


RESEARCH ARTICLE

Space invaders and norm-politicians: how the media represent the intersectional identities of Members of Parliament

Zahra Runderkamp* , Daphne van der Pas, Anne Louise Schotel and Liza Mügge

Department of Political Science, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

*E-mail: z.a.runderkamp@uva.nl

(Received 12 January 2022; revised 07 June 2022; accepted 11 July 2022; first published online 15 August 2022)

Abstract

How do the media describe the intersectional identities of elected politicians? Our study focuses on parliamentarians in the Netherlands who fall outside the prevailing norm in politics: women and female and male ethnic minorities. Drawing on 2,783 newspaper articles published between 1994 and 2012 and matched samples, we find that the media structurally emphasize the identities of all parliamentarians who are not white men. Women politicians are more often described in terms of gender, ethnic minorities in terms of ethnicity and Muslim politicians in terms of religion. Ethnic majority men, meanwhile, are most often described by their political ideology. We find that this works already for one minority identity, as well as multiple identities. By continuously highlighting the identities of politicians that diverge from the norm, the media, we argue, paint pictures of women and ethnic minority politicians as different and out of place.

Keywords: intersectionality; politicians; media coverage; ethnicity; gender

Introduction

Although ethnic minorities and women are making inroads into parliamentary politics in many Western democracies, the dominance of white men remains the norm (Inter-Parliamentary Union, 2022), reinforcing prevailing beliefs about who belongs in politics and who does not. As minoritized politicians must challenge deeply rooted patriarchal, racialized and heteronormative beliefs about what politicians look like, they are often seen as ‘space invaders’ (Puwar, 2004) who do not quite belong. Their presence in elected office, however, reveals what has hitherto passed as the undisputed norm. In politics and political media coverage, this norm, as our study shows, continues to be the white male politician.

The media is a crucial place for the enactment of politics, how the public sees politics and politicians (Kahn, 1994; Strömbäck and Esser, 2014; Savigny and Yates, 2019), and where voters obtain political information (Van der Pas and Aaldering, 2020). In this article, we ask: how do the media describe the intersectional identities of elected politicians? We focus on Dutch politicians who defy the norm – women as well as male and female ethnic minorities – and measure the intersectional effects of how they are described in the media. How much emphasis is there on their identity and deviance from the norm?

We use a unique data set to measure how Dutch parliamentarians have been described in five national newspapers over a period of 18 years (1994–2012). Drawing on a corpus of 250,000 newspaper articles paired with data from the ‘Pathways to Power: The Political Representation of Citizens of Immigrant Origin in Seven European Democracies’ study (Fernandes *et al.*, 2016)

we analyze 2,783 articles. We compare visible minority politicians with ethnic majority politicians who are otherwise similar. The matched sample consists of four groups: ethnic majority men, ethnic majority women, ethnic minority men, and ethnic minority women. By leveraging inter-group and intragroup comparison (McCall, 2005), we provide insight into the inclusion and exclusion of minoritized politicians in the media.

Drawing on the Netherlands, we find stark differences in media coverage of the identities of norm and minoritized politicians. Whereas the norm of whiteness and maleness goes unmentioned, the media structurally emphasize the space-invading identities of minoritized politicians: women are more often described in terms of their gender, ethnic minorities in terms of their ethnicity, and Muslim politicians in terms of their religion, while ethnic majority men are more often described by their political ideology. Surprisingly, this already holds for those with one minoritized identity, as well as those with two minoritized identities. Thus, we find that these categories apply separately, as well as intersectionally. By continuously highlighting the aspect of their identities that mark them as diverging from the norm, the media paint a picture of women and ethnic minority politicians as different and out of place.

We employ intersectional analysis (Crenshaw, 1991; Collins, 2015) to show how ‘axes of identity such as race and gender are both mutually constituted and mutually constitutive’ (Ward, 2016: 318). We understand intersectionality as both an empirical and theoretical project and contribute to the scholarship by studying the interplay of marginalized identity categories in media coverage (Gershon, 2012) that each matter in politically significant ways (Hancock, 2007). Theoretically, we build on scholarship that suggests that politicians with multiple minoritized identities can enjoy a ‘complementarity bonus’ (Celis *et al.*, 2014) in political recruitment and thus in descriptive representation (Bjarnegård and Kenny, 2015; Erzeel and Celis, 2016; Gershon *et al.*, 2019). Nevertheless, our focus on politicians *already in office* shows that identity-based inclusion and exclusion works differently once they have passed the gauntlet of recruitment.

Next, we formulate hypotheses on how the media use identity descriptions to describe men and women, ethnic majority, and minority politicians. We then present our methods, followed by our results, which reveal that media coverage of politicians’ identities indeed works in exclusionary ways.

Norm or norm-defiant? Differences between and within groups of politicians

Slowly but surely, historically excluded groups are entering parliamentary politics. As their ‘ability to rule’ is still questioned in many quarters, their presence is important (Mansbridge, 1999). The inclusion of previously excluded groups is furthermore a precondition for representative democracy to be truly representative (Phillips, 1995).

Intersectional theory posits that power is clustered around certain categories and exercised against others (Crenshaw, 1991: 1297) and that individuals with multiple minoritized identities suffer greater exclusion. Scholars have applied an intersectional lens to study how these hierarchies and inequalities work out in politics. For instance, how they affect political recruitment and representation or how identity categories differ between and within groups. Perhaps counter-intuitively, research suggests that candidates combining several marginalized identities (e.g., gender *and* ethnic minority) can benefit in terms of descriptive representation (Bejarano, 2013; Celis *et al.*, 2015) as those who tick more than one box can enjoy advantages in recruitment over candidates only belonging to a single marginalized group. Ethnic minority women, for instance, can benefit from existing women’s networks within political parties, which may lobby on their behalf for (higher) positions on party lists (Mügge, 2016). The result of these intersectional hierarchies is that ethnic minority women are *better* represented than their male counterparts, as suggested by evidence from the Netherlands, Spain, Sweden, New Zealand, and elsewhere (Celis and Erzeel, 2015; Barker and Coffé, 2018; Mügge, van der Pas and van de Wardt, 2019). The process of

recruiting candidates to run for elected office has been referred to as an ‘intersectional puzzle’ (Celis *et al.*, 2014) where multiple identity categories can kill two birds with one stone.

But once elected, the ‘complimentary bonus’ is far from straight-forward. A study on USA Congress finds that the production of difference based on identities – ‘racing-gendering’ – entails ‘silencing, excluding, marginalizing, segregating, discrediting, dismissing, discounting, insulting, stereotyping, and patronizing . . . used singly and in combination to fix women of color “in their place”’ (Hawkesworth, 2003: 531). This echoes Puwar’s (2004) portrayal of the ‘space invader’ as the ‘outsider-within.’ Once in office, the combination of several marginalized identities often works in exclusionary ways.

The media plays a crucial role in suggesting how politicians should behave and look like: ‘[a]s institutions, the mass media provide an organizational framework within which individuals interact in the context of set roles and established power hierarchies’ (Downing and Husband, 2005: 9). The media is where people learn about and make sense of politics (Savigny and Yates, 2019). Journalists have the power to define situations; by uncritically employing categories such as ‘race’ or ‘gender,’ they participate in racializing and gendering as well as the confirmation and reproduction of identity descriptions (Downing and Husband, 2005: 5).

The media are not a ‘mirror’ that reflects what is in a neutral manner, they are rather a distorted one (Kahn, 1994). The media and its journalists make choices in all phases of the journalistic process (selection, presentation, and framing). Scholarship has convincingly shown that in all of these phases, race and gender are among the factors that influence those choices (Tolley, 2015: 22). This is referred to as gendered or racial mediation.

