

SOME LOCAL-GLOBAL PRINCIPLES FOR FORMALLY REAL FIELDS

M. MARSHALL

1. Introduction. Let F be a formally real field, and let Δ be a *preordering* of F ; that is, a subset of F satisfying $\Delta + \Delta = \Delta$, $\Delta\Delta = \Delta$, $F^2 \subseteq \Delta$. Denote by X_Δ the set of all orderings P of F satisfying $P \supseteq \Delta$. Thus $\Delta = \bigcap_{P \in X_\Delta} P$. This result is well known. It was first proved by Artin [3, Satz 1] in the case $\Delta = \sum F^2$.

For P an ordering of F , denote by F_P , the real closure of F at P . Denote by $W = W(F)$ the Witt ring of F [14], and by $M = M(F)$ the augmentation ideal of W , that is, the ideal of even dimensional forms. $W_\Delta = W_\Delta(F)$ will denote the ideal of W generated by all forms of the type $\langle 1, -s \rangle$, $s \in \Delta^\times$. (Thus, $W_\Delta = M$, if $\Delta = F$.)

THEOREM 1. *Suppose Δ is a (proper) preordering of F . Then the kernel of the natural ring homomorphism from $W(F)$ into $\prod_{P \in X_\Delta} W(F_P)$ is $W_\Delta(F)$.*

This theorem was originally proved by Pfister [12] in the case $\Delta = \sum F^2$. The general case follows, for example from the theory developed in [4]. For completeness, a proof is given here following the proof of Theorem 2.

Denote by k_*F the graded ring $\bigoplus_{i=0}^\infty k_iF$ as defined by Milnor in [11]. Let $k_{*\Delta}F$ denote the ideal of k_*F generated by the elements $l(s)$, $s \in \Delta^\times$. (Thus $k_{*\Delta}F = \bigoplus_{i=0}^\infty k_{i\Delta}F$ where $k_{i\Delta}F$ is generated by all elements of the form $l(a_1) \dots l(a_i)$, $a_1 \in \Delta^\times$, $a_2 \dots a_i \in F^\times$.)

In this paper we examine the following conjectures.

CONJECTURE 1. The kernel of the natural ring homomorphism from k_*F into $\prod_{P \in X_\Delta} k_*F_P$ is $k_{*\Delta}F$.

CONJECTURE 2. For each positive integer i , $M^i \cap W_\Delta = M^{i-1}W_\Delta$.

Conjecture 1 is the main conjecture. Its connection with Conjecture 2 is described in Corollaries 2 and 3, Section 2.

Both of these conjectures are shown to be true if either

- (i) Δ satisfies the descending chain condition, or
- (ii) Δ is 2-stable.

These results are proved in Sections 4 and 5 respectively. The major result is Theorem 7. Sections 2 and 3 are devoted to pointing out various consequences of Conjecture 1.

Received June 30, 1976 and in revised form, October 19, 1976.

Although the conjectures are stated for Δ an arbitrary (proper) preordering, there is special interest in the case $\Delta = \sum F^2$. At the present time we know about as much in this case as we do in the more general situation.

Conjecture 1 amounts to the statement that, for each non-negative integer i , $k_{i\Delta}F$ is the kernel of the natural mapping from k_iF into $\prod_{P \in X_\Delta} k_i F_P$. It is trivially true for $i = 0$ (since we assume $\Delta \neq F$). Also, the natural isomorphism $F^\times/F^{\times 2} \cong k_1F$ carries $\Delta^\times/F^{\times 2}$ onto $k_{1\Delta}F$. Thus, the Artin formula, $\bigcap_{P \in X_\Delta} P = \Delta$, implies the conjecture is valid for $i = 1$. The question is open for $i \geq 2$.

The second conjecture is trivially true for $i = 1$. It is also true for $i = 2$. The proof is a simple modification of that given in [7, Theorem 2.8] for the case $\Delta = \sum F^2$. The question is open for $i \geq 3$. However, for $\Delta = \sum F^2$, Elman and Lam have shown in [9, Theorem 3], that $M^2W_\Delta = 0$ implies $M^3 \cap W_\Delta = 0$. It follows that Conjecture 2 is true in case $\Delta = \sum F^2, M^2W_\Delta = 0$.

