

## Co-Chairs' Message

UN Environment's sixth *Global Environmental Outlook (GEO-6)* has reviewed the state of the health of the environment and the related health of the people, and the prospects for meeting the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) of the UN's Agenda 2030. As co-chairs, we draw six key messages from the report:

**First, a healthy planet supports healthy people:** A healthy planet is important for the health and well-being of all people. It directly supports the lives and livelihoods of 70 per cent of the Earth's population living in poverty [SPM 2.2.2; 6. 6.3.4, 6.6.3; boxes 6.5, 13.2], in particular those who are very poor, and it provides the basis for the production of the goods and services that are necessary for the global formal economy, which had a global GDP value of \$US 75 trillion in 2017. Overall the biosphere is essential for human survival and civilization and its value to humans is therefore effectively infinite. However, for some purposes it is useful to calculate the monetary value of ecosystem goods and services; as an example the total global ecosystem services have been valued at \$US (2007) 125 trillion/year [1.3.1]. This number does not capture the benefits of, for example, a climate suitable for agriculture or how melting glaciers affect the water security of more than a billion people [4.2.2], and so is clearly an underestimate. The value of lost ecosystem services between 1995 and 2011 have been estimated at \$US 4-20 trillion (Costanza *et al.* 2014). More particularly, the value of pollinators which provide crucial services for commercial and non-commercial food production, has been estimated at \$US 351 Billion/year to the commercial sector (Lautenbach *et al.* 2012).

**Second, an unhealthy planet leads to unhealthy people:** The planet is becoming increasingly unhealthy through the negative impacts of biodiversity loss (including pollinators, coral reefs and mangroves), climate change and other air pollution, water pollution, ocean pollution and depletion, and land use change. An unhealthy planet has huge social costs in terms of human health and well-being as well as on the formal economy and livelihoods worldwide. As with ecosystem goods and services, these costs are difficult to express comprehensively in monetary or other terms. However, *GEO-6* provides data that illustrate the sort of costs involved. For example, exposure to indoor/outdoor air and water pollution costs at least 9 million lives annually [4.1.1] including 300,000 in the G7 countries in 2015 (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development [OECD] 2017). About 2.8 million people died in 2015 from indoor air pollution [5.3.1] and about 2.8 million depend on unclean traditional biomass [21.2.3]. Many more millions suffer from ill-health and loss of livelihoods. Pollution-related costs have been estimated at \$US 4.6 trillion annually [1.3.1]. 29 per cent of land is degraded affecting the lives and livelihoods of 1.3-3.2 billion people [8.3.2] and slow onset disasters are triggering migration [9.3.4; 9.7.3]. In 2016, 24.2 million people were internally displaced in 118 countries as a result of sudden-onset disasters [4.1.2]. Such disasters affected not just the poor countries, but also rich countries like the USA and Japan. Between 1995-2015, 700,000 people died and 1.7 billion people were affected by extreme



weather events costing \$US 1.4 trillion [4.1.2; Figure 4.2] (Centre for Research on the Epidemiology of Disasters and United Nations Office for Disaster Risk Reduction 2015). Between 2010 and 2016, an average of around 700 extreme events each year cost an average of \$US 127 billion per annum. While 90 per cent of the losses came from high and upper-middle income countries, the less than 1 per cent of the losses from low-income countries amounted to around 1.5 per cent of their GDP, a much higher proportion than in high-income countries, and was almost all uninsured (Watts *et al.* 2017). The damage of climate variability and change to some small island regions is in the order of 1-8 per cent of GDP averaged over 1970-2010 (United Nations Environment Programme [UNEP] 2016a); if average global warming is not limited to 1.5°C, small island states and coastal populations may face existential threats. Water-related health costs are estimated at about \$US140 billion in lost earnings and \$US 56 billion in health costs annually (LiXil, Water Aid and Oxford Economics 2016). Such impacts are likely to exacerbate inequalities within and between countries, as opposed to reducing them in line with SDG10.

