

# RUDIN SYNTHESIS ON HOMOGENEOUS BANACH ALGEBRAS

RONG-SONG JIH and HWAI-CHIUAN WANG

(Received 20 November 1978; revised 25 September 1979)

Communicated by E. Strzelecki

## Abstract

The main results of this article are

- (I) Let  $B$  be a homogeneous Banach algebra,  $A$  a closed subalgebra of  $B$ , and  $I$  the largest closed ideal of  $B$  contained in  $A$ . We assert that  $\overline{\mathbf{P}(A)}^B = \overline{I+J}^B$  for some closed subalgebra  $J$  of  $B$ . Furthermore, under suitable conditions, we show that  $A$  is an  $R$ -subalgebra if and only if  $J$  is an  $R$ -subalgebra. A number of concrete closed subalgebras of a homogeneous Banach algebra therefore are  $R$ -subalgebras. For the definition of  $\mathbf{P}(A)$  and that of an  $R$ -subalgebra, see the introduction in Section 1.
- (II) We give sufficient and necessary conditions for a closed subalgebra of  $L^p(G)$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , to be an  $R$ -subalgebra.

1980 *Mathematics subject classification* (Amer. Math. Soc.): 43 A 15, 43 A 45, 46 J 30.

## 1. Introduction

Throughout this article, let  $G$  be an infinite compact abelian group with character group  $\Gamma$  and  $T$  the circle group. Rudin (1962), Chapter 9, began to investigate the structure of closed subalgebras of  $L^1(G)$  by a synthesis method, called Rudin synthesis or simply  $R$ -synthesis. As a matter of fact, Rudin's synthesis method can be applied to homogeneous Banach algebras.

**DEFINITION 1.1.** Let  $G$  be an infinite compact abelian group. By a homogeneous Banach algebra on  $G$ , we mean a subalgebra  $B(G)$  of  $L^1(G)$  which is itself a Banach algebra under suitable norm  $\|\cdot\|_B$  with  $\|\cdot\|_B \geq \|\cdot\|_1$ , convolution as multiplication and possessing the following homogeneous properties:

- (I) If  $f \in B(G)$ ,  $x \in G$ , then  $f_x \in B(G)$  and  $\|f_x\|_B = \|f\|_B$  where  $f_x(y) = f(y-x)$ .
- (II) For each  $f$  in  $B(G)$ ,  $x \rightarrow f_x$  is a continuous map of  $G$  into  $(B(G), \|\cdot\|_B)$ .

The research in this paper was partially supported by the National Science Council, Republic of China.

A homogeneous Banach algebra  $B(G)$  is called a Segal algebra if  $B(G)$  is dense in  $(L^1(G), \|\cdot\|_1)$ . For properties of homogeneous Banach algebras, see Šilov (1954), Reiter (1968, 1971) and Wang (1972, 1977).

A closed subalgebra  $A$  of a homogeneous Banach algebra  $B(G)$  induces an equivalence relation  $\sim$  on  $\Gamma$  where  $\gamma_1 \sim \gamma_2$  if and only if  $\hat{f}(\gamma_1) = \hat{f}(\gamma_2)$  for all  $f$  in  $A$ . Denote the equivalence classes by  $(\Delta_\alpha)$  called the Rudin classes (or simply, the  $R$ -classes) induced by  $A$ . By the Riemann–Lebesgue lemma, each  $\Delta_\alpha$  is finite except possibly for  $\Delta_0$  where  $\Delta_0 = \{\gamma \in \Gamma : \hat{f}(\gamma) = 0, \forall f \in A\}$ . Let  $\mathbf{P}(A)$  be the subalgebra generated by the trigonometric polynomials  $P_\alpha, \alpha \neq 0$ , such that  $\hat{P}_\alpha = \chi_{\Delta_\alpha}$ , the characteristic function of  $\Delta_\alpha$ , and

$$A^{B(G)} = \{f \in B(G) : \hat{f}(\Delta_0) = 0, \hat{f}(\Delta_\alpha) = \text{constant}, \alpha \neq 0\}.$$

Rudin (1962), Chapter 9, proved that  $\overline{\mathbf{P}(A)}^B$  and  $A^{B(G)}$  are the minimal and the maximal closed subalgebra of  $B(G)$  inducing the same  $R$ -classes  $(\Delta_\alpha)$ .

**DEFINITION 1.2.** A closed subalgebra  $A$  of a homogeneous Banach algebra  $B(G)$  is called an  $R$ -subalgebra if  $\overline{\mathbf{P}(A)}^B = A = A^{B(G)}$  or equivalently, if each  $f \in B(G)$  with  $\hat{f}(\Delta_0) = 0, \hat{f} = \text{constant}$  on  $\Delta_\alpha, \alpha \neq 0$ , can be approximated by trigonometric polynomials  $P$  such that  $\hat{P}$  are constant on  $\Delta_\alpha, \alpha \neq 0$ . We say that Rudin synthesis (or simply,  $R$ -synthesis) holds for  $B(G)$  if every closed subalgebra of  $B(G)$  is an  $R$ -subalgebra. Otherwise we say that Rudin synthesis fails for  $B(G)$ .