It is worthwhile pointing out that a weak version of the two conjectures is known to hold in general, namely:

THEOREM 2. *Let Δ be any preordering of F .*

(i) *Let $f \in k_*F$. Then f is zero in k_*F_P for all $P \in X_\Delta$ if and only if $l(-1)^v \cdot f \in k_{*,\Delta}F$ holds for some integer $v \geq 0$.*

(ii) *Let $f \in M^i \cap W_\Delta$. Then there exists an integer $v \geq 0$ such that $2^v \times f \in M^{i+v-1} W_\Delta$.*

(Thus, if it were always possible to choose $v = 0$, we would have the conjectures.)

It should be noted that, in case $\Delta = \sum F^2$, each element of $k_{*\Delta}F$ is annihilated by some power of $l(-1)$. Thus the equation $l(-1)^v f \in k_{*\Delta}F$ can be replaced by $l(-1)^v f = 0$ in this case. Also note that, in this same case, (ii) is a triviality, since the elements of W_Δ are two-power torsion.

A proof of (i) in the case $\Delta = \sum F^2$ is found in [1]. However, for completeness, a full proof is presented here.

Proof of Theorem 2. For $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ a tuple of elements of F^\times , $\Delta(a) = \Delta(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ will denote the preordering of F generated by a_1, \dots, a_n over Δ . Use the notations of [13, p. 42], i.e. $\psi_a = \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$, $\pi_a = \langle \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle \rangle$. Let $\psi_a \in M^i$ and suppose its signatures satisfy $\text{sgn}_P \psi_a = 0$ for all $P \in X_\Delta$ (respectively, $\text{sgn}_P \psi_a \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{i+1}}$ for all $P \in X_\Delta$). By [13, Lemma 2.1.6] we have

$$2^n \times \psi_a = \bigoplus_\epsilon \psi_\epsilon \otimes \pi_{\epsilon a} \quad \text{in } W,$$

the sum running through all n -tuples $\epsilon = (\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n)$ of ± 1 . There are two cases to consider:

(i) $\Delta(\epsilon a) \neq F$. In this case there exists $P \in X_\Delta$ satisfying $\text{sgn}_P a_i = \text{sgn}_P \epsilon_i$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, so $\psi_\epsilon = 0$ in W (respectively, $\psi_\epsilon = 2^{i+1}v$ in W , v some integer). Thus $\psi_\epsilon \otimes \pi_{\epsilon a} = 0$ in W (respectively, $\psi_\epsilon \otimes \pi_{\epsilon a} \in M^{i+n+1}$).

(ii) $\Delta(\epsilon a) = F$. This implies that for a sufficiently large set of elements $s_1, \dots, s_v \in \Delta^\times$, we have that $\pi_s \otimes \pi_{\epsilon a}$ is isotropic and hence zero in W .

Thus, by picking s_1, \dots, s_v to be a sufficiently large set of elements of Δ^\times [for example including n ones, and all elements required by the terms of type (ii)] we have $\pi_s \otimes \psi_a = 0$ in W (respectively, $\pi_s \otimes \psi_a \in M^{v+t+1}$).

Now write $\langle 1, s \rangle = 2 - \langle 1, -s \rangle$ and expand what we have just obtained to get $2^v \times \psi_a \in M^{i+v-1} W_\Delta$ (respectively, $2^v \times \psi_a \in M^{i+v-1} W_\Delta + M^{i+v+1}$). This proves the second statement.

To prove the first let $f \in k_i F$ be zero over F_P for all $P \in X_\Delta$, and let $s_t(f)$ denote the image of f in M^i/M^{i+1} under the natural map [11]. Say $s_t(f) = \psi_a + M^{i+1}$. Then ψ_a satisfies $\text{sgn}_P \psi_a \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{i+1}}$ for all $P \in X_\Delta$, so by our previous considerations, there is a non-negative integer v such that $2^v \times \psi_a \in M^{i+v-1} W_\Delta + M^{i+v+1}$. Thus we can write $l(-1)^v f = g + h$, $g \in k_{i+v, \Delta} F$, h in the kernel of the mapping $s_{i+v} : k_{i+v} F \rightarrow M^{i+v}/M^{i+v+1}$. Thus, by [11, p. 332] $l(-1)^t h = 0$ for some non-negative integer t , so $l(-1)^{v+t} f \in k_{* \Delta} F$.