While extant scholarship on gendered media coverage has largely focused on the USA (Kahn, 1994; Caliendo and McIlwain, 2006; Gershon, 2012, 2013; Bauer, 2019), Canada (Gidengil and Everitt, 1999, 2000, 2003a; Tolley, 2015; Besco, *et al.*, 2016; Wagner *et al.*, 2019), and Britain (Campbell and Childs, 2010; Ross *et al.*, 2013; Harmer *et al.*, 2017), fewer studies have been conducted elsewhere (e.g., Gattermann and Vasilopoulou, 2015; D’Heer *et al.*, 2021; Van der Pas, 2021). Overall, these studies find that, compared to men, media coverage of women politicians focuses more on their appearance, family life, and personal backgrounds (e.g., Bystrom *et al.*, 2001; Heldman *et al.*, 2005). This is a type of ‘human interest’ coverage that trivializes women politicians (Heflick and Goldenberg, 2009; Funk and Coker, 2016; Lizotte and Meggers-Wright, 2019). Media routinely describe male politicians as more electable; they are quoted more often and get more substantive issue coverage (Aday and Devitt, 2001; Fridkin and Kenney, 2014; Lühiste and Banducci, 2016). Journalists also more often highlight women politicians’ gender (e.g., Bystrom *et al.*, 2001; Banwart *et al.*, 2003; Niven, 2005; Semetko and Boomgaarden, 2007; Valenzuela and Correa, 2009; Miller *et al.*, 2010; Meeks, 2012, 2013; Dan and Iorgoveanu, 2013; Trimble *et al.*, 2013 *et al.*, 2013; Fernandez-Garcia, 2016; Falk, 2019), thereby marking women as ‘out of place.’ A particularly fruitful avenue for research, especially in Canada, looks at televised debates as a means to more precisely distinguish between what happened and how it is described (Gidengil and Everitt, 2000b). Such studies look within the ‘black box’ of the televised media – but are limited by a focus on leadership races, rather than MPs who are not party leaders. An intersectional German study shows that television fails to accommodate opportunities for minorities both in quality and quantity (Lünenborg and Fürsich, 2014).

An intersectional lens offers new perspectives on media coverage. For instance, in the framing of the French extreme right woman leader Marine Le Pen, two frames seem incompatible. ‘Soft’ because of her gender, ‘hard’ due to her ideology and ideas (Snipes and Mudde, 2020). Given that minority politicians are not a homogeneous group, an intersectional approach reveals unexpected frames.

While few studies have analyzed both gender and ethnicity in media coverage, existing work suggests that ethnic minority women face more disadvantageous media treatment than ethnic majority women. The coverage of ethnic minority women politicians often focusses on their gender (Ward, 2016). The greater visibility of ethnic minority women in the 2010 UK general

election – they received almost twice as much attention as their ethnic majority counterparts – was a double-edged sword. Although their novelty indeed led to a ‘visibility advantage,’ media coverage tended to be more negative and narrowly focused on ethnicity and gender (Ward, 2017). The media focus on what is new and newsworthy (Harcup and O’Neill, 2017) and the entry of newcomers is indeed a novelty (Verge and Pastor, 2018). By contrast, the identities of white male politicians go unmentioned and unquestioned (Kahn and Goldenberg, 1991); they seem to have no ethnic or gender characteristics and ‘figure simply as “individuals”’ (Phillips, 2008: 448). Although the entry of space invaders renders visible what has so far passed as the uncontested norm, media descriptions of their identity place them in racialized and gendered hierarchies, even when these categories are contested (Yanow *et al.*, 2016). Examining the workings of these categories within and between groups – as we do in this article – reveals the assumptions, hierarchies, and social meanings behind them. We now introduce our hypotheses on the mentioning of gender, ethnicity, religion, and ideology for normative and norm-transgressing politicians.

H1: Gender

Consistent with earlier studies on the gendered media coverage of politicians, we expect that the gender of women politicians – of both the ethnic majority and minority – will be mentioned more often than the gender of their male counterparts. We further expect that distance from the norm on one axis (such as gender) will transfer to other axes (such as ethnicity), leading to cumulative marginalizing effects. While white men remain the norm, we expect ethnic majority women (one minority identity) to be deemed closer to the norm than ethnic minority women (two cumulative minority identities). We therefore expect that the media will highlight the gender of ethnic minority female politicians even more than for their ethnic majority counterparts. Based on these theoretical considerations, we derive our hypotheses on the media’s use of gender identity descriptions for elected politicians:

H1.1: Coverage of ethnic majority women will more often highlight their gender than for ethnic majority men.

H1.2: Coverage of ethnic minority women will more often highlight their gender than for ethnic majority women.

H2: Ethnicity

The contestation over categories in the Netherlands has most prominently centered on the confusing, dubious, and racialized distinction made by the Central Bureau for Statistics (CBS) between people with ‘Western’ and ‘non-Western’ migration backgrounds (Yanow and Van Der Haar, 2013). National statistics have also relied heavily on the distinction between *autochtoon* and *allochtoon*, with the latter literally meaning ‘emerging from another soil.’ After extensive public debate, the term was replaced in 2016 by ‘person with a migration background.’¹ While some of these markers are increasingly contested today, they are found in our sample, given its long time-frame. We use the distinction between ‘Western’ and ‘non-Western’ migration background to operationalize our study, precisely to render visible the marking as ‘other’ when we zoom in on politicians with visible, non-Western migration backgrounds.

Like their treatment of gender, we expect journalists to emphasize ethnic minority identities. We derive from intersectional theory the expectation that the media will even more vehemently

¹The Central Bureau for Statistics differentiates between first-generation (born abroad) and second-generation (at least one parent born abroad) migration background.

emphasize the otherness of politicians who are minorities on a second axis, meaning that journalists will emphasize ethnicity more for ethnic minority women than for ethnic minority men.

H2.1: Coverage of ethnic minority men will more often highlight their ethnicity than for ethnic majority men.

H2.2: Coverage of ethnic minority women will more often highlight their ethnicity than for ethnic majority men.

H3: Religion

Since the 1990s, the political problematization of Dutch citizens with a migration background – and by extension their descriptive representatives, ethnic minority parliamentarians – has centered on religion in general and Islam in particular (Aydemir and Vliegenthart, 2017, 2021). Rendered the norm, the ‘Jude-Christian tradition’ has given shape to conservative nationalism in the Netherlands and Western Europe more broadly (Hemel, 2014), rendering ‘Islam’ and ‘Muslims’ as its most prominent ‘other’ (Vellenga, 2008). We thus expect politicians who are known to be Muslim to be more often described by their religious identity than for instance Christians, agnostics, or atheists. We expect religion-based coverage to extend to all ethnic minority politicians, i.e., beyond those who are publicly known to be Muslim. Migration and religion, in particular Islam, have been conflated by the public and journalists to such an extent that we expect *all* ethnic minority politicians (Muslim or not) to be more often tagged with their religion, marking them as outside the ‘Jude-Christian’ norm (Blackwood *et al.*, 2015; Hopkins *et al.*, 2017; Amer, 2020).

H3.1: Coverage of politicians publicly known to be Muslim will more often highlight their religion than for non-Muslims.

H3.2: Coverage of non-Muslim ethnic minorities will more often highlight religion than for ethnic majority politicians.

