

18 ABSTRACT

19 Since 1996, the incidence of rickettsiosis has been increasing in Yucatán, Mexico, but recent
20 prevalence data are lacking. This study aimed to determine exposure to Spotted Fever Group
21 (SFG) and Typhus Group (TG) in human serum samples suspected of tick-borne diseases
22 (TBD) between 2015 and 2022. A total of 620 samples were analyzed using indirect
23 immunofluorescence assay (IFA) to detect IgG antibodies against SFG (*R. rickettsii*) and TG
24 (*R. typhi*), considering a titer of ≥ 64 as positive. Results showed that 103 samples (17%)
25 were positive for *R. rickettsii* and 145 (24%) for *R. typhi*, while 256 (41%) and 229 (37%)
26 were negative, respectively. There was a cross-reaction in 244 samples (39%). Individuals
27 with contact with vectors, such as ticks, showed significant exposure to fleas ($p=0.0010$).
28 The study suggests a high prevalence of rickettsiosis and recommends prospective studies to
29 assess the disease burden and strengthen surveillance and prevention in Yucatán, considering
30 factors like temperature and ecological changes.

31

32 **Keyword:** Rickettsiosis, flea, vectors, Tick-Borne Diseases, typhus, Yucatan.

33

34 **Key results:**

- 35 • The study suggests a high prevalence of TB-Rickettsiosis in Yucatan, Mexico.
- 36 • The 25 to 54 years age group had the highest prevalence of rickettsial IgG antibodies.
- 37 • The presence of rickettsial IgG antibodies in the age group 0 to 5 years, suggest early
38 exposure to disease vectors in Yucatan, Mexico.

39

40 1. INTRODUCTION

41 Tick-Borne Diseases (TBD) have increased dramatically in recent years. This adjustment is
42 associated with climatic changes due to global warming and socio-cultural factors that favor
43 greater contact with disease-carrying vectors (1–3).

44 Rickettsiosis is caused by strict intracellular bacteria of the genus *Rickettsia* and transmitted
45 by fleas, lice and ticks. *Rickettsias* have been classified into four major groups according to
46 their antigenic and clinical properties: the ancestral group (AG), the transitional group
47 (TRG), the spotted fever group (SFG), and the typhus group (TG) (4). The SFG causes the
48 most severe and symptomatic disease of the genus. Cases of Rocky Mountain Spotted Fever

49 (RMSF) caused by *Rickettsia rickettsii* are the most severe reported in the Americas.(5) Other
50 reported cases are of Murine Typhus, caused by *Rickettsia typhi*, for which the specific route
51 of infection is not yet known. Cases have been reported worldwide, mainly in South America,
52 Mexico, the Middle East, Africa, Asia, and Australia (6).

53 At least one case of rickettsiosis has been reported in each of Mexico's states, with the highest
54 number of cases recorded in areas with warm and humid climates that allow the pathogen to
55 develop more fully (7). By 2020, 14 species of *Rickettsia* associated with 26 species of
56 arthropods and 17 species of mammals will have been recorded in Mexico (8). Yucatan,
57 Mexico is considered an endemic area for many vectors, mainly associated with
58 environmental and ecological characteristics that favor the proliferation of the life cycle of
59 *Rickettsias*. The presence of at least four *Rickettsia* species in symptomatic patients has been
60 implicated in this region: *Rickettsia felis*, *Rickettsia typhi*, *Rickettsia parkeri*, and *Rickettsia*
61 *rickettsii*. The latter particularly in pediatric patients who have presented with a resolution of
62 the disease under treatment with chloramphenicol and oral endovenous doxycycline (9,10).

63 Since the 2000s, there have been numerous molecular studies for diagnosis in humans and
64 identification in animals and ticks, but there are no recent data on the seroprevalence of SFG
65 and TG species in the population. Indirect immunofluorescence assays (IFA) serological tests
66 are a fundamental tool in identifying *Rickettsia* and offer notable advantages over molecular
67 methods for epidemiological studies. These tests, which are used to detect antibodies in
68 response to infections, provide a valuable means of understanding the epidemiology of
69 *Rickettsia* infections, which is crucial for implementing public health strategies in endemic
70 or high-risk regions (11,12).

71 Furthermore, 28 years after the first serological study, there is no current data on exposure to
72 TB-Rickettsiosis, especially given the ecological changes in the area. The current study aims
73 to determine the exposure to Spotted Fever Group (SFG) and Typhus Group (TG) in subjects
74 with a history of contact with ticks and fleas during 2015-2022 in Yucatan, Mexico.