Using the proof of Theorem 2 given above, there is now a very easy proof of Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 1. Suppose ψ_a is zero over F_P for all $P \in X_\Delta$. Then by the proof of Theorem 2, $\pi_s \otimes \psi_a = 0$ in W_P for some v -tuple $s = (s_1, \dots, s_v)$ of elements of Δ^\times . By the distributive property, this yields $a_1 \pi_s \oplus \dots \oplus a_n \pi_s = 0$ so there exist t_1, \dots, t_n represented by π_s (and hence in Δ) not all zero, such that $a_1 t_1 + \dots + a_n t_n = 0$. Let $a' = (a'_1, \dots, a'_n)$ be defined by $a'_i = a_i$, if $t_i = 0$; $a'_i = a_i t_i$, if $t_i \neq 0$. Then $\psi_{a'}$ is isotropic and $\psi_{a'} - \psi_a \in W_\Delta$. Also, since $t_i \pi_s \cong \pi_s$ if $t_i \neq 0$, we have $\pi_s \otimes \psi_{a'} = \pi_s \otimes \psi_a = 0$ in W . Thus, by induction on the dimension, we have $\psi_{a'}$ (and hence ψ_a) is in W_Δ .

It is not clear how this proof could be modified to yield a proof of the conjectures.

2. Some consequences of Conjecture 1. Denote by $\text{gr } W(F)$ the graded ring $\bigoplus_{i=0}^\infty M^i/M^{i+1}$, and by $\text{gr}_\Delta W(F)$ the ideal of $\text{gr } W(F)$ generated by the elements $\langle 1, -s \rangle + M^2$, $s \in \Delta^\times$.

THEOREM 3. *If Conjecture 1 holds for Δ , then the kernel of the ring homomorphism from $\text{gr } W(F)$ into $\prod_{P \in X_\Delta} \text{gr } W(F_P)$ is $\text{gr}_\Delta W(F)$.*

Proof. The natural ring homomorphism $s : k_* F \rightarrow \text{gr } W(F)$ is surjective, carries $k_* F$ onto $\text{gr}_\Delta W(F)$, and is an isomorphism if F is real closed.

Note that if the assumption that Conjecture 1 holds is dropped, then one still has the following weaker result: $f \in \text{gr } W(F)$ is zero in $\text{gr } W(F_P)$ for all $P \in X_\Delta$ if and only if $(2 + M^2)^v \cdot f \in \text{gr}_\Delta W(F)$ holds for some integer $v \geq 0$. This is implicit in the proof of Theorem 2.

COROLLARY 1. Assume Conjecture 1 holds for Δ . Let k be a positive integer, and let $f \in W$. Then $\text{sgn}_P f \equiv 0 \pmod{2^k}$ holds for all $P \in X_\Delta$ if and only if $f \in W_\Delta + M^k$.

Proof. This is immediate from the previous theorem, using induction on k .

If $f \in M^i \cap W_\Delta$, then $\bar{f} \in M^i/M^{i+1}$ is zero locally for all $P \in X_\Delta$. It follows by Theorem 3 that if Conjecture 1 holds for Δ , then $f \in M^{i-1}W_\Delta + M^{i+1}$. Thus $M^i \cap W_\Delta = M^{i-1}W_\Delta + M^{i+1} \cap W_\Delta$. Repeating this process we get:

COROLLARY 2. Suppose Conjecture 1 holds for Δ . Then for all integers $i, k \geq 1$, $M^i \cap W_\Delta = M^{i-1}W_\Delta + M^{i+k} \cap W_\Delta$,

This is ‘‘almost’’ Conjecture 2. In particular we have the following:

COROLLARY 3. Suppose that Conjecture 1 holds for Δ , and that $M^k \cap W_\Delta = 0$ holds for some positive integer k . Then Conjecture 2 holds for Δ .

Note that the assumption $M^k \cap W_\Delta = 0$ implies $2^{k-1} \langle 1, -s \rangle = 0$ in W for all $s \in \Delta^\times$; i.e. that $\Delta = \sum F^2$. Thus Corollary 3 is really a result only about $\Delta = \sum F^2$.