Kahane (1965) and Rider (1969) proved that  $R$ -synthesis fails for  $L^1(T)$  and  $L^p(T), 1 < p < 2$ , respectively. Tseng-Wang (1975) proved that, for the  $A^p(T)$ -algebras,  $R$ -synthesis holds for  $1 \leq p \leq 2$  and fails for  $2 < p < \infty$ . In this article, we study  $R$ -synthesis of homogeneous Banach algebras through their largest closed ideals. For a closed subalgebra  $A$  of a homogeneous Banach algebra  $B(G)$ , we first assert that  $\overline{\mathbf{P}(A)}^B = \overline{I+J}^B$  where  $I$  is the largest closed ideal of  $B(G)$  contained in  $A$  and  $J$  a closed subalgebra of  $B(G)$ . Various characterizations of  $I$  are given. A number of concrete closed subalgebras of homogeneous Banach algebras therefore are  $R$ -subalgebras. Stimulated by the ideas of Friedberg (1970), we give sufficient and necessary conditions for a closed subalgebra  $A$  of  $L^p(G), 1 < p < \infty$ , to be an  $R$ -subalgebra. Finally, we give a simple and different proof of Edward’s result :  $R$ -synthesis holds for  $L^2(T)$ .

## 2. Rudin synthesis for homogeneous Banach algebras

Let  $\{I_\alpha\}$  be the family of all ideals of  $B(G)$  contained in the closed subalgebra  $A, \Sigma I_\alpha$  the ideal of all finite sums  $f_1 + \dots + f_n, f_i \in I_{\alpha_i}$ . Then the  $B$ -closure  $I$  of  $\Sigma I_\alpha$  is the largest

closed ideal of  $B(G)$  contained in  $A$ . Let  $(\Delta_\alpha)$  be the  $R$ -classes induced by  $A$ ,  $Z(I)$  the zero set of  $I$ ,  $P_1 = \{P_\alpha \mid \alpha \neq 0, \Delta_\alpha \text{ is a singleton}\}$ , and  $P_2 = \{P_\alpha \mid \alpha \neq 0, \Delta_\alpha \text{ is not a singleton}\}$ . Then we have

**THEOREM 2.1.** (I) *Let  $J$  be a closed ideal of  $B(G)$ , then  $J$  is the closed linear space of the set, say  $K$ , of characters it contains. In particular, ideals of  $B(G)$  are  $R$ -subalgebras.*  
 (II) *The zero set  $Z(J)$  of a closed ideal  $J$  of  $B(G)$  is the complement of  $K$  in  $\Gamma$ .*

The proof follows from Wang (1977), Theorem 9.1.

**THEOREM 2.2.** *Let  $A$  be a closed subalgebra of a homogeneous Banach algebra  $B(G)$ ,  $I$  the largest closed ideal of  $B(G)$  contained in  $A$ , and  $P_1 = \{P_\alpha \mid \alpha \neq 0, \Delta_\alpha \text{ is a singleton}\}$ . Then*

- (I)  *$I$  is the closed linear span of  $P_1$ ,  $I \subset \overline{\mathbf{P}(A)}^B$ , and  $Z(I) = \Delta_0 \cup (\bigcup_\alpha \Delta_\alpha)$  where  $\Delta_\alpha$  is not a singleton.*
- (II) *Any two closed subalgebras which induce the same  $R$ -classes have the same largest closed ideal.*
- (III)  *$A$  is an  $R$ -subalgebra if and only if  $(\overline{\mathbf{P}(A)}^B)^\wedge|_{Z(I)} = (A^{B(G)})^\wedge|_{Z(I)}$ .*

**PROOF.** It suffices to prove (III). If  $f \in A^{B(G)}$  and  $f = g$  on  $Z(I)$  where  $g \in \overline{\mathbf{P}(A)}^B$ , then  $f - g \in I$ , so that  $f - g$  is in the closed span of  $P_1$ , or  $f - g \in \overline{\mathbf{P}(A)}^B$ .

**LEMMA 2.3.** *Let  $C(G)$  be the Segal algebra of all complex-valued continuous functions on  $G$  and  $S(G)$  a Segal algebra containing  $C(G)$ ,  $H$  a closed ideal of  $S(G)$ . For any  $\mu$  in the dual  $S(G)^*$  of  $S(G)$ , we have  $h \perp \mu$  for all  $h \in H$  if and only if  $h * \mu = 0$  for all  $h \in H$ .*

**PROOF.** Since  $C(G)^* = M(G)$ ,  $S(G)^* \subset M(G)$ . Recall that  $M(G) * S(G) = S(G)$  (see Wang (1977)). For  $g \in S(G)$ ,  $h \in H$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \langle h * g, \mu \rangle \text{ since } H \perp \mu \text{ and } h * g \in H \\ &= \int (h * g)(-y) d\mu(y) = \iint g(x) h(-y-x) dx d\mu(y) \\ &= \int g(x) d(h * \mu)(-x) = \langle g, h * \mu \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $h * \mu = 0$  for all  $h \in H$ . Conversely, suppose that  $h * \mu = 0$  for all  $h \in H$ . Let  $(K_n)$  be an approximate identity. For  $h \in H$ , we have  $0 = \langle K_n, h * \mu \rangle = \langle K_n * h, \mu \rangle$ . Since  $\|K_n * h - h\|_s \rightarrow 0$ , we have  $\langle h, \mu \rangle = 0$ . This completes the proof.