75

76

77

79 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

80

81 2.1. Study Design, population, and samples collection

82 A descriptive study was conducted on 620 human samples collected between 2015-2022,
83 Given a historical record of exposure to fleas and ticks. Blood samples were obtained using
84 tubes containing a clot activator and serum separator gel (BD Vacutainer 367863 and 368159,
85 respectively; Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA). The samples were then centrifuged at 3,500 rpm for
86 10 minutes at room temperature to obtain the serum, which was subsequently transferred and
87 stored in a cold environment. Aliquots of serum were prepared in volumes of 300 to 500 μ L
88 and transferred to 1.5 mL microtubes for storage at -20°C until required for analysis. For
89 molecular diagnosis, a whole blood sample was collected in 3.8% sodium citrate as an
90 anticoagulant, and DNA was immediately extracted using a QIAamp DNA kit (QIAGEN,
91 Valencia, CA, USA), following the manufacturer's instructions. Molecular identification of
92 *Rickettsia* was performed by 17 kDa single-step PCR and nested PCR, which allowed
93 differentiation between *Rickettsia* SFG and TG by amplification of ompB gene fragments
94 (13,14). Providers completed the health form at the time of initial contact and collected data,
95 including a) demographics (gender, age, origin), b) history of tick bite and/or direct contact
96 with dogs, c) presence of vectors in the region, d) confirmed cases in the locality and e)
97 history of visit or residence in areas with rickettsiosis transmission were considered.

98

99 2.2. Serology tests

100 Indirect immunofluorescence assay (IFA) was carried out using autochthonous antigens of
101 *Rickettsia rickettsii* and *Rickettsia typhi* (representative of the spotted fever group and typhus
102 group *Rickettsiae*).

103 *Rickettsia rickettsii* and *Rickettsia typhi* were cultured in Vero cells, and after an infection
104 rate $\geq 70\%$ the cells were harvested and deposited in antigen prepared according to standard
105 procedures. In-house indirect fluorescent antibody assays were performed on the samples to
106 detect antibodies reactive. Dilutions were prepared in series of human serum in phosphate
107 buffered saline (PBS) containing 1% and 20% bovine serum albumin (BSA). Antigen

108 plaques were blocked in PBS containing 1% BSA and 0.01% sodium azide; 10µL of each
109 serum dilution were added to each well of the antigen sheet and incubated in a humid chamber
110 for 30 minutes at 37°C. The sheets were then washed with PBS containing Tween20 to 0.1%
111 for 10 minutes and then washed twice in the same solution for 10 minutes. Fluorescein
112 isothiocyanate–conjugated goat anti-human IgG e IgM immune serum (Kirkegaard and Perry
113 Laboratories, Gaithersburg, MD) diluted 1:100 in PBS containing 1% BSA and 0.01%
114 Tween20 was added to each well and incubated in a humid chamber for 30 minutes at 37°C.
115 Slides were washed once with PBS containing 0.1% Tween 20 for 10 minutes and once with
116 PBS containing 0.1% Tween20 and 0.01% Evans blue for 10 minutes and observed under a
117 fluorescence microscope at 400X magnification. Serum samples yielding distinctly
118 fluorescent *Rickettsiae* sp at a ≥ 64 dilution were considered positive.(15–17)

119

120 **2.3. Ethical considerations**

121 The Research Ethics Committee of the O’Horan Hospital (Merida, Yucatan, Mexico)
122 approved the ethical statements accompanying this study, as a goal of project CIE-010-1-14.
123 The authors assert that all procedures contributing to this work comply with the ethical
124 standards of the relevant national and institutional committees on human experimentation
125 and with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975, as revised in 2008.

126

127 **2.4. Statistical analysis**

128 Collected data were analyzed using GraphPad 9.0, Software, Inc. To analyze differences
129 between groups of interest, statistical significance for dichotomous variables was assessed
130 using the Chi-square (χ^2) test. The Shapiro–Wilk normality test was used to evaluate the data
131 distribution, indicating that our data did not follow a normal distribution. Statistical analysis
132 was performed using the Kruskal-Wallis test and corrected by Dunns' test to compare
133 between groups. P values <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

134

135 **3. RESULTS**

136 From 2015 to 2022, 620 human serum samples were analyzed. The samples included in the
137 study were from municipalities of Yucatan: Merida, Progreso, Kantunil, Dzita, Ucu, Izamal,

138 Sotuta, Tahmek, Oxxkutzcab, Kanasin, Hocaba, San Felipe, Timucuy, Uman, and Cenotillo.
139 Demographic data showed that 58% (359/620) were female and 42% (261/620) were male.
140 The mean age of the patients was 27 years (range: 1 month to 79 years). In terms of exposure,
141 contact with ticks was the most common, followed by contact with ticks and fleas, and finally
142 fleas alone. However, a significant proportion refused to disclose whether they had been
143 exposed to any vectors or claimed to be unaware of such exposure (Table 1)

144

145 **Table 1.** Demographic characteristics of the subjects

146 The distribution of subjects in this study gradually increased over the years, which is an
147 expected trend. However, the number of human serum samples tested was drastically affected
148 at the beginning of the COVID-19 pandemic, with the number of individuals with symptoms
149 sent for IFA testing decreasing from 132/620 (21%) to 21/620 (3%) (Figure 1).