**Third, the drivers and pressures leading to an unhealthy planet need to be addressed:** The drivers and pressures result from a continuing failure to internalize environmental and health impacts into economic growth processes, technologies and city design. The pressures arise from massive use of chemicals (many with toxic health and environmental implications), huge waste streams (many largely unmanaged), committed and intensifying climate change impacts, and inequality which contributes to demographic changes and other drivers and pressures. The environmental footprint of rich people is significantly higher than that of poorer people. For example, the monthly emissions per capita in rich countries are mostly higher than the yearly emissions per capita in poorer countries (Ritchie and Roser 2018). The wealthiest countries consume 10 times the materials per person compared to the poorest countries (UNEP 2016b). While ideas around a green, healthy and inclusive economy aim to address these challenges, these ideas have yet to be systematically reflected in existing national policies. The IPCC 1.5°C report highlights

the very limited time left to reduce greenhouse gas emissions to the extent necessary to limit average global warming to this level, thereby avoiding the potentially very expensive adaptation costs that will otherwise be required (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change 2018).

**Fourth, current science justifies policy action now, but more detailed knowledge can enable more refined and preemptive policy.** Existing knowledge is sufficient to mobilize action now [1,2, 4-9]. New knowledge including disaggregated data from earth observation, in-situ data, citizen science, ground truthing and indigenous and local knowledge are necessary in national policy and accounting more broadly [3]. There are major benefits in accounting systems that register the details about who causes damage to the environment, how and why; what is the extent of nature's contributions to humans, the loss of ecosystem goods and services; and who is affected [Figure 3.6]. Statistics and accounting systems also need to recognize the realities of the predominantly poor people in the informal economy, who are often particularly dependent on nature's contributions to people, and hence more vulnerable to environmental degradation.

**Fifth, environmental policy is necessary but inadequate by itself to address systemic ecological problems, solutions to which require a more holistic approach.** Current (inter) national policies are not on track to address the key environmental challenges effectively and equitably, in line with the aspirations of the SDGs. Environmental considerations need to be integrated into all policy areas, such that the potential and actual implications for natural resources and the environment are robustly included in policies for economic growth, technological development and urban design, so that there is effective long-term decoupling between economic growth, resource use and environmental degradation. Climate mitigation needs to be accompanied by policy for the equitable adaptation to committed climate change. Policies will only be effective if they are well designed, involving clear goals and flexible mixes of policy, including monitoring, instruments aimed at achieving them [12-17] and when access to judicial remedies are available [23.3; 23.11; 24.2]. Such a holistic approach need not require additional economic costs. If 2 per cent of global GDP is invested in maintaining and restoring natural capital, it could deliver the same economic growth outcome as a similar investment along current lines

[18.1]. The health benefits from reduced air pollution of achieving the 2°C target could be 1.4-2.5 times the cost of mitigation, the higher figure involving benefits of \$US 54.1 trillion for a global expenditure of \$US 22.1 trillion. Moving from a 2°C to a 1.5°C target would generate further substantial health benefits for China and India [Box 24.1]. Food security could be enhanced if food wastage, currently running at 33 per cent globally, is curtailed [SPM 2.2.4].

**Sixth, healthy people, a healthy planet and a healthy economy can be mutually supportive:** Healthy diets (less meat) and lifestyles, healthy cities with good waste management (2 out of five people lack access to waste disposal services [SPM 2.2.6; 4.4.1]) and the use of green infrastructure in built-up areas, and healthy mobility can increase labour productivity, reduce the need for land for agriculture (e.g. meat production currently uses 77 per cent of agricultural land [SPM 2.2.4; 8.5.1, 8.5.3]) and reduce the costs associated with urban congestion and transport-related pollution and address the potential trade-offs between land for food/biofuel and biodiversity protection (OECD 2017). Technological and social innovation that supports environmentally sound economic development provides a viable and attractive alternative to the 'grow now, clean up later' practices of the past. In addition, a healthy people approach requires implementation of the rights of access to clean water and food, tenure rights, and gender equality. Millions of lives could be saved and livelihoods improved by access to clean air, water, fuel and food. Secure tenure rights for poor and indigenous people would enhance their ability to protect biodiversity and the different ecosystems that sustain them – for example, indigenous and poor people live on 22 per cent of the land that supports 80 per cent of global biodiversity (Sobrevilla 2008) generating billions of dollars' worth of carbon sequestration, reduced pollution, clean water, erosion control, etc. (SPM 2.2.4; 8.5.3). If gender equality is promoted, including the right to inherit and own land, then food security and many health issues relating especially to women and children could be better addressed [4.1.12]. Embracing the urgent and transformative changes that are required to accelerate the transition to a more equitable and environmentally sustainable economy, and a healthier society, through top down policy guidance and bottom-up initiatives will underpin the well-being and prosperity of countries and their people now and in the future.