H4: Ideology

It is crucial for parliamentarians that the electorate know what political ideology they stand for, making ideological identities arguably the most important the media might discuss. We understand ideology to be ‘a set of values and beliefs that structures how people think their broader societies and political institutions should be organized’ (Xydias, 2021: 58). But heightened media attention to the gender, ethnicity, and religious identities of minoritized politicians may come at the expense of more substantive, ideological identities. We take the prevalence of descriptions of ideological identity as a proxy for substantive (issue) coverage. Indeed, previous research has shown that women politicians receive less substantive issue coverage than their male counterparts (Aday and Devitt, 2001; Devitt, 2002; Dunaway *et al.*, 2013; Goodyear-Grant, 2013; Fridkin and Kenney, 2014), thus limiting the information potential voters can base their choices on. This holds as well for ethnic minority politicians (Tolley, 2015). We expect a similar pattern and expect that the more nonideological identity descriptions a politician is saddled with, the less space there will be for their ideological identities. Building on our previous hypotheses, we expect that the ideological identities of ethnic minority and women politicians will receive less media attention and expect this attention deficit to be largest for female ethnic minority politicians:

H4.1: Coverage of ethnic majority women will less often highlight political ideology than for ethnic majority men.

H4.2: Coverage of ethnic minority men will less often highlight political ideology than for ethnic majority men.

H4.3: Coverage of ethnic minority women will less often highlight political ideology than for (a) ethnic majority women, (b) ethnic majority men, and (c) ethnic minority men.

Methods and case selection

To study how the media describe the intersectional identities of minoritized politicians, we compare how the media cover parliamentarians with visible, non-Western migration backgrounds and politicians without such a background. We analyze the media representation of both groups by focusing on descriptions of identity such as motherhood or fatherhood, relationships, dual nationality, and (command of) the Dutch language. As our hypotheses illustrate, we expect certain descriptions that indicate politicians' social position or group membership to position them outside the norm, with multiple marginalized identities leading to greater distance from the norm. Some of these descriptors can change (e.g., relationship status) while others cannot (e.g., skin color).

The Netherlands is a least-likely case for the stereotypical media coverage of politicians given the relatively high number of elected politicians with 'non-western' migration backgrounds – in several parliamentary terms, even relative to their share of the population. With large-scale post-colonial and labor immigration reaching back to the decades following the Second World War (Morales *et al.*, 2017), the Netherlands has one of the longest and percentage-wise strongest representations of ethnic minority politicians in Europe (Fernandes *et al.*, 2016). In comparison, the inclusion of women in politics has lagged behind, extending to the period of our study.

Our research period covers six parliamentary terms from May 1994 to September 2012. We start in 1994 when the number of ethnic minority politicians rose from one to six, thus becoming a more structural feature. The percentage of women in parliament was then around 30% (Mügge *et al.*, 2019). During the period under study, ethnic minority women were overrepresented, compared to their male colleagues, as they were benefitting from more favorable conditions in parties, such as well-organized women's networks (Mügge and Damstra, 2013). This would suggest a least likely case for strong attention to gender and ethnicity by the media, as diversity in parliament had become more normalized in numbers.

We use a data set with extensive information on individual parliamentarians collected in the project 'Pathways to Power: The Political Representation of Citizens of Immigrant Origin in Seven European Democracies' (Morales *et al.*, 2017). To create the Pathways data set, researchers checked all parliamentarians to determine whether they were identifiable as a visible minority based on their name and photograph. Using this variable, we selected all individuals unambiguously coded as 'visible minority.' We did so as the literature suggests that the most consequential opposition is that drawn between 'Western' and 'non-Western' migration backgrounds, whereas migrants from, for instance, other European countries are less seen through the lenses of race and ethnicity (Rath, 1999). This yielded a sample of 46 ethnic minority politicians – 20 men and 26 women – each of whom we matched with two ethnic majority politicians. We chose this double sample design to enhance reliability. All matches include politicians of the same gender and party; if this resulted in multiple possible matches, the best match was selected based on overlap in active terms, closeness of starting time, similarity in relative average position on the party electoral list, and similarity in age and education (in order of descending importance). In addition, each ethnic majority politician could only be matched to *one* ethnic minority. If an ethnic majority politician turned out to be the best match for two ethnic minority MPs, he/she was replaced with the second-best match. Nevertheless, four ethnic minority MPs were matched to a single ethnic majority MP as all MPs of the same party and gender were already included in the matched sample. In the end,

the complete selection consists of 46 ethnic minority and 88 ethnic majority parliamentarians. Based on the Pathways data set, we use the religion of the MP, for which coders searched in newspaper articles and online (such as personal websites) to determine whether the politician's religion was publicly known. The main descriptive characteristics of the sample of MPs can be found in the online Appendix 3.

We draw on newspaper data for two reasons. First, the textual format and diachronic availability allow large-scale, systematic analysis. Second, newspapers as a medium reflect a relatively high level of editorial control over the final product, matching our focus on the portrayal of politicians *by* the media and its journalists. We included the five largest-in-circulation national daily newspapers – *De Telegraaf*, *Algemeen Dagblad*, *Volkscrant*, *NRC Handelsblad*, and *Trouw* – which include both broadsheet and tabloid formats and span the ideological spectrum. We built our corpus by downloading all articles that mention a term related to parliamentary politics or a political party during the parliamentary terms under study.² This resulted in a corpus of 273,557 articles, 17,186 of which mention an MP. To maximize the utility of our coding resources, we excluded brief or superficial coverage unlikely to contain descriptions of identity. We did this by selecting articles that made at least three mentions of the name of one of our sampled MPs, including one mention of the full (first and last) name, which led to a set of 4,406 articles. For each MP, we coded up to 60 newspaper articles, taking a random sample in cases where there were more than 60 articles, leading to saturation of markers used. In total, this resulted in 2,783 articles to code.

To develop the codebook, we read a random sample of newspaper articles covering ethnic minority MPs, noting whenever an identity description was used and coding semi-deductively descriptions that emerged from the data, based also on previous research on Dutch ethnic minority MPs (Mügge and Schotel, 2017) and previous scholarship. We remained open to new codes emerging from the data. We then selected ethnic majority MPs and coded newspaper articles mentioning them using the previously defined codes and adding new ones when necessary until we achieved saturation. The codebook itself was constructed through a semi-deductive process and applied deductively to the whole set of newspaper articles, and where needed, elaborated, and expanded. This process allowed us to study a wide range of identity markers. Moreover, our coding was informed by a meta-analysis of gender differences in political media coverage (Van der Pas and Aaldering, 2020). While training four coders, we made some final adjustments to the codebook, which can be found in the online Appendix 1. We grouped the codes into six main categories: (1) ethnicity and nationality markers; (2) gender and relationship markers; (3) age; (4) social class; (5) religion; and (6) ideology. Intercoder reliability was established on a set of 100 articles coded right after training, and another set of 100 articles throughout the regular coding; the results can be found in Appendix 2. Eight codes (autochthonous, heterosexuality, fatherhood, old, atheist, secular, Jewish, other religions) were so rare that they did not occur in the reliability sample. As intercoder reliability is therefore unknown, these should be interpreted with caution. The frequency of codes can be found in Table 1.

We use OLS regression on the data set of 2,783 articles to predict the occurrence of each code, with clustered standard errors for each group of MPs. The main variables of interest are a dummy indicating whether the MP mentioned in the article has a migration background, a dummy for the politician's gender, and the interaction between these two terms. We present the predicted outcomes for the four groups in graphs in the results section; the full regression tables are in the

²The search string used in LexisNexis to create the corpus was (Tweede Kamer! OR ((de kamer) AND CAPS(Kamer)) OR parlement OR volksvertegenwoordig! OR Kamerlid OR Kamerleden OR Kamervoorzitter OR Kamervoorzitzer OR vertegenwoordiger! OR vertegenwoordigster! OR VVD OR Volkspartij voor Vrijheid en Democratie OR PvdA OR Partij voor de Arbeid OR PVV OR Partij voor de Vrijheid OR CDA OR Christen-Democratisch Appel OR SP OR Socialistische Partij OR D66 OR Democraten 66 OR GroenLinks OR ChristenUnie OR Christen-Unie OR Christen Unie OR SGP OR Staatkundig Gereformeerde Partij OR PvdD OR Partij voor de Dieren).