150

151 **Figure 1.** Distribution expressed as a percentage of the subjects included in the study for each year.

152 Out of the 620 human serum samples included in this study, of these individuals 103 (17%)
153 were positive with titer ≥ 64 which is the established cut-off point for TB-Rickettsiosis
154 endemic areas and 256 (41%) were negative for *R. rickettsii*. On the other hand, 145 (24%)
155 were positive with titer ≥ 64 and 229 (37%) were negative for *R. typhi*. Regarding the cross-
156 reaction, 244 (39%) had the same result for both species (Table 2).

157 The 512 titer has the highest proportion of cross-reacting samples, 149 (24%), followed by
158 the 1024 titer (10%), suggesting a high percentage of contact with *Rickettsia* sp and subjects
159 of recent illness. Of the group of individuals negative for antibodies to both species, the
160 results can be taken with caution given that they present clinical symptoms suggestive of
161 rickettsiosis, so it could be thought of as another species or another pathogen (Table 2).

162 When analyzing the Odds Ratio (OR) for antibody titers $\geq 1:64$ in relation to gender,
163 significant differences were observed [P=0.0035 OR (95% CI)=0.4639 (0.2809 to 0.7681)]
164 with similar P values in both groups evaluated, suggesting that women in this population
165 group studied have a higher odd of becoming infected by *Rickettsia* sp than men (Table 3).

166

167 **Table 2**

168

169 **Table 3**

170

171 When comparing the antibody response considering the positive slides, a higher percentage
172 of serum samples with high antibody titers can be observed, both in each of the species and
173 in the cross-reaction. Evidencing the high prevalence of IgG antibodies in the studied
174 population. (Figure 2).

175

176 **Figure 2. Representation of antibodies and positive *human serum samples* according to titer with
177 respect to rickettsia species.** The graph represents the proportion of seropositive patients in the titers evaluated
178 in the *R. typhi*, *R. rickettsii* and cross reaction slides.

179 A comparison was made between the different age groups and it was observed that the
180 perception of exposure to ticks is significantly higher than that to fleas. ($p=0.0010$). However,
181 significant differences were also observed in subjects with exposure to both fleas and ticks
182 ($p=0.034$) (Figure 3). The patients in the study had higher titers to *R. typhi* than to *R. rickettsii*,
183 which may suggest a change in the mode of transmission. This could indicate that ticks, rather
184 than fleas, are the primary vector in the population being evaluated. Therefore, further
185 molecular studies are needed.

186 **Figure 3. Representation of the percentage of *human serum samples* according to the age ranges evaluated
187 to determine their exposure to the different vectors.** The differences in all age ranges between ticks and fleas
188 are significant; on the other hand, there is a significant value when comparing the groups exposed to ticks and
189 the fleas and ticks set. Statistical analysis was performed using the Kruskal-Wallis test and corrected by the
190 Dunns test to compare between groups. *** $p < 0.001$ and * $p < 0.05$.

190 **4. DISCUSSION**

191 The initial reports of Rocky Mountain spotted fever in northern Mexico date back to 1940.
192 In 1993, a study identified a group of patients with febrile characteristics and no presence of
193 dengue antibodies in samples from Yucatan and Jalisco. Upon analyzing this group of
194 samples using the IFA technique, IgG antibody reactivity was obtained in 40% of the sera,
195 some of which belonged to the state of Yucatan. This marked the beginning of the first reports
196 of rickettsia in southeastern Mexico.(18)

197 Although direct immunofluorescence is a standard method for serological studies and is
198 valuable, it has limitations. For example, cross-reactivity between *Rickettsia* species makes
199 it difficult to accurately differentiate species without additional studies. Furthermore, the

200 interpretation of results is subjective, which can affect the consistency and comparability of
201 data. Trained personnel are required for this method (11).

202 This study analyzed antibody testing results using the IFA technique from 2015 to 2022. The
203 findings indicate that 103 (17%) were positive with titer ≥ 64 , which is the established cut-
204 off point for TB-Rickettsiosis endemic areas, and 256 (41%) were negative for *R. rickettsii*;
205 on the other hand, 145 (24%) were positive with titer ≥ 64 and 229 (37%) were negative for
206 *R. typhi*. Regarding the cross-reaction, 244 (39%) had the same result for both species.