For  $H \subset S(G)$ ,  $K \subset S(G)^*$  and  $\mu \in S(G)^*$ , define

$$H^\perp = \{\varphi \in S(G)^* : \langle h, \varphi \rangle = 0 \ \forall h \in H\}, \quad K^\perp = \{f \in S(G) : \langle f, \varphi \rangle = 0, \ \forall \varphi \in K\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{I}_\mu = \{g \in S(G) : g * \mu = 0\}.$$

Then  $H^\perp$  and  $K^\perp$  are closed linear subspace of  $S(G)$  and  $S(G)^*$  respectively. Moreover, if  $H$  and  $K$  are ideals then so are  $H^\perp$  and  $K^\perp$ . Clearly  $\mathcal{I}_\mu$  is a closed ideal of  $S(G)$  contained in  $\{\mu\}^\perp$ .

**THEOREM 2.4.** *Let  $S(G)$  be a Segal algebra containing  $C(G)$ ,  $A$  a closed subalgebra of  $S(G)$  and  $I$  the largest closed ideal of  $S(G)$  contained in  $A$ . Then  $I = \bigcap_{\mu \in A^\perp} \mathcal{I}_\mu$ .*

**PROOF.** By Lemma 2.3,  $I \subset \bigcap_{\mu \in A^\perp} \mathcal{I}_\mu$ . Since

$$\bigcap_{\mu \in A^\perp} \mathcal{I}_\mu \subset \bigcap_{\mu \in A^\perp} \{\mu\} = (A^\perp)^\perp = \bar{A} = A$$

and  $\bigcap_{\mu \in A^\perp} \mathcal{I}_\mu$  is a closed ideal of  $S(G)$ ,  $\bigcap_{\mu \in A^\perp} \mathcal{I}_\mu \subset I$ . Therefore  $I = \bigcap_{\mu \in A^\perp} \mathcal{I}_\mu$ .

Let  $A$  be a closed subalgebra of a homogeneous Banach algebra  $B(G)$  inducing the  $R$ -classes  $(\Delta_\alpha)$ ,  $P_2 = \{P_\alpha \mid \alpha \neq 0, \Delta_\alpha \text{ is not a singleton}\}$ , and  $J$  be the closed span of  $P_2$ . Clearly  $I \cap J = \{0\}$ . Note that, for the  $R$ -classes  $(\nabla_\lambda)$  induced by  $J$ , then  $\nabla_0 = \Delta_0 \cup \Delta_\alpha$  where  $\Delta_\alpha$  are singletons. We claim that  $\overline{P(A)}^B = \bar{I} + \bar{J}^B$ . In fact,  $I + J$  and  $P(A)$  are both spanned by  $P_1 \cup P_2$ .

**THEOREM 2.5.** *If  $Z(I)$  is in the coset ring of  $\Gamma$ , then  $A^{B(G)} = I + J^{B(G)}$  and  $\overline{P(A)}^B = I + J$ . In this case,  $A$  is an  $R$ -subalgebra if and only if  $J$  is an  $R$ -subalgebra.*

**PROOF.** By a well-known result of Cohen (see Rudin (1962), 3.1.3), there exists  $\mu \in M(G)$  with  $\hat{\mu} = \chi_{Z(I)}$ . For  $f \in A^{B(G)}$ , we have  $f - f * \mu \in B$  and  $(f - f * \mu)^\wedge|_{Z(I)} = 0$ . Hence  $f - f * \mu \in I$ . But  $f * \mu \in J^{B(G)}$  so  $f \in I + J^{B(G)}$  or  $A^{B(G)} \subset I + J^{B(G)}$ . Clearly  $I + J^{B(G)} \subset A^{B(G)}$ . We conclude that  $A^{B(G)} = I + J^{B(G)}$ .

For  $g \in \overline{P(A)}^B$ , there exists  $(P_n) \subset P(A)$  with  $\|P_n - g\|_B \rightarrow 0$ . Since

$$\|P_n * \mu - g * \mu\|_B \leq \|\mu\|_M \|P_n - g\|_B \rightarrow 0$$

and  $P_n * \mu \in P(J)$ , we have  $g * \mu \in \overline{P(J)} = J$ . Since  $(g - g * \mu)^\wedge|_{Z(I)} = 0$ ,  $g - g * \mu \in I$ . Thus  $g = (g - g * \mu) + g * \mu \in I + J$ . We conclude that  $\overline{P(A)}^B \subset I + J$ . But  $I + J \subset \overline{P(A)}^B$ , so  $\overline{P(A)}^B = I + J$ .

Suppose that  $A$  is an  $R$ -subalgebra. For  $f$  in  $J^B$ , there exists  $(P_n) \subset P(A)$  with  $\|P_n - f\|_B \rightarrow 0$  since  $J^B \subset A^B = P(A)^B$ . But  $\mu * P_n \in P(J)$  and

$$\|f - \mu * P_n\|_B = \|\mu * f - \mu * P_n\|_B \rightarrow 0,$$

so  $f \in \overline{P(J)}^B$  or  $J$  is an  $R$ -subalgebra. Conversely, let  $J$  be an  $R$ -subalgebra, we have  $A^B = I + J^B = I + J = \overline{P(A)}^B$ . This completes the proof.