Denote by G_F the Galois group of \bar{F}/F ; \bar{F} being the algebraic closure of F . Denote by $H^*(G_F, \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})$ the graded ring of cohomology groups $H^*(G_F, \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^\infty H^i(G_F, \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})$, and by $h : k_*F \rightarrow H^*(G_F, \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})$ the canonical ring homomorphism. Thus $h(k_*F)$ is the subring of $H^*(G_F, \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})$ generated by the elements $\delta(a), a \in F^\times$.

THEOREM 4. Assume Conjecture 1 holds for Δ . Then the kernel of the natural ring homomorphism from $h(k_*F)$ into $\prod_{P \in X_\Delta} H^*(G_{F_P}, \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})$ is the ideal of $h(k_*F)$ generated by the elements $\delta(s), s \in \Delta^\times$.

Proof. $h : k_*F \rightarrow H^*(G_F, \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})$ is an isomorphism if F is real closed.

Since $h(k_*F)$ can be identified with the subgroup of the Brauer group B_F generated by the quaternion algebras $(a, b/F), a, b \in F^\times$, we have the following result:

COROLLARY. Suppose that Conjecture 1 holds for Δ . Suppose $x \in B_F$ is a product of quaternion algebras, and that x splits over all real closures $F_P, P \in X_\Delta$. Then x is of the form

$$\prod_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{a_i s_i}{F} \right),$$

with $a_i \in F^\times, s_i \in \Delta^\times, i = 1, \dots, n$.

3. The injectivity of the homomorphisms s, h . It has been conjectured in [11] that the mappings $s : k_*F \rightarrow \text{gr } W(F), h : k_*F \rightarrow H^*(F_F, \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})$ are injective. In case Conjecture 1 holds for $\Delta = \sum F^2$, one can get some partial results in this direction.

The first result holds without the assumption of Conjecture 1, and is an immediate consequence of [2] and [6, Theorem 3.2].

THEOREM 5. *Let i be any positive integer, and let $\Delta = \sum F^2$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) $k_{i\Delta}F = 0$
- (ii) $M^{i-1}W_\Delta = 0$
- (iii) $M^{i-1}W_\Delta = M^iW_\Delta$.

Define the v -invariant of F to be the greatest integer (or ∞ if no such integer exists) satisfying $k_{v,\Delta}F \neq 0$, (with $\Delta = \sum F^2$). In view of the previous theorem, this invariant can be characterized as the greatest integer for which there is a v -fold Pfister form over F which is both anisotropic and torsion.

If u denotes the generalized u -invariant of F as defined in [8], then it is clear that $2^v \leq u$. In fact $2^v = u$, if $u \leq 4$.

For the rest of this section, assume that Conjecture 1 holds for $\Delta = \sum F^2$.

THEOREM 6. $s_i : k_iF \rightarrow M^i/M^{i+1}$ and $h_i : k_iF \rightarrow H^i(G_F, \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})$ are injective if and only if they are injective on $k_{i\Delta}F$. ($\Delta = \sum F^2$).

Proof. This is clear, since s_i, h_i are locally isomorphisms.

COROLLARY 1. *If $i > v$, then s_i, h_i are injective.*

Proof. This follows from Theorems 5 and 6.

COROLLARY 2. *Suppose $v \leq 2$. Then s, h are injective.*

Proof. Since s_0, s_1, s_2, h_0 , and h_1 are injective, and since s_i, h_i are injective for $i \geq 3$ by the previous corollary, all that is required is to show that h_2 is injective. The mapping $c = h_2 \circ s_2^{-1} : M^2/M^3 \rightarrow H^2(G_F, \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})$ is the Clifford mapping. By [9, Theorem 3], this mapping is injective on $(MW_\Delta + M^3)/M^3$. Thus h_2 is injective on $k_{2,\Delta}F$ and hence (by Corollary 1) on k_2F also.

4. The descending chain condition. For Δ a preordering of F , and $a_i, i \in I$ elements of F^\times , $\Delta(a_i | i \in I)$ will denote the preordering of F generated by $a_i, i \in I$ over Δ .

We will say that Δ satisfies the *descending chain condition* (abbreviated D.C.C.) if every descending chain $\Delta_1 \supseteq \Delta_2 \supseteq \Delta_3 \supseteq \dots$ of preorderings, each of which is finitely generated over Δ , terminates. This is equivalent to the condition that every non-empty set consisting of preorderings of F which are finitely generated over Δ has minimal elements.

