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#### Abstract

The $L^{p}$-improving properties of convolution operators with measures supported on space curves have been studied by various authors. If the underlying curve is non-degenerate, the convolution with the (Euclidean) arclength measure is a bounded operator from $L^{3 / 2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ into $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$. Drury suggested that in case the underlying curve has degeneracies the appropriate measure to consider should be the affine arclength measure and he obtained a similar result for homogeneous curves $t \mapsto\left(t, t^{2}, t^{k}\right), t>0$ for $k \geq 4$. This was further generalized by Pan to curves $t \mapsto\left(t, t^{k}, t^{\prime}\right), t>0$ for $1<k<l, k+l \geq 5$. In this article, we will extend Pan's result to (smooth) compact curves of finite type whose tangents never vanish. In addition, we give an example of a flat curve with the same mapping properties.
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## 1. Introduction

The $L^{p}$-improving properties of convolution operators with measures supported on space curves have been studied by many authors. Oberlin [5] showed that the convolution with the (Euclidean) arclength measure on the curve $t \mapsto\left(t, t^{2}, t^{3}\right), 0 \leq t \leq 1$, maps $L^{3 / 2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ boundedly into $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$. Later, Pan [6] extended this result to nondegenerate compact curves in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$.

Drury [3] suggested that in case the underlying curve has degeneracies the appropriate measure to consider should be the affine arclength measure and obtained
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a similar result for a class of homogeneous curves. Recall that the affine arclength measure $\sigma_{\gamma}$ on the curve $\gamma: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}$ is defined by
$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} f d \sigma_{\nu}=\int_{I} f(\gamma(t)) \lambda(t) d t
$$
for $f \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$, where
\[

\lambda(t)=\left|\operatorname{det}\left[$$
\begin{array}{l}
\gamma^{\prime}(t) \\
\gamma^{\prime \prime}(t) \\
\gamma^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)
\end{array}
$$\right]\right|^{1 / 6}, \quad t \in I .
\]

Associated with $\sigma_{\gamma}$ is the convolution operator $T_{\sigma_{\nu}}$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{\sigma_{r}} f(x)=\sigma_{\gamma} * f(x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} f(x-y) d \sigma_{\gamma}(y) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $f \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$. Drury showed that $T_{\sigma_{\nu}}$ is bounded from $L^{3 / 2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ into $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ for $\gamma(t)=\left(t, t^{2}, t^{k}\right), t>0$, if $k \geq 4$. This was further improved by Pan [7, 8]:

Proposition 1.1. Let $1<k<l<\infty$, and suppose $k+l \geq 5$. Let $\sigma_{\gamma}$ be the affine arclength measure on the curve $\gamma(t)=\left(t, t^{k}, t^{l}\right), t>0$, defined by

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} f d \sigma_{\gamma}=c \int_{0}^{\infty} f(\gamma(t)) t^{(k+l-5) / 6} d t
$$

for $f \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$. Then $T_{\sigma_{r}}$ defined by (1) maps $L^{3 / 2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ boundedly into $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$.
Notice that all the results mentioned above are sharp. In other words, if we denote by $\mathscr{T}$ the trapezoid with vertices at $(0,0),(1,1),(2 / 3,1 / 2)$, and $(1 / 2,1 / 3)$, then $T_{\sigma_{r}}$ is a bounded operator from $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ into $L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ if and only if $(1 / p, 1 / q) \in \mathscr{T}$. The operator $T_{\sigma_{\gamma}}$ is related to the convolution with the (Euclidean) arclength measure. To be more specific, for $1<k<l$, we let

$$
\mathscr{T}_{0}^{k, l}=\{(1 / p, 1 / q) \in \mathscr{T}: 1 / p-1 / q \leq 1 /(1+k+l)\} .
$$

Then an analytic interpolation shows that the convolution operator with the (Euclidean) arclength measure on the curve $t \mapsto\left(t, t^{k}, t^{l}\right), 0 \leq t \leq 1$, is a bounded operator from $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ into $L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ at least for $(1 / p, 1 / q) \in \operatorname{int} \mathscr{O}_{0}^{k, l}$.

In this paper, we will establish similar results to Proposition 1.1 for curves of finite type with non-vanishing tangents and also an example of flat curve with the same mapping properties will be provided. More precisely, we will prove:

Theorem 1.2. Let $\gamma$ be a smooth compact curve of finite type in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. Assume $\gamma^{\prime}(t)$ doesn't vanish at any point. Let $T_{\sigma_{\gamma}} f=\sigma_{\gamma} * f$, where $\sigma_{\gamma}$ is the affine arclength measure on the curve $\gamma$. Then $T_{\sigma_{\gamma}}$ maps $L^{3 / 2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ boundedly into $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$.

THEOREM 1.3. For any $t_{0}>0$, the operator $T_{\sigma_{\gamma}}^{t_{0}}$ defined by $T_{\sigma_{\gamma}}^{t_{0}} f=\sigma_{\gamma}^{t_{0}} * f$ is a bounded operator from $L^{3 / 2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ into $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$, where $\sigma_{\gamma}^{t_{0}}$ is the affine arclength measure on the curve $\gamma: t \mapsto\left(t, t^{2}, e^{-1 / t}\right), 0<t<t_{0}$.

The organization of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, a perturbed version of Proposition 1.1 will be studied. Based on this, we prove Theorem 1.2 in Section 3. In the last section we will prove Theorem 1.3.

After finishing this paper, we learned that, using an ingenious idea by Christ [2], Secco [10] independently obtained a result extending Proposition 1.1 to $1<k<$ $l$ (possibly $k+l<5$ ). She also showed that the convolution operator with the (Euclidean) arclength measure on the curve $t \mapsto\left(t, t^{k}, t^{t}\right), 0 \leq t \leq 1$, maps $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ into $L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ if and only if $(1 / p, 1 / q) \in \mathscr{T}_{0}^{k, l}$.

