified using Pearson’s χ^2 statistic and we took *P* values of less than 0.05 to indicate statistical significance. Odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals were computed by multivariable logistic regression to estimate the effect of enlistment status (regular or reserve) on health outcomes. Odds ratios were calculated with and without adjustment for age, gender, marital and education status, having children under age 18 years not living at home, service branch (Navy, Army, Air Force) and rank. We also calculated odds ratios adjusting for potentially traumatic experiences and main duty in theatre, unit cohesion and home life variables. Amounts of missing data regarding home variables were large; we therefore adjusted only for major problems at home during and following deployment. Reserve types were combined because the number of regular reservists was too low to make meaningful comparisons with volunteer reservists. The statistical software package Stata version 9.0 for Windows was used for all analyses.

RESULTS

Detailed information on response rates and characteristics of non-responders are given elsewhere (Hotopf *et al*, 2006). We obtained completed questionnaires for 786 of 1400 (56%) TELIC reservists, 3936 of 6295 (63%) TELIC regulars, 800 of 1811 (44%) era reservists and 4750 of 8192 (58%) era regulars. Non-participation was associated with reservist status, lower rank, younger age, not deploying on TELIC and male gender. In an intensive follow-up survey non-responders were found to have

Table 1 Criteria for case definition

Scale	Case definition
General Health Questionnaire	Score of ≥ 4
PTSD Checklist	Score of ≥ 50
Chalder Fatigue Scale	Score of ≥ 4
Multiple physical symptoms	≥ 18
Health perception	Rating health as ‘poor’ or ‘fair’

PTSD, post-traumatic stress disorder.

similar rates of the outcomes under study (Hotopf *et al*, 2006).

The socio-demographic characteristics of TELIC reservists and TELIC regulars are described in Table 2. Significant differences existed between these two groups for all socio-demographic variables except having children under the age of 18 years living at home. The TELIC reservists were older, better educated and higher in rank than TELIC regulars. A greater proportion were female, in the Army and previously married (i.e. separated, divorced or widowed).

Table 3 shows deployment experiences, including exposure to potentially traumatic events in theatre, comradeship and unit cohesion. The patterns of exposures were different: although regulars were more likely to have discharged their weapons and come under small-arms fire, many other potentially adverse experiences were more common in reservists. This particularly applied to aiding wounded and handling bodies. A quarter (26%) of TELIC reservists undertook a medical or welfare role in theatre compared with 7% of TELIC regulars. When these personnel were excluded from the denominator, all statistically significant differences with regard to seeing and aiding casualties disappeared and the effect was reversed for the handling of bodies (data not shown).

Reservists reported slightly lower levels of comradeship and unit cohesion than regulars. They felt less well informed (41% of reservists and 52% of regulars) and were less likely to work under a chain of command they felt was interested in what they did and thought (44% of reservists and 53% of regulars). Only 21% of reservists reported being deployed with their parent unit, compared with 65% of regulars, although despite that only a minority (11% of reservists and 5% of regulars) reported working in an unfamiliar role. Fewer reservists (31%) had been deployed on previous operations than regulars (73%).

The TELIC reservists were more likely to describe their families as being proud that they had been deployed compared with regulars (Table 4); yet during mobilisation to Iraq, major problems at home regarding children, finances or other factors were more commonly reported in reservists. Following deployment, reservists reported experiencing significantly more major problems and readjustment difficulties than regulars. Marital satisfaction was generally high in all groups, but married or cohabiting TELIC reservists reported lower marital satisfaction than married or cohabiting TELIC regulars, era reservists and era regulars, although these differences were slight. There was a larger difference between groups when asked whether they had considered divorce or separation. We detected an interaction between deployment and enlistment status ($P=0.006$), indicating that deployment on Operation TELIC was associated with more reservists – but not regulars – having considered separation in the previous year.

All adverse health outcomes were more common in TELIC reservists than TELIC regulars, with unadjusted odds ratios ranging from 1.38 to 1.54 (Table 5). The first model adjusted for socio-demographic differences; this led to a reduction in the effect size for all outcomes, except PTSD for which the odds ratio increased. After adjustment, common mental disorders, PTSD and fatigue remained significantly associated with reservist status. The second model adjusted for potentially traumatic experiences and main duty in theatre. These adjustments led to a further reduction in effect sizes, and only PTSD symptoms remained statistically significantly associated with enlistment status. We conducted a further analysis excluding medical and welfare personnel and found an almost identical pattern of univariate association (data not shown), indicating the effect is not limited to reservists who undertook a medical or welfare role in theatre. Model three