**REMARK 2.6.** If we replace  $Z(I)$  by  $Z(I) \setminus \Delta_0$  in Theorem 2.5, the conclusion still holds.

**COROLLARY 2.7.** *Let  $B(G)$  be a homogeneous Banach algebra with maximal ideal space  $\mathcal{M}$ , and  $A$  a closed subalgebra of  $B(G)$ . If  $\hat{A}$  separates points of  $\mathcal{M}$ , then  $A$  is an  $R$ -subalgebra.*

**PROOF.** Let  $(\Delta_\alpha)$  be the  $R$ -classes induced by  $A$ . Note that  $\Delta_\alpha \cap \mathcal{M} = \Delta_\alpha$  for all  $\alpha \neq 0$  since  $\Gamma \setminus \mathcal{M} \subset \Delta_0$ .  $\hat{A}$  separates points of  $\mathcal{M}$  if and only if  $\Delta_\alpha$  is a singleton for all  $\alpha \neq 0$  and  $\Delta_0 \cap \mathcal{M}$  contains at most one element. We claim: (I) If  $\Delta_0 \cap \mathcal{M} = \emptyset$ , then  $A$  is the closed ideal of  $B(G)$  with zero set  $\Gamma \setminus \mathcal{M} = \Delta_0$ , and  $A = B(G)$ . (II) If  $\Delta_0 \cap \mathcal{M} = \{\gamma\}$ , then  $A = \{f \in B(G) : \hat{f}(\gamma) = 0\}$ . Both cases follow from the fact that  $B(G)$  admits an approximate identity (see Wang (1977), p. 95) and so  $A$  is a closed ideal of  $B(G)$ . Thus  $A$  is an  $R$ -subalgebra.

**THEOREM 2.8.** *A maximal subalgebra  $A$  of a homogeneous Banach algebra  $B(G)$  with maximal ideal space  $\mathcal{M}$  is either*

- (I)  $A = \{f \in B(G) : \hat{f}(\gamma) = 0\}$  for some  $\gamma$  in  $\mathcal{M}$  or
- (II)  $A = \{f \in B(G) : \hat{f}(\gamma_1) = \hat{f}(\gamma_2)\}$  for some  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2$  in  $\mathcal{M}$ .

**PROOF.** The proof is along the lines of Edwards (1967), p. 17.

**COROLLARY 2.9.** *A maximal subalgebra of a homogeneous Banach algebra  $B(G)$  is an  $R$ -subalgebra.*

**PROOF.** The proof follows from Theorem 2.8.

**THEOREM 2.10.** *Let  $B_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) be a homogeneous Banach algebra with maximal ideal space  $\mathcal{M}_i$  and the family  $\mathcal{F}_i$  of all closed subalgebras. If  $B_1 \subset B_2$ , define  $\pi : \mathcal{F}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_2$ ,  $\lambda : \mathcal{F}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_1$  by  $\pi(A) = \overline{A}^{B_2}$  for  $A \in \mathcal{F}_1$ ,  $\lambda(C) = C \cap B_1$  for  $C \in \mathcal{F}_2$ . Then we have*

- (I)  $\pi$  preserves the  $R$ -classes in the sense that  $A$  and  $\pi(A)$  induce the same  $R$ -classes for all  $A$  in  $\mathcal{F}_1$ .
- (II) If  $\pi$  is injective and  $R$ -synthesis fails for  $B_1$ , then  $R$ -synthesis fails for  $B_2$ .
- (III) If  $\pi$  is surjective, then  $\mathcal{M}_1 = \mathcal{M}_2$  and  $(\overline{A^{B_1}})^{B_2} = (A^{B_2})^{B_2}$ ,  $\forall A \in \mathcal{F}_1$ .
- (IV)  $\lambda$  preserves the  $R$ -classes if and only if  $\mathcal{M}_1 = \mathcal{M}_2$ .
- (V) If  $\lambda$  is injective then  $\mathcal{M}_1 = \mathcal{M}_2$ .
- (VI) If  $\lambda$  is surjective, then  $\overline{\mathbb{P}(A)}^{B_2} \cap B_1 = \overline{\mathbb{P}(A)}^{B_1}$ ,  $\forall A \in \mathcal{F}_1$ .
- (VII) If  $R$ -synthesis holds for  $B_1$ , then  $\pi$  is injective.  $\lambda$  is surjective and  $\lambda \circ \pi$  is the identity map of  $\mathcal{F}_1$  and  $A = \overline{A}^{B_2} \cap B_1$ ,  $\forall A \in \mathcal{F}_1$ .
- (VIII) If  $R$ -synthesis holds for  $B_2$  and  $\mathcal{M}_1 = \mathcal{M}_2$ , then  $\pi \circ \lambda$  is the identity map of  $\mathcal{F}_2$  and  $C = \overline{C \cap B_1}^{B_2}$ ,  $\forall C \in \mathcal{F}_2$ .