## 2. Perturbations of homogeneous curves

Recall the following results on plane curves.

Lemma 2.1 (Littman [4]). Let I be a compact interval and let $\phi: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a $C^{2}$ function. Suppose there exists a positive constant $C$ such that $\left|\phi^{\prime \prime}(t)\right| \geq C$ for $t \in I$. Then $T_{e}^{\phi}$ given by

$$
T_{e}^{\phi} f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\int_{I} f\left(x_{1}-t, x_{2}-\phi(t)\right) d t
$$

satisfies $\left\|T_{e}^{\phi} f\right\|_{L^{3}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)} \leq C^{\prime}\|f\|_{L^{3 / 2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)}$ with some constant $C^{\prime}$ depending only on $C$.

LEMMA 2.2 ([1]). Let I be a compact interval and let $\phi: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a $C^{3}$ function. Suppose there exist constants $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ with $0<C_{1}<C_{2}<\infty$ such that
(1) $\phi^{\prime \prime}(t)$ never vanishes for $t \in I$;
(2) $C_{1} \phi^{\prime}(t)^{2} \leq\left|\phi(t) \phi^{\prime \prime}(t)\right| \leq C_{2} \phi^{\prime}(t)^{2}$ for $t \in I$;
(3) $\left|\phi(t) \phi^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)\right| \leq C_{2}\left|\phi^{\prime}(t) \phi^{\prime \prime}(t)\right|$ for $t \in I$;
(4) $\int_{I}\left|\frac{d}{d t}\left\{\sqrt{\phi(t) \phi^{\prime \prime}(t)} / \phi^{\prime}(t)\right\}\right| d t \leq C_{2}$.

Then $T_{a}^{\phi}$ given by

$$
T_{a}^{\phi} f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\int_{I} f\left(x_{1}-t, x_{2}-\phi(t)\right)\left|\phi^{\prime \prime}(t)\right|^{1 / 3} d t
$$

satisfies $\left\|T_{a}^{\phi} f\right\|_{L^{3}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)} \leq C_{3}\|f\|_{L^{3 / 2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)}$ with some constant $C_{3}$ depending only on $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$.

Suppose $1<k<l<\infty$ and $k+l \geq 5$. Let

$$
\phi(t)=t^{k}+\phi_{1}(t) \quad \text { and } \quad \psi(t)=t^{l}+\psi_{1}(t)
$$

We assume that, for $j=0,1, \ldots, 4$,

$$
\phi_{1}^{(j)}(t)=o\left(t^{k-j}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \psi_{1}^{(j)}(t)=o\left(t^{l-j}\right)
$$

as $t \rightarrow 0+$.
Let $\gamma(t)=(t, \phi(t), \psi(t))$ and let $\lambda(t)=\left|\phi^{\prime \prime}(t) \psi^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)-\phi^{\prime \prime \prime}(t) \psi^{\prime \prime}(t)\right|^{1 / 6}$. For $t_{0}>0$ sufficiently small, we consider the operator $T_{\sigma_{\gamma}}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{\sigma_{r}} f(x)=\int_{0}^{t_{0}} f(x-\gamma(t)) \lambda(t) d t \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, we have:

Proposition 2.3. For $t_{0}>0$ sufficiently small, $T_{\sigma_{\gamma}}$ defined by (2) maps $L^{3 / 2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ boundedly into $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$.

Proof. We begin with a lemma by Oberlin [5]:
LEMMA 2.4. If $T_{\sigma_{\gamma}}^{*} T_{\sigma_{\gamma}}$ maps $L^{3 / 2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ boundedly into $L^{3}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$, then $T_{\sigma_{\gamma}}$ is a bounded operator from $L^{3 / 2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ into $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$.

Proof. One has only to observe

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|T_{\sigma_{r}} f\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} & =\left\langle T_{\sigma_{r}} f, T_{\sigma_{r}} f\right\rangle=\left\langle T_{\sigma_{\gamma}}^{*} T_{\sigma_{r}} f, f\right\rangle \\
& \leq\left\|T_{\sigma_{\gamma}}^{*} T_{\sigma_{\gamma}} f\right\|_{L^{3}}\|f\|_{L^{3 / 2}} \leq\left\|T_{\sigma_{\gamma}}^{*} T_{\sigma_{\gamma}}\right\|_{L^{3 / 2} \rightarrow L^{3}}\|f\|_{L^{3 / 2}}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

which completes the proof of the lemma.
According to Lemma 2.4 and by symmetry, it suffices to show that $S$ defined by

$$
S f(x)=\iint_{0<s<t<10} f(x-\gamma(t)+\gamma(s)) \lambda(t) \lambda(s) d s d t
$$

is bounded from $L^{3 / 2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ into $L^{3}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ for some $t_{0}>0$. A change of variables

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u=t-s \\
v^{*}=\phi(t)-\phi(s)
\end{array}\right.
$$

and fractional integration theorem [11] reduce the proof to verifying the uniform boundedness of $\left\{\left\|S_{u}^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{3 / 2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right) \rightarrow L^{3}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)}\right\}_{0<u<t_{0}}$ for some $t_{0}>0$, where $S_{u}^{\prime}$ are given by

$$
S_{u}^{\prime} g\left(x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=u^{2 / 3} \int_{\phi(u)}^{\phi\left(t_{0}\right)-\phi\left(t_{0}-u\right)} g\left(x_{2}-v^{*}, x_{3}-\Psi_{u}\left(v^{*}\right)\right) \frac{\lambda(t) \lambda(s) d v^{*}}{\phi^{\prime}(t)-\phi^{\prime}(s)}
$$

