On equations for ion cyclotron modes in 'warm' bounded plasmas

Ya.I. Kolesnichenko⁰¹, V.V. Lutsenko⁰¹ and A.V. Tykhyy⁰¹,†

¹Institute for Nuclear Research, Prospekt Nauky 47, Kyiv 03028, Ukraine

(Received 22 March 2023; revised 17 May 2023; accepted 23 May 2023)

An equation describing eigenmodes in the ion cyclotron frequency range in 'warm' bounded plasmas, i.e. eigenmodes which are absent in the two-fluid model but exist in kinetic theory due to finite Larmor radius of the ions, is derived for the first time. It is valid for electrostatic modes but the developed approach is generic. Calculations are carried out for two cases: first, for a homogeneous magnetic field; second, taking into account the effects of toroidicity in tokamaks. It is found that, in general, equations for eigenmodes in warm plasmas do not reduce to second-order differential equations (in contrast to those which are usually used to describe the radial structure of eigenmodes in fusion devices). The study of modes in warm plasmas is of interest, in particular, in connection with the recent observations of superthermal ion cyclotron emission in the NSTX-U spherical torus and DIII-D tokamak, which can be hardly explained by conventional theories employing fast magnetoacoustic modes.

Key words: fusion plasma, plasma waves

1. Introduction

Eigenmodes in the ion cyclotron frequency range in toroidal plasmas are usually described within a cold-plasma approximation (two-fluid model), in which case only fast magnetoacoustic modes (FMM) (known also as CAE (compressional Alfvén eigenmodes)) exist. However, recent experiments with ion cyclotron emission (ICE) on the NSTX-U spherical torus (Fredrickson et al. 2021) and DIII-D tokamak (Crocker et al. 2022) indicate that this approximation can be unsuitable. First, it was found that the emission frequency in NSTX-U does not follow an Alfvénic scaling with density; this newly discovered type of chirping ICE does not follow the well-known ICE phenomenology on JET, TFTR, KSTAR or LHD. Second, a new diagnostic with Doppler backscattering on the DIII-D has shown that the wavenumber exceeded that of FMM: $k \gg \omega/v_A$, where k and ω are the wavenumber and wave frequency, respectively, and v_A the Alfvén velocity (Crocker *et al.* 2022). Therefore, it was concluded in Crocker et al. (2022) that the observed ICE resulted from destabilized ion cyclotron waves, either electrostatic waves (which presumably took place in TFTR (Dendy et al. 1994)) or electromagnetic waves. In contrast to FMM and Alfvén waves, these modes are absent in ideal magnetohydrodynamics (MHD) and the two-fluid model with zero temperature. They require a kinetic theory for their description in order to take into account finite

†Email address for correspondence: tykhyy@kinr.kiev.ua

Larmor radius of thermal ions of the bulk plasma. We therefore refer to them as modes in 'warm' plasmas.

The results of theories of waves in warm plasmas can be found in, for example, overviews (Akhiezer *et al.* 1975; Stix 1992) and references therein. However, they are obtained for infinite plasmas and for this reason the developed theories cannot determine the eigenmode location and its radial structure in warm plasmas; they can be useful for a local analysis of possible instabilities, but are not sufficient for a reliable identification of destabilized modes. On the other hand, conventional equations for eigenmodes in bounded plasmas (the second-order linear differential equations) can be inappropriate. The reason is that coefficients in the eigenmode equations in warm plasmas depend on the mode structure. Moreover, eigenmodes are standing waves, whereas the known way to take into account finite Larmor radius employs travelling waves.

The mentioned experimental findings, in particular $k \gg \omega/v_A$, are consistent with the high-k part of the FMM branch of the dispersion equation. However, this does not mean that other modes could not be responsible for these findings. In particular, electrostatic modes, the equations for which will be derived in this work, well satisfy $k \gg \omega/v_A$. Although the radial mode structure was determined experimentally, no theoretical calculations of radial dependence of the mode amplitudes of cyclotron waves were carried out. Accordingly, the experimentally observed modes were not conclusively identified.

All these facts motivated us to carry out this work devoted to ICE eigenmode equations in a warm plasma.

The work is organized as follows. Section 2 outlines our approach to the description of eigenmodes. It consists of two parts. The first part (§ 2.1) deals with the peculiarities of equations for eigenmodes in bounded warm plasmas and suggests a way to obtain equations for cyclotron modes. The second part (§ 2.2) analyses key parameters associated with toroidicity and discusses their roles. In § 3 an equation for electrostatic eigenmodes valid for the cyclotron frequency range is derived: in § 3.1 the approximation of a homogeneous magnetic field is used; an effect of toroidicity – non-uniform cyclotron rotation (gyration) of the particles – is taken into account in § 3.2. In addition, an equation for subharmonic modes in tokamaks is derived in § 3.3. Section 4 summarizes the results obtained. Appendix A considers features of the dispersion function associated with non-uniform particle gyration.

2. Approach to the description of eigenmodes

2.1. A way to derive eigenmode equations in warm plasmas; reconciling standing and travelling waves

Eigenmodes in bounded plasmas are standing waves, but these waves represent a superposition of travelling waves. This statement is employed below for both warm and cold plasmas.

As known, eigenmodes can be described by the following equation (see e.g. Stix (1992)):

$$\nabla \times \nabla \times \delta E - \frac{\omega^2}{c^2} \overleftarrow{\epsilon} \delta E = 0, \qquad (2.1)$$

where $\delta E \propto \exp(-i\omega t)$ is a perturbed electric field, $\vec{\epsilon}$ is a dielectric tensor. This equation immediately follows from the Maxwell equations $\nabla \times \delta E = (i\omega/c)\delta B$ and $\nabla \times \delta B = -(i\omega/c)\vec{\epsilon} \delta E$, where δB is the perturbed magnetic field. Equation (2.1) should be supplemented by boundary conditions. Then it will determine waves standing in the radial direction (in cylindrical geometry). When $\vec{\epsilon}$ does not depend on the radial structure of perturbation, as in cold plasmas, (2.1) reduces to a second-order differential equation. However, in general this is not the case: $\stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{\epsilon}$ is determined by the disturbed distribution function of the particles, δf , which, in turn, is determined by δE ,

$$\delta f = -\frac{e}{M} \int_{-\infty}^{t} \mathrm{d}t' \left[\delta E - \frac{\mathrm{i}}{\omega} \boldsymbol{v} \times \boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \delta E \right] \frac{\partial F}{\partial \boldsymbol{v}}, \tag{2.2}$$

where *F* is an equilibrium distribution function, *e* and *M* are the particle charge and mass, respectively, $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r}(t')$ and $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}(t')$ (the integral is taken along particle orbits). The known technique for the calculation of integral in (2.2) with Maxwell distribution function (*F_M*) relies on perturbations in the form of a plane travelling wave,

$$\delta E \propto \exp(i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{r} - i\omega t). \tag{2.3}$$

This means that $\delta E \propto e^{ik_r r}$, which is not consistent with $\delta E(r)$ determined by (2.1) (*r* is the radial coordinate). However, the inconsistency diminishes when $k_r \ll k_{\vartheta}$ (ϑ is the poloidal angle): the dependence of $\vec{\epsilon}$ on k_r becomes negligible, which implies that $\vec{\epsilon}$ is valid for various k_r , not only for the radial wavenumber of (2.3). To see this, we note that the propagation of the wave (2.3) across the magnetic field manifests itself in $\vec{\epsilon}$ in the form of Bessel functions with the argument that contains Larmor radius in the product $k_{\perp}\rho_T$ (ρ_T is the Larmor radius of thermal particles and k_{\perp} is transverse wavenumber). Due to this, $\vec{\epsilon}$ is valid for any k_r that satisfies the condition $k_r \ll k_{\vartheta}$. On the other hand, when $k_{\perp}\rho_T \rightarrow 0$ due to vanishing Larmor radius, the condition $k_r \ll k_{\vartheta}$ is not necessary. Thus, (2.1) with $\vec{\epsilon}$ calculated for a plane wave is self-consistent when ρ_T is neglected (in cold plasmas); it is self-consistent for $\rho_T \neq 0$ provided that $k_{\perp}^2 \approx k_{\vartheta}^2$. Otherwise, there is a conflict between (2.1) and (2.3). Nevertheless, there is a way to use the technique developed for plane travelling waves in every case: for this purpose, one has to expand δE in a Fourier series with terms in the form of plane waves. This approach will be used in this work.