Table 1 Frequency of codes

Code	# articles	# occurrences	% articles
Dutch	32	39	1.11
Regional Dutch identity	171	223	5.91
Dual citizenship	11	19	0.38
Non-Dutch	11	18	0.38
Background/country of birth non-Western	134	189	4.64
Background/country of birth Western	2	2	0.07
Refugee	16	18	0.55
Allochtoon	31	38	1.07
Autochtoon	0	0	0.00
Migrant	39	47	1.35
Ethnicity	11	18	0.38
Dutch language skills	10	10	0.35
Divorced	7	8	0.24
Married	63	84	2.18
Single	2	2	0.07
Relationship other	68	119	2.35
Heterosexuality	1	1	0.03
Bi- or homosexuality	2	2	0.07
Man	47	48	1.63
Woman	148	216	5.12
Motherhood	18	23	0.62
Fatherhood	4	8	0.14
Parenthood	75	133	2.59
Race	19	26	0.66
Young	45	62	1.56
Old	1	1	0.03
Other age	235	280	8.13
Social class	7	9	0.24
Background or occupation of parents	50	83	1.73
Other family background	13	15	0.45
Former occupation	399	650	13.80
Education	132	232	4.57
Christian	9	11	0.31
Atheist	1	1	0.03
Secular	3	3	0.10
Muslim	25	36	0.86
Jewish	1	1	0.03
Hindu	1	1	0.03
Other religions	0	0	0.00
Ideological identity	89	103	3.08

supplementary materials. As the dependent variables are (overdispersed) counts, we estimate negative binomial models, also in the supplementary material (Appendix 5).

The matched sample allows us to compare the media's political coverage of ethnic minority men with ethnic majority men and ethnic minority women with ethnic majority women. Note that ethnic minority men are not necessarily comparable to ethnic minority women. To ensure that differences are not driven by other factors, we control for the electoral term (using term dummies), the starting year of the MP, electoral list position, education, age, party, and year of article publication.

Results

How are the intersectional identities of elected politicians described in the Dutch media? Figure 1 displays six broad identity categories.




Figure 1. Predicted number of social identity markers per 100 articles.
 Note: OLS regression estimates with clustered standard errors, controlling for parliamentary term, year the MP was first elected, average list position, age, education, year, and party. Full regression tables in Appendix 4.

H1: Gender

H1 predicted that the gender of ethnic majority women will be mentioned more often than for ethnic majority men (H1.1) and that the gender of female ethnic minority MPs will be mentioned most of all (H1.2). Figure 1 shows some initial results with the broad category ‘gender and relationships’ pointing to the frequency of gender identity, relationship, sexuality, and parenthood markers. The figure displays 83% confidence intervals to show the statistical significance of the differences between the groups (Goldstein and Healy, 1995). These results are in line with Hypothesis 1, although there is no statistical significance. Ethnic minority women are most frequently described in terms of their gender, sexuality, or family role (42.3 times per 100 articles), while ethnic majority women come second with 22.4 mentions per 100 articles. Ethnic minority men are least often described by gender (6.2 times per 100 articles), while the gender, sexuality, or family role of ethnic majority men is mentioned 16.6 times per 100 articles.

Figure 2 specifies these results, allowing us to address Hypothesis 1 more directly by isolating gender-related mentions. Within the code ‘man,’ we find descriptions such as ‘statesman,’ ‘sympathetic man,’ and ‘passionate man.’ For women, we find ‘a beautiful woman, utterly feminine’ and ‘female minister.’ What jumps out is the difference between genders, with both ethnic majority and minority women being described significantly more often by their gender than ethnic



Figure 2. Predicted number of gender and relationship markers per 100 articles. Note: OLS regression estimates with clustered standard errors, controlling for parliamentary term, year the MP was first elected, average list position, age, education, year, and party. Full regression tables in Appendix 4.

minority and majority men. This partially supports our hypothesis: ethnic majority women get more gender mentions than ethnic majority men (H1.1), but ethnic minority women do not get significantly more gender references than ethnic majority women (H1.2). Contrary to our intersectional expectations, we do not find firm evidence that deviance from the norm on one axis (gender) translates to the second axis (ethnicity). Nor do we see significant differences when it comes to relationship status, sexuality, or parenthood, with sexuality hardly being mentioned.

H2: Ethnicity

We expected journalists to use ethnic descriptions more often for ethnic minority men than for ethnic majority men (H2.1), and more often still when describing ethnic minority women (H2.2). Looking at both the broad results in Figure 1 and the split-out results in Figure 3 which show the different codes included in this category, we find clear support for the first part of the hypothesis (H2.1) but not for the second (H2.2). As Figure 1 shows, ethnic majority women receive 8.2 ethnicity/nationality markers per 100 articles, while ethnic minority women receive the most, at 55.8 per 100 articles. The ethnic origins of ethnic majority men are mentioned 7.8 times per 100 articles, and for ethnic minority men, 52.2 times. There is a strong effect along the single axis of ethnicity, but we find no intersectional effects when it comes to differences between genders.

Figure 3 further unpacks our findings according to the use of specific markers. Strikingly, ethnic minority MPs are more often described with the code ‘Dutch’ than ethnic majority MPs. This includes mentions of having or having obtained Dutch nationality (*Nederlanderschap*) or a Dutch passport (*haar Nederlandse paspoort*). These mentions may indicate that being part of the norm (being considered ethnically Dutch) is not worth mentioning, while deviance from the norm (for instance being Turkish-Dutch) is highlighted through the usage of hyphenated identities (Mügge and Schotel, 2017). The code ‘regional Dutch’ is most often combined with ‘non-Western’; for the full co-occurrence table see Appendix 3. The code ‘non-Western,’ most often combined with ‘migrant,’ stands out as the most often used identity description for both ethnic minority men and women: 23.5 times per 100 articles for men and 21.6 times per 100 articles for women. Within these codes, we mostly find descriptions of countries such as ‘born in Turkey’ or ‘of Moroccan descent.’ Other descriptions frequently applied to ethnic minority MPs are ‘migrant,’ ‘allochthonous’ and, to a lesser extent, ‘refugee.’

We also find a significant difference in the use of the term ‘dual citizenship,’ which is applied significantly more often to ethnic minority women than to ethnic majority women. Similarly, ‘refugee’ appeared significantly more often to describe both female and male ethnic minority MPs than ethnic majority female MPs (but not significantly higher than for ethnic majority male MPs). The term ‘Dutch language’ appeared significantly more often in covering both ethnic minority male and female MPs than for ethnic majority male MPs (but not significantly more




Figure 3. Predicted number of ethnicity and nationality markers per 100 articles. *Note:* OLS regression estimates with clustered standard errors, controlling for parliamentary term, year the MP was first elected, average list position, age, education, year, and party. Full regression tables in Appendix 4.

than for ethnic majority women). Finally, race was rarely mentioned in the Dutch newspapers under study, although the marker appeared 2.1 times per 100 articles about ethnic minority women, significantly more than for ethnic majority politicians.