207 The high cross-reactivity and high prevalence of antibodies at titers ≥ 64 suggest the potential
208 for increasing the cut-off point in the Yucatan population, which is highly exposed to
209 rickettsial infections. This could result in modifications to the data established in different
210 regions of the world. Although this threshold may not indicate active infection in all cases, it
211 provides a valuable measure of population exposure and can be reviewed and adjusted based
212 on local and epidemiological data.

213 This study shows a joint positivity of the two representative species of 248 (40%). however,
214 considering the cross-reaction, a total of (492) 79% of positive samples were collected in a
215 group of individuals with symptoms suggestive of rickettsiosis. Suggesting a high exposure
216 to SFG and TG in the state of Yucatan. When comparing with previous reports in Yucatán,
217 it is evident that there is a gap in exposure to rickettsial infections. In 1999, Zavala *et al.*(18)
218 reported 5% of *R. akari* and 0.8% of sera positive for IgG antibodies against *R. rickettsii*/*R.*
219 *typhi*. A study conducted by Peniche Lara *et al.*(16) in Yucatan found that the prevalence of
220 *R. typhi* in vectors of marginalized populations was 1.8% (6/354). It is important to note that
221 Zavala recruited volunteers from 60 municipalities of Yucatan, whereas our study is based
222 on samples from patients with clinical symptoms of a febrile illness but negative for other
223 diseases such as dengue, chikungunya and Zika. Therefore, as this is a classically directed
224 analysis, we cannot extrapolate the high number of positive cases to statistical data from the
225 general population of Yucatan.

226 The cross-reactivity observed in the species tested in this study is higher than the 20%
227 reported for *R. typhi* and other rickettsial species (19). This may result in misclassifying the
228 patient's diagnosis, underscoring the necessity for a second blood sample to be obtained 15
229 to 60 days after the initial sample and a comprehensive clinical description of the patient.
230 Furthermore, it underscores the necessity of meticulously establishing novel antibody titers

231 in endemic regions to ascertain the precise antibody level. It could then be suggested that the
232 need to include a panel of antigens from different *Rickettsia* species could help to better
233 differentiate specific immune responses and reduce confusion due to cross-reactivity. This
234 would be useful in clinical and epidemiological contexts, although it would require
235 investment in more complex assays. Continuous monitoring and updating of surveillance
236 strategies in endemic areas of different species and adaptation of surveillance and control
237 strategies according to updated data, always considering the limitations of serology and the
238 impact of cross-reactivity.

239 The prevalence of antibodies in population groups is crucial for epidemiology and developing
240 effective strategies to prevent TB-Rickettsiosis. Environmental changes linked to global
241 warming have led to an increase in disease transmitting vectors and greater contact with
242 accidental hosts, including humans.(3) Social and cultural changes have contributed to the
243 increase of domestic and peri-domestic animals, which in some cases may be infested by
244 ectoparasites (15). This study found that the circulation of *R. typhi* and *R. rickettsii* is active
245 and high, as previously reported in the southeast.(16,17,20,21) However, there is still no data
246 on the specific time at which the spread of TB-Rickettsiosis began in rural and urban
247 communities in Mexico and Latin America (22).

248 A notable finding is the presence of IgG antibodies in patients aged 0 to 5 years, including
249 infants, with antibody titers that can be explained by contact with fleas (mainly
250 *Ctenocephalides felis*, or cat flea, and *Xenopsylla cheopis*, or mouse flea) or ticks (mainly
251 *Rhipicephalus sanguineus*, *Rhipicephalus microplus*, and *Amblyomma mixtum*) in the home
252 environment where they spend most of their time. This was demonstrated by Dzul Rosado *et*
253 *al.* in a study with children from rural populations.(16,23) Identifying antibodies in young
254 children indicates early exposure and potential transmission foci in the domestic environment. This
255 is a concerning finding, given that infection by *Rickettsia rickettsii* in children is relatively common
256 but can, in many cases, result in fatality (24). Understanding the prevalence of this infection is crucial
257 for developing more targeted health campaigns.

258 The age group with the highest prevalence of IgG antibodies is the 25 to 54 years old group,
259 which historically comprises the majority of the working population. It should be noted that
260 this is a subjective evaluation. Although the type of economic activities is unknown, it is well
261 documented that in most municipalities of the state of Yucatan, individuals engage in outdoor

262 work. This work involves contact with rodents, farm animals, and/or agriculture, which
263 requires working in deforested areas or fragmented forests, increasing the risk of contracting
264 vector-borne infections. Opossums are a common factor in the amplification of TB-
265 Rickettsiosis (25).