with $\Psi_{u}\left(v^{*}\right)=\psi(t)-\psi(s)$.
A homogeneity argument further reduces the proof to establishing the uniform boundedness of $\left\{\left\|S_{u}\right\|_{L^{3 / 2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right) \rightarrow L^{3}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)}\right\}_{0<u<t_{0}}$ for some $t_{0}>0$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{u} g\left(x_{2}, x_{3} t\right) & =\int_{\phi(u) / u^{k}}^{\left(\phi\left(t_{0}\right)-\phi\left(t_{0}-u\right)\right) / u^{k}} g\left(x_{2}-v, x_{3}-\tilde{\Psi}_{u}(v)\right) J_{u}(v) d v, \\
J_{u}(v) & =u^{2 / 3-(k+1) / 3+k} \frac{\lambda(t) \lambda(s)}{\phi^{\prime}(t)-\phi^{\prime}(s)},
\end{aligned}
$$

with $\tilde{\Psi}_{u}(v)$ given by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\phi(t)-\phi(s)=u^{k} v \\
\psi(t)-\psi(s)=\Psi_{u}\left(u^{k} v\right) \equiv u^{i} \tilde{\Psi}_{u}(v)
\end{array}\right.
$$

To simplify our notation, we introduce $\bar{t}=t / u$ and $\bar{s}=s / u$. Then, we have:
Lemma 2.5. There exist $t_{0}>0, b>0, C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ such that
(I) If $0<u<t_{0}$ and $0<\bar{s} \leq b$, then
(1) $\tilde{\Psi}_{u}^{\prime \prime}(v) \geq C_{1}$;
(2) $J_{u}(v) \leq C_{2}$.
(II) If $0<u<t_{0}$ and $\bar{s} \geq b$, then
(1) $\tilde{\Psi}_{u}(v), \tilde{\Psi}_{u}^{\prime}(v), \tilde{\Psi}_{u}^{\prime \prime}(v)>0$;
(2) $C_{1} v^{(l-1) /(k-1)-j} \leq \tilde{\Psi}_{u}^{(j)}(v) \leq C_{2} v^{(l-1)(k-1)-j}, j=0,1,2$;
(3) $\left|\tilde{\Psi}_{u}^{\prime \prime \prime}(v)\right| \leq C_{2} v^{(l-1) /(k-1)-3}$;
(4) $\int_{s \geq b}^{u}\left|\frac{d}{d v}\left\{\tilde{\Psi}_{u}(v) \tilde{\Psi}_{u}^{\prime \prime}(v) / \tilde{\Psi}_{u}^{\prime}(v)^{2}\right\}\right| d v \leq C_{2}$.

Proof. Recall the following facts:
FACT 2.6. Let $k>0$. Then there exist positive constants $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ depending only on $k$ such that $C_{1}(t-s) t^{k-1} \leq t^{k}-s^{k} \leq C_{2}(t-s) t^{k-1}$ whenever $0<s \leq t<\infty$.

Fact 2.7. Let $k<0$. Then there exist positive constants $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ depending only on $k$ such that $C_{1}(t-s) s^{k} / t \leq s^{k}-t^{k} \leq C_{2}(t-s) s^{k} / t$ whenever $0<s \leq t<\infty$. In particular, if $t / s \geq \delta>0$, there exists a positive constant $C$ depending only on $\delta$ and $k$ such that $(1 / C)(t-s) t^{k-1} \leq s^{k}-t^{k} \leq C(t-s) t^{k-1}$.

Before we proceed, we observe that for any $\epsilon>0$ there exists $t_{0}>0$ such that if $0<\bar{s}<\bar{t}<t_{0} / u$ and $\bar{s} \leq b$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{u^{k-j}}\left|\phi_{1}^{(j)}(u \bar{t})-\phi_{1}^{(j)}(u \bar{s})\right| \leq \epsilon \bar{t}^{k-j-1}, \quad j=0,1 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{1}{u^{k-j}}\left|\phi_{1}^{(j)}(u \bar{t})-\phi_{1}^{(j)}(u \bar{s})\right| \leq \epsilon\left(\bar{t}^{k-3}+\bar{s}^{k-2}\right), & j=2 \\
\frac{1}{u^{k-j}}\left|\psi_{1}^{(j)}(u \bar{t})-\psi_{1}^{(j)}(u \bar{s})\right| \leq \epsilon \bar{t}^{l-j-1}, & j=0,1,2 \tag{5}
\end{array}
$$

and that if $0<\bar{s}<\bar{t}<t_{0} / u$ and $\bar{s} \geq b$,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{1}{u^{k-j}}\left|\phi_{1}^{(j)}(u \bar{t})-\phi_{1}^{(j)}(u \bar{s})\right| \leq \epsilon \bar{t}^{k-j-1}, & j=0,1,2,3 \\
\frac{1}{u^{k-j}}\left|\psi_{1}^{(j)}(u \bar{t})-\psi_{1}^{(j)}(u \bar{s})\right| \leq \epsilon \bar{t}^{l-j-1}, & j=0,1,2,3 \tag{7}
\end{array}
$$

Write

$$
\tilde{\Psi}_{u}^{\prime \prime}(v)=\frac{M_{1}(v)+P_{1}(v)}{\left\{\left(\phi^{\prime}(u \bar{t})-\phi^{\prime}(u \bar{s})\right) / u^{k-1}\right\}^{3}}
$$

where

$$
M_{1}(v)=(l-1)\left(\bar{t}^{l-2}-\bar{s}^{l-2}\right)\left(\bar{t}^{k-1}-\bar{s}^{k-1}\right)-(k-1)\left(\bar{t}^{l-1}-\bar{s}^{l-1}\right)\left(\bar{t}^{k-2}-\bar{s}^{k-2}\right)
$$