H3: Religion

We expected politicians publicly known to be Muslim to be described more often in terms of their religion than their non-Muslim colleagues (H3.1) and non-Muslim ethnic minority politicians to be identified more often with religion than their ethnic majority counterparts (H3.2). While Figure 1 indicates that ethnic minority MPs are more often described by religious identity descriptions, this does not tell us whether this holds for Muslim and non-Muslim minorities alike. Figure 4 addresses the hypothesis directly by splitting out religious identity descriptions along ethnic and religious lines. This shows that the media rarely uses religious identity descriptions except to mark Muslim MPs, who receive at least five times as many religiosity markers than any other group. We thus find support for H3.1. But contrary to our expectation in H3.2, the




Figure 4. Predicted number of religion markers per 100 articles.
 Note: OLS regression estimates with clustered standard errors, controlling for parliamentary term, year the MP was first elected, average list position, age, education, year, and party. Full regression tables in Appendix 4.

journalistic interest in religiosity does not spill over to non-Muslim ethnic minority MPs, whose religion is discussed equally often as for ethnic majority MPs.

H4: Ideology

The results show that media coverage tends to highlight any deviance from the norm: women MPs are more often described by their gender, ethnic minority MPs more often by their ethnicity, and Muslim MPs more often by their religion. H4 predicts that ethnic majority men will be described most often by their political ideology and ethnic minority women the least, with ethnic majority women and ethnic minority men falling in between. The ideology codes include mostly short descriptions such as ‘left-wing,’ ‘right-wing,’ ‘social-democrat,’ and ‘liberal’ as well as more specific markers such as ‘populist’ and ‘pacifist.’ Figure 1 demonstrates that ethnic majority men receive the most ideology markers: 5.3 times in every 100 articles (83%CI 4.4 – 6.25). This is significantly more than for ethnic majority and minority women, who, respectively, receive 3.1 (83%CI 2.2 – 4.01) and 1.9 (83%CI 0.5 – 3.3) ideology markers per 100 articles. Ethnic minority men in our sample were also less often described using ideological identities than ethnic majority men

(2.7; 83%CI 0.8 – 4.6), but the difference is not statistically significant. While ethnic minority women were indeed described least often by their ideology, the difference to ethnic minority men and ethnic majority women is not significant. While ethnic majority men do stand out for their ideology descriptions in the media, our data does not reliably allow us to say whether the differences among the other groups generalize beyond our sample.

Even though ‘age’ and ‘social class’ are not included in our hypotheses, they are included in our open coding (see Figure 1). Social class is highlighted for minority MPs, such as former occupations. Regarding age there are no big differences between groups.

Conclusion

Women and ethnic minorities are slowly but surely entering representative bodies worldwide. Intersectional scholarship has sought to reveal when, how, and under what conditions processes of inclusion and exclusion work for and against space invaders. We asked: how does the media cover the intersectional identities of politicians who fall outside long-established norms?

For our period under study, our research shows that media coverage of elected politicians works to highlight deviance on all axes of identity. Both ethnic minority and majority women are described significantly more often in terms of their gender, ethnic minority women and men in terms of their ethnicity, and politicians known to be Muslim in terms of their religion. Male MPs from the ethnic majority seem to have few ethnic or gender characteristics, they still pass as the uncontested norm and receive most substantive coverage, seen through the ideology markers. The backgrounds of elected politicians who fall outside the white masculine norm are continuously emphasized, thus marking them as different and out of place.

Contrary to our expectations, we found limited intersectional effects, although ethnic minority women are hit twice, both for their gender and their ethnicity. We may speak of ‘exceptional disadvantages’ of a systematic nature for female ethnic minority politicians, as has been found in previous scholarship (Ward, 2017). However, our study shows that exclusion of space invaders works on each axis independently as well, rather than on cumulative intersectional identities. One minoritized identity is ‘enough’ to experience racialized or gendered mediation.

Emphasizing the identity of newcomers by the media is not new or surprising, but what happens when the identity keeps being emphasized, or even leads to stereotyping? Such a ‘double-edged sword’ is worrisome where it takes away time and place for substantive coverage, on which voters make their decisions. Additionally, ‘newcomers’ of multiple marginalized identities are not so much new anymore but have become a structural feature of parliaments. Such patterns continue to exist today, likely impacting those MPs with one or more ‘space invading’ identities that have entered parliament since our period under study, such as the first transgender woman MP (Lisa van Ginneken) or the first hijab-wearing MP (Kauthar Bouchallikht).

Our research proposes new strategies to empirically study and operationalize intersectionality, which has proven difficult in practice (McCall, 2005; Weldon, 2008; Celis and Mügge, 2018; Mügge *et al.*, 2018). Combining qualitative and quantitative methods to capture as many identity descriptions as exist in the real world, constructing a codebook through an open, inductive process, and our use of matched samples are important strategies to put intersectionality into research practice. While we focused on religion and ideology – beyond the more common considerations of race/ethnicity and gender – future research can consider other categories to capture a more complete image of identities used by the media.

Our study has important implications: where some scholarship has focused on the influx of more diversity into politics, we shed light on the problematic day-to-day reality of those that were able to enter the political realm, which includes their media coverage. We find that norm-defying identities are continuously emphasized, also beyond intersectional patterns. The ultimate

consequence of the media continuously marking space invaders is the unequal conditions under which these politicians are able to represent their constituents, be re-elected and advance politically.

Supplementary material. To view supplementary material for this article, please visit <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1755773922000339>.

Acknowledgments. We thank the Amsterdam Center for European Studies for their financial support for the research assistance. We thank our research assistants Lex Mandos, Nina Monteau, Luc Vorsteveld, and Sander Bernhard.

Daphne van der Pas' research is supported by a Dutch Research Council (NWO) VENI grant [Grant number: 451-17-025].

Liza Mügge's research is supported by a Dutch Research Council (NWO) VIDIV grant [Grant number: 016.Vidi.175.355].

We have permission to reproduce the material used in the manuscript. We thank the Open Research Area Funded project 'Pathways to Power: The Political Representation of Citizens of Immigrant Origin in Seven European Democracies' for providing us with the background information on the Members of Parliament under study. The project was supported by the Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research (NWO) – Open Research Area (ORA) Grant [Grant number 464-13-055, Principal Investigator Jean Tillie].

Conflict of interests. The authors declare none.