266 The seropositive IgG results in this population suggest past exposure to *Rickettsia*, which is
267 relevant for epidemiologic studies and for understanding seroprevalence in vector-exposed
268 populations. Importantly, a negative PCR result was determined for each sample and added
269 to the specificity of the IFA, providing a clearer picture of the absence of current infection
270 and reducing the uncertainty associated with serologic cross-reactivity, providing a more
271 robust basis for public health decision-making.

272 This study reports a higher proportion of women than men, which contradicts findings from
273 previous studies.(26–28) The higher proportion of women may be due to their continued
274 involvement in domestic and agricultural work in our state [P=0.0035 OR (95% CI)=0.4639
275 (0.2809 to 0.7681)]. An alternative explanation is that the observed difference is the result of
276 randomization concerning gender in the sample population or bias in the lack of attendance
277 of men at medical centers. It has been observed that the female population attends health
278 centers more frequently, possibly due to gender issues in the state and the ingrained customs
279 in question that women are responsible for cleaning, caring for backyard animals, and
280 performing many activities in areas of high vector presence (29).

281 The study shows a higher TG-seropositivity of antibodies reactive to *R. typhi* than to *R.*
282 *rickettsii*. A recent study conducted in Bolmay, Yucatan reported *R. typhi* infections in *M.*
283 *musculus* and *R. rattus*, which are the most abundant rodent species in domestic and
284 peridomestic environments in the state and serve as reservoirs. The study suggests a wide
285 distribution of *R. typhi* in the region, based on a previous report that found a 25% frequency
286 of *R. typhi* infection in animals captured in Merida, including *R. rattus*.

287 It is important to investigate whether recent real estate developments around the capital city
288 have influenced the results obtained here. As the risk of infectious diseases such as murine
289 typhus is mainly associated with the urban environment, in the same way, the flea sticks and
290 then it is not easy to find it because of its way of life, compared to the tick that bites and roots
291 itself on the skin (30,31).

292 The tested sera show clear cross-reactions between different *Rickettsia* species and even with
293 other bacterial genera. These reactions are usually triggered during murine and epidemic
294 typhus infections, as well as SFG infections, although less frequently.(32) It is not possible
295 to consider it a coinfection since there is very little evidence of coinfections by *R. typhi* and
296 *R. rickettsii* in humans. A study carried out in South Korea reported that only 3.5% of the
297 samples seropositive for SFG rickettsiae were also seropositive for TG rickettsiae. The
298 species found were *R. conorii* and *R. typhi*, which are the main circulating species in that
299 region (33).

300 IFA is a widely used methodology for epidemiological evaluations because high antibody
301 titers are not expected in the first days of infection. Depending on the titers obtained in the
302 IFA, the responsibility of the infection can be attributed to the species that causes more
303 reactivity. However, it is important to note that this technique may not be as specific as
304 culture or molecular methods. Additionally, a single IgG result does not necessarily indicate
305 an active rickettsial infection, but rather may indicate a past infection at an undetermined
306 time (27). Therefore, the correct interpretation of serological results of rickettsial infection
307 must take into account the clinical history of the patient and the baseline reactivity data of
308 the population (especially in endemic areas), since currently the positive antibody response
309 may be due to non-specificity and to different surface antigens of the rickettsial species,
310 considering that in Yucatan the reactivity may be due to *R. typhi*, *R. rickettsii*, *R. akari*, *R.*
311 *felis* and *R. parkeri* (34). To increase the technique's specificity, it is recommended to use
312 different antigens given the diversity of *Rickettsia* species circulating in Yucatan.

313 It is important to note the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the diagnosis of other
314 infectious and non-communicable diseases. This study observed a drastic decrease in the
315 diagnosis of probable cases of *rickettsiae* during the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic. As a result,
316 this disease was neglected, and it is difficult to predict how many cases had a fatal outcome
317 due to a lack of timely diagnosis or correct treatment. Due to the disruption of medical
318 services and the saturation of systems, there has been an exclusive focus on patients with
319 COVID-19 disease. This has resulted in a significant impact on non-COVID-19 patients who
320 require medical attention. It is important to address this issue and ensure all patients receive
321 the necessary care (35).

322 It is imperative to prioritize prospective studies and develop concrete public health
323 intervention strategies to address rickettsial infections in Yucatan. It is recommended that
324 longitudinal and ecological studies continue to be conducted to gain a deeper understanding
325 of the local epidemiology, prevalence of infections, and region-specific vectors and
326 reservoirs, as reported in the entity (36). The results of these investigations will assist in
327 identifying areas and periods of elevated risk for transmission, thereby providing a robust
328 foundation for implementing effective control measures.