Table 2 Socio-demographic variables

	TELIC reservists (n=786) n (%) ¹	TELIC regulars (n=3936) n (%) ¹	P ²
Age at completion of questionnaire, years			
<25	26 (3.3)	842 (21.4)	<0.001
25–29	80 (10.2)	914 (23.2)	
30–34	146 (18.6)	901 (22.9)	
35–39	173 (22.0)	723 (18.4)	
40–49	302 (38.4)	505 (12.8)	
≥50	59 (7.5)	51 (1.3)	
Gender			
Female	138 (17.6)	294 (7.5)	<0.001
Marital status			
Married/cohabiting	581 (73.9)	2979 (75.7)	<0.001
Single	125 (15.9)	739 (18.8)	
Previously married	75 (9.5)	202 (5.1)	
Parental status: children < 18 years old			
Living with you	394 (50.1)	1979 (50.3)	0.9
Not living with you	279 (35.5)	1239 (31.5)	0.03
Educational status			
No qualifications	58 (7.4)	293 (7.4)	<0.001
GCSE or equivalent	246 (31.3)	1723 (43.8)	
A-level or equivalent	180 (22.9)	1161 (29.5)	
Degree or above	212 (27.0)	568 (14.4)	
Service branch			
Royal Navy/Marines	78 (9.9)	683 (17.4)	<0.001
Army	606 (77.1)	2460 (62.5)	
Royal Air Force	102 (13.0)	793 (20.2)	
Rank (current or last)			
Commissioned officer	179 (22.8)	635 (16.1)	<0.001
Non-commissioned officer	476 (60.6)	2486 (63.2)	
Other rank	126 (16.0)	778 (19.8)	

1. Some categories do not add up to denominators because of missing data.

2. Pearson's χ^2 test of significance.

adjusted for measures of unit cohesion and main duty in theatre. This model was associated with similar reductions in effect sizes as model two, and as in model two, PTSD remained associated with reservist status, albeit with borderline statistical significance. The final model (model four) controlled for reported problems at home during and following deployment. This led to the greatest reduction in effect sizes, including PTSD, with no outcome now being associated with reservist status.

DISCUSSION

We have found numerous differences in the experiences of UK reservists and regulars when deployed to a major operation. There were major differences in the reservists'

socio-demographic characteristics, and also in the way they were deployed (usually not in a parent unit) and their reported experiences during deployment. Although reservists were more likely to report their families feeling proud of their contribution, when compared with regular personnel they also experienced more difficulties and less marital satisfaction on their return. Our analyses suggest that although demographic differences between reservists and regulars do contribute to the health effects we report, controlling for experiences on deployment accounts for most of the differences in health outcomes we observed, except PTSD symptoms. These were most strongly accounted for by problems at home during and following deployment.

Limitations

This study is the largest health study ever undertaken in the UK reserve armed forces and is representative of all three branches of service, including serving and ex-serving personnel. However, the study has limitations. We were unable to contact everyone in the cohort as the mobile nature of military life meant that contact information was often unreliable. Participation was incomplete, with a total participation rate (adjusted for individuals whose address details were unknown) of 61% (Hotopf *et al*, 2006). However, our intensive tracing of non-responders, including reservists, suggested that non-responder bias was unlikely, because the prevalence of the main outcomes was similar to that in study responders (Hotopf *et al*, 2006). The study is cross-sectional, and therefore it is impossible to determine the direction of causation for the associations we report. This may apply particularly to the more subjective measures regarding comradeship and problems at home, which are likely to be affected by current mood. Some of the measures, for example of deployment-related experiences, have not previously been validated.

Consistency with other studies

Although many epidemiological studies have reported on the mental health of regular armed forces personnel following deployment (Unwin *et al*, 1999; Pizarro *et al*, 2006), research into the health and well-being of reserve forces has been limited. Several studies have reported a possible association between reservist status and mental disorders (Solomon *et al*, 1987; Iowa Persian Gulf Study Group, 1997; McAllister *et al*, 2004; Smith *et al*, 2004; Turner *et al*, 2005). Our findings support this association, and this paper proposes explanations that might account for the higher risk of mental ill health in reservists when compared with their regular comrades following a major deployment.