**PROOF.** It suffices to prove (II), (III), (IV) and (VII).

(II) Suppose that  $\pi$  is injective and that  $R$ -synthesis fails for  $B_1$ . Then there is  $A$  in  $\mathcal{F}_1$  such that  $\overline{\mathbf{P}(A)^{B_1}} \not\subseteq A^{B_1}$ . Thus  $\pi(\overline{\mathbf{P}(A)^{B_1}}) \not\subseteq \pi(A^{B_1})$ . Since  $\pi(\overline{\mathbf{P}(A)^{B_1}}) = \overline{\mathbf{P}(A)^{B_2}}$  and  $\pi(A^{B_1}) \subset (\overline{A^{B_2}})^{B_2}$ , we have  $\overline{\mathbf{P}(A)^{B_2}} = \overline{\overline{\mathbf{P}(A)^{B_2}}}$  so  $R$ -synthesis fails for  $B_2$ .

(III) Suppose that  $\mathcal{M}_1 \neq \mathcal{M}_2$ . Take  $\gamma$  in  $\mathcal{M}_2 \setminus \mathcal{M}_1$ , then  $C\gamma \in \mathcal{F}_2$ . Obviously thus there is no  $A$  in  $\mathcal{F}_1$  with  $\pi(A) = \overline{A^{B_2}} = C\gamma$ . Hence  $\pi$  cannot be surjective. Now suppose that  $\pi$  is surjective. For  $A \in \mathcal{F}_1$ , take  $D \in \mathcal{F}_1$  with  $\pi(D) = (\overline{A^{B_2}})^{B_2}$ . Since  $D \subset A^{B_1}$ , we have  $(\overline{A^{B_2}})^{B_2} = \pi(D) \subset \pi(A^{B_1}) = (\overline{A^{B_1}})^{B_2} \subset (\overline{A^{B_2}})^{B_2}$ , so  $(\overline{A^{B_1}})^{B_2} = (\overline{A^{B_2}})^{B_2}$ .

(IV) Suppose that  $\mathcal{M}_1 \neq \mathcal{M}_2$ . Take  $\gamma$  in  $\mathcal{M}_2 \setminus \mathcal{M}_1$ , then  $\lambda(C\gamma) = \{0\}$ . Since  $C\gamma$  and  $\{0\}$  induce different  $R$ -classes,  $\lambda$  cannot preserve the  $R$ -classes. Conversely, if  $\mathcal{M}_1 = \mathcal{M}_2$  then  $\mathbf{P}(B_1) = \mathbf{P}(B_2)$  and  $\mathbf{P}(C \cap B_1) = \mathbf{P}(C \cap B_2) = \mathbf{P}(C)$  for all  $C$  in  $\mathcal{F}_2$ . Thus  $\overline{\mathbf{P}(C \cap B_1)^{B_1}} = \overline{\mathbf{P}(C)^{B_1}}$ . Since  $\overline{\mathbf{P}(C)^{B_2}}$  induces the same  $R$ -classes as  $C$ ,  $\overline{\mathbf{P}(C)^{B_1}}$  induces the same  $R$ -classes as  $\pi(\overline{\mathbf{P}(C)^{B_1}}) = \overline{\mathbf{P}(C)^{B_2}}$  by (I), we see that the  $R$ -classes induced by  $C \in \mathcal{F}_2$  and  $\lambda(C) = C \cap B_1$  are the same.

(VII) Suppose that  $R$ -synthesis holds for  $B_1$ .

(1) Assume that  $\pi$  is not injective. Then there are  $A, A'$  in  $\mathcal{F}_1$  with  $A \neq A'$  but  $\pi(A) = \pi(A')$ . Since  $R$ -synthesis holds for  $B_1$ , the  $R$ -classes induced by  $A$  and  $A'$  are different. By (I), the  $R$ -classes induced by  $\pi(A)$  and  $\pi(A')$  are different, contradicting our hypothesis that  $\pi(A) = \pi(A')$ . Thus  $\pi$  is injective.

(2) For each  $A$  in  $\mathcal{F}_1$ , since  $\overline{A^{B_2}} \cap B_1$  induces the same  $R$ -classes as  $A$ , we have  $\lambda(\overline{A^{B_2}}) = \overline{A^{B_2}} \cap B_1 = A$ . Thus  $\lambda$  is surjective. Combining (1) and (2) we see that  $\lambda \circ \pi(A) = \overline{A^{B_2}} \cap B_1 = A$  for all  $A$  in  $\mathcal{F}_1$  and that  $\lambda \circ \pi$  is the identity map of  $\mathcal{F}_1$ .

Kahane (1965) proved that if  $A$  is a closed subalgebra of  $L^1(T)$  with a constant  $C > 0$  such that  $|m - n| < C$  for  $m, n \in \Delta_\alpha, \alpha \neq 0$ , then  $A$  is an  $R$ -subalgebra of  $L^1(T)$ . Tseng-Wang (1975) extended Kahane's result to any Segal algebra containing  $C(T)$ . As a matter of fact, with the same proof their criterion is applicable for certain non-Segal homogeneous Banach algebras:  $L^p_\Delta(T), C_\Delta(T), A^p_\Delta(T)$  where  $\Delta \not\subseteq Z$  and  $C^*_\Omega(T), L^{(k)}_\Omega(T)$  where  $\Omega$  is a finite subset of  $Z$ . (See Wang (1977) for these algebras.)