Below we consider an example which demonstrates that the mentioned Fourier expansion can be useful even in the analysis of eigenmodes in a cold plasma.

The studies of edge-localized ICE associated with FMM often relied on a simple model employing plasma inhomogeneity to provide a potential well in a Schrödinger-like equation, see Coppi (1993) and the overview Gorelenkov (2016). In this model the eigenmode amplitude is a Gaussian,

$$\delta E(x) = \delta E_0 \exp\left[-\frac{(x-x_0)^2}{\Delta^2}\right],\tag{2.4}$$

where x = r/a, *a* is the plasma radius, and x_0 and Δ are parameters defined by equations (7)–(9) in Coppi (1993). It was obtained in the assumption that the radial derivative terms in the eigenmode equation are small, $\partial/\partial r \ll m/r$ (*m* is the poloidal mode number). This implies that an effective radial wavenumber defined by $ik_r^{ef} \delta E \sim \partial \delta E/\partial r$ is small ($k_r^{ef} \ll k$) and, therefore, the FMM frequency for small longitudinal wavenumber (k_{\parallel}) is approximately determined by $k_{\partial} = m/r$ (Coppi 1993),

$$\omega^2 = k_\vartheta^2(x_0) v_A^2(x_0) + \delta \omega^2, \qquad (2.5)$$

where $\delta \omega^2 \ll \omega^2$. However, the exact magnitude of k_r^{ef} cannot be introduced and, therefore, it is not clear how large *m* should be for (2.4), (2.5) to hold.

In order to clarify this point, let us write $\delta E(x)$ as a superposition of plane waves with the radial wavenumbers $k_{r,\nu} = 2\pi\nu/a$, where $\nu = 0, 1, 2, ..., \infty$:

$$\delta E(x) = \frac{A_0}{2} + \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \left[A_{\nu} \cos(2\pi\nu x) + B_{\nu} \sin(2\pi\nu x) \right], \qquad (2.6)$$

where

$$A_{\nu} = 2 \int_{0}^{1} dx \delta E(x) \cos(2\pi\nu x), \quad B_{\nu} = 2 \int_{0}^{1} dx \delta E(x) \sin(2\pi\nu x). \quad (2.7a,b)$$

The infinite series in (2.6) is consistent with the condition $k_r \ll k_{\vartheta}$ only when the terms with large ν weakly contribute and can be neglected. To consider this case, we replace \sum_{0}^{∞} with $\sum_{0}^{\nu_{\text{max}}}$, assuming that terms with $\nu > \nu_{\text{max}}$ are negligible. Then $k_{r,\nu} \le k_{r,\text{max}} = 2\pi\nu_{\text{max}}/a$, and the condition $k_{r,\text{max}} \ll k_{\vartheta}$ requires

$$\nu_{\max} \ll \frac{m}{2\pi x}.$$
(2.8)

Because $\nu_{\text{max}} = \mathcal{N} - 1$ (\mathcal{N} is the number of the terms in the sum with $\nu \leq \nu_{\text{max}}$), (2.8) represents the mildest restriction on *m* when \mathcal{N} is smallest. Thus, we need to know the minimum number \mathcal{N}_{min} of the terms in (2.6) which provides a good approximation for (2.4). After \mathcal{N}_{min} is found, by using (2.8) we can obtain the poloidal mode numbers for which $k_r \ll k_\vartheta$:

$$m \gg m_{\rm crit} \equiv 2\pi x \left(\mathcal{N}_{\rm min} - 1 \right). \tag{2.9}$$

As in Coppi (1993), we consider the JET preliminary tritium experiment where the ICE was observed (Cottrell *et al.* 1993), and take $x_0 = r_0/a = 0.816$, $\Delta = 0.738/\sqrt{m}$, which correspond to ion density profile $n_i = n_i(0)(1 - x^2)^{1/2}$. We found that the mode radial structure (2.4) can be approximated satisfactory by a superposition of plane waves with $k_{r,\nu} \ll k_{\vartheta}$ only for $m \gg m_{\text{crit}} = 5(\mathcal{N}_{\min} - 1) \gtrsim 15$, with $\mathcal{N}_{\min} \sim 4-5$, see figure 1. Taking $\omega \approx mv_A/r \approx l\omega_{Bi}$ (with r = 0.85 m, $v_A = 10^7$ m s⁻¹, the ion gyrofrequency $\omega_{Bi} = 1.35 \times 10^8$ s⁻¹ in deuterium plasma, and *l* is an integer) and $m \gg m_{\text{crit}}$ we obtain $l \gg 1$. This means that the model of Coppi (1993) is not suitable for the description of cyclotron modes with $l \sim 1$ for the parameters used.

Note that although all works employing the described model (and its modifications by including effects of toroidicity, etc.) assumed $m \gg 1$, no attempt to find m_{crit} was done yet. On the other hand, it was found recently that FMMs with frequencies $\omega \ge \omega_{Bi}$, including $\omega \approx l\omega_{Bi}$, can occupy a considerable part of the plasma cross-section and can have maximum amplitudes in the plasma core (Burdo & Kolesnichenko 2020). The restriction $m \gg m_{\text{crit}}$ is absent for these modes. However, the analysis of the mentioned work is not applicable to warm plasmas.

2.2. Toroidicity parameters and choice of approximation

When the magnetic field is homogeneous, MHD modes in a cold plasma – Alfvén modes with frequency $\omega = k_{\parallel}v_A$ and FMM with $\omega = kv_A$ – can be obtained in a kinetic theory



FIGURE 1. Approximation of the amplitude of the mode given by (2.4) with m = 50 (black line with markers) by several Fourier coefficients (colour lines). We observe that five harmonics ($v_{\text{max}} = 4$) provide a good approximation.

provided that

$$x_l \equiv \frac{\omega - l\omega_{B,j}}{k_{\parallel} v_{T,j}} \gg 1, \qquad (2.10)$$

$$k_{\perp}\rho_{T,j} \ll 1, \tag{2.11}$$

where $v_T = \sqrt{2T/M}$ is thermal velocity, $\rho_T = v_T/\omega_B$, the subscripts \parallel and \perp label magnitudes along and across the magnetic field, respectively, j = e, i labels electrons and ions. Wave damping is exponentially small or vanishing due to the first inequality. The Larmor radius can be eliminated from ϵ_{ij} when the second inequality holds. Finite (non-vanishing) $k_{\perp}\rho_T$ leads to the existence of modes that are absent in MHD and in the two-fluid model. Because the Larmor radius $\rho_{\perp} = v_{\perp}/\omega_B$ (v is the particle velocity) is responsible for the deflection of individual particles from the magnetic field lines, kinetic theory contains only one parameter associated with transverse motion,

$$\xi = k_{\perp} \rho_{\perp}. \tag{2.12}$$

The inhomogeneity of the magnetic field increases the number of characteristic parameters, as described below.