Joyeeta Gupta



Paul Ekins

# References

- Centre for Research on the Epidemiology of Disasters and United Nations Office for Disaster Risk Reduction (2015). *The Human Cost of Weather-Related Disasters 1995-2015*. [https://www.unisdr.org/files/46796\\_cop21weatherdisastersreport2015.pdf](https://www.unisdr.org/files/46796_cop21weatherdisastersreport2015.pdf).
- Costanza, R., de Groot, R., Sutton, P., van der Ploeg, S., Anderson, S.J., Kubiszewski, I. et al. (2014). Changes in the global value of ecosystem services. *Global Environmental Change* 26, 152-158. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2014.04.002>.
- Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (2018). *Global Warming of 1.5 °C an IPCC Special Report on the Impacts of Global Warming of 1.5 °C Above Pre-Industrial Levels and Related Global Greenhouse Gas Emission Pathways, in the Context of Strengthening the Global Response to the Threat of Climate Change, Sustainable Development, and Efforts to Eradicate Poverty*. <http://www.ipcc.ch/report/sr15/>.
- Lautenbach, S., Seppelt, R., Liebscher, J. and Dormann, C.F. (2012). Spatial and temporal trends of global pollination benefit. *PLOS ONE* 7(4), e35954. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0035954>.
- Lixil, Water Aid and Oxford Economics (2016). *The True Cost of Poor Sanitation*. [https://www.lixil.com/en/sustainability/pdf/the\\_true\\_cost\\_of\\_poor\\_sanitation\\_e.pdf](https://www.lixil.com/en/sustainability/pdf/the_true_cost_of_poor_sanitation_e.pdf).
- Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (2017). *Healthy People, Healthy Planet: The Role of Health Systems in Promoting Healthier Lifestyles and a Greener Future*. Paris. <https://www.oecd.org/health/health-systems/Healthy-people-healthy-planet.pdf>.
- Ritchie, H. and Roser, M. (2018). CO<sub>2</sub> and other greenhouse gas emissions. 23 November. Our World in Data <https://ourworldindata.org/co2-and-other-greenhouse-gas-emissions>.
- Sobrevila, C. (2008). *The Role of Indigenous Peoples in Biodiversity Conservation: The Natural but Often Forgotten Partners*. Washington, D.C.: World Bank. <https://siteresources.worldbank.org/INTBIODIVERSITY/Resources/RoleofIndigenousPeoplesinBiodiversityConservation.pdf>.
- United Nations Environment Programme (2016a). *GEO-6 Regional Assessment for Asia and the Pacific*. Nairobi. [http://wedocs.unep.org/bitstream/handle/20.500.11822/7548/GEO\\_Asia\\_Pacific\\_201611.pdf?isAllowed=y&sequence=1](http://wedocs.unep.org/bitstream/handle/20.500.11822/7548/GEO_Asia_Pacific_201611.pdf?isAllowed=y&sequence=1).
- United Nations Environment Programme (2016b). *Global Material Flows and Resource Productivity: Assessment Report for the UNEP International Resource Panel*. Schandl, H., Fischer-Kowalski, M., West, J., Giljum, S., Dittich, M., Eisenmenger, N. et al. (eds.). [http://wedocs.unep.org/bitstream/handle/20.500.11822/21557/global\\_material\\_flows\\_full\\_report\\_english.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y](http://wedocs.unep.org/bitstream/handle/20.500.11822/21557/global_material_flows_full_report_english.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y).
- Watts, N., Amann, M., Ayeb-Karlsson, S., Belesova, K., Bouley, T., Boykoff, M. et al. (2017). The lancet countdown on health and climate change: From 25 years of inaction to a global transformation for public health. *The Lancet* 391(10120), 581-630. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(17\)32464-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(17)32464-9).