References

- Aday, S. and J. Devitt (2001), 'Style over substance: newspaper coverage of Elizabeth Dole's presidential bid', *Harvard International Journal of Press/Politics* 6(2): 52–73. doi: [10.1177/108118001129172134](https://doi.org/10.1177/108118001129172134).
- Amer, A. (2020), 'Between recognition and Mis/Nonrecognition: strategies of negotiating and performing identities among White Muslims in the United Kingdom', *Political Psychology* 41(3): 533–548. doi: [10.1111/pops.12637](https://doi.org/10.1111/pops.12637).
- Aydemir, N. and R. Vliegthart (2017), 'Public discourse on minorities: how discursive opportunities shape representative patterns in the Netherlands and the UK', in *Nationalities Papers*, Routledge, pp. 1–15. doi: [10.1080/00905992.2017.1342077](https://doi.org/10.1080/00905992.2017.1342077).
- Aydemir, N. and R. Vliegthart (2021), 'Tracing roots of group representation among MPs with immigrant backgrounds: a content analysis on parliamentary questions in the Netherlands', *Ethnicities* 0(0): 1–20. doi: [10.1177/14687968211005187](https://doi.org/10.1177/14687968211005187).
- Banwart, M.C., D.G. Bystrom and T. Robertson (2003), 'From the primary to the general election. A comparative analysis of candidate media coverage in mixed-gender 2000 races for Governor and U.S. Senate', *American Behavioral Scientist* 46(5): 658–676. doi: [10.1177/0002764202238491](https://doi.org/10.1177/0002764202238491).
- Barker, F. and H. Coffé (2018), 'Representing diversity in mixed electoral systems: the case of New Zealand', *Parliamentary Affairs* 71(3): 603–632. doi: [10.1093/pa/gsx073](https://doi.org/10.1093/pa/gsx073).
- Bauer, N. (2019), 'Shifting standards: how voters evaluate the qualifications of female and male candidates', *The Journal of Politics* 82(1). doi: [10.1086/705817](https://doi.org/10.1086/705817).
- Bejarano, C.E. (2013), *The Latina Advantage: Gender, Race, and Political Success*, Austin: University of Texas Press.
- Besco, R., B. Gerrits and J.S. Matthews (2016), 'White millionaires and hockey skates: racial and gendered mediation in news coverage of a Canadian mayoral election', *International Journal of Communication* 10: 4641–4660.
- Bjarnegård, E. and M. Kenny (2015), 'Revealing the secret garden: the informal dimensions of political recruitment', *Politics and Gender* 11(4): 748–753. doi: [10.1017/S1743923X15000471](https://doi.org/10.1017/S1743923X15000471).
- Blackwood, L., N. Hopkins and S.D. Reicher (2015), "'Flying While Muslim": citizenship and misrecognition in the airport', *Journal of Social and Political Psychology* 3(2): 148–170. doi: [10.5964/jssp.v3i2.375](https://doi.org/10.5964/jssp.v3i2.375).
- Bystrom, D.G., T.A. Robertson and M.C. Banwart (2001), 'Framing the fight: an analysis of media coverage of female and male candidates in primary races for governor and US Senate in 2000', *American Behavioral Scientist* 44(12): 1999–2013.
- Caliendo, S.M. and C.D. McIlwain (2006), 'Minority candidates, media framing, and racial cues in the 2004 election', *The Harvard International Journal of Press/Politics*. SAGE Publications; SAGE CA: Thousand Oaks, CA, 11(4): 45–69. doi: [10.1177/1081180X06293551](https://doi.org/10.1177/1081180X06293551).
- Campbell, R. and S. Childs (2010), "'Wags", "Wives" and "Mothers" . . . but what about women politicians?', *Parliamentary Affairs*. Oxford University Press, 63(4): 760–777. doi: [10.1093/pa/gsq022](https://doi.org/10.1093/pa/gsq022).
- Celis, K. and S. Erzeel (2015), 'The complementarity advantage: parties, representativeness and newcomers' access to power', *Parliamentary Affairs* 70: 43–61. doi: [10.1093/pa/gsv043](https://doi.org/10.1093/pa/gsv043).
- Celis, K., S. Erzeel and L.M. Mügge (2015), 'Intersectional puzzles: understanding inclusion and equality in political recruitment', *Politics & Gender*. Cambridge University Press. Lynne Reiner, 11(04): 765–770. doi: [10.1017/S1743923X15000501](https://doi.org/10.1017/S1743923X15000501).
- Celis, K., S. Erzeel, L.M. Mügge, and A. Damstra (2014), 'Quotas and intersectionality: ethnicity and gender in candidate selection', *International Political Science Review* 35(1): 41–54. Retrieved from <https://www.jstor.org/stable/24573413>.
- Celis, K., and L.M. Mügge (2018), 'Whose equality? Measuring group representation', *Politics*. doi: [10.1177/0263395716684527](https://doi.org/10.1177/0263395716684527).

- Collins, P.H. (2015), 'Intersectionality's definitional dilemmas', *Annual Review of Sociology* 41: 1–20. doi: [10.1146/annurev-soc-073014-112142](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-soc-073014-112142).
- Crenshaw, K. (1991), 'Mapping the margins: intersectionality, identity politics, and violence against women of color', *Stanford Law Review* 43(6): 1241–1299.
- D'Heer, J., S. De Vuyst and S. Van Leuven (2021), 'Gendering in the electoral run: a media monitoring study of women politicians' representation in Belgian news', *Journalism*: 1–22. doi: [10.1177/14648849211032427](https://doi.org/10.1177/14648849211032427).
- Dan, V. and A. Iorgoveanu (2013), 'Still on the Beaten path: how gender impacted the coverage of male and female Romanian candidates for European office', *International Journal of Press/Politics* 18(2): 208–233. doi: [10.1177/1940161212473508](https://doi.org/10.1177/1940161212473508).
- Devitt, J. (2002), 'Framing gender on the campaign female gubernatorial', *J&MC Quarterly* 79(2): 445–463.
- Downing, J. and C. Husband (2005), 'Race' and ethnicity: definitions and issues', in J. Downing and C. Husband (eds.), *Representing 'Race': Racisms, Ethnicities and Media*, London: SAGE Publications, pp. 1–24.
- Dunaway, J., R.G. Lawrence, M. Rose, C.R. Weber (2013), 'Traits versus issues: how female candidates shape coverage of senate and gubernatorial races', *Political Research Quarterly* 66(3): 715–726. doi: [10.1177/1065912913491464](https://doi.org/10.1177/1065912913491464).
- Erzeel, S. and K. Celis (2016), 'Political parties, ideology and the substantive representation of women', *Party Politics* 22(5): 576–586. doi: [10.1177/1354068816655561](https://doi.org/10.1177/1354068816655561).
- Falk, E. (2019), 'When women run for office: press coverage of Hillary Clinton during the 2016 presidential campaign', in C. Carter, L. Steiner and S. Allan (eds.), *Journalism, Gender and Power*, New York: Routledge. Retrieved from <https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/edit/10.4324/9781315179520/journalism-gender-power-cynthia-carter-linda-steiner-stuart-allan?refId=c02d52e2-7fa0-4219-a5cf-a71396eaa681&context=ubx>.
- Fernandes, J.M., L. Morales and T. Saalfeld (2016), *Pathways to Power: The Political Representation of Citizens of Immigrant Origin in Eight European Democracies, Pathways Report*. Retrieved from <http://pathways.eu/wp-content/uploads/2016/02/Pathways-to-power-leaflet-FINAL.pdf> (Accessed: 29 April 2022).
- Fernandez-Garcia, N. (2016), 'Framing gender and women politicians representation: print media coverage of Spanish women ministers', in C. Cerquiera, R. Cabecinhas and S.I. Magalhães (eds.), *Gender in Focus: (New) Trends in Media*, Braga: CECS, pp. 141–160. Retrieved from http://revistacomsoc.pt/index.php/cecs_ebooks/article/view/2343/2259.
- Fridkin, K. and P. Kenney (2014), 'Coverage of Senators in the Local Press', in *The Changing Face of Representation: The Gender of US Senators and Constituent Communications*, Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press. Retrieved from <https://www.jstor.org/stable/10.3998/mpub.6200859>.
- Funk, M.E. and C.R. Coker (2016), 'She's hot, for a politician: the impact of objectifying commentary on perceived credibility of female candidates', *Communication Studies*. Routledge, 67(4): 455–473. doi: [10.1080/10510974.2016.1196380](https://doi.org/10.1080/10510974.2016.1196380).
- Gattermann, K. and S. Vasilopoulou (2015), 'Absent yet popular? Explaining news visibility of members of the European Parliament', *European Journal of Political Research* 54(1): 121–140. doi: [10.1111/1475-6765.12071](https://doi.org/10.1111/1475-6765.12071).
- Gershon, S. (2012), 'When race, gender, and the media intersect: campaign news coverage of minority congresswomen', *Journal of Women, Politics and Policy* 33(2): 105–125. doi: [10.1080/1554477X.2012.667743](https://doi.org/10.1080/1554477X.2012.667743).
- Gershon, S.A. (2013), 'Media coverage of minority congresswomen and voter evaluations: evidence from an online experimental study', *Political Research Quarterly* 66(3): 702–714. doi: [10.1177/1065912912467851](https://doi.org/10.1177/1065912912467851).
- Gershon, S.A., C. Montoya, C. Bejarano and N. Brown (2019), 'Intersectional linked fate and political representation', *Politics, Groups, and Identities*. Taylor & Francis 7(3): 642–653. doi: [10.1080/21565503.2019.1639520](https://doi.org/10.1080/21565503.2019.1639520).
- Gidengil, E. and J. Everitt (1999), 'Metaphors and misrepresentation. Gendered mediation in news coverage of the 1993 Canadian leaders' debates', *Press/Politics* 4(1): 48–65.
- Gidengil, E. and J. Everitt (2000), 'Filtering the female: television news coverage of the 1993 Canadian leaders' debates', *Women & Politics* 21(4): 105–131.
- Gidengil, E. and J. Everitt (2003a), 'Conventional coverage/unconventional politicians: gender and media coverage of Canadian leaders' debates, 1993, 1997, 2000', *Canadian Journal of Political Science* 36(3): 559–577.
- Gidengil, E. and J. Everitt (2003b), 'Talking tough: gender and reported speech in campaign news coverage', *Political Communication* 20(3): 209–232. doi: [10.1080/10584600390218869](https://doi.org/10.1080/10584600390218869).
- Goldstein, H. and M.J.R. Healy (1995), 'The graphical presentation of a collection of means', *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series A (Statistics in Society)* 158(1): 175–177.
- Goodyear-Grant, E. (2013), *Gendered News: Media Coverage and Electoral Politics in Canada*, Vancouver/Toronto: UBC Press.
- Hancock, A.-M. (2007), 'When multiplication doesn't equal quick addition: examining intersectionality as a research paradigm', *Perspectives on Politics* 5(1): 63–79. doi: [10.1017/S1537592707070065](https://doi.org/10.1017/S1537592707070065).
- Harcup, T. and D. O'Neill (2017), 'What is news? News values revisited (again)', *Journalism Studies*. Taylor & Francis 18(12): 1470–1488. doi: [10.1080/1461670X.2016.1150193](https://doi.org/10.1080/1461670X.2016.1150193).
- Harmer, E., H. Savigny and O. Ward (2017), "'Are you tough enough?' Performing gender in the UK leadership debates 2015", *Media, Culture and Society* 39(7): 960–975. doi: [10.1177/0163443716682074](https://doi.org/10.1177/0163443716682074).
- Hawkesworth, M. (2003), 'Congressional enactments of race-gender: toward a theory of raced-gendered institutions', *American Political Science Review* 97(4): 529–550.