In tokamaks, the magnetic field can be approximated by

$$B = \bar{B}(1 - \epsilon \cos \vartheta), \qquad (2.13)$$

where B is the magnetic field on the magnetic axis, ϑ is the poloidal angle, $\epsilon = r/R$, R is the major radius of the torus. In this field, the particle radial excursion is $\Delta r \sim v_D \tau_b$, where $v_D \sim v\rho/R$ is the toroidal drift velocity, $\rho = v/\omega_B$, $\tau_b \sim \omega_b^{-1}$, $\omega_b \sim v_{\parallel}/(qR)$ is transit/bounce frequency, q is the tokamak safety factor. This leads to the following characteristic drift parameter for passing and trapped particles:

$$\xi_D = k_\perp v_D \tau_b. \tag{2.14}$$

In addition, bounce oscillations of trapped particles along the magnetic field result in

$$\xi_b = k_{\parallel} \mathcal{A},\tag{2.15}$$

where $\mathcal{A} \sim v_{\parallel}\tau_b \sim 2\kappa qR$ is the oscillation amplitude, 2κ represents turning points ϑ_t of trapped particles, $\kappa = [\mathcal{E} - \mu \bar{B}(1 - \epsilon)]/(2\mu \bar{B}\epsilon)$ is the particle trapping parameter ($\kappa < 1$ for trapped particles), \mathcal{E} and μ are the particle energy and magnetic moment, respectively. One more parameter arises because of the dependence of ω_B on ϑ . For passing particles moving along the field lines this parameter is

$$\zeta_l = \epsilon l \bar{\omega}_B \tau_b = l q \frac{r}{\rho_{\parallel}}, \qquad (2.16)$$

where $\rho_{\parallel} = v_{\parallel}/\bar{\omega}_B$.

All these parameters are a consequence of the periodic motion of the particles. They appear in arguments of Bessel functions as a result of the expansion of the electromagnetic field perturbations proportional to $exp(ix \sin \phi)$ in the Fourier series

$$e^{\pm ix\sin\phi} = \sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty} J_p(x) e^{\pm ip\phi}, \qquad (2.17)$$

where $J_p(x)$ is the Bessel function of the first kind, and p is an integer. For Larmor rotation $\phi = \omega_B t$, $x = \xi$; for bounce/transit motion $\phi = \omega_b t$, x is represented by ξ_D , ξ_b and ζ_l . The left-hand side of (2.17) represents a part of the phase Ψ of the perturbation $\delta X \propto \exp(-i\Psi)$, with $\Psi(t) = \int^t dt'(\omega - m\dot{\vartheta} + n\dot{\varphi})$, where φ is the toroidal angle, a dot over letters denotes a time derivative, n is the toroidal mode number. The right-hand side of (2.17) produces terms proportional to $l\omega_B$ and $s\omega_b$ (l and s are integers) in the phase, which leads to an infinite number of wave–particle resonances.

Although (2.17) is the same for both types of the particle motion, the roles of Larmor rotation and transit/bounce motion are different: transit/bounce motion manifests itself only for long time scale, $\Delta t \gg \omega_B^{-1}$. Due to this, the approximation of the straight magnetic field is suitable for the study of fast instabilities, i.e. instabilities with the growth rate $\gamma > \omega_b$. Another difference is that many terms of (2.17) are important for transit/bounce motion (for high frequency modes, but not for low frequency modes, like toroidicity-induced Alfvén eigenmodes); in contrast to this, one can consider a separate cyclotron harmonic, at least for $\omega \approx l\omega_{Bi}$ with low *l* (the resonances of different harmonics overlap at sufficiently large *l*, which can explain the continuum ICE spectrum for l > 7 (Fülöp *et al.* 1997) observed in JET (Cottrell *et al.* 1993)).

Because ζ_l and ξ_D are relevant to the same time scale, it is of interest to compare them. Taking $\xi_D \sim k_{\perp} q \rho v / v_{\parallel}$ we obtain

$$\frac{\zeta_l}{\xi_D} \sim \frac{l}{k_\perp \rho} \frac{r}{\rho}.$$
(2.18)

We conclude that typically $\zeta_l \gg \xi_D$.

The presence of two groups of particles – passing and trapped – considerably complicates eigenmode equations. First, the large time scale $\sim \tau_b$ should lead to two infinite series of Bessel functions. Second, orbits with $\kappa \sim 1$ (marginally trapped and marginally passing orbits) are known to be described by special functions (by elliptic integrals). Third, the presence of a border between trapped and passing particles in the velocity space further complicates equations. These facts make analytical calculation of the integrals in (2.2) impossible, unless simplifying assumptions are made. Note that this problem can be minimized for fast ions: one simplification arises when the fast ion population occurs when, as it is often the case, the perturbative approach is sufficient. Then one needs to know only the anti-Hermitian part of the fast-ion contribution to the dielectric tensor.

In this work, we restrict ourselves to consideration of passing particles only, neglecting the presence of trapped particles. This in justified when toroidicity is very small (the fraction of trapped particles at a certain flux surface equals $0.9\sqrt{r/R}$ when orbits are standard and velocity distribution is isotropic). In addition, this can also make sense for any toroidicity provided that $k_{\parallel}qR \ll 1$: then $\xi_b \ll 1$ and, therefore, the term with p = 0 in (2.17) mainly contributes for $x = \xi_b$, which implies that the bounce oscillation parameter is not important. On the other hand, the role of finite orbit width, ξ_D , is similar to that for passing particles. The latter is expected to be of minor importance due to (2.18), see § 3.2.

3. Derivation of equations for electrostatic eigenmodes

In this section we derive an eigenmode equation assuming $\delta B = 0$, in which case a scalar potential ($\delta \Phi$) describes the perturbed electric field, $\delta E = -\nabla \delta \Phi$. In order to calculate the disturbed distribution function of the particles we will expand $\delta \Phi$ in a Fourier series, as outlined in § 2.1.

3.1. Equation for eigenmodes when the magnetic field is homogeneous

The approximation of homogeneous magnetic field can be justified by the fact that ICE is a local phenomenon, as shown by contemporary particle-in-cell simulations across most tokamaks and stellarators (Carbajal *et al.* 2017). Therefore, many results are obtained in this approximation, and we first derive equations for a homogeneous magnetic field.

We proceed from a Poisson equation

$$\nabla^2 \delta \Phi = -\sum_{j=e,i} 4\pi e_j \int d^3 v \delta f_j, \qquad (3.1)$$

where δf is given by (2.2) which for $\delta B = 0$ reduces to

$$\delta f_j = \frac{e_j}{M_j} \int_{-\infty}^t \mathrm{d}\tau \, \nabla \delta \Phi(\tau) \cdot \frac{\partial F_j}{\partial \boldsymbol{v}(\tau)}. \tag{3.2}$$