329 **5. CONCLUSION**

330 This study presents 70% SFG and TG IgG seropositivity in samples with suspected TBD in
331 Yucatan, 28 years after the first serologic report of TB Rickettsiosis.

332 A comprehensive examination of the social characteristics of the Yucatecan population is
333 essential for an accurate assessment of the primary risk factors associated with this disease.
334 This knowledge is vital for the development of effective preventive strategies that can be
335 implemented in various ways to reduce the prevalence of the disease:

336 1) Implementing active surveillance systems that include monitoring networks in rural
337 communities and urban centers, complemented by public education campaigns to raise public
338 awareness about the prevention and control of these vectors, is recommended. These
339 programs should place an emphasis on personal protection measures and the promotion of
340 hygiene and environmental management practices that serve to reduce exposure to ticks and
341 other vectors.

342 2) Improved diagnostic capabilities and ongoing training of health professionals are needed
343 to ensure the rapid identification of rickettsial infections.

344 Implementing these recommendations will reinforce the regional health response and
345 contribute to mitigating the spread of rickettsial-borne diseases in Yucatan.

346

347 **6. FUNDING**

348 This work was supported by Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología (CONACyT) grant
349 FOSISS 261885.

350 **DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT**

351 The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author
352 upon reasonable request.

353 **7. REFERENCES**

354 1. **Alkishe, A., et al.:** Climate change influences on the geographic distributional potential of the
355 spotted fever vectors *Amblyomma maculatum* and *Dermacentor andersoni*. PeerJ. 10, (2022).

- 356 <https://doi.org/10.7717/PEERJ.13279>
- 357 2. **Ji, H., et al.:** Predicting the global potential distribution of two major vectors of Rocky
358 Mountain Spotted Fever under conditions of global climate change. *PLOS Neglected Tropical*
359 *Diseases*. 18, (2024). Doi: 10.1371/journal.pntd.0011883
- 360 .3. **Chala, B., et al.:** Emerging and Re-emerging Vector-Borne Infectious Diseases and the
361 Challenges for Control: A Review. *Frontiers in public health*. 9, (2021).
362 <https://doi.org/10.3389/FPUBH.2021.715759>
- 363 4. **Helminiak, L., et al.:** Pathogenicity and virulence of *Rickettsia*. *Virulence*. 13, 1752 (2022).
364 <https://doi.org/10.1080/21505594.2022.2132047>
- 365 5. **Walker, D.H., et al.:** Rickettsiosis subcommittee report to the tick-borne disease working
366 group. *Ticks and tick-borne diseases*. 13, (2022).
367 <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.TTBDIS.2021.101855>
- 368 6. **Kato, C.Y., et al.:** Genetic typing of isolates of *Rickettsia typhi*. *PLOS Neglected Tropical*
369 *Diseases*. 16, e0010354 (2022). <https://doi.org/10.1371/JOURNAL.PNTD.0010354>
- 370 7. Boletín Epidemiológico Sistema Nacional de Vigilancia Epidemiológica Sistema Único de
371 Información 2023 | Secretaría de Salud | Gobierno | gob.mx.
372 [https://www.gob.mx/salud/documentos/boletinepidemiologico-sistema-nacional-de-](https://www.gob.mx/salud/documentos/boletinepidemiologico-sistema-nacional-de-vigilancia-epidemiologica-sistema-unico-de-informacion-261547)
373 [vigilancia-epidemiologica-sistema-unico-de-informacion-261547](https://www.gob.mx/salud/documentos/boletinepidemiologico-sistema-nacional-de-vigilancia-epidemiologica-sistema-unico-de-informacion-261547)
- 374 8. **Yoshimizu, M.H., et al.:** Suspected and Confirmed Vector-Borne Rickettsioses of North
375 America Associated with Human Diseases. *Tropical Medicine and Infectious Disease*. 3,
376 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.3390/TROPICALMED3010002>
- 377 9. **Moo-Llanes, D.A., et al.:** Inferring the Potential Distribution of an Emerging Rickettsiosis in
378 America: The Case of *Rickettsia parkeri*. *Pathogens (Basel, Switzerland)*. 10, (2021).
379 <https://doi.org/10.3390/PATHOGENS10050592>
- 380 10. **Zavala-Castro, J.E., et al.:** Fatal Human Infection with *Rickettsia rickettsii*, Yucatán,
381 Mexico. *Emerging Infectious Diseases*. 12, 672 (2006).
382 <https://doi.org/10.3201/EID1204.051282>
- 383 11. **Parola P, et al.:** Update on tick-borne rickettsioses around the world: a geographic approach.
384 *Clinical Microbiology Reviews*. 26(4):657–702 (2003). Doi: 10.1128/CMR.00032-13
- 385 12. **Robinson MT, et al.:** Diagnosis of spotted fever group Rickettsia infections: the Asian
386 perspective. *Epidemiology & Infection*;147:e286 (2019). Doi: 10.1017/S0950268819001390
- 387 13. **Schriefer ME, et al.:** Identification of a novel rickettsial infection in a patient diagnosed with
388 murine typhus. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*. 32(4):949–54 (1994). Doi:
389 10.1128/jcm.32.4.949-954.1994
- 390 14. **Choi YJ, et al.:** Spotted fever group and typhus group rickettsioses in humans, South Korea.
391 *Emerging Infectious Diseases*. 11(2):237–44 (2005). Doi: 10.3201/eid1102.040603
- 392 15. **Reyes-Novelo, E., et al.:** Situación actual y perspectivas para el estudio de las enfermedades
393 zoonóticas emergentes, reemergentes y olvidadas en la península de Yucatán, México.
394 *Tropical and Subtropical Agroecosystems*. 14, 35–54 (2011)
- 395 16. **Peniche-Lara, G., et al.:** Presence of Rickettsia Species in a Marginalized Area of Yucatan,
396 Mexico. *Journal of Tropical Medicine*. 2018, (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/7675828>
- 397 17. **Dzul-Rosado, K., et al.:** Rickettsia rickettsii isolation from naturally infected Amblyomma
398 parvum ticks by centrifugation in a 24-well culture plate technique. *Open Veterinary Journal*.
399 3, 101 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.5455/ovj.2013.v3.i2.p101>