**THEOREM 2.11.** *Let  $B(T)$  be a homogeneous Banach algebra with maximal ideal space  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $d$  a constant such that  $\|\gamma_n\|_B \leq d \forall n \in \mathcal{M}$ , where  $\gamma_n(x) = e^{inx}$ . Suppose that  $A$  is a closed subalgebra of  $B(T)$  with the  $R$ -classes  $(\Delta_\alpha), k > 0$  a constant such that  $|m - n| < k$  whenever  $m, n \in \Delta_\alpha, \alpha \neq 0$ . Then  $A$  is an  $R$ -subalgebra of  $B(T)$ .*

### 3. Rudin synthesis for $L^p(G), 1 < p < \infty$

Friedberg (1970) proved that for any closed subalgebra  $A$  of  $L^1(G)$ ,  $(\overline{\mathbf{P}(A)^{L^1}})^{L^1} = \overline{B^{L^2}} \cap L^\infty$  and  $(A^{L^1}) = \overline{B^{w'}}$  for some subspace  $B$  of  $L^\infty(G)$ . It turns out that  $A$  is an  $R$ -subalgebra of  $L^1(G)$  if and only if  $\overline{B^{L^2}} \cap L^\infty = \overline{B^{w'}}$ . We apply Friedberg's theory to  $L^p(G)$ -algebras,  $1 < p < \infty$ .

Let  $A$  be a closed subalgebra of  $L^p(G)$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , which induces the  $R$ -classes  $(\Delta_\alpha)$ . For  $\alpha \neq 0$ ,  $\Delta_\alpha = \{\gamma_{\alpha_1}, \dots, \gamma_{\alpha_n}\}$ , define

$$F_\alpha = \left\{ \varphi \in L^q(G) : \varphi = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \gamma_{\alpha_i}, a_i \in \mathbb{C}, \sum_{i=1}^n a_i = 0 \right\}$$

where  $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$ , and  $F_0 = \Delta_0$ . Let  $F$  be the closed linear subspace of  $L^q(G)$  generated by  $\bigcup_\alpha F_\alpha$ . We call  $F$  the Friedberg space of  $A$ . Note that  $\text{supp } \hat{\varphi} \subset Z(I)$  for all  $\varphi$  in  $F$  and  $F_\alpha = \{0\}$ ,  $\alpha \neq 0$ , whenever  $\Delta_\alpha$  is a singleton.

**LEMMA 3.1.** *Let  $A$  be a closed subalgebra of  $L^p(G)$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , with  $R$ -classes  $(\Delta_\alpha)$ . If  $F$  is the Friedberg space of  $A$ , then  $F \subset A^\perp$ .*

**PROOF.** Fix  $f \in A$ . For

$$\varphi = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \gamma_{\alpha_j} \in F_\alpha$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, \varphi \rangle &= \int_G f(x) \varphi(-x) dx \\ &= \int_G f(x) \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \gamma_{\alpha_j}(-x) dx \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \int_G f(x) \langle -x, \gamma_{\alpha_j} \rangle dx \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \hat{f}(\gamma_{\alpha_j}) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n a_j m_\alpha \quad \text{where } m_\alpha = \hat{f}(\Delta_\alpha) \\ &= m_\alpha \cdot \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that  $\langle f, \gamma \rangle = \hat{f}(\gamma) = 0$  for all  $\gamma \in F_0$ . Therefore  $F \subset A^\perp$ .

**THEOREM 3.2.** *Let  $F$  be the Friedberg space of  $A$ . Then  $(A^{L^p})^\perp = F$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ .*

**PROOF.** By Lemma 3.1,  $F \subset (A^{L^p})^\perp$ .  $L^p$  is reflexive for  $1 < p < \infty$ , so  $(F^\perp)^\perp = F$ . Let  $g \notin A^{L^p}$ . Suppose that  $\hat{g}(\gamma_1) \neq \hat{g}(\gamma_2)$  for some  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Delta_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \neq 0$ . Then  $\gamma_1 - \gamma_2 \in F_\alpha$  but  $\langle \gamma_1 - \gamma_2, g \rangle \neq 0$ . Suppose that  $\hat{g}(\gamma) \neq 0$  for some  $\gamma \in \Delta_0$ , then  $\gamma \in F_0$  but  $\langle g, \gamma \rangle \neq 0$ . We conclude that  $g \notin F^\perp$ . Thus  $F^\perp \subset A^{L^p}$  or  $(A^{L^p})^\perp \subset F$ . This completes the proof.

**THEOREM 3.3.**

- (I) For  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$ ,  $\overline{F}^{L^1} \cap L^q \subset (\overline{\mathbf{P}(A)}^{L^p})^\perp$ .
- (II) For  $1 < p \leq 2$ ,  $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$ ,  $\overline{F}^{L^2} \cap L^q = (\overline{\mathbf{P}(A)}^{L^p})^\perp$ .

**PROOF.** (I) Let  $\varphi \in \overline{F}^{L^1} \cap L^q$ . Take  $(\varphi_n) \subset F$  with  $\|\varphi_n - \varphi\|_1 \rightarrow 0$ . For  $p \in \mathbf{P}(A)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \varphi, p \rangle| &\leq |\langle \varphi - \varphi_n, p \rangle| + |\langle \varphi_n, p \rangle| \\ &\leq \|\varphi - \varphi_n\| \cdot \|p\|_\infty \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\langle \varphi, p \rangle = 0$ . Therefore  $\langle \varphi, f \rangle = 0$  for  $f$  in  $\overline{\mathbf{P}(A)}^{L^p}$ . Therefore  $\overline{F}^{L^1} \cap L^q \subset (\overline{\mathbf{P}(A)}^{L^p})^\perp$ .