We take $\delta \Phi$ in the form of a monochromatic travelling wave in the poloidal and toroidal directions, whereas its radial dependence will remain unspecified but presented as a superposition of plane waves,

$$\delta \Phi = \tilde{\Phi}(r) \exp(-i\omega t + im\vartheta - in\varphi), \qquad (3.3)$$

$$\hat{\Phi}(r) = \sum_{\nu = -\infty}^{\infty} \Phi_{\nu} e^{ik_{\nu}r}, \qquad (3.4)$$

where Φ_{ν} are Fourier coefficients defined by

$$\Phi_{\nu} = \frac{1}{a} \int_0^a dr' \hat{\Phi}(r') e^{-ik_{\nu}r'}, \qquad (3.5)$$

and $k_{\nu} \equiv k_r(\nu) = 2\pi\nu/a$ are the radial mode numbers of harmonics constituting the mode, and ν are integers (in contrast to (2.6), here $-\infty < \nu < \infty$). The perturbation (3.4) in the integrand of (3.2) can be written as (we take into account that coordinates and velocities depend on time, as explained after (2.2))

$$\delta \Phi(t,\tau) = \sum_{\nu} \Phi_{\nu} \exp(-i\omega t + \boldsymbol{k}_{\nu} \boldsymbol{x}(t)) \exp\left(-i\int_{t}^{\tau} dt'(\omega - \boldsymbol{k}_{\nu} \boldsymbol{v}(t'))\right), \quad (3.6)$$

where \mathbf{k}_{ν} is a wavevector with $k_r = k_{\nu}$, $\mathbf{k}_{\nu}\mathbf{v} = k_{\nu}v_r + k_bv_b + k_{\parallel}v_{\parallel}$, the subscript 'b' labels binormal components of the vectors $(k_{\parallel} = k_{\vartheta}b_{\vartheta} + k_{\varphi}b_{\varphi} = (mq^{-1} - n)/R$, $k_b = k_{\vartheta}b_{\varphi} - k_{\varphi}b_{\vartheta} \approx m/r$ for $m/n \gg \epsilon^2/q$, $\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{B}/B$). Then (3.2) for $F = F(\mathcal{E})$ takes the form

$$\hat{f} = \sum_{\nu} i e \Phi_{\nu} \frac{\partial F}{\partial \mathcal{E}} \left[\int_{-\infty}^{t} d\tau A_{\nu}(t,\tau) \boldsymbol{k}_{\nu} \boldsymbol{v}(\tau) \right] e^{i k_{\nu} r}, \qquad (3.7)$$

where

$$A_{\nu}(t,\tau) = \exp\left[-i\int_{t}^{\tau} dt' \Omega(t')\right], \qquad (3.8)$$

 $\Omega = \omega - \mathbf{k}_{\nu}\mathbf{v}$, the subscript *j*, which labels species, is omitted. Because $\mathbf{k}_{\nu}\mathbf{v} = \omega - \Omega$ and $\Omega(\tau)A_{\nu}(t,\tau) = i\partial A_{\nu}(t,\tau)/\partial \tau$, we can integrate the term proportional to Ω in (3.7). Then, due to $A_{\nu}(t,t) = 1$,

$$\hat{f} = \sum_{\nu} e \Phi_{\nu} \frac{\partial F}{\partial \mathcal{E}} \left[i\omega \int_{-\infty}^{t} d\tau A_{\nu}(t,\tau) + 1 \right] e^{ik_{\nu}r}.$$
(3.9)

In order to calculate the integral $\int_t^{\tau} \mathbf{k}_v \mathbf{v} \, dt'$ in (3.8) we write $\mathbf{k}_{\perp,v} \mathbf{v}_{\perp} = k_{\perp,v} v_{\perp} \cos(\alpha - \psi_v)$, with $k_{\perp,v}^2 = k_v^2 + k_b^2$, ψ_v the angle defined by $k_v = k_{\perp,v} \cos \psi_v$, and α the gyroangle, $\dot{\alpha} = -\omega_B$ (see e.g. Belikov & Kolesnichenko 1982; Mikhailovskii 1986). This yields

$$\int_{t}^{\tau} dt' k_{\perp,\nu} v_{\perp} \cos(\alpha - \psi) = \xi_{\nu} (\sin \alpha_{t} - \sin \alpha_{\tau}), \qquad (3.10)$$

with $\xi_{\nu} = k_{\perp,\nu} v_{\perp} / \omega_B$, $\sin \alpha_t \equiv \sin(\alpha(t) - \psi)$ and $\sin \alpha_{\tau} \equiv \sin(\alpha(\tau) - \psi)$. Here $\sin \alpha_{\tau}$ can be transformed to α_{τ} by Fourier expansion (2.17), with

$$\alpha_{\tau} - \alpha_{t} = \int_{t}^{\tau} dt' \dot{\alpha} = \int_{\tau}^{t} dt' \omega_{B}.$$
(3.11)

As a result, we obtain

$$A_{\nu}(t,\tau) = \sum_{l} J_{l}(\xi_{\nu}) \exp(i\xi_{\nu}\sin\alpha_{t} - il\alpha_{t}) \exp\left(i\int_{\tau}^{t} dt'\Omega_{l}\right), \qquad (3.12)$$

where $\Omega_l = \omega - l\omega_B - k_{\parallel}v_{\parallel}$. Because $\Omega_l = \text{const.}$ in (3.12), $A(t, \tau) = A(t - \tau)$, which leads to

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\tau} \mathrm{d}\tau A_{\nu}(t,\tau) = \mathrm{i} \sum_{l} \mathcal{Z}(\Omega_{l}) \mathrm{J}_{l}(\xi_{\nu}) \exp(\mathrm{i}\xi_{\nu} \sin \alpha_{t} - \mathrm{i}l\alpha_{t}), \qquad (3.13)$$

where

$$\mathcal{Z}(\Omega_l) = -i \int_0^\infty e^{i\Omega_l t'} dt' = \frac{P}{\Omega_l} - i\pi\delta(\Omega_l), \qquad (3.14)$$

and P/Ω_l means Cauchy principal value. Combining (3.13), (3.9) and using relation

$$\oint \frac{\mathrm{d}\phi}{2\pi} \exp(\pm ix \sin \phi \mp in\phi) = J_n(x), \qquad (3.15)$$

we obtain after alpha averaging

$$\oint \frac{\mathrm{d}\alpha}{2\pi} \hat{f} = -\sum_{l,\nu} e \Phi_{\nu} \frac{\mathrm{d}F}{\mathrm{d}\mathcal{E}} \left[\mathcal{Z}(\Omega_l) \mathbf{J}_l^2(\xi) \omega - 1 \right].$$
(3.16)

For Maxwell distribution $F_M = n(r)F_{\parallel}(v_{\parallel})F_{\perp}(v_{\perp})$ (*n*(*r*) is the particle density) the known integrals are

$$2\pi \int_0^\infty dv_\perp v_\perp F_\perp J_l^2(\xi) = \hat{I}_l(z)$$
 (3.17)

and

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}v_{\parallel} \mathcal{Z}(\Omega_l) F_{\parallel} = \frac{1}{\omega - l\omega_B} Z_l(x_l).$$
(3.18)

Here

$$Z_{l}(x_{l}) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}v_{\parallel} \frac{F_{\parallel}}{1 - v_{\parallel}/(v_{T}x_{l})},$$
(3.19)

 $\hat{I}_l(z) \equiv I_l(z)e^{-z}$, $I_l(z)$ is the modified Bessel function of the first kind, $z = 0.5k_{\perp}^2\rho_T^2$, x_l is given by (2.10); $Z_l(x_l) \approx 1$ for $x_l \gg 1$ and $Z_l(x_l) \approx 2x_l^2 - i\sqrt{\pi}x_l$ for $x_l \ll 1$ (Shafranov 1967). Note that $Z_l(x) = -xZ_{dis}(x)$, where $Z_{dis}(x)$ is the plasma dispersion function of Richardson (2019).

