

**PROFESSOR WADE REPLIES:**

That an author feels he has made a greater contribution than does his reviewer is a common fate of us all. Therefore, since only other readers can determine whether my evaluation of the book or the author's self-evaluation is the more accurate (and I sincerely hope that many agree with him, for I wish every author well), I would make only these observations:

1) I still believe that the book is too narrowly focused and that its conclusions are not so revisionist as the author feels. I wish I could say that it is the equivalent for France of the Ullman and Kennan works, but I cannot.

2) I am rather surprised that he feels the need to write in response to my reservations, confirming them in the process. What Carley does he does well, as I stated ("Nevertheless, the book gives a useful description of French policies and . . ."), and the overall review is more favorable than not.

3) Sorry for the slip in syntax.