Then, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{1}(v)= & (l-1)\left(\bar{t}^{l+k-3}+\bar{s}^{l+k-3}-\bar{t}^{l-2} \bar{s}^{k-1}-\bar{t}^{k-1} \bar{s}^{l-2}\right) \\
& -(k-1)\left(\bar{t}^{l+k-3}+\bar{s}^{l+k-3}-\bar{t}^{k-2} \bar{s}^{l-1}-\bar{t}^{l-1} \bar{s}^{k-2}\right) \\
= & (l-k)\left(\bar{t}^{l+k-3}+\bar{s}^{l+k-3}\right)+\bar{t}^{l-2} \bar{s}^{k-2}((k-1) \bar{t}-(l-1) \bar{s}) \\
& +\bar{t}^{k-2} \bar{s}^{l-2}((k-1) \bar{s}-(l-1) \bar{t}) \\
= & (l-k)\left(\bar{t}^{l+k-3}+\bar{s}^{l+k-3}\right)+\bar{t}^{l-2} \bar{s}^{k-2}((k-l) \bar{s}+(k-1)) \\
& +\bar{t}^{k-2} \bar{s}^{l-2}((k-l) \bar{t}-(k-1)) \\
= & (l-k)\left(\bar{t}^{l+k-3}+\bar{s}^{l+k-3}-\bar{t}^{l-2} \bar{s}^{k-1}-\bar{t}^{k-1} \bar{s}^{l-2}\right) \\
& +(k-1)\left(\bar{t}^{-2-2} \bar{s}^{k-2}-\bar{t}^{k-2} \bar{s}^{l-2}\right) \\
= & (l-k)\left(\bar{t}^{l-2}-\bar{s}^{l-2}\right)\left(\bar{t}^{k-1}-\bar{s}^{k-1}\right)+(k-1) \bar{t}^{k-2} \bar{s}^{k-2}\left(\bar{t}^{l-k}-\bar{s}^{l-k}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we obtain $M_{1}(v) \geq c \max \left(\bar{t}^{k+l-5}, \bar{s}^{k-2}\right)$. From (3)-(7), we see that

$$
P_{1}(v) \leq \frac{c}{2} \max \left(\bar{t}^{k+l-5}, \bar{s}^{k-2}\right)
$$

Now, (I) is clear. Simple calculations show (a), (b) and (c) of (II). To verify (d), we write

$$
\frac{d}{d v} \frac{\tilde{\Psi}_{u}(v) \tilde{\Psi}_{u}^{\prime \prime}(v)}{\tilde{\Psi}_{u}^{\prime}(v)^{2}}=\frac{M_{2}(v)+P_{2}(v)}{\tilde{\Psi}_{u}(v)^{3}}
$$

where

$$
M_{2}(v)=\frac{l^{2}}{k^{4}} \frac{N(v)}{\left\{\left(\phi^{\prime}(u \bar{t})-\phi^{\prime}(u \bar{s})\right) / u^{k-1}\right\}^{6}}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
N(v)= & l\left(\bar{t}^{k-1}-\bar{s}^{k-1}\right)\left(\bar{t}^{l-1}-\bar{s}^{l-1}\right)^{2} A(v) \\
& +\left(\bar{t}^{l}-\bar{s}^{l}\right)\left(\bar{t}^{l-1}-\bar{s}^{l-1}\right)\left(B_{1}(v)-3(k-1) B_{2}(v)\right)-2\left(\bar{t}^{l}-\bar{s}^{l}\right) C(v)
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
A(v)= & (l-1)\left(\bar{t}^{l-2}-\bar{s}^{l-2}\right)\left(\bar{t}^{k-1}-\bar{s}^{k-1}\right)-(k-1)\left(\bar{t}^{l-1}-\bar{s}^{l-1}\right)\left(\bar{t}^{k-2}-\bar{s}^{k-2}\right) \\
B_{1}(v)= & \left(\bar{t}^{k-1}-\bar{s}^{k-1}\right)\left\{(l-1)(l-2)\left(\bar{t}^{l-3}-\bar{s}^{l-3}\right)\left(\bar{t}^{k-1}-\bar{s}^{k-1}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-(k-1)(k-2)\left(\bar{t}^{l-1}-\bar{s}^{l-1}\right)\left(\bar{t}^{k-3}-\bar{s}^{k-3}\right)\right\} \\
B_{2}(v)= & \left(\bar{t}^{k-2}-\bar{s}^{k-2}\right)\left\{(l-1)\left(\bar{t}^{l-2}-\bar{s}^{l-2}\right)\left(\bar{t}^{k-1}-\bar{s}^{k-1}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-(k-1)\left(\bar{t}^{l-1}-\bar{s}^{l-1}\right)\left(\bar{t}^{k-2}-\bar{s}^{k-2}\right)\right\} \\
C(v)= & \left\{(l-1)\left(\bar{t}^{l-2}-\bar{s}^{l-2}\right)\left(\bar{t}^{k-1}-\bar{s}^{k-1}\right)-(k-1)\left(\bar{t}^{l-1}-\bar{s}^{l-1}\right)\left(\bar{t}^{k-2}-\bar{s}^{k-2}\right)\right\}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