(II) Clearly  $\overline{F}^{L^2} \cap L^q \subset \overline{F}^{L^1} \cap L^q \subset (\overline{\mathbf{P}(A)}^{L^p})^\perp$ . We need to prove that  $(\overline{\mathbf{P}(A)}^{L^p})^\perp \subset \overline{F}^{L^2}$ . Let  $\varphi \in (\overline{\mathbf{P}(A)}^{L^p})^\perp \subset L^2(G)$ . By the Plancherel Theorem,  $\varphi = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \hat{\varphi}(\gamma) \gamma$  in  $L^2$ -norm. If  $\alpha \neq 0$ ,  $\Delta_\alpha = \{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m\}$ , then  $0 = \langle \varphi, p_\alpha \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^m \hat{\varphi}(\gamma_j)$ . We conclude that  $\varphi_\alpha = \sum_{j=1}^m \hat{\varphi}(\gamma_j) \gamma_j \in F_\alpha$ . For  $\gamma \in \Delta_0 = F_0$ , let  $\varphi_\gamma = \hat{\varphi}(\gamma) \gamma$ , we have  $\varphi_\gamma \in F$ . Hence  $\varphi \in \overline{F}^{L^2}$ . Together with the fact that  $(\overline{\mathbf{P}(A)}^{L^p})^\perp \subset L^q$ , we conclude that  $(\overline{\mathbf{P}(A)}^{L^p})^\perp \subset \overline{F}^{L^2} \cap L^q$ . This completes the proof.

**COROLLARY 3.4.** Let  $F$  be the Friedberg space of  $A$ . Then

- (I) For  $p > 2$ , if  $A$  is an  $R$ -subalgebra of  $L^p(G)$ , then  $F^{L^1} \cap L^q = F$ .
- (II) For  $p > 2$ , if  $(\overline{\mathbf{P}(A)}^{L^p})^\perp \subset F$ , then  $A$  is an  $R$ -subalgebra.
- (III) For  $1 < p \leq 2$ ,  $A$  is an  $R$ -subalgebra of  $L^p(G)$  if and only if  $F = \overline{F}^{L^2} \cap L^q$ .

**PROOF.** By Theorem 3.2, and 3.3.

By Corollary 3.4, (III), we have that  $R$ -synthesis holds for  $L^2(G)$ . Note that for  $G = T$ , this fact was first proved by Edwards (1967), pp. 15–16, with different methods.

**THEOREM 3.5.** Let  $A$  be a closed subalgebra of  $L^p(G)$ ,  $F$  the Friedberg space of  $A$ , and  $I$  the largest closed ideal of  $L^p(G)$  contained in  $A$ ; then the following are equivalent:

- (I)  $Z(I) = \Delta_0$ .
- (II)  $J = \{0\}$ .
- (III)  $J$  is an ideal of  $L^p(G)$ .
- (IV)  $A = I$ .
- (V)  $F$  is an ideal of  $L^q(G)$ , where  $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$ .

**PROOF.** Clearly (I), (II) and (III) are equivalent.

(III)  $\Rightarrow$  (IV). Let  $P_\alpha \in J$ . If  $P_\alpha \neq 0$ , let  $\gamma \in \Delta_\alpha$ , we have  $\gamma = \gamma * P_\alpha \in J$ . Thus  $\Delta_\alpha = \{\gamma\}$ , a contradiction. This implies  $J = \{0\}$ . By Theorem 2.5,  $\overline{\mathbf{P}(A)}^{L^p} = I$ . Spectral synthesis holds for  $L^p(G)$  and  $\hat{f}(Z(I)) = \hat{f}(\Delta_0) = 0$  for all  $f$  in  $A$ , so  $A = I$ .

(IV)  $\Rightarrow$  (V). Suppose that  $A = I$ . Then  $\Delta_\alpha$  is a singleton for all  $\alpha \neq 0$ . In this case,  $F_\alpha = \{0\}$  for all  $\alpha \neq 0$ . Therefore  $F$  is the closed linear subspace generated by



$\{\gamma : \gamma \in \Delta_0 = Z(I)\}$ . Routine arguments reveal that  $F$  is a closed ideal of  $L^q(G)$ .

(V)  $\Rightarrow$  (I) Assume that  $Z(I) \setminus \Delta_0 \neq \emptyset$ . Take  $\Delta_\alpha = \{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m\} \subset Z(I)$ ,  $m \geq 2$ . We have  $\gamma_1 - \gamma_2 \in F$ . Moreover  $\gamma_1 = \gamma_1 * (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2) \in F$  since  $F$  is an ideal of  $L^q$ . By Lemma 3.1,  $\langle \gamma_1, P_\alpha \rangle = 0$  since  $P_\alpha \in A$ . But  $\langle \gamma_1, P_\alpha \rangle = \hat{P}_\alpha(\gamma_1) = 1$ , a contradiction.

Finally we give a sufficient condition of closed subalgebras of  $L^p(G)$ ,  $p > 2$ , to be  $R$ -subalgebras.