Now we are ready to finalize the eigenmode equation. We write (3.1) as

$$\frac{1}{r}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r}r\frac{\mathrm{d}\hat{\Phi}}{\mathrm{d}r} - \left(\frac{m^2}{r^2} + \frac{n^2}{R^2}\right)\hat{\Phi} = -\sum_{j=e,i}4\pi e_j\int\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{v}_{\perp}\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{v}_{\parallel}\hat{f}_j,\tag{3.20}$$

where $d\boldsymbol{v}_{\perp} = d\boldsymbol{v}_{\perp}\boldsymbol{v}_{\perp} d\alpha$. Using (3.16)–(3.20) we obtain

$$\frac{1}{r}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r}r\frac{\mathrm{d}\hat{\varphi}}{\mathrm{d}r} - \left(\frac{m^2}{r^2} + \frac{n^2}{R^2}\right)\hat{\varphi} + \sum_{j=e,i}\sum_{\nu=-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{1}{d_j^2}\left[\sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty}\hat{\mathrm{I}}_l(z_{\nu,j})Z_l(x_l)\frac{\omega}{\omega - l\omega_B} - 1\right]\Phi_{\nu}\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}k_{\nu}r} = 0, \qquad (3.21)$$

where Φ_{ν} is given by (3.5). Normally a finite number of ν -harmonics is important (see the example in § 2.1). Therefore, when $z_{\nu=1,e} \ll 1$, $z_{\nu\neq 1,e}$ can be small, too. Then the electron contribution to the mode equation reduces to

$$\frac{1}{d_e^2} \left[Z_0(x_0) - 1 \right] \hat{\Phi}.$$
(3.22)

Equation (3.21) can be transformed to an equation for a plane travelling wave by keeping only one term in the sum over ν and replacing the first term with $-k_r^2$. In this particular case (3.21) coincides with the equation which can be obtained from that of Harris (1961) after applying relations (3.17), (3.18).

3.2. Equation with a non-uniform particle gyration

One can expect that the main effect of toroidicity will be associated with a non-uniform gyration of passing particles: as shown in § 2.2, $\zeta_l \gg \xi_D$, unless $k_{\perp}\rho$ is unrealistically large. Below we consider this case.

We employ (3.12) with $\xi = \overline{\xi}$ (bar over letters means that $B = \overline{B}$), which can be justified as follows.

As in a homogeneous magnetic field, the gyration can be described by (Mikhailovskii 1986; Belikov & Kolesnichenko 1982)

$$\tilde{r}(\tau) - \tilde{r}(t) \approx \frac{v_{\perp}}{\omega_B} \sin \alpha(t) - \frac{v_{\perp}}{\omega_B} \sin \alpha(\tau).$$
 (3.23)

This agrees with the right-hand side of (3.10) and, therefore, we can use (3.10) even for inhomogeneous magnetic field. However, now we have to take into account that $\alpha(t)$ is not a linear function ($\dot{\alpha} \neq \text{const.}$). The variation of $\dot{\alpha}$ affects the phase in (3.10) for a particle transit time considerably. This follows from (3.11) and the relations

$$\Omega_l = \bar{\Omega}_l - l\bar{\omega}_B \epsilon \cos\vartheta, \quad \bar{\Omega}_l = \omega - l\bar{\omega}_B - k_{\parallel} v_{\parallel}. \tag{3.24a,b}$$

There is one more effect of the inhomogeneity of the magnetic field: the transverse Larmor radius $\rho_{\perp} \equiv v_{\perp}/\omega_B$ varies when $B = B(\vartheta)$. Using conservation of the particle magnetic moment we find that $\rho_{\perp} = \bar{\rho}_{\perp}(1 + 0.5\epsilon \cos \vartheta)$. Due to this, (3.10) leads to (3.12) with $\xi = \bar{\xi}$ provided $0.5\epsilon\bar{\xi}$ is sufficiently small, so that $\exp[i\epsilon\bar{\xi}/2] \approx 1$. Below we assume that this is the case and omit the bar over ξ .

To calculate the integral in (3.12) we note that

$$\exp(i\int_{\tau}^{t} dt' \Omega_{l}(t')) = \exp(i\bar{\Omega}_{l}(t-\tau) - i\zeta_{l}\sin\vartheta(t))\exp(i\zeta_{l}\sin\vartheta(\tau)), \qquad (3.25)$$

where $\zeta_l = l\bar{\omega}_B qr/v_{\parallel}$ and $\sin \vartheta(\tau)$ can be transformed to τ by means of the Bessel series expansion (2.17). Then due to the relation

$$\vartheta(t) - \frac{v_{\parallel}}{qR}t = \vartheta(\tau) - \frac{v_{\parallel}}{qR}\tau, \qquad (3.26)$$

which results from the equation of motion for the particle guiding centre, we obtain

$$\int_{-\infty}^{t} \mathrm{d}\tau \exp\left(\mathrm{i}\int_{\tau}^{t} \mathrm{d}t' \Omega_{l}(t')\right) = \mathrm{i}\sum_{s} \frac{\mathrm{J}_{s}(\zeta_{l})}{\bar{\Omega}_{l} - sv_{\parallel}/(qR)} \exp\left(-\mathrm{i}\zeta_{l}\sin\vartheta\left(t\right) + \mathrm{i}s\vartheta\left(t\right)\right).$$
(3.27)

The flux surface averaging and the gyrophase averaging result in

$$\oint \frac{\mathrm{d}\vartheta}{2\pi} \oint \frac{\mathrm{d}\alpha}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{t} A_{\nu}(t,\tau) = \mathrm{i} \sum_{l,s} \frac{\mathrm{J}_{l}^{2}(\xi) \mathrm{J}_{s}^{2}(\zeta_{l})}{\bar{\Omega}_{l,s}},$$
(3.28)

where

$$\bar{\Omega}_{l,s} = \omega - l\bar{\omega}_B - k_{\parallel,s}v_{\parallel}, \qquad (3.29)$$

with $k_{\parallel,s} = k_{\parallel} + s/(qR)$.

For Maxwell distribution, the velocity integral of (3.28) can be written as

$$\int d\boldsymbol{v}_{\perp} F_{\perp} \int d\boldsymbol{v}_{\parallel} F_{\parallel} \oint \frac{d\vartheta}{2\pi} \oint \frac{d\alpha}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{t} d\tau A_{\nu}(t,\tau) = i \sum_{l} Y_{l} \hat{I}_{l}(z_{\nu}), \qquad (3.30)$$

where

$$Y_l = \sum_{s=-\infty}^{\infty} \int dv_{\parallel} F_{\parallel} \frac{J_s^2(\zeta_l)}{\bar{\Omega}_{l,s}}.$$
(3.31)

In the limit case of a plasma with zero temperature $F_{\parallel} = \delta(v_{\parallel})$ ($\delta(x)$ is a Dirac delta function) and, therefore, $\overline{\Omega}_{l,s}$ is not involved in the summation. Due to this, taking into account that

$$\sum_{s=-\infty}^{\infty} \mathbf{J}_s^2 = 1, \tag{3.32}$$

we obtain

$$Y_l(T=0) = \frac{1}{\omega - l\bar{\omega}_B}.$$
(3.33)

The same relation takes place in homogeneous magnetic field: $\int dv_{\parallel}F_{\parallel}(T=0)/(\omega - l\bar{\omega}_B - k_{\parallel}v_{\parallel}) = 1/(\omega - l\bar{\omega}_B)$. This is explained by the fact that there is no particle motion along the field lines in the cold plasma.