And so, we have $N(v)=\bar{t}^{2 k+3 l-6} \tilde{N}(\mu)$, where $\mu=\bar{s} / \bar{t}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{N}(\mu)= & l\left(1-\mu^{k-1}\right)\left(1-\mu^{l-1}\right)^{2} \tilde{A}(\mu) \\
& +\left(1-\mu^{l}\right)\left(1-\mu^{l-1}\right)\left(\tilde{B}_{1}(\mu)-3(k-1) \tilde{B}_{2}(\mu)\right)-2\left(1-\mu^{l}\right) \tilde{C}(\mu)
\end{aligned}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{A}(\mu)= & (l-1)\left(1-\mu^{l-2}\right)\left(1-\mu^{k-1}\right)-(k-1)\left(1-\mu^{l-1}\right)\left(1-\mu^{k-2}\right) \\
\tilde{B}_{1}(\mu)= & \left(1-\mu^{k-1}\right)\left\{(l-1)(l-2)\left(1-\mu^{l-3}\right)\left(1-\mu^{k-1}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-(k-1)(k-2)\left(1-\mu^{l-1}\right)\left(1-\mu^{k-3}\right)\right\} \\
\tilde{B}_{2}(\mu)= & \left(1-\mu^{k-2}\right)\left\{(l-1)\left(1-\mu^{l-2}\right)\left(1-\mu^{k-1}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-(k-1)\left(1-\mu^{l-1}\right)\left(1-\mu^{k-2}\right)\right\} \\
\tilde{C}(\mu)= & \left\{(l-1)\left(1-\mu^{l-2}\right)\left(1-\mu^{k-1}\right)-(k-1)\left(1-\mu^{l-1}\right)\left(1-\mu^{k-2}\right)\right\}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\tilde{N}(\mu)$ is real-analytic near $\mu=1$ and $\tilde{N}^{(j)}(1)=0, j=0,1, \ldots, 5$, we can conclude $|\tilde{N}(\mu)| \leq C(1-\mu)^{6}$ for $\mu$ sufficiently close to 1 . Altogether, we obtain

$$
\left|\frac{d}{d v} \frac{\tilde{\Psi}_{u}(v) \tilde{\Psi}_{u}^{\prime \prime}(v)}{\tilde{\Psi}_{u}^{\prime}(v)^{2}}\right| \leq C \bar{t}^{-k}
$$

for $\bar{s} \geq b>0$. In other words,

$$
\left|\frac{d}{d v} \frac{\tilde{\Psi}_{u}(v) \tilde{\Psi}_{u}^{\prime \prime}(v)}{\tilde{\Psi}_{u}^{\prime}(v)^{2}}\right| \leq C v^{-k /(k-1)}
$$

whenever $v \geq v_{0}>0$. From $k /(k-1)>1$ we see (d) and the proof of the lemma is complete.

To finish the proof of the proposition, we decompose $S_{u}=S_{u, 1}+S_{u, 2}$ by

$$
S_{u, 1} g\left(x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=\int_{\phi(u) / u^{k}}^{v_{0}} g\left(x_{2}-v, x_{3}-\tilde{\Psi}_{u}(v)\right) J_{u}(v) d v
$$

and

$$
S_{u, 2} g\left(x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=\int_{v_{0}}^{\left(\phi\left(t_{0}\right)-\phi\left(t_{0}-u\right)\right) / u^{k}} g\left(x_{2}-v, x_{3}-\tilde{\Psi}_{u}(v)\right) J_{u}(v) d v
$$

Then, we have $\left|S_{u, 1} g\right| \leq C S_{u, 1}^{0}|g|$ and $\left|S_{u, 2} g\right| \leq C S_{u, 2}^{0}|g|$, where

$$
S_{u, 1}^{0} g\left(x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=\int_{\phi(u) / u^{k}}^{v_{0}} g\left(x_{2}-v, x_{3}-\tilde{\Psi}_{u}(v)\right) d v
$$

and

$$
S_{u, 2}^{0} g\left(x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=\int_{v_{0}}^{\left(\phi\left(t_{0}\right)-\phi\left(t_{0}-u\right)\right) / u^{k}} g\left(x_{2}-v, x_{3}-\tilde{\Psi}_{u}(v)\right)\left|\tilde{\Psi}_{u}^{\prime \prime}(v)\right|^{1 / 3} d v
$$

Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2 apply to $S_{u, 1}^{0}$ and $S_{u, 2}^{0}$. The proof is now finished.

REMARK 2.8. An analytic interpolation gives the $L^{p}-L^{q}$ boundedness of $T_{\gamma}$ given by $T_{\gamma} f(x)=\int_{l} f(x-\gamma(t)) d t$, for $(1 / p, 1 / q) \in$ int $\mathscr{T}_{0}^{k, l}$, where $\gamma$ is as in Proposition 2.3.

## 3. Curves of finite type

Recall the following result on non-degenerate curves.
Proposition 3.1 (Pan [6]). Let $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2} \in C^{3}(I)$. Suppose that for any $t \in I$, $\left(\gamma_{1}^{(2)}(t), \gamma_{2}^{(2)}(t)\right)$ and $\left(\gamma_{1}^{(3)}(t), \gamma_{2}^{(3)}(t)\right)$ span $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. Then the convolution operator $T$ defined by $T f(x)=\int_{I} f\left(t, \gamma_{1}(t), \gamma_{2}(t)\right) d t$ maps $L^{3 / 2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ boundedly into $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$.

By compactness there are only finitely many degenerate points on the curve. Since $\gamma^{\prime}(t)$ never vanishes, Proposition 3.1, a partition of unity argument and a
re-parametrization around each degenerate point followed by a suitable affine motion in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ allow us to assume

$$
\gamma(t)=\left(t+\gamma_{1}(t), t^{k}+\gamma_{2}(t), t^{t}+\gamma_{3}(t)\right), \quad 0<t<t_{0}
$$

with $t_{0}>0$ (as small as we want) and $k, l \in \mathbb{N}, 1<k<l$. The perturbation terms are smooth and also satisfy

$$
\gamma_{1}^{(j)}(t)=O\left(t^{-j+2}\right), \quad \gamma_{2}^{(j)}(t)=O\left(t^{k-j+1}\right), \quad \gamma_{3}^{(j)}(t)=O\left(t^{1-j+1}\right)
$$

as $t \rightarrow 0+$, for $j=0,1,2,3,4$. A re-parametrization $s=t+\gamma_{1}(t)$ will bring $\gamma$ into the form which can be handled by Proposition 2.3.