- 400 18. **Zavala-Velazquez, J.E., et al.**: Serologic study of the prevalence of rickettsiosis in Yucatán:
401 evidence for a prevalent spotted fever group rickettsiosis. *The American journal of tropical*
402 *medicine and hygiene*. 61, 405–408 (1999). <https://doi.org/10.4269/AJTMH.1999.61.405>
403
- 404 19. **Aita T., et al.**: Serological cross-reactivity between spotted fever and typhus groups of
405 rickettsia infection in Japan. *International Journal of Infectious Diseases*. 130:178–81 (2023).
406 Doi: 10.1016/j.ijid.2023.03.012
- 407 20. **Tello-Martin, R., et al.**: Approaches for the successful isolation and cell culture of American
408 *Rickettsia* species. *Journal of vector borne diseases*. 55, 258–264 (2018).
409 <https://doi.org/10.4103/0972-9062.256560>
- 410 21. **Dzul-Rosado, K.R., et al.**: Epidemiologic profile and clinical course of four confirmed
411 rickettsiosis cases in Southern Mexico during 2016. *Clinical Case Reports*. 6, 119 (2018).
412 <https://doi.org/10.1002/CCR3.1303>
- 413 22. **Zazueta, O.E., et al.**: Rocky Mountain Spotted Fever in a Large Metropolitan Center,
414 Mexico–United States Border, 2009–2019. *Emerging Infectious Diseases*. 27, 1567 (2021).
415 <https://doi.org/10.3201/EID2706.191662>
- 416 23. **Rodríguez-Vivas, R.I., et al.**: Ticks collected from humans, domestic animals, and wildlife
417 in Yucatan, Mexico. *Veterinary parasitology*. 215, 106–113 (2016).
418 <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.VETPAR.2015.11.010>
- 419 24. **García-Rosales L., et al.**: Immune Monitoring of Paediatric Patients Infected with *Rickettsia*
420 *rickettsii*, *Ehrlichia canis* and Coinfected. *Pathogens*. 11(11):1351 (2022). Doi:
421 10.3390/pathogens11111351
- 422 25. **Torres-Castro, M., et al.**: Personal and household factors involved in recent Rickettsia
423 exposure in a rural population from Yucatán, Mexico. *Zoonoses and public health*. 67, 506–
424 515 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1111/ZPH.12714>
- 425 26. **Khan, S.A., et al.**: Seroepidemiology of rickettsial infections in Northeast India. *Transactions*
426 *of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene*. 110, 487–494 (2016).
427 <https://doi.org/10.1093/TRSTMH/TRW052>
- 428 27. **Quintero V., J.C., et al.**: Eco-epidemiological analysis of rickettsial seropositivity in rural
429 areas of Colombia: A multilevel approach. *PLoS neglected tropical diseases*. 11, (2017).
430 <https://doi.org/10.1371/JOURNAL.PNTD.0005892>
- 431 28. **Salmon-Mulanovich, G., et al.**: Seroprevalence and Risk Factors for Rickettsia and
432 *Leptospira* Infection in Four Ecologically Distinct Regions of Peru. *The American journal of*
433 *tropical medicine and hygiene*. 100, 1391–1400 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.4269/AJTMH.18-0029>
- 435 29. La violencia de género contra las mujeres en Yucatán.
436 https://www.scielo.org.mx/scielo.php?pid=S1665-80272016000200045&script=sci_abstract
- 437 30. **Torres-Castro, M., et al.**: Rickettsia typhi en roedores de una comunidad con antecedentes
438 de tifo murino, de Yucatán, México. *Revista MVZ Córdoba*. 23, 6974–6980 (2018).
439 <https://doi.org/10.21897/RMVZ.1420>
- 440 31. **Morand, S., et al.**: Disease Ecology of Rickettsial Species: A Data Science Approach.
441 *Tropical Medicine and Infectious Disease*. 5, (2020).
442 <https://doi.org/10.3390/TROPICALMED5020064>
- 443 32. Principles and Practice of Pediatric Infectious Diseases Ed.5 por Sarah S. Long -
444 9780323401814 - Journal.