It is convenient to introduce \mathcal{Y}_l by (compare with (3.18))

$$Y_l = \frac{1}{\omega - l\omega_B} \mathcal{Y}_l, \qquad (3.34)$$

where

$$\mathcal{Y}_{l}(x_{l},\zeta_{T}) = \sum_{s=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}v_{\parallel} F_{\parallel} \frac{\mathrm{J}_{s}^{2}(\zeta_{T}v_{T}/v_{\parallel})}{1 - k_{\parallel,s}v_{\parallel}/(k_{\parallel}v_{T}x_{l})},$$
(3.35)

 $x_l = (\omega - l\bar{\omega}_B)/(k_{\parallel}v_T), \, \zeta_T = lqr/\rho_T.$

Due to (3.30), the eigenmode equation in the considered case can be obtained from (3.21) by replacing $Z_l(x_l)$ with $\mathcal{Y}_l(x_l, \zeta_T)$. To draw this conclusion we substituted (3.30) into the angle-averaged (3.9) and then used (3.20) with flux surface averaged right-hand side. Thus, $\mathcal{Y}_l(x_l, \zeta_T)$ plays the role of the dispersion function $Z_l(x_l)$ when particle gyration is affected by toroidicity. Its features are considered in appendix A.

3.3. Equation for subharmonic modes

Based on (2.18), in the previous section we neglected the toroidal drift. However, finite Larmor radius and non-uniform particle gyration do not manifest themselves in the l = 0 term of the eigenmode equations, which can describe subharmonic modes ($\omega \leq \omega_{Bi}$). Therefore, a question arises about the role of finite width of drift orbits for these modes. Below we consider this issue.

We proceed from (3.9) with $A_{\nu}(\tau, t)$ given by (3.8) which in the considered case is

$$A_{\nu}(t,\tau) = \exp\left[-\mathrm{i}\int_{t}^{\tau} \mathrm{d}t'(\omega - k_{\parallel}\boldsymbol{v}_{\parallel} - \boldsymbol{k}_{\perp,\nu}\boldsymbol{v}_{D})\right], \qquad (3.36)$$

where $\mathbf{k}_{\perp,\nu}\mathbf{v}_{\perp} = k_{\perp,\nu}v_D\sin(\vartheta + \psi)$. Using $\dot{\vartheta} = v_{\parallel}/(qR)$ and

$$\exp(i\xi_D\cos\vartheta_\tau) = i^s \sum_{s=-\infty}^{\infty} J_s(\xi_D) e^{is\vartheta_\tau}, \qquad (3.37)$$

with $\vartheta_{\tau} = \vartheta(\tau) + \psi$, $\xi_D = k_{\perp} v_D q R / v_{\parallel}$, we obtain

$$A_{\nu}(t,\tau) = \sum_{s} \mathbf{i}^{s} \mathbf{J}_{s}(\xi_{D,\nu}) \exp\left(\mathbf{i} \int_{t}^{\tau} dt' \Omega_{0,s}\right) \exp(\mathbf{i} s \vartheta_{t} - \mathbf{i} \xi_{D,\nu} \cos \vartheta_{t}), \qquad (3.38)$$

where $\Omega_{0,s} = \omega - k_{\parallel,s} v_{\parallel}$. The flux surface averaging with

$$J_p(x) = i^p \oint \frac{d\chi}{2\pi} \exp(-ix\cos\chi + ip\chi)$$
(3.39)

and time integration yield

$$\int_{-\infty}^{t} \mathrm{d}\tau \oint \frac{\mathrm{d}\vartheta}{2\pi} A_{\nu}(t,\tau) = \mathrm{i} \sum_{s=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{J}_{s}^{2}(\xi_{D})}{\Omega_{0,s}}.$$
(3.40)

Using (3.40), (3.9) and (3.20) we obtain

$$\frac{1}{r}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r}r\frac{\mathrm{d}\hat{\Phi}}{\mathrm{d}r} - \left(\frac{m^2}{r^2} + \frac{n^2}{R^2}\right)\hat{\Phi}$$
$$= \sum_{j=e,i}\sum_{\nu=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{d_j^2} \left\langle 1 - \sum_{s=-\infty}^{\infty} J_s^2(\xi_{D,\nu})\frac{\omega}{\Omega_{0,s}} \right\rangle \Phi_{\nu} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}k_{\nu}r}, \tag{3.41}$$

where $\langle (\cdots) \rangle \equiv \int d^3 v (\cdots) F_{\parallel} F_{\perp}$.

The mode frequency below but close to ω_{Bi} well exceeds $v_{\parallel}/(qR)$, by a factor of qR/ρ . On the other hand, when $\xi_D \sim 1$ or less, a few terms in the sum $\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} J_s^2(\xi_D)$ are sufficient to make this sum very close to unity, $\sum_{-s_m}^{s_m} J_s^2(\xi_{D,\nu}) \approx 1$. Due to this, the right-hand side of (3.41) reduces to

$$\sum_{j=e,i} \frac{1}{d_j^2} [1 - Z_0(x_{0,j})] \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}.$$
(3.42)

Thus, the influence of the toroidal drift on the equation of modes with $\omega \lesssim \omega_{Bi}$ is negligible.

4. Summary

Cyclotron waves can manifest themselves in fusion devices and in space plasmas (Dendy 1994). However, minor attention was paid to eigenmodes in warm plasmas after the first works carried out in the middle of the last century. In particular, no attempts to describe the radial structure of those eigenmodes were done yet. This can be explained by the fact

that there are many experimental observations of destabilized MHD modes, especially toroidicity-induced Alfvén eigenmodes, and at the same time the theory of modes in cold plasma is simpler (because they are described by MHD and two-fluid models and do not require kinetic theory). This work represents an indispensable step towards a study of eigenmodes in warm bounded plasmas. It was motivated, first of all, by the ICE experiments on NSTX-U and DIII-D (Fredrickson *et al.* 2021; Crocker *et al.* 2022), which can be hardly described by existing theories employing FMMs. Some other recent DIII-D results on ICE can be found in Thome *et al.* (2019), DeGrandchamp *et al.* (2021) and DeGrandchamp *et al.* (2022).

The results obtained within the work can be summarized as follows.

A method for derivation of equations for the mentioned eigenmodes, based on expansion of the radial profile of the mode amplitude in a Fourier series with coefficients in the form of plane waves is proposed. Using this method, an equation for electrostatic modes with frequencies in the range of ion cyclotron harmonics in a Maxwellian plasma is derived for the first time, see (3.21). In fact, this is an integro-differential equation. It reduces to a second-order differential equation in the special case of $k_r \ll k$.

Equation (3.21) is derived in the assumption that the magnetic field is homogeneous. A comprehensive treatment of toroidicity would have resulted in an equation which has a form hardly suitable for applications (more details are given in § 2.2). Therefore, we restricted ourselves to taking into account the non-uniform gyration of particles, which seems to represent the main effect of toroidicity on eigenmodes when toroidicity is small $(\sqrt{\epsilon} \ll 1)$ and/or $k_{\parallel}qR \ll 1$. It is found that non-uniform gyration leads to replacement of the known dispersion function $Z_l(x_l)$ in the mode equation with the function $\mathcal{Y}_l(x_l, \zeta_T)$. Both these functions are proportional to $|\omega - l\bar{\omega}_B|^2$ when $|\omega - l\bar{\omega}_B|$ is sufficiently small, and weakly depend on $|\omega - l\bar{\omega}_B|$ in the opposite case.

An equation for subharmonic modes ($\omega \leq \omega_{Bi}$), that takes into account finite drift-orbit width, is derived. It is found that toroidicity is not important for these modes.

A by-product of this work is finding a minimum magnitude of the poloidal mode number in the known ICE model (Coppi 1993), which restricts applicability of that model to high cyclotron harmonics.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to W.W. Heidbrink for encouraging this work.

Editor P. Helander thanks the referees for their advice in evaluating this article.

Funding

This work was supported by DOE grant DE-FG02-06ER54867 via Partner Project Agreement P786/UCI Subaward #2022-1701 between the Regents of the University of California, Irvine (UCI), the Science and Technology Center in Ukraine, and the Institute for Nuclear Research.