REMARK 3.2. (1) The smoothness assumption on $\gamma$ can be weakened in Theorem 1.2.
(2) The type set for $T_{\sigma_{\gamma}}$ in Proposition 2.3, Theorem 1.2 and Corollary 3.3 can be identified with $\mathscr{T}$.
(3) Since a real-analytic curve not contained in a hyperplane is of finite type, we have the following:

COROLLARY 3.3. Let $\gamma$ be a compact real-analytic space curve with non-vanishing tangents and let $T_{\sigma_{r}} f=\sigma_{\gamma} * f$, where $\sigma_{\gamma}$ is the affine arclength measure on the curve $\gamma$. Then $T_{\sigma_{\gamma}}$ maps $L^{3 / 2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ boundedly into $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$.
(4) As in Drury [3], one can prove the following result on Fourier restriction:

COROLLARY 3.4. Let $\gamma: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}$ be a smooth compact curve of finite type in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ with non-vanishing tangents. Then $\|\widehat{f}\|_{L^{q}\left(d \sigma_{y}\right)} \leq\|f\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}$, whenever $6 / p+1 / q=6$ and $1 \leq p<36 / 31$.

## 4. A flat example

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.3. By Corollary 3.3, we have only to show that there exists $t_{0}>0$ such that $T_{\sigma_{\gamma}}^{t_{0}}$ is bounded from $L^{3 / 2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ into $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$. For simplicity of notation, put $\psi(t)=e^{-1 / t}$, and $\lambda(t)=\left|\psi^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)\right|^{1 / 6}$. A homogeneity argument reduces the proof to obtaining a uniform estimate on $\left\{\left\|S_{u}\right\|_{L^{3 / 2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right) \mapsto L^{3}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)}\right\}_{0<u<t_{0}}$ for some $t_{0}>0$, where $S_{u}$ are the operators given by

$$
S_{u} g\left(x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=u^{1 / 3} \int_{0}^{b-u} g\left(x_{2}-s, x_{3}-\psi(s+u)+\psi(s)\right) \lambda(s+u) \lambda(s) d s
$$

The following observation suggested by Professor Stephen Wainger will be useful:

Proposition 4.1. Let $N$ be a positive integer. For $s>0$ we let $\psi(s)=e^{-1 / s}$ and for $u, s>0$ we let $\psi_{u}(s)=\psi(s+u)-\psi(s)$.

Then there exists a positive real number $t_{0}$ depending only on $N$ such that for $j=0,1, \ldots, N$, the following hold
(i) $\psi_{u}^{(j)}(s)>0$, if $0<s<t_{0}$;
(ii) $\psi_{u}^{(j)}(s) \sim \psi^{(j)}(s+u)$, if $0<u<t_{0}, 0<s<t_{0}-u$, and $u \geq s^{2}$;
(iii) $\psi_{u}^{(j)}(s) \sim u / s^{2} \psi^{(j)}(s+u)$, if $0<u<t_{0}, 0<s<t_{0}-u$ and $u \leq s^{2}$.

PROOF. (i) One has only to observe that for $j \geq 1$

$$
\psi^{(j)}(s)=\frac{1-Q_{j}(s)}{s^{2 j}} e^{-1 / s}
$$

with a polynomial $Q_{j}(s)$ of degree $j-1$ which vanishes at $s=0$.
(ii) It suffices to show the existence of $t_{0}>0$ and a constant $C_{j} \in(0,1)$ such that

$$
\frac{\psi^{(j)}(s)}{\psi^{(j)}(s+u)} \leq C_{j},
$$

whenever $0<s<t_{0}-u$ and $u \geq s^{2}$. This amounts to show

$$
F(s, u) \equiv \frac{(s+u)^{2 j}}{s^{2 j}} e^{-1 / s+1 /(s+u)} \leq C_{j} .
$$

For $0<t_{0}<1$ to be determined later, let $0<u<t_{0}$ and $u \geq s^{2}$. Suppose $u \leq t_{0} s$. Then

$$
F(s, u) \leq\left(1+t_{0}\right)^{2 j} e^{-1 /(u \sqrt{u}(\sqrt{u}+u))} \leq\left(1+t_{0}\right)^{2 j} e^{-1 / 2} .
$$

On the other hand, if $u \geq t_{0} s$, we have

$$
F(s, u) \leq\left(1+\frac{u}{s}\right)^{2 j} e^{-u / s_{0}} \leq\left(1+t_{0}\right)^{2 j} e^{-1} .
$$

Here, the last inequality follows from the fact that $G(y)=(1+y)^{a} e^{-y / 6}$ is monotone decreasing on $0<y<\infty$, if $0<t_{0}<1 / a$. It is only a matter of choosing $t_{0}>0$ small enough.
(iii) One needs to estimate

$$
J=\frac{\psi^{(j)}(s+u)-\psi^{(j)}(s)}{\left(u / s^{2}\right) \psi^{(j)}(s+u)}
$$

We write $J=J_{1}+J_{2}+J_{3}$, where

$$
J_{1}=\frac{1-\left(1-Q_{j}(s)\right) /\left(1-Q_{j}(s+u)\right)}{u / s^{2}}, \quad J_{2}=\frac{1-(1+u / s)^{2 j}}{u / s^{2}} \frac{1-Q_{j}(s)}{1-Q_{j}(s+u)}
$$

and

$$
J_{3}=\left(1+\frac{u}{s}\right)^{2 j} \frac{1-Q_{j}(s)}{1-Q_{j}(s+u)} \frac{1-e^{-u / s(s+u)}}{u / s^{2}}
$$

By continuity of $Q_{j}$ and from $Q_{j}(0)=0$, one can choose $t_{0}>0$ such that

$$
\left|J_{1}\right| \leq 2 t_{0}^{2} \sup _{0 \leq s \leq t_{0}}\left|Q_{j}^{\prime}(s)\right|, \quad\left|J_{2}\right| \leq 2^{2 j+1} j t_{0}
$$

Since for any $0 \leq y \leq 1$, $\left(1-e^{-1}\right) y \leq 1-e^{-y} \leq y$, we have $J_{3} \sim 1$, which in turn implies $J \sim 1$. The proof of Proposition 4.1 is now complete.