Examples. (i) If the group index $(F^\times : \Delta^\times)$ is finite, then Δ satisfies D.C.C. Such preorderings are obtained by taking the intersection of a finite set of orderings of F .

(ii) Let $F = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty \mathbf{R}((x_1)) \dots ((x_n))$. Then F is superpythagorean, so the proper preorderings of F are just the sets of the form $\Delta = \Delta^\times \cup \{0\}$ where Δ^\times

is a *subgroup* of F^\times which does not contain -1 [7, Theorem 4.3]. Thus all preorderings of F satisfy D.C.C. This provides an example of a field F and a preordering $\Delta \subseteq F$ satisfying D.C.C. for which the index $(F^\times : \Delta^\times)$ is infinite. Other such examples can be found where F is not superpythagorean.†

(iii) Let F be an algebraic number field (possibly infinite dimensional over \mathbf{Q}). Every preordering finitely generated over $\Delta = \sum F^2$ is of the form $\Delta(a)$ for some $a \in F^\times$. Suppose Δ satisfies D.C.C. Let P be any ordering of F . Pick $\Delta(a)$ minimal such that $a \notin P$. Then, among all preorderings in P finitely generated over Δ , $\Delta(-a)$ is maximal. It follows that $\Delta(-a) = P$. Thus X_Δ is discrete. Since X_Δ is also compact, this implies X_Δ is finite. Thus, if we pick an algebraic number field F in such a way that it has infinitely many orderings (for example, pick $F = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{p})|p$ a prime integer) then $\Delta = \sum F^2$ does not satisfy D.C.C. (However Conjectures 1 and 2 still hold for such a field since it is a direct limit of fields for which the conjectures hold!)

THEOREM 7. *Suppose that Δ_0 is a preordering of F satisfying D.C.C. Then Conjectures 1 and 2 hold for all preorderings Δ of F satisfying $\Delta_0 \subseteq \Delta$.*

Proof. (1) Let $i > 1$, and let $f \in k_i F$ be such that it is zero in $k_i F_P$ for all $P \in X_\Delta$. We wish to show that $f \in k_{i,\Delta} F$. Using Theorem 2, for example, we may assume that Δ is finitely generated over Δ_0 , and hence that Δ itself satisfies D.C.C. There exists a preordering Δ_1 finitely generated over Δ such that $f \in k_{i,\Delta_1} F$. (For example, take $\Delta_1 = \Delta(-1) = F$.) By D.C.C. we may suppose that Δ_1 is chosen minimal such that this is so. Suppose Δ_2 is any preordering over Δ (but not necessarily finitely generated over Δ) such that $f \in k_{i,\Delta_2} F$, $\Delta_2 \subseteq \Delta_1$, $\Delta_2 \neq \Delta_1$. Then evidently there exists Δ_3 finitely generated over Δ such that $\Delta_3 \subseteq \Delta_2$, $f \in k_{i,\Delta_3} F$. This contradicts the minimality of Δ_1 . Thus Δ_1 is minimal among all preorderings Δ_2 over Δ satisfying $f \in k_{i,\Delta_2} F$.

If $\Delta_1 = \Delta$ we are finished. Otherwise there exists $P \in X_\Delta$, $P \notin X_{\Delta_1}$. Let $\Delta_2 = \Delta_1 \cap P$. Then

$$\Delta_1^\times / \Delta_2^\times = \Delta_1^\times / \Delta_1^\times \cap P^\times \cong \Delta_1^\times P^\times / P^\times = F^\times / P^\times,$$

so the group index $(\Delta_1^\times : \Delta_2^\times)$ is two, and if a is a group generator of Δ_1^\times over Δ_2^\times , then $\Delta_1 = \Delta_2 \cup \Delta_2 a$. If we show $f \in k_{i,\Delta_2} F$, we will have the desired contradiction.