Declaration of interests

The authors report no conflict of interest.

Appendix A. Dispersion function \mathcal{Y}_l

In this section we consider features of the dispersion function associated with inhomogeneous gyration.

Proceeding to variable $y = v_{\parallel}/v_T$ we write (3.34) in the form

$$\mathcal{Y}_{l} = \sum_{s} \frac{x_{l,s}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy \frac{e^{-y^{2}} J_{s}^{2}(\zeta_{T}/y)}{x_{l,s} - y},$$
 (A1)

where

$$x_{l,s} = \frac{\omega - l\bar{\omega}_B}{k_{\parallel,s}v_T}, \quad k_{\parallel,s} = k_{\parallel} + \frac{s}{qR}, \quad \zeta_T \equiv \zeta_l(\rho_{\parallel} = \rho_T) = lq\frac{r}{\rho_T} \gg 1.$$
 (A2*a*-*c*)

The integrand in (A1) contains a singularity at $y = x_{l,s}$. In order to avoid it we follow the known rule, replacing ω with $\omega + i0$, which implies that

$$\frac{1}{x_{l,s} - y} = \frac{P}{x_{l,s} - y} - i\pi\delta(y - x_{l,s}).$$
 (A3)

Then

$$\mathcal{Y}_{l} = \sum_{s} \frac{x_{l,s}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy \frac{e^{-y^{2}} J_{s}^{2}(\zeta_{T}/y)}{x_{l,s} - y} - i \sum_{s} \sqrt{\pi} x_{l,s} e^{-x_{l,s}^{2}} J_{s}^{2}(\zeta_{T}/x_{l,s}).$$
(A4)

This equation shows that the damping is not vanishing even when $k_{\parallel} = 0$. However, it is exponentially small unless $x_{l,s} \leq 1$. On the other hand, Bessel functions are largest when their order $s \sim 1$ and their argument $\zeta_T / x_{l,s} \sim 1$ which is not possible with $x_{l,s} \leq 1$ because $\zeta_T \gg 1$. Thus, the damping cannot be large. In order to calculate it a dispersion relation for a particular eigenfrequency should be considered.

It is convenient to write the real part of \mathcal{Y}_l in the form

$$\operatorname{Re}\mathcal{Y}_{l} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{s=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}y \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-y^{2}} \mathrm{J}_{s}^{2}(\zeta_{T}/y)}{1 - \sigma (s + \tilde{k}_{\parallel})y},$$
(A5)

with

$$\sigma \equiv \frac{\epsilon}{\zeta_T \Delta_\omega}, \quad \tilde{k}_{\parallel} \equiv k_{\parallel} q R, \quad \Delta_\omega \equiv \frac{\omega - l \bar{\omega}_B}{l \bar{\omega}_B}.$$
(A6*a*-*c*)

A rough estimate of $\operatorname{Re} \mathcal{Y}_l$ can be easily done for $x_{l,s} \gg 1$: in this case the effect of singularity $y = x_{l,s}$ in (A1) is negligible because the integrand is exponentially small at $y \gg 1$. Due to this, thermal particles mainly contribute to the integral $(y \sim 1)$. On the other hand, ζ_T is very large; for instance, for the above-mentioned ICE experiment on DIII-D (Crocker *et al.* 2022) $\zeta_T = 240$ (we take l = 2, q = 2, $\rho_T = 0.5$ cm, r = 30 cm). Using a large value of ζ_T and taking y = 1 in the argument of the Bessel functions, we can write $J_s^2(\zeta_T/y) \sim 1/\zeta_T$ with $|s| < s_m \equiv \zeta_T$. In addition, we take into account that the condition $x_{l,s} \gg 1$ implies $\Delta_{\omega}/\epsilon > s/\zeta_T$ for $\tilde{k}_{\parallel} \ll s$, which can be replaced by the softer condition $\tilde{k}_{\parallel} \lesssim 1$ because large numbers *s* mainly contribute. Then we conclude that

$$\operatorname{Re}\mathcal{Y}_{l} \sim \frac{1}{\zeta_{T}} \sum_{s=-s_{m}}^{s_{m}} \operatorname{Re}Z_{l}(x_{l,s}) \sim 1 \quad \text{for}\Delta_{\omega} > \epsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{k}_{\parallel} \lesssim 1.$$
 (A7)

Below we make a more accurate estimate of \mathcal{Y}_l that is valid for all $x_{l,s}$ when $\tilde{k}_{\parallel} \ll 1$. Under the latter condition, the pole of the denominator of (A5) stays within or outside the range of integration for all |s| depending on whether $(\zeta_T \sigma)^{-1} = \Delta_{\omega} / \epsilon$ is, respectively,

14

less or greater than unity; this enables one to treat all terms of the infinite sum in (A5) uniformly.

We again rely on the asymptotic behaviour of Bessel functions,

$$\mathbf{J}_{s}^{2}(u) \simeq \begin{cases} 0, & |u| < |s|, \\ (2/\pi|u|)\cos^{2}\chi(u), & |u| > |s|, \end{cases}$$
(A8)

where *u* is an arbitrary variable and $\chi \sim u + \text{const.}(s)$ is the 'phase' determining the location of the zeros of J_s, i.e. zeros of J_s are separated by approximately π ; the transition between the two asymptotic behaviours occurs, to the same order, over one period, i.e.

$$||u| - |s|| \lesssim \pi. \tag{A9}$$

In our case, $u = \zeta_T/y$. Since $\zeta_T \gg 1$ and the term $\exp(-y^2)$ in the integrand of (A5) strongly suppresses contributions from large |y|, we can 'average' the term $J_s^2(\zeta_T/y)$ over these fast oscillations in the integrand,

$$\langle J_s^2(\zeta_T/y) \rangle \simeq \begin{cases} 0, & |\zeta_T/y| < |s|, \\ |y|/(\pi\zeta_T), & |\zeta_T/y| > |s|. \end{cases}$$
 (A10)

Substituting (A10) into (A5), we then have the approximation

$$\operatorname{Re}\mathcal{Y}_{l} \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{s=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\zeta_{T}/|s|}^{\zeta_{T}/|s|} dy \frac{|y|}{\pi\zeta_{T}} \frac{e^{-y^{2}}}{1 - \sigma(s + \tilde{k}_{\parallel})y}.$$
(A11)

This approximation fails when the pole of the denominator at $x_{l,s} = 1/\sigma(s + \tilde{k}_{\parallel})$ is not suppressed by the $\exp(-y^2)$ term and falls within the transition region (A9). The first of these two conditions can be expressed as $|x_{l,s}| \gtrsim C$ with some constant C > 1; neglecting \tilde{k}_{\parallel} , this reduces to $|s| > 1/(C\sigma)$. The second condition (A9) yields $|s| - \pi < \zeta_T/|x_{l,s}| < |s| + \pi$, which reduces to $|\epsilon/\Delta_{\omega} - 1| < \pi/|s|$. Since the smallest |s| limit the acceptable range of Δ_{ω}/ϵ the most, we combine the two conditions to obtain $|\epsilon/\Delta_{\omega} - 1| < C\pi/\zeta_T(\epsilon/\Delta_{\omega})$, or equivalently $|\Delta_{\omega}/\epsilon - 1| < C\pi/\zeta_T$. We will therefore exclude this vicinity of the point $\Delta_{\omega}/\epsilon = 1$ from numerical calculations.