Interpretation

Our findings show that TELIC reserve personnel reported potentially traumatising exposures in theatre at levels that were often higher than those reported by regular personnel. For exposures relating to aiding the wounded or handling bodies this difference is likely to be accounted for by the large number of reservists in medical or

Table 3 Deployment experiences on Operation TELIC I

	TELIC reservists (n=786) n (%) ¹	TELIC regulars (n=3936) n (%) ¹	P ²
Potentially adverse experiences in theatre			
Discharged weapon in direct combat	63 (8.0)	689 (17.5)	<0.001
Thought might be killed	507 (64.5)	2154 (54.7)	<0.001
Came under small-arms fire	224 (28.5)	1270 (32.3)	0.04
Came under mortar, Scud or artillery fire	481 (61.2)	2032 (51.6)	<0.001
Experienced a landmine strike	26 (3.3)	172 (4.4)	0.2
Experienced hostility from civilians	331 (42.1)	1650 (41.9)	0.9
Saw personnel wounded or killed (any)	386 (49.1)	1754 (44.6)	0.02
Handled bodies (any)	157 (20.0)	579 (14.7)	<0.001
Aided wounded (any)	233 (29.6)	650 (16.5)	<0.001
Main duty in theatre			
Combat	88 (11.2)	992 (25.2)	<0.001 ³
Medical/welfare	202 (25.7)	269 (6.8)	
Logistics	162 (20.6)	615 (15.6)	
Communication	37 (4.7)	315 (8.0)	
Other	288 (36.6)	1604 (40.8)	
Comradeship and unit cohesion			
Deployed with parent unit	163 (20.7)	2553 (64.9)	<0.001
Felt sense of comradeship with others in unit	591 (75.2)	3149 (80.0)	<0.001
Could have gone to most people in unit with personal problems	356 (45.3)	1865 (47.4)	0.1
Seniors were interested in what I did and thought	350 (44.5)	2103 (53.4)	<0.001
Felt well informed about what was going on	325 (41.4)	2055 (52.2)	<0.001
Capability for deployment			
Work in theatre was outside trade experience or ability	86 (10.9)	190 (4.8)	<0.001
Been previously deployed	247 (31.4)	2869 (72.9)	<0.001

1. Some categories do not add up to denominators because of missing data.
 2. Pearson's χ^2 test of significance.
 3. Single χ^2 test for heterogeneity on 4 d.f.

welfare roles. The fact that reservists were less likely to discharge their own weapons or come under small-arms fire is similarly likely to be a reflection of the relatively few reservists in combat roles. However, reservists were more likely to report coming under artillery fire or thinking that they might be killed. These findings may reflect the front-line duties of reservists (for example, medical reservists who are often supporting combat units); however, it is also possible that there is a difference in the perception of risk among reservists and regulars. We suggest that if the reporting of artillery fire exposure or risk to one's own life were accurate, it would be surprising for the reservists – of whom only 11% were involved in combat duties – to have higher rates of such exposures compared with the regulars, 25% of whom were in such duties. We suggest that, owing perhaps to their lack of previous deployment experience, reservists' perception of

exposure to life-threatening events might be higher. This might also be the result of recall bias, with the observed increase in distress levels leading to greater reporting, as has been found elsewhere (Wessely *et al*, 2003). Additionally, reserve units reported slightly lower unit cohesion and effective leadership, both of which have been shown to put combat support units at greater risk of poor psychological health (Malone *et al*, 1996). The health of medical combat support personnel will be examined in more detail in a future paper.

Another possible explanation for our results is that the majority of reservists deployed as individuals, not with their parent unit. Unit cohesion has been shown to be the single most important sustaining and motivating force among troops, and psychiatric injuries are more prevalent in personnel who do not form close relationships within their unit (Rielly, 2000). Feeling isolated and lack of unit cohesion are

likely to have had detrimental effects upon psychological health and may also have contributed to poorer relationships with the chain of command. For all outcomes except PTSD symptoms we found that differences in demographic variables, potentially traumatic exposures and unit cohesion accounted for the health effect in reservists.

It is not clear why PTSD symptoms differed from the other health outcomes, and it is perhaps counterintuitive that the excess in PTSD symptoms in reservists was not accounted for by controlling for differences in potentially traumatic exposures. The reduction in the health effect when homecoming experiences were taken into account might be due to reverse causation. For example, PTSD symptoms might have had a major effect on the experience of homecoming, and controlling for these experiences spuriously lowers the odds ratio for reservist status. Alternatively, it