## Implications of Proposition 4.1 are

(1) $\psi_{u}(s), \psi_{u}^{\prime}(s), \psi_{u}^{\prime \prime}(s)>0$;
(2) $0<C_{1} \leq \psi_{u}(s) \psi_{u}^{\prime \prime}(s) / \psi_{u}^{\prime}(s)^{2} \leq C_{2}<\infty$;
(3) $\psi_{u}(s) \psi_{u}^{\prime \prime \prime}(s) \leq C_{2} \psi_{u}^{\prime}(s) \psi_{u}^{\prime \prime}(s)$.

The following lemma shows that $S_{u}$ can be dominated by the convolution operator with the affine arclength measure on the curve $v \mapsto \psi_{u}(v)$.

Lemma 4.2. Let $t_{0}>0$ be sufficiently small and let

$$
R_{u}(s)=\frac{u^{1 / 3} \lambda(s+u) \lambda(s)}{\psi_{u}^{\prime \prime}(s)^{1 / 3}}
$$

for $0<u<t_{0}$ and $0<s<t_{0}-u$. Then there exists a constant $C_{2}$ such that $R_{u}(s) \leq C_{2}$.

Proof. There are three cases to consider:
Case I: $u \leq s^{2}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{u}(s) & \leq C u^{1 / 3} \frac{e^{-1 /(6 s)-1 /(6(s+u))} /(s(s+u))}{\left(u / s^{2}\right)^{1 / 3} e^{-1 /(3(s+u))} /(s+u)^{4 / 3}} \\
& =C\left(\frac{s+u}{s}\right)^{1 / 3} e^{-1 /(6 s)+1 /(6(s+u))} \leq C_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Case II: $s^{2} \leq u \leq s$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{u}(s) & \leq C u^{1 / 3} \frac{(s+u)^{1 / 3}}{s} e^{-1 /(6 s)+1 /(6(s+u))} \\
& \leq C \frac{u^{1 / 3}(s+u)^{1 / 3}}{s} e^{-u /(6 s(s+u))} \leq C\left(\frac{u}{s^{2}}\right)^{1 / 3} e^{-u /\left(12 s^{2}\right)} \leq C_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Case III: $s \leq u$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{u}(s) & \leq C u^{2 / 3} s^{-1} e^{-1 /(6 s)+1 /(6(s+u))} \\
& \leq C u^{2 / 3} u^{-1} e^{-1 /(12 u)} \leq C u^{-1 / 3} e^{-1 /(12 u)} \leq C_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that the second inequality follows from the following lemma:
Lemma 4.3. Let $t_{0}, u$ and $s$ be as in Lemma 4.2. Then $G_{u}$ defined by

$$
G_{u}(s)=s^{-1} e^{-1 /(6 s)+1 /(6(s+u))}
$$

is monotone increasing on $0<s \leq u$.
Proof. From $\log G_{u}(s)=-\log s-1 /(6 s)+1 /(6(s+u))$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{G_{u}^{\prime}(s)}{G_{u}(s)} & =-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{6 s^{2}}-\frac{1}{6(s+u)^{2}} \geq \frac{1}{12 s^{2}}-\frac{1}{6(s+u)^{2}} \\
& \geq \frac{1}{12 s^{2}}-\frac{1}{24 s^{2}}=\frac{1}{24 s^{2}}>0
\end{aligned}
$$

assuming $t_{0}$ is sufficiently small. This finishes the proof of Lemma 4.3 and Lemma 4.2.

According to Lemma 2.2, it remains to prove that $\rho_{u}(s)$ has a bounded variation. To see this, one has only to observe:

LEMMA 4.4. There exists $t_{0}>0$ such that, for $u \in\left(0, t_{0}\right)$, the function

$$
\rho_{u}(s)=\frac{\psi_{u}(s) \psi_{u}^{\prime \prime}(s)}{\psi_{u}^{\prime}(s)^{2}}
$$

is decreasing on $\left(0, t_{0}-u\right)$.
Proof.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{d s} \rho_{u}(s)= & -\frac{1-2(s+u)}{\left(1-(1+u / s)^{2} e^{-u /(s(s+u))}\right)^{3}}\left\{\mathrm{I} \frac{u(2 s+u)}{(s(s+u))^{2}}+\Pi\right\} e^{-u /(s(s+u))} \\
& -2\left\{\frac{\left(1-\frac{1-2 s}{1-2(s+u)}(1+u / s)^{4} e^{-u /(s(s+u))}\right)\left(1-e^{-u /(s(s+u))}\right)}{\left(1-(1+u / s)^{2} e^{-u /(s(s+u))}\right)^{2}}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Here, we put