Transforming (A11) neglecting k_{\parallel} , we have

$$\pi \sqrt{\pi} \zeta_T \operatorname{Re} \mathcal{Y}_l \approx 1 + 2 \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(\sigma s)^2} \int_0^{(\zeta_T/s)^2} dt \frac{e^{-t}}{(\sigma s)^{-2} - t}$$

$$= 1 + 2 \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-(\sigma s)^{-2}}}{(\sigma s)^2} \int_{-1/(\sigma s)^2}^{(\zeta_T/s)^2 - 1/(\sigma s)^2} dx \frac{e^{-x}}{-x}$$

$$= 1 + 2 \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-(\sigma s)^{-2}}}{(\sigma s)^2} \left[\int_{-1/(\sigma s)^2}^{\infty} dx \frac{e^{-x}}{-x} - \int_{(\zeta_T/s)^2 - 1/(\sigma s)^2}^{\infty} dx \frac{e^{-x}}{-x} \right]$$

$$= 1 + 2 \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-(\sigma s)^{-2}}}{(\sigma s)^2} \times \begin{cases} \operatorname{Ei} \left(\frac{1}{(\sigma s)^2} \right) - \operatorname{Ei} \left(\frac{1}{(\sigma s)^2} - \frac{\zeta_T^2}{s^2} \right) (1/\sigma > \zeta_T) \\ \operatorname{Ei} \left(\frac{1}{(\sigma s)^2} \right) + \operatorname{E}_1 \left(\frac{\zeta_T^2}{s^2} - \frac{1}{(\sigma s)^2} \right) (1/\sigma < \zeta_T) \end{cases}$$
(A12)

where $\text{Ei}(u) \equiv -\int_{-u}^{\infty} du \, e^{-u}/u$ and $\text{E}_1(u) \equiv \int_{u}^{\infty} du \, e^{-u}/u$ (u > 0) are the exponential integrals (see e.g. Abramowitz & Stegun (1972), chapter 4). The infinite sum in (A12)



FIGURE 2. Here $\operatorname{Re} \mathcal{Y}_l$ is calculated for $\zeta_T = 240$ and $\tilde{k}_{\parallel} = 0$ using the averaged asymptotic of the Bessel function $J_s^2(\zeta_T v_T/v_{\parallel})$. The vicinity of $\Delta_{\omega}/\epsilon = 1$ is excluded because the averaged asymptotic approximation fails there.

converges as $s \to \infty$ because the summand is $O(s^{-2})$. A somewhat more complicated closed-form expression for (A11) in terms of a sum of exponential integrals can be derived also for the case when $\tilde{k}_{\parallel} \neq 0$. However, numerical calculations show that, as long as $\zeta_T \gg 1$ and $\tilde{k}_{\parallel} \lesssim 1$, the main contribution to $\operatorname{Re} \mathcal{Y}_l$ comes from terms with large *s*, and (A11) very weakly depends on both ζ_T and \tilde{k}_{\parallel} . This justifies the use of the simpler expression (A12). The dependence of this expression on Δ_{ω}/ϵ is shown in figure 2. It behaves as $(\Delta_{\omega}/\epsilon)^2$ for small Δ_{ω}/ϵ and as a constant for large Δ_{ω}/ϵ , matching the limiting case described by (A7).

REFERENCES

- ABRAMOWITZ, M. & STEGUN, I. 1972 Handbook of Mathematical Functions. Applied Mathematics Series, vol. 55. U.S. Government Printing Office.
- AKHIEZER, A.I., AKHIEZER, I.A., POLOVIN, R.V., SITENKO, A.G. & STEPANOV, K.N. 1975 *Plasma* electrodynamics. Linear Theory, vol. 1. Pergamon Press.
- BELIKOV, V.S. & KOLESNICHENKO, Y.I. 1982 Derivation of the quasi-linear theory equations for the axisymmetric toroidal systems. *Plasma Phys.* 24 (1), 61.
- BURDO, O. & KOLESNICHENKO, Y.I. 2020 High frequency fast magnetoacoustic modes in the plasma core. *Phys. Lett.* A 384 (32), 126825.
- CARBAJAL, L., DENDY, R., CHAPMAN, S. & COOK, J. 2017 Quantifying fusion born ion populations in magnetically confined plasmas using ion cyclotron emission. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 118, 105001.
- COPPI, B. 1993 Origin of radiation emission induced by fusion reaction products. *Phys. Lett.* A **172** (6), 439–442.
- COTTRELL, G., BHATNAGAR, V., COSTA, O.D., DENDY, R., JACQUINOT, J., MCCLEMENTS, K., MCCUNE, D., NAVE, M., SMEULDERS, P. & START, D. 1993 Ion cyclotron emission measurements during jet deuterium-tritium experiments. *Nucl. Fusion* 33 (9), 1365.
- CROCKER, N., TANG, S., THOME, K., LESTZ, J., BELOVA, E., ZALZALI, A., DENDY, R., PEEBLES, W., BARADA, K., HONG, R., *et al.* 2022 Novel internal measurements of ion cyclotron frequency range fast-ion driven modes. *Nucl. Fusion* 62 (2), 026023.

- DEGRANDCHAMP, G., LESTZ, J., ZEELAND, M.V., DU, X., HEIDBRINK, W., THOME, K., CROCKER, N. & PINSKER, R. 2022 Mode structure measurements of ion cyclotron emission and sub-cyclotron modes on DIII-D. *Nucl. Fusion* 62 (10), 106033.
- DEGRANDCHAMP, G., THOME, K., HEIDBRINK, W., HOLMES, I. & PINSKER, R. 2021 Upgrades to the ion cyclotron emission diagnostic on the DIII-D tokamak. *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **92**, 033543.
- DENDY, R. 1994 Interpretation of ion cyclotron emission from fusion and space plasmas. *Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion* **36** (12B), 163.
- DENDY, R., MCCLEMENTS, K., LASHMORE-DAVIES, C., MAJESKI, R. & CAUFFMAN, S. 1994 A mechanism for beam driven excitation of ion cyclotron harmonic waves in the tokamak fusion test reactor. *Phys. Plasmas* 1 (10), 3407–3413.
- FREDRICKSON, E., GORELENKOV, N., BELL, R., DIALLO, A., LEBLANC, B., LESTZ, J., PODESTÁ, M. & NSTX TEAM 2021 Chirping ion cyclotron emission (ICE) on NSTX-U. Nucl. Fusion 61 (8), 086007.
- FÜLÖP, T., KOLESNICHENKO, Y.I., LISAK, M. & ANDERSON, D. 1997 Origin of superthermal ion cyclotron emission in tokamaks. *Nucl. Fusion* 37 (9), 1281.
- GORELENKOV, N.N. 2016 Energetic particle-driven compressional Alfvén eigenmodes and prospects for ion cyclotron emission studies in fusion plasmas. *New J. Phys.* 18 (10), 105010.
- HARRIS, E. 1961 Plasma instabilities associated with anisotropic velocity distributions. J. Nucl. Energy C 2 (1), 138–145.
- MIKHAILOVSKII, A. 1986 Theory of collective processes in a tokamak with a group of fast ions. In *Reviews* of *Plasma Physics* (ed. M.A. Leontovich), vol. 9. Consultants Bureau.
- RICHARDSON, A. 2019 2019 NRL Plasma Formulary. Naval Research Laboratory.
- SHAFRANOV, V. 1967 Electromagnetic waves in a plasma. In *Reviews of Plasma Physics* (ed. M.A. Leontovich), vol. 3. Consultants Bureau.
- STIX, T. 1992 Waves in Plasmas. Springer.
- THOME, K., PACE, D., PINSKER, R., ZEELAND, M.V., HEIDBRINK, W. & AUSTIN, M. 2019 Central ion cyclotron emission in the DIII-D tokamak. *Nucl. Fusion* **59** (8), 086011.