- Heflick, N.A. and J.L. Goldenberg (2009), 'Objectifying Sarah Palin: Evidence that objectification causes women to be perceived as less competent and less fully human', *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*. Elsevier Inc. 45(3): 598–601. doi: [10.1016/j.jesp.2009.02.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jesp.2009.02.008).
- Heldman, C., S.J. Carroll and S. Olson (2005) "'She brought only a skirt': print media coverage of Elizabeth Dole's bid for the republican presidential nomination", *Political Communication* 22(3): 315–335. doi: [10.1080/10584600591006564](https://doi.org/10.1080/10584600591006564).
- Hopkins, P., K. Botterill, G. Sanghera and R. Arshad (2017), 'Encountering misrecognition: being mistaken for being Muslim', *Annals of the American Association of Geographers* 107(4): 934–948. doi: [10.1080/24694452.2016.1270192](https://doi.org/10.1080/24694452.2016.1270192).
- Inter-Parliamentary Union (2022), *Monthly Ranking of Women in National Parliaments, New Parline: The IPU's Open Data Platform*. Retrieved from <https://data.ipu.org/women-ranking> (Accessed: 4 March 2022).
- Kahn, K.F. (1994), 'The distorted mirror: press coverage of women candidates for Statewide office', *Journal of Politics* 56(1): 154–173.
- Kahn, K.F. and E.N. Goldenberg (1991), 'Women candidates in the news: an examination of gender differences in U.S. Senate campaign coverage', *The Public Opinion Quarterly* 55(2): 180–199. Retrieved from <https://www.jstor-org.proxy.uba.uva.nl/2443/stable/pdf/2749288.pdf?refreqid=excelsior%3A903f3927dfb1c69a475b46fba3f1aebc>.
- Lizotte, M.K. and H.J. Meggers-Wright (2019), 'Negative effects of calling attention to female political candidates' attractiveness', *Journal of Political Marketing*. Taylor & Francis 18(3): 240–266. doi: [10.1080/15377857.2017.1411859](https://doi.org/10.1080/15377857.2017.1411859).
- Lühiste, M. and S. Banducci (2016), 'Invisible women? Comparing candidates' news coverage in Europe', *Politics and Gender* 12(2): 223–253. doi: [10.1017/S1743923X16000106](https://doi.org/10.1017/S1743923X16000106).
- Lünenborg, M. and E. Fürsich (2014), 'Media and the Intersectional Other: The complex negotiation of migration, gender, and class on German television', *Feminist Media Studies*. Taylor & Francis 14(6): 959–975. doi: [10.1080/14680777.2014.882857](https://doi.org/10.1080/14680777.2014.882857).
- Mansbridge, J. (1999), 'Should blacks represent blacks and women represent women? A contingent "Yes"', *The Journal of Politics* 61(3): 628–657.
- McCall, L. (2005), 'The complexity of intersectionality', *Signs* 30(3): 1771–1800.
- Meeks, L. (2012), 'Is she "Man Enough"? Women candidates, executive political offices, and news coverage', *Journal of Communication* 62(1): 175–193. doi: [10.1111/j.1460-2466.2011.01621.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-2466.2011.01621.x).
- Meeks, L. (2013), 'All the gender that's fit to print: how the New York Times covered Hillary Clinton and Sarah Palin in 2008', *Journalism and Mass Communication Quarterly* 90(3): 520–539. doi: [10.1177/1077699013493791](https://doi.org/10.1177/1077699013493791).
- Miller, M.K., J.S. Peake and B.A. Boulton (2010), 'Testing the Saturday night live hypothesis: fairness and bias in newspaper coverage of Hillary Clinton's Presidential campaign', *Politics and Gender* 6(2): 169–198. doi: [10.1017/S1743923X10000036](https://doi.org/10.1017/S1743923X10000036).
- Morales, L., D. Vintila, L. Geese, L.M. Mügge, D.J. van der Pas and M. van de Wardt (2017), *Codebook and Data Collection Guidelines of Work Package 1 on Descriptive Political Representation in Regional Parliaments of the Project Pathways to Power*. Harvard Dataverse.
- Mügge, L.M. (2016), 'Intersectionality, recruitment and selection: ethnic minority candidates in Dutch parties', *Parliamentary Affairs* 69(3): 512–530. doi: [10.1093/pa/gsv060](https://doi.org/10.1093/pa/gsv060).
- Mügge, L.M. and A. Damstra (2013), 'Gender en etniciteit in de Tweede Kamer: streefcijfers en groepsvertegenwoordiging', *Res Publica* 55(3): 339–358.
- Mügge, L.M., C. Montoya, A. Emejulu and S.L. Weldon (2018), 'Intersectionality and the politics of knowledge production', *European Journal of Politics and Gender* 1(1–2): 17–36. doi: [10.1332/251510818X15272520831166](https://doi.org/10.1332/251510818X15272520831166).
- Mügge, L.M., Z. Runderkamp and M. Kranendonk (2019), *Op weg naar een betere m/v-balans in politiek en bestuur (Towards a better male/female balance in politics and public administration)*, Den Haag: Ministerie van Binnenlandse Zaken en Koninkrijksrelaties.
- Mügge, L.M. and A.L. Schotel (2017), 'Intersectionaliteit in de media: representatie van Nederlandse Kamerleden met een migratieachtergrond in dagbladen, 1986–2016 (Intersectionality in the media: representation of Dutch MPs with a migration background in newspapers, 1986–2016)', *Res Publica* 59(4): 439–462.
- Mügge, L.M., D.J. van der Pas and M. van de Wardt (2019), 'Representing their own? Ethnic minority women in the Dutch Parliament', *West European Politics*. Routledge 42(4): 705–727. doi: [10.1080/01402382.2019.1573036](https://doi.org/10.1080/01402382.2019.1573036).
- Niven, D. (2005), 'Gender bias? Media coverage of women and men in Congress', in S. Tolleson-Rinehart and J. Josephson (eds.), *Gender and American Politics. Women, Men, and the Political Process*, New York: Sharpe, pp. 264–283.
- Phillips, A. (1995) *The Politics of Presence*. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- Phillips, A. (2008) 'Egalitarians and the Market: dangerous Ideals', *Social Theory and Practice* 34(3): 439–462.
- Puwar, N. (2004), *Space Invaders: Race, Gender and Bodies out of Place*, Oxford: Berg.
- Rath, J. (1999), *Minorisering: de sociale constructie van 'ethnische minderheden' (Minorisation: the social construction of 'ethnic minorities')*, Amsterdam: Sua.
- Ross, K., E. Evans, L. Harrison, M. Shears and K. Wadia (2013), 'The gender of news and news of gender: a study of sex, politics, and press coverage of the 2010 British general election', *The International Journal of Press/Politics* 18(1): 3–20. doi: [10.1177/1940161212457814](https://doi.org/10.1177/1940161212457814).
- Savigny, H. and C. Yates (2019), 'Introduction: women, media and politics', *European Journal of Politics and Gender* 2(3): 319–321. doi: [10.1332/251510819X15644998837059](https://doi.org/10.1332/251510819X15644998837059).