Table 4 Family and home life

	TELIC reservists (n=786) n (%) ¹	TELIC regulars (n=3936) n (%) ¹	Era reservists (n=800) n (%) ¹	Era regulars (n=4750) n (%) ¹	P ²
Pre-deployment					
Family was proud I was being deployed	564 (71.8)	2305 (58.6)			<0.001
Family did not want me to go	544 (69.2)	2650 (67.3)			0.6
Was not well supported by my family	29 (3.7)	127 (3.2)			0.6
On deployment					
Had major problems at home	293 (37.3)	1073 (27.3)			<0.001
After deployment					
Had major problems on return from deployment	263 (33.5)	712 (18.1)			<0.001
Found it difficult to adjust to being back home	377 (48.0)	1194 (30.3)			<0.001
People didn't understand what I'd been through	605 (77.0)	2527 (64.2)			<0.001
Did not want to talk to family or friends about experiences	315 (40.1)	1416 (36.0)			0.09
Current marital satisfaction³					
Am satisfied with current partner	527 (90.7)	2787 (93.6)	559 (92.2)	3504 (93.7)	0.007
My relationship makes me happy	524 (90.2)	2764 (92.8)	547 (90.3)	3498 (93.5)	<0.001
Divorce or separation has been suggested in past year	124 (21.3)	556 (18.7)	83 (13.7)	655 (17.5)	0.002

1. Some categories do not add up to denominators because of missing data. Missing data for pre-deployment variables was approximately 16% and for post-deployment variables approximately 6%.

2. Pearson's χ^2 test of significance.

3. Married or cohabiting personnel only.

Table 5 Distribution of main health outcomes by enlistment status

	Cases, n (%)		Unadjusted OR (95% CI)	Adjusted OR (95% CI) ¹			
	TELIC reservists (n=786)	TELIC regulars (n=3936)		Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4
Common mental disorder (GHQ-12)	206 (26.3)	747 (19.4)	1.49 (1.24–1.77)	1.28 (1.04–1.59)	1.17 (0.93–1.46)	1.18 (0.93–1.49)	0.94 (0.74–1.19)
PTSD (PTSD Checklist)	46 (6.0)	155 (4.0)	1.52 (1.08–2.14)	1.71 (1.13–2.60)	1.62 (1.05–2.52)	1.57 (0.99–2.48)	1.15 (0.73–1.79)
Chalder Fatigue Scale	315 (41.0)	1225 (31.9)	1.48 (1.26–1.74)	1.28 (1.06–1.55)	1.18 (0.97–1.44)	1.12 (0.91–1.38)	1.02 (0.84–1.26)
Multiple physical symptoms	120 (15.3)	455 (11.6)	1.38 (1.11–1.71)	1.11 (0.85–1.44)	0.96 (0.73–1.26)	0.98 (0.73–1.31)	0.77 (0.58–1.03)
Fair or poor general health	122 (15.6)	415 (10.7)	1.54 (1.24–1.92)	1.12 (0.86–1.46)	1.04 (0.79–1.38)	1.11 (0.83–1.48)	0.86 (0.65–1.14)

GHQ-12, 12-item General Health Questionnaire; PTSD, post-traumatic stress disorder.

1. Odds ratios adjusted for age, gender, marital and education status, having children not living at home, service branch and rank. Model 2 additionally adjusted for potentially traumatic experiences and main duty in theatre. Model 3: in addition to model 1, adjusted for unit cohesion and main duty in theatre. Model 4: in addition to model 1, adjusted for problems at home during and following deployment.

might be a genuine effect, indicating that (for example) adverse domestic experiences lead to the prolongation of PTSD symptoms, which in a happier environment might spontaneously remit.

Reserve personnel are at greater risk of psychological stress because of rapid mobilisation, leaving them minimal time to process adverse fears and put their affairs in order (Malone *et al*, 1996). The unexpected disruption to families and careers and resulting financial pressures may have been contributory to problems at home. It is also possible that major problems at home

reported following deployment incorporated relationship difficulties. We found TELIC reservists' relationships were particularly at risk following deployment to Iraq. In a separate unpublished study, reservists who deployed on a subsequent operation to Iraq believed that had welfare support been provided for their spouses during deployment their marriage breakdown would have been prevented (C. French, personal communication, 2006). This may have been a factor contributing to our findings. An alternative explanation is that some TELIC reservists had poorer

marriages prior to their deployment to Iraq, and might have volunteered for the operation to escape problems at home.

Implications

The poorer health outcome of reservists following deployment is a source of considerable concern, especially given that recent deployments have seen an increase in their use. The UK Ministry of Defence has recently changed its policy to provide enhanced mental health services for reservists, who previously were not eligible to use

Defence Medical Services following deployment (Ministry of Defence, 2006). However, a more important question is whether such illness can be prevented in reservists. The data we provide indicate that there may be important aspects to the way in which reservists are deployed, and their homecoming experiences, which might account for their poorer health. Attention should be paid to the provision of support for reservists deployed to unfamiliar units and welfare for their families.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the UK Ministry of Defence for their cooperation; in particular we thank the Defence Analytical Services Agency, the Veterans Policy Unit, the Armed Forces Personnel Administration Agency, the Defence Medical Services Department and the Director of Reserve Forces and Cadets. This study was funded by the UK Ministry of Defence.

