

References

- Aristotle (1984) *The complete works of Aristotle*, 2 vols., ed. J. Barnes. Princeton University Press. [HR]
- Baars, B. J. (1988) *A cognitive theory of consciousness*. Cambridge University Press. [HR]
- Coombs, C. H., Dawes, R. M. & Tversky, A. (1970) *Mathematical psychology*. Prentice Hall. [GK]
- Fantino, E. & Abarca, N. (1985) Choice, optimal foraging and the delay reduction hypothesis. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences* 8:315–62. [HR]
- Gigerenzer, G. (1991) From tools to theories: A heuristic of discovery in cognitive psychology. *Psychological Review* 98:254–67. [GK]
- Green, D. M. & Swets, J. A. (1966) *Signal detection theory and psychophysics*. Wiley. [GK]
- Kahneman, D., Slovic, P. & Tversky, A. (1982) *Judgment under uncertainty: Heuristics and biases*. Cambridge University Press. [GK]
- Kahneman, D. & Tversky, A. (1982) On the study of statistical intuitions. *Cognition* 11:123–41. [GK]
- Luce, D. R. & Raiffa, H. (1957) *Games and decisions*. Wiley. [GK]
- Rachlin, H., Battalio, R., Kagel, J. & Green, L. (1981) Maximization theory in behavioral psychology. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences* 4:371–417. [HR]
- Samuelson, P. (1946) *Foundations of economic analysis*. Harvard University Press. [HR]
- Schelling, T. C. (1984) *Choice and consequences*. Harvard University Press. [GK]
- Schoemaker, P. J. H. (1991a) The quest for optimality: A positive heuristic of science? *Behavioral and Brain Sciences* 14:205–45. [GK, HR]
- (1991r) The strategy of optimality revisited. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences* 14:237–40. [HR]
- Simon, H. A. (1990) Invariants of human behavior. *Annual Review of Psychology* 41:1–19. [GK]
- Wright, L. (1976) *Teleological explanations*. University of California Press. [HR]

Erratum

Owing to a printer's error in the Author's Response of Daniel Pérusse's "Cultural and reproductive success in industrial societies: Testing the relationship at the proximate and ultimate levels," *BBS* 16(2) 1993, two lines were transposed. On p. 314, the first line of the right-hand column should appear as the first line of the left-hand column on p. 315 and vice versa.

The corrected sentences should read:

(p. 314, sect. R3.1) The confounding of mating success with male status is accordingly not straightforward, since the status of female partners was unknown and could well have covaried weakly, if at all, with that of respondents; indeed, the strong correlation found between mating success and social status in men suggests that the latter must have "mated down" on many if not most occasions, as is commonly observed in openly polygynous societies (e.g., Dickemann 1981).

(pp.314–15, sect. R3.2) In modern human societies, however, many factors contribute to the fact that female choice is unlikely to be absent from *any* mating occurrence except rape: (1) Pure female-defense polygyny is not encountered; (2) traditional restraints on female choice such as arranged marriages (Whyte 1978) have disappeared; (3) claustration and general control of female sexuality (Dickemann 1981) are nonexistent or highly reduced. For these reasons, any explanation of mating behavior that completely ignores active choice by women (and men) does not seem very compelling.