From $\Delta_1 = \Delta_2 \cup \Delta_2 a$ it follows that

$$k_{*\Delta_1} F = k_{*\Delta_2} F + l(a)k_* F.$$

Thus $f = f_1 + l(a)g$, with $f_1 \in k_{i,\Delta_2} F$, $g \in k_{i-1} F$. Evidently, we have $l(-1)g = 0$ in $k_i F_P$ for all $P \in X_{\Delta_2(-a)}$. But $l(-1)$ is not a divisor of zero in $k_* F_P$, so $g = 0$ locally for all such P . It follows by induction on i that $g \in k_{i-1,\Delta_2(-a)} F$.

†Thomas C. Craven (University of Hawaii, Honolulu) has recently classified the Witt ring structure of pythagorean fields with only a finite number of places into the reals (preprint, *Characterizing reduced Witt rings of fields*). It can be shown that all such fields satisfy D.C.C.

However, if $s \in \Delta_2(-a)$, then $s = \alpha - \beta a$, $\alpha, \beta \in \Delta_2$. It follows that $l(a) l(s) \equiv 0$ modulo $k_{*\Delta_2}F$. (For if $\alpha, \beta \neq 0$, then $s/\alpha + \beta a/\alpha = 1$, and $l(a) l(s) \equiv l(\beta a/\alpha) l(s/\alpha) = 0 \pmod{k_{*\Delta_2}F}$. The proof is even simpler if either α or β is zero.) It follows that $l(a) k_{*\Delta_2(-a)}F \subseteq k_{*\Delta_2}F$, so $f = f_1 + l(a)g \in k_{*\Delta_2}F$.

(2) The proof of the second conjecture is completely similar. We may assume $i \geq 3$. Let $f \in M^i \cap W_\Delta$. We wish to show $f \in M^{i-1}W_\Delta$. We may assume Δ satisfies D.C.C. Using D.C.C. pick $\Delta_1 | \Delta$ minimal such that $f \in M^{i-1}W_{\Delta_1}$. If $\Delta_1 \neq \Delta$, then define Δ_2 as above. If $s \in \Delta_2$, then $\langle 1, -sa \rangle = \langle 1, -s \rangle + s\langle 1, -a \rangle$. It follows that

$$W_{\Delta_1} = W_{\Delta_2} + \langle 1, -a \rangle W, \quad \text{and} \quad M^{i-1}W_{\Delta_1} = M^{i-1}W_{\Delta_2} + \langle 1, -a \rangle M^{i-1}.$$

Proceeding as in (1) this yields $f \in M^{i-1}W_{\Delta_2}$, contradicting the minimality of Δ_1 .

Remark. In this proof one would like to replace D.C.C. by Zorn’s Lemma but it is not clear how this can be done.

5. Stability. The preorder Δ is said to be *k-stable* if every preorder which is finitely generated over Δ is of the form $\Delta(a_1, \dots, a_k)$ for some $a_1, \dots, a_k \in F^\times$. Several conditions are known which are equivalent to *k-stability* [5]. For example, Δ is *k-stable* if and only if the image of M^k in $\text{Cont}(X_\Delta, \mathbf{Z})$ is $\text{Cont}(X_\Delta, 2^k\mathbf{Z})$.

In this section, some general theory is developed, and as a corollary of this it follows that Conjectures 1 and 2 hold if Δ is 2-stable.

We will say that the quadratic form $f = \langle b_1, \dots, b_n \rangle$ over F represents $x \in F$ modulo W_Δ , if there exist elements $s_1, \dots, s_n \in \Delta$ satisfying $x = s_1b_1 + \dots + s_nb_n$. There is a well developed theory of forms modulo W_Δ , which may be found in [4] or (more generally) in [10]. A basic result is the following:

LEMMA 1. *A form $f = \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$ represents $x \in F^\times$ modulo W_Δ if and only if there exist $x_2, \dots, x_n \in F^\times$ such that $f \equiv \langle x, x_2, \dots, x_n \rangle \pmod{W_\Delta}$.*

LEMMA 2. *Let $f = l(-a_1) \dots l(-a_n)$, let $f_1 = \langle \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle \rangle$, and let f_1' denote the form derived from f_1 via $f_1 \cong f_1' \oplus \langle 1 \rangle$. Suppose f_1' represents b modulo W_Δ , $b \neq 0$. Then there exist $b_2, \dots, b_n \in F^\times$ such that $f_1 \equiv \langle \langle b, b_2, \dots, b_n \rangle \rangle$ modulo $M^{n-1}W_\Delta$ and $f \equiv l(-b) l(-b_2) \dots l(-b_n)$ modulo $k_{*\Delta}F$.*

Proof. The proof is only given for f . The result concerning f_1 is obtained in an analogous way. To simplify notations in the proof, congruences will denote congruences modulo $k_{*\Delta}F$.