$$
\mathrm{I}=\frac{1-2 s}{1-2(s+u)}\left(1+\frac{u}{s}\right)^{4}\left(1-e^{-u /(s(s+u))}\right)\left(1-\left(1+\frac{u}{s}\right)^{2} e^{-u /(s(s+u))}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\left(1-\frac{1-2 s}{1-2(s+u)}\left(1+\frac{u}{s}\right)^{4} e^{-u /(s(s+u))}\right)\left(1-\left(1+\frac{u}{s}\right)^{2} e^{-u /(s(s+u))}\right) \\
& -2\left(1+\frac{u}{s}\right)^{2}\left(1-e^{-u /(s(s+u))}\right)\left(1-\frac{1-2 s}{1-2(s+u)}\left(1+\frac{u}{s}\right)^{4} e^{-u /(s(s+u))}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{II}= & \frac{4 u}{(1-2(s+u))^{2}}\left(1+\frac{u}{s}\right)^{4}\left(1-e^{-u /(s(s+u))}\right)\left(1-\left(1+\frac{u}{s}\right)^{2} e^{-u /(s(s+u))}\right) \\
& -\frac{4 u}{s^{2}} \frac{1-2 s}{1-2(s+u)}\left(1+\frac{u}{s}\right)^{3}\left(1-e^{-u /(s(s+u))}\right)\left(1-\left(1+\frac{u}{s}\right)^{2} e^{-u /(s(s+u))}\right) \\
& -\frac{4 u}{s^{2}}\left(1+\frac{u}{s}\right)\left(1-e^{-u /(s(s+u))}\right)\left(1-\frac{1-2 s}{1-2(s+u)}\left(1+\frac{u}{s}\right)^{4} e^{-u /(s(s+u))}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We write

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{I}= & \left\{\frac{1-2 s}{1-2(s+u)}\left(1+\frac{u}{s}\right)^{4}-2\left(1+\frac{u}{s}\right)^{2}+1\right\} \\
& +\left(1+\frac{u}{s}\right)^{2}\left\{\frac{1-2 s}{1-2(s+u)}\left(1+\frac{u}{s}\right)^{4}-\frac{2(1-2 s)}{1-2(s+u)}\left(1+\frac{u}{s}\right)^{2}+1\right\} e^{-u /(s(s+u))} \\
= & \left\{\frac{1-2 s}{1-2(s+u)}\left(1+\frac{u}{s}\right)^{4}-2\left(1+\frac{u}{s}\right)^{2}+1\right\} \\
& +\left(1+\frac{u}{s}\right)^{2} \frac{1-2 s}{1-2(s+u)}\left\{\left(\left(1+\frac{u}{s}\right)^{2}-1\right)^{2}-\frac{2 u}{1-2(s+u)}\right\} e^{-u /(s(s+u))} \\
= & A+B
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{II}= & \frac{4 u}{(1-2(s+u))^{2}}\left(1+\frac{u}{s}\right)^{4}\left(1-e^{-u /(s(s+u))}\right)\left(1-\left(1+\frac{u}{s}\right)^{2} e^{-u /(s(s+u))}\right) \\
& -\frac{4 u}{s^{2}}\left(1+\frac{u}{s}\right)\left\{\frac{1-2 s}{1-2(s+u)}\left(1+\frac{u}{s}\right)^{2}-1\right\}\left(1-e^{-u /(s(s+u))}\right) \\
= & C+D .
\end{aligned}
$$

When $s \leq \sqrt{u}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{B}{(1+u / s)^{2}[(1-2 s) /(1-2(s+u))] e^{-u /(s(s+u))}} \\
& \quad=\left(\left(1+\frac{u}{s}\right)^{2}-1\right)^{2}-\frac{2 u}{1-2(s+u)} \geq\left((1+\sqrt{u})^{2}-1\right)^{2}-\frac{2 u}{1-t_{0}} \\
& \quad=4 u+4 u \sqrt{u}+u^{2}-\frac{2 u}{1-t_{0}} \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

with $t_{0}>0$ chosen sufficiently small. For $u / 4 \leq s \leq \sqrt{u}$, we have $A \geq 4 u / s$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
A \frac{u(2 s+u)}{(s(s+u))^{2}}+D & \geq \frac{4 u}{s^{2}} \frac{u}{s(s+u)}+D \\
& =\frac{4 u}{s^{2}}\left\{\frac{u}{s(s+u)}-5\left(\frac{1-2 s}{1-2(s+u)}\left(1+\frac{u}{s}\right)^{2}-1\right)\right\} \\
& \geq \frac{4 u}{s^{2}}\left\{\frac{1}{t_{0}} \frac{u}{s}-200 u-30 \frac{u}{s}\right\} \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

For $0<s \leq u / 4$, we have $A \geq(u / s)^{4}$ and

$$
A \frac{u(2 s+u)}{(s(s+u))^{2}}+D \geq \frac{u}{s^{2}}\left\{\frac{u^{4}}{s^{3}(s+u)}-64 u-3\left(\frac{u}{s}\right)^{2}\right\} \geq 0
$$

Therefore, for $s \leq \sqrt{u}$, we have

$$
\frac{d}{d s}\left\{\frac{\psi_{u}(s) \psi_{u}^{\prime \prime}(s)}{\psi_{u}^{\prime}(s)^{2}}\right\} \leq 0
$$

For $s \geq \sqrt{u}$, we have

$$
A \geq 4 u / s, \quad B \geq-4 u, \quad D \geq-24\left(u / s^{2}\right)^{2}(u / s)
$$

and so

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{A}{2}+B \geq 2 u\left(\frac{1}{s}-1\right) \geq 0 \\
\frac{A}{2} \frac{u(2 s+u)}{(s(s+u))^{2}}+D \geq \frac{4 u^{2}}{s^{3}} \frac{1}{s+u}\left(1-6 t_{0}\right) \geq 0
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence, for $s \geq \sqrt{u}$, we have

$$
\frac{d}{d s} \frac{\psi_{u}(s) \psi_{u}^{\prime \prime}(s)}{\psi_{u}^{\prime}(s)^{2}} \leq 0
$$

This finishes the proof of Lemma 4.4 and Theorem 1.3.
Remark 4.5. We can identify the type set for $T_{\sigma_{\gamma}}^{t_{0}}$ with $\mathscr{T}$.
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