- 445 <https://www.edicionesjournal.com/Papel+Digital/9780323401814/Principles+And+Practice>
446 [+Of+Pediatric+Infectious+Diseases](https://www.edicionesjournal.com/Papel+Digital/9780323401814/Principles+And+Practice)
- 447
- 448
- 449 33. **Choi, Y.J., et al.:** Spotted Fever Group and Typhus Group Rickettsioses in Humans, South
450 Korea. *Emerging Infectious Diseases*. 11, 237 (2005).
451 <https://doi.org/10.3201/EID1102.040603>
- 452 34. **Oteo, J.A., et al.:** Guías Latinoamericanas de la RIICER para el diagnóstico de las rickettsiosis
453 transmitidas por garrapatas. *Revista chilena de infectología*. 31, 54–65 (2014).
454 <https://doi.org/10.4067/S0716-10182014000100009>
- 455 35. **del Cura-González, I., et al.:** ¿Qué hemos dejado de atender por la COVID-19?
456 Diagnósticos perdidos y seguimientos demorados. *Informe SESPAS 2022. Gaceta Sanitaria*.
457 36, S36 (2022). <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.GACETA.2022.03.003>
- 458 36. **Dzul-Rosado KR, et al.:** Urban ecology of hosts and vectors of *Rickettsia* in a rickettsiosis-
459 endemic city of the Yucatan peninsula, Mexico. *Acta Tropica*. 216 (2021). Doi:
460 [10.1016/j.actatropica.2021.105832](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actatropica.2021.105832)
- 461
- 462

Accepted Manuscript

Demographic Characteristics n (%)	
Gender	
Male	261 (42)
Female	359 (58)
Age in years m.d.:36 (5.8)	
de 0 a 5	74 (11.9)
de 6 a 15	157 (25.3)
de 16 a 24	96 (15.5)
de 25 a 54	208 (33.6)
> 55	49 (7.9)
Vector exposure	
Ticks	186 (30)
Fleas	38 (6.1)
Ticks and fleas	147 (23.7)
other vectors	106 (17.1)
unknow	143 (23.1)
Samples included per years	
2015	78 (12.6)
2016	99 (16)
2017	107 (17.2)
2018	108 (17.4)
2019	132 (21.3)
2020	52 (8.4)
2021	21 (3.4)
2022	23 (3.7)

464 m.d.*= missing data

465

466 **Table 2.** Distribution of serum samples according to positivity with respect to antibody titer obtained

IgG titer	<i>R. rickettsii</i>			<i>R. typhi</i>			Cross reaction
	Total	Negative	Positive	Total	Negative	Positive	Total
< 64	227	227	0	189	189	0	-----
≥ 64	72	18	33	105	32	52	21
128	54	11	34	32	8	15	9
256	20	2	14	14	0	10	4
512	153	0	4	184	2	33	149
1024	94	0	32	96	0	35	61

467

468

Accepted Manuscript

469 **Table 3.** SFG and TG-IgG seropositivity

IgG titer	<i>R. rickettsii</i> (n)				<i>R. typhi</i> (n)				Cross reaction	
	P		N		P		N		P	
n=620	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F
< 64	0	0	55	59	0	0	21	54	0	0
≥ 64	10	23	5	13	25	27	10	22	6	15
128	9	25	7	4	6	9	2	6	5	4
256	3	11	1	1	8	2	0	0	0	4
512	2	2	0	0	13	20	1	1	70	79
1024	10	22	0	0	17	18	0	0	23	38
	P= 0.0035 OR (95% CI)=0.4639 (0.2809 to 0.7681)				P= 0.0035 OR (95% CI)=0.4639 (0.4416 to 0.8559)					

470 χ^2 comparison of seropositive status (endpoint titers ≥ 64) by sex; P: Positive, N: Negative, M:
 471 male, F: female
 472