The proof is by induction on n . If $n = 1$, then $b = sa_1$, $s \in \Delta^\times$, so $l(-b) = l(-a_1) + l(s) \equiv l(-a_1)$. Assume $n > 1$. Let $g = l(-a_2) \dots l(-a_n)$, $g_1 = \langle \langle a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle \rangle$. Then $f_1 \cong g_1 \oplus a_1g_1$, so $f_1' \cong g_1' \oplus a_1g_1$. Thus $b = c + a_1d$, with c and d represented (modulo W_Δ) by g_1' and g_1 respectively. Also $d = s + d'$, $s \in \Delta$, d' represented by g_1' modulo Δ . Thus by induction, g decomposes as $g \equiv l(-d') \dots$, so $l(d)g \equiv l(d) l(-d') \dots$. Now $l(d) l(-d') \equiv$

$l(d/s) l(-d'/s) \equiv 0$, since $s \in \Delta$, and $(d/s) - (d'/s) = 1$. (If either $s = 0$ or $d' = 0$, the proof is even simpler.) Thus $l(-a_1)g - l(-a_1d)g = -l(d)g \equiv 0$. Also, by induction g decomposes as $g \equiv l(-c) \dots$, so $f = l(-a_1)g \equiv l(-a_1d)g \equiv l(-a_1d) l(-c) \dots$. But $b = c + a_1d$, so $l(-a_1d) l(-c) = l(-b) l(-a_1dc)$.

Note. The idea in the proof of this lemma is not new. It may be found in [13, Lemma 2.4.4], for example.

One should compare the next theorem to the ‘‘Main Theorem’’ in [6].

THEOREM 8. *Let $a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_n \in F^*$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) $\Delta(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \Delta(b_1, \dots, b_n)$
- (ii) $\langle\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle\rangle \equiv \langle\langle b_1, \dots, b_n \rangle\rangle \pmod{W_\Delta}$
- (iii) $\langle\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle\rangle \equiv \langle\langle b_1, \dots, b_n \rangle\rangle \pmod{M^{n-1} W_\Delta}$
- (iv) $l(-a_1) \dots l(-a_n) \equiv l(-b_1) \dots l(-b_n) \pmod{k_*\Delta F}$.

Proof. It is clear that (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii) and that (iii) \Rightarrow (ii) and (iv) \Rightarrow (ii). For example, just compare signatures of $\langle\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle\rangle$ and $\langle\langle b_1, \dots, b_n \rangle\rangle$ at all $P \in X_\Delta$. A proof is given here that (ii) \Rightarrow (iv). The proof that (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) is similar and will not be included.

The proof is by induction on n , the result being clear if $n = 1$. By the lemmas we have $c_2, \dots, c_n \in F^\times$ such that $l(-a_1) \dots l(-a_n) \equiv l(-b_1) l(-c_2) \dots l(-c_n) \pmod{k_*\Delta F}$. Thus $\Delta(b_1, c_2, \dots, c_n) = \Delta(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \Delta(b_1, \dots, b_n)$ so by induction $l(-c_2) \dots l(-c_n) \equiv l(-b_2) \dots l(-b_n) \pmod{k_*\Delta(b_1)F}$. Now if $s \in \Delta(b_1)^\times$, $s = \alpha + \beta b_1$, $\alpha, \beta \in \Delta$, so

$$l(-b_1)l(s) \equiv l\left(\frac{-\beta b_1}{\alpha}\right) l\left(\frac{s}{\alpha}\right) \equiv 0 \pmod{k_*\Delta F}.$$

It follows that $l(-b_1) l(-c_2) \dots l(-c_n) \equiv l(-b_1) \dots l(-b_n) \pmod{k_*\Delta F}$ so by transitivity of \equiv , we have the required result.