- Semetko, H.A. and H.G. Boomgaarden** (2007), 'Reporting Germany's 2005 bundestag election campaign: was gender an issue?', *Harvard International Journal of Press/Politics* 12(4): 154–171. doi: [10.1177/1081180X07307383](https://doi.org/10.1177/1081180X07307383).
- Snipes, A. and C. Mudde** (2020), 'France's (Kinder, Gentler) extremist: marine le Pen, intersectionality, and media framing of female populist radical right leaders', *Politics and Gender* 16(2): 438–470. doi: [10.1017/S1743923X19000370](https://doi.org/10.1017/S1743923X19000370).
- Strömbäck, J. and F. Esser** (2014), 'Introduction: Making sense of the mediatization of politics', *Journalism Studies*. Taylor & Francis 15(3): 243–255. doi: [10.1080/1461670X.2014.897412](https://doi.org/10.1080/1461670X.2014.897412).
- Tolley, E.** (2015), *Framed. Media and the Coverage of Race in Canadian Politics*, Vancouver/Toronto: UBC Press.
- Trimble, L., A. Wagner, S. Sampert, D. Rapaell and B. Gerrits** (2013), 'Is it personal? Gendered mediation in newspaper coverage of Canadian national party leadership contests, 1975–2012', *International Journal of Press/Politics* 18(4): 462–481. doi: [10.1177/1940161213495455](https://doi.org/10.1177/1940161213495455).
- Valenzuela, S. and T. Correa** (2009), 'Press coverage and public opinion on women candidates: the case of Chile's michelle bachelet', *International Communication Gazette* 71(3): 203–223. doi: [10.1177/1748048508100913](https://doi.org/10.1177/1748048508100913).
- Van der Pas, D.J.** (2021), 'Do European media ignore female politicians? A comparative analysis of MP visibility', *West European Politics (Online)*. doi: [10.1080/01402382.2021.1988387](https://doi.org/10.1080/01402382.2021.1988387).
- Van der Pas, D.J. and L. Aaldering** (2020), 'Gender differences in political media coverage: a meta-analysis', *Journal of Communication* 70(1): 114–143. doi: [10.1093/joc/jqz046](https://doi.org/10.1093/joc/jqz046).
- van den Hemel, E.** (2014), '(Pro)claiming tradition: the "Judeo-Christian" roots of Dutch society and the rise of conservative nationalism', in R. Braidotti, B. Blaagaard, T. de Graauw, E. Midden (eds.), *Transformations of Religion and the Public Sphere*, London: Palgrave Macmillan, pp. 53–76. doi: [10.1057/9781137401144_4](https://doi.org/10.1057/9781137401144_4).
- Vellenga, S.J.** (2008), "'Huntington" in Holland. The public debate on Muslim immigrants in the Netherlands', *Nordic Journal of Religion and Society* 21(1): 21–41.
- Verge, T. and R. Pastor** (2018), 'Women's political firsts and symbolic representation', *Journal of Women, Politics and Policy*. Routledge 39(1): 26–50. doi: [10.1080/1554477X.2016.1268878](https://doi.org/10.1080/1554477X.2016.1268878).
- Wagner, A., L. Trimble and S. Sampert** (2019), 'One smart politician: gendered media discourses of political leadership in Canada', *Canadian Journal of Political Science* 52(1): 141–162. doi: [10.1017/S0008423918000471](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0008423918000471).
- Ward, O.** (2016), 'Seeing double: race, gender, and coverage of minority women's campaigns for the U.S. House of representatives', *Politics and Gender* 12(2): 317–343. doi: [10.1017/S1743923X16000222](https://doi.org/10.1017/S1743923X16000222).
- Ward, O.** (2017), 'Intersectionality and press coverage of political campaigns: representations of Black, Asian, and Minority ethnic female candidates at the U.K. 2010 general election', *International Journal of Press/Politics* 22(1): 43–66. doi: [10.1177/1940161216673195](https://doi.org/10.1177/1940161216673195).
- Weldon, S.L.** (2008), 'Intersectionality', in G. Goertz and A.G. Mazur (eds.), *Politics, Gender, and Concepts. Theory and Methodology*, Cambridge University Press. Retrieved from doi: [10.1017/CBO9780511755910](https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511755910).
- Xydias, C.** (2021), 'Political ideologies', in *Political Science is for Everybody: An Introduction to Political Science*, Toronto: University of Toronto Press, pp. 58–78. doi: [10.4135/9781446213438.n5](https://doi.org/10.4135/9781446213438.n5).
- Yanow, D. and M. Van Der Haar** (2013), 'People out of place: allochthony and autochthony in the Netherlands' identity discourse-metaphors and categories in action', *Journal of International Relations and Development* 16(2): 227–261. doi: [10.1057/jird.2012.13](https://doi.org/10.1057/jird.2012.13).
- Yanow, D., M. van der Haar and K. Völke** (2016), 'Troubled taxonomies and the calculating state: everyday categorizing and "Race-Ethnicity"—The Netherlands case', *The Journal of Race, Ethnicity, and Politics* 1(2): 187–226. doi: [10.1017/rep.2016.7](https://doi.org/10.1017/rep.2016.7).