REFERENCES

BBC News (2006) *Iraq reservists 'need more help'*. http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/uk_news/4531567.stm (accessed 20 July 2006).

Blanchard, E. B., Jones-Alexander, J., Buckley, T. C., et al (1996) Psychometric properties of the PTSD checklist (PCL). *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, **34**, 669–673.

Chalder, T., Berelowitz, G., Pawlikowska, T., et al (1993) Development of a fatigue scale. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*, **37**, 147–153.

Goldberg, D. P. & Williams, P. (1988) *A Users' Guide to the General Health Questionnaire*. nfer-Nelson.

Goldberg, D. P., Gater, R., Sartorius, N., et al (1997) The validity of two versions of the GHQ in the

TESS BROWNE, BSc, LISA HULL, MSc, ODED HORN, MSc, MARGARET JONES, BA, DOMINIC MURPHY, BSc, King's Centre for Military Health Research, King's College London; NICOLA T. FEAR, DPhil, Academic Centre for Defence Mental Health, King's College London; NEIL GREENBERG, MSc, CLAUDE FRENCH, MSc, ROBERTO J. RONA, FFPH, SIMON WESSLEY, FMedSci, MATTHEW HOTOPF, MRCPsych, King's Centre for Military Health Research, King's College London, London, UK

Correspondence: Professor Matthew Hotopf, King's Centre for Military Health Research, Weston Education Centre, London SE5 9RJ, UK. Tel: +44 (0)20 7848 0435; fax: +44 (0)20 7848 5408; email: m.hotopf@iop.kcl.ac.uk

(First received 31 August 2006, final revision 7 November 2006, accepted 17 January 2007)

WHO study of mental illness in general health care. *Psychological Medicine*, **27**, 191–197.

Hotopf, M., Hull, L., Fear, N. T., et al (2006) The health of UK military personnel who deployed to the 2003 Iraq war: a cohort study. *Lancet*, **367**, 1731–1741.

Iowa Persian Gulf Study Group (1997) Self-reported illness and health status among Gulf War veterans: a population-based study. *JAMA*, **277**, 238–425.

Malone, J. D., Paige-Dobson, B., Ohl, C., et al (1996) Possibilities for unexplained chronic illnesses among reserve units deployed in Operation Desert Shield/Desert Storm. *Southern Medical Journal*, **89**, 1147–1155.

McAllister, P. D., Blair, S. P. & Philpott, S. (2004) Op TELIC – a field mental health team in the general support medical setting. *Journal of the Royal Army Medical Corps*, **150**, 107–112.

Ministry of Defence (2006) New mental healthcare initiative for demobilised reservists. *MOD Defence Policy and Business News*, 16 May 2006. www.mod.uk/DefenceInternet/DefenceNews/DefencePolicyAndBusiness/NewMentalHealthcareInitiativeForDemobilisedReservists.htm (accessed 20 July 2006).

National Audit Office (2006) *Reserve Forces*, pp. 1–68. TSO (The Stationery Office).

Pizarro, J., Silver, R. C. & Prause, J. (2006) Physical and mental health costs of traumatic war experiences among Civil War veterans. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, **63**, 193–200.

Rielly, R. J. (2000) Confronting the tiger: Small Unit cohesion in battle. *Military Review*, Nov–Dec, 61–65.

Smith, T. C., Corbeil, T. E., Ryan, M. A., et al (2004) In-theater hospitalizations of US and allied personnel during the 1991 Gulf War. *American Journal of Epidemiology*, **159**, 1064–1076.

Solomon, Z., Noy, N. & Bar-On, R. (1987) Who is at high risk for a combat stress reaction syndrome? In *Stress and Coping in Time of War: Generalizations from the Israeli Experience* (ed. N. A. Milgram), pp. 78–83. Brunner/Mazel.

Turner, M. A., Kiernan, M. D., McKechnie, A. G., et al (2005) Acute military psychiatric casualties from the war in Iraq. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, **186**, 476–479.

Unwin, C., Blatchley, N., Coker, W., et al (1999) Health of UK servicemen who served in Persian Gulf War. *Lancet*, **353**, 169–178.

Ware, J. E. & Sherbourne, C. D. (1992) The MOS 36-item short-form health survey (SF-36): I. Conceptual framework and item selection. *Medical Care*, **30**, 473–483.

Wessely, S., Unwin, C., Hotopf, M., et al (2003) Stability of recall of military hazards over time: Evidence from the Persian Gulf War of 1991. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, **183**, 314–322.