COROLLARY. *If Δ is 2-stable, then Conjectures 1 and 2 hold.*

Proof. First look at the second conjecture. We may assume $i > 2$. For any $a_1, \dots, a_i \in F^\times$ we have, by 2-stability, elements $a, b \in F^\times$ such that $\Delta(a_1, \dots, a_i) = \Delta(a, b, 1, \dots, 1)$ (with $i - 2$ ones). It follows by the previous theorem that $\langle\langle a_1, \dots, a_i \rangle\rangle \equiv 2^{i-2} \langle\langle a, b \rangle\rangle \pmod{M^{i-1} W_\Delta}$. Thus $M^i = 2^{i-2} M^2 + M^{i-1} W_\Delta$, so

$$\begin{aligned} M^i \cap W_\Delta &= 2^{i-2}(M^2 \cap W_\Delta) + M^{i-1} W_\Delta = 2^{i-2} M W_\Delta + M^{i-1} W_\Delta \\ &= M^{i-1} W_\Delta. \end{aligned}$$

Now look at Conjecture 1. If $i > 2$, then by the previous theorem $k_i F = l(-1)^{i-2} k_2 F + k_{i\Delta} F$. Thus we are reduced to the case $i = 2$ (since $l(-1)$ is not a divisor of zero locally). But $s_2 : k_2 F \cong M^2/M^3$. Assume $f \in k_2 F$ is zero

in k_2F_P for all $P \in X_\Delta$. Write $s_2(f) = g + M^3$, $g \in M^2$. Then $\text{sgn}_P g \equiv 0 \pmod 8$ for all $P \in X_\Delta$, so by the alternate characterization of k -stability mentioned, $g = 2h + h_1$ with $h \in M^2$, $h_1 \in W_\Delta$. Thus $h_1 \in M^2 \cap W_\Delta = MW_\Delta$, and $s_2(f) = h_1 + M^3$. Since the isomorphism s_2 carries $k_{2\Delta}F$ onto $(MW_\Delta + M^3)/M^3$ this completes the proof.

Note. Certain of the consequences of Conjecture 1 are valid if Δ is k -stable, $k \geq 3$. For example, Corollary 1 of Theorem 3 holds if Δ is k -stable. In particular if Δ is 3-stable, then this corollary holds for all $k \geq 1$ (since it holds trivially for $k = 1, 2$). Also, the Corollary of Theorem 4 holds if Δ is 3-stable.

REFERENCES

1. J. K. Arason, *Primideale im graduierten Witttring und im mod 2-Cohomologiering*, Math. Zeit. *145* (1975), 139–143.
2. J. Arason and A. Pfister, *Beweis des Krullschen Durchschnittsatzes für den Witttring*, Invent. Math. *12* (1971), 173–176.
3. E. Artin, *Über die Zerlegung definiter Funktionen in Quadrate*, Hamb. Abh. *5* (1927), 100–115.
4. E. Becker und Eberhard Köpping, *Reduzierte quadratische Formen und Semiordnungen reeller Körper*, to appear, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg *46*.
5. L. Bröcker, *Zur theorie der quadratischen formen über formal reellen körper*, Math. Annalen *210* (1974), 233–256.
6. R. Elman and T. Y. Lam, *Pfister forms and K-theory of fields*, J. of Algebra *23* (1972), 181–213.
7. ———, *Quadratic forms over formally real fields and pythagorian fields*, Amer. J. Math. *94* (1972), 1155–1194.
8. ———, *Quadratic forms and the u-invariant I*, Math. Zeit. *131* (1972), 283–304.
9. ———, *Classification theorems for quadratic forms over fields*, Commentarii Math. Helvetici, *49* (1974), 373–381.
10. M. Marshall, *A reduced theory of quadratic forms*, unpublished notes.
11. J. Milnor, *Algebraic K-theory and quadratic forms*, Invent. Math. *9* (1970), 318–344.
12. A. Pfister, *Quadratische Formen in beliebigen Körpern*, Invent. Math. *1* (1966), 116–132.
13. W. Scharlau, *Quadratic forms*, Queen's papers on pure and applied mathematics, *22*, Queen's University, Kingston, Ont. (1969).
14. E. Witt, *Theorie der quadratischen Formen in beliebigen Körpern*, J. reine angew. Math. *176* (1937), 31–44.

*University of Saskatchewan,
Saskatoon, Saskatchewan*