**THEOREM 3.6.** *Let  $A$  be a closed subalgebra of  $L^p(G)$ ,  $p > 2$ ,  $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$ . If  $(\overline{\mathbf{P}(A)}^{L^p}) \cap L^\infty(G)$  is  $L^q$ -dense in  $(\overline{\mathbf{P}(A)}^{L^p})^\perp$ , then  $A$  is an  $R$ -subalgebra of  $L^p(G)$ .*

**PROOF.** Suppose that  $(\overline{\mathbf{P}(A)}^{L^p})^\perp \cap L^\infty$  is  $L^q$ -dense in  $(\overline{\mathbf{P}(A)}^{L^p})^\perp$ . We claim that  $\overline{\mathbf{P}(A)}^{L^1} \cap L^p = \overline{\mathbf{P}(A)}^{L^p}$ . Clearly  $\overline{\mathbf{P}(A)}^{L^p} \subset \overline{\mathbf{P}(A)}^{L^1} \cap L^p$ . Let  $\varphi \in (\overline{\mathbf{P}(A)}^{L^p})^\perp \cap L^\infty$ . For  $f \in \overline{\mathbf{P}(A)}^{L^1} \cap L^p$ , take  $(f_n) \subset \mathbf{P}(A)$  with  $\|f_n - f\|_1 \rightarrow 0$ , we have

$$|\langle f, \varphi \rangle| \leq |\langle f_n - f, \varphi \rangle| + |\langle f_n, \varphi \rangle| \leq \|f_n - f\|_1 \|\varphi\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$$

so  $\langle f, \varphi \rangle = 0$ . Thus  $(\overline{\mathbf{P}(A)}^{L^p})^\perp \cap L^\infty \subset (\overline{\mathbf{P}(A)}^{L^1} \cap L^p)^\perp$ . By the hypothesis,  $(\overline{\mathbf{P}(A)}^{L^p})^\perp \cap L^\infty$  is  $L^q$ -dense in  $(\overline{\mathbf{P}(A)}^{L^p})^\perp$ . Since  $(\overline{\mathbf{P}(A)}^{L^1} \cap L^p)^\perp$  is closed in  $L^q(G)$ ,  $(\overline{\mathbf{P}(A)}^{L^p})^\perp \subset (\overline{\mathbf{P}(A)}^{L^1} \cap L^p)^\perp$  or  $\overline{\mathbf{P}(A)}^{L^p} \supset \overline{\mathbf{P}(A)}^{L^1} \cap L^p$ . Thus  $\overline{\mathbf{P}(A)}^{L^1} \cap L^p = \overline{\mathbf{P}(A)}^{L^p}$ .

Now

$$\begin{aligned} A^{L^p} &= \{f \in L^p(G) : \hat{f}(\Delta_0) = 0, \hat{f}(\Delta_\alpha) = \text{constant}, \alpha \neq 0\} \\ &= \{f \in L^2(G) : \hat{f}(\Delta_0) = 0, \hat{f}(\Delta_\alpha) = \text{constant}, \alpha \neq 0\} \cap L^p(G) \\ &= \overline{\mathbf{P}(A)}^{L^2} \cap L^p \text{ (since } R\text{-synthesis holds for } L^2(G)\text{)} \\ &\subset \overline{\mathbf{P}(A)}^{L^1} \cap L^p = \overline{\mathbf{P}(A)}^{L^p}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $A^{L^p} = \overline{\mathbf{P}(A)}^{L^p}$ . Thus  $A$  is an  $R$ -subalgebra of  $L^p(G)$ .

### Acknowledgement

We would like to thank the referee for his valuable suggestions in the first draft of this paper.

### References

- R. E. Edwards (1967), *Fourier series, a modern introduction*, Vol. II (Holt, Reinhart and Winston, Inc., New York).
- S. Friedberg (1970), 'Closed subalgebras of group algebras', *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **147**, 117–125.
- J. P. Kahane (1965), 'Idempotents and closed subalgebras of  $A(Z)$ ', in *On function algebras*, pp. 198–207 (Proc. Internat. Sympt., Tulane University).

- H. Reiter (1968), *Classical harmonic analysis and locally compact groups* (Oxford mathematics monographs, Oxford University Press, Oxford).
- H. Reiter (1971),  *$L^1$ -algebras and Segal algebras* (Lecture Notes in Mathematics 231, Springer-Verlag, Berlin).
- D. Rider (1969), 'Closed subalgebras of  $L^1(T)$ ', *Duke Math. J.* **36**, 105–116.
- W. Rudin (1962), *Fourier analysis on groups* (Interscience, New York).
- G. E. Šilov (1954), 'Homogeneous rings of functions', in *Translations*, pp. 393–455 (Series 1, Volume 8, Amer. Math. Soc.).
- C. N. Tseng and H. C. Wang (1975), 'Closed subalgebras of homogeneous Banach algebras', *J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A* **20**, 366–376.
- H. C. Wang (1972), 'Nonfactorization in group algebras'. *Studia Math.* **42**, 231–241.
- H. C. Wang (1977), *Homogeneous Banach algebras* (Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied mathematics 29, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York).

National Tsing Hua University  
Taiwan  
Republic of China

Princeton University  
U.S.A.

Current address :  
National Tsing Hua University  
Taiwan  
Republic of China