

EXPECTED NUMBER OF EXCURSIONS ABOVE CURVED BOUNDARIE.
BY A RANDOM WALK

FIMA C. KLEBANER

An asymptotic relation for the expected number of excursions above a boundary $g(n)$ by a random walk $S_n, n = 1, 2, \dots, N$ is given in terms of an integral involving g . An integral test is given to determine whether the total excursion time has finite expectation. If some moment assumptions hold then the expectation of the total excursions is finite if and only if $\int_1^\infty t^{1/2} g^{-1}(t) \exp(-g^2(t)/2) dt < \infty$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let X_1, X_2, \dots be i.i.d. zero mean random variables with finite variance σ^2 . Let $S_N = \sum_{n=1}^N X_n$. Let Y_N be the number of times S_n visits intervals $I_n, n = 1, 2, \dots, N$.

This note studies the asymptotic behaviour of EY_N . It is well known that if $I_n = I$, a finite interval, then $EY_N \sim CN^{1/2}, N \rightarrow \infty$. (see for example Breiman [1, p.229.]) Here we take I_n to be $(g(n), \infty)$, where g is some positive function. It is convenient to write $g(n) = \sigma n^{1/2} h(n)$ for some function h . We shall assume that h is monotone. In the case when $h(n) = O(1), n \rightarrow \infty$, it is easily seen that $EY_N = \sum_{n=1}^N P(S_n > n^{1/2} O(1)) \sim CN, N \rightarrow \infty$, as a consequence of the Central Limit Theorem. So we shall assume that h is nondecreasing to ∞ . In what follows C stands for a positive constant, F and ψ stand for the distribution and the characteristic function of X_1 .

2. RESULTS

THEOREM 1. Suppose that $E | X_1 |^5 < \infty$ and that Cramer's condition $\limsup |\psi(t)| < 1$ holds. Then

$$(1) \quad EY_N \sim C \int_1^N h^{-1}(t) \exp(-h^2(t)/2) dt, N \rightarrow \infty.$$

Received 7 March 1989

Copyright Clearance Centre, Inc. Serial-fee code: 0004-9729/90 \$A2.00+0.00.

Moreover $C = (2\pi)^{-1/2}$ if the integral diverges or if F is the normal distribution function.

COROLLARY 1. *The expected number of visits of I_n by $S_n, n = 1, 2, \dots$ is finite if and only if*

$$(2) \quad \int_1^\infty h^{-1}(t) \exp(-h^2(t)/2) dt < \infty.$$

It is interesting to compare the above criterion (2) with Feller’s criterion for the total number of visits, which states that the number of visits of I_n by $S_n, n = 1, 2, \dots$ is finite if and only if

$$(3) \quad \int_1^\infty h(t)t^{-1} \exp(-h^2(t)/2) dt < \infty.$$

See Feller [3, p.211], also Feller [5]. When this is the case it is said that the corresponding function g belongs to the upper class. Note that if h grows to infinity in such a way that the integral in (2) is infinite and the integral in (3) is finite then the total number of excursions above g is almost surely finite but it has infinite mean. The function that provides a boundary between the upper and lower classes is the function from the law of the iterated logarithm, in the sense that for $h(t)$ of the form $(1 + \epsilon)(2 \log \log t)^{1/2}$ the integral in (3) converges for $\epsilon > 0$ and diverges for $\epsilon \leq 0$. For functions $h(t)$ of the form $(2 \log t + (1 + \epsilon) \log \log t)^{1/2}$ the integral in (2) converges for $\epsilon > 0$ and diverges for $\epsilon \leq 0$. In the spirit of Feller’s criterion, (2) may be considered as a criterion to belong to the upper class in the mean.

If X_1 possesses moments of order less than five then the conclusion of the theorem remains valid for some classes of functions. The method of proof shows how to describe them. Theorem 2 illustrates this. Of course, other generalisations are possible.

THEOREM 2. *Suppose $E | X_1 |^3 < \infty, \limsup |\psi(t)| < 1$.*

- (i) *If $h^{-2}(n) \exp(h^2(n)/2) = O(n^{1/2}), n \rightarrow \infty$, then the integral in (1) diverges and (1) holds.*
- (ii) *If $\sum_{n=1}^\infty h^{-3}(n)n^{-1/2} < \infty$, then $\lim EY_N = C < \infty$.*

COROLLARY 2. *Suppose (1) holds, h' exists and $h(x)h'(x) \sim ax^{-1}, x \rightarrow \infty$.*

- (i) *If $0 \leq a < 1$ then*

$$EY_N \sim (1 - a)^{-1} CNh^{-1}(N) \exp(-h^2(N)/2), N \rightarrow \infty.$$

- (ii) *If $a > 1$ then the total excursion time has finite expectation.*

3. EXAMPLES

Let $h(x) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^k 2a_i \ln_i x\right)^{1/2}$, where $\ln_i x$ is the i th iterate of the function $\ln x$, $x > C$.

1. Let $0 \leq a_1 \leq 1/2$ and suppose that X_1 satisfies the conditions of Theorem 2, or $1/2 < a_1 < 1$ and X_1 satisfies the conditions of Theorem 1. Let j be the first index for which $a_j \neq 0$, and take $a_j > 0$. Then $h(x)h'(x) \sim a_1/x$ if $a_1 \neq 0$, and $h(x)h'(x) = o(1/x)$ if $a_1 = 0$. Hence

$$EY_N \sim CN^{1-a_1} \ln_j^{-1/2} N \prod_{i=j}^k \ln_{i-1}^{-a_i} N,$$

where $C = (1 - a_1)^{-1}(4a_j\pi)^{-1/2}$.

In particular, the expected number of excursions above ϵ in the law of the iterated logarithm by $S_n(2\sigma^2 n \ln_2 n)^{-1/2}$ is asymptotically given by $(4\pi)^{-1/2} \epsilon^{-1} \ln^{-\epsilon^2} N \ln_2^{-1/2} N$.

2. Let $a_1 = 1$. Then $h(x)h'(x) \sim 1/x$ and this case is not covered by Corollary 2. Take $a_i = 0$ for $i \geq 3$. Evaluation of the integral in (1) gives $EY_N \sim C \ln^{1/2-a_2} N$, where $C = (4\pi)^{-1/2}(1 - a_2)^{-1}$, provided $a_2 < 1/2$; $EY_N \sim C \ln_2 N$, where $C = (4\pi)^{-1/2}$ if $a_2 = 1/2$; and $EY_N \sim C$ if $a_2 > 1/2$.

4. PROOFS

The following lemma is instrumental in the proofs.

LEMMA 1. Let $a_n = h^{-1}(n) \exp(-h^2(n)/2)$, $b_n = \sum_{k=1}^3 h^{3k-1}(n)n^{-k/2} \exp(-h^2(n)/2)$. Then

$$(4) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n < \infty \Rightarrow \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n < \infty,$$

and

$$(5) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n = \infty \Rightarrow \sum_{n=1}^N b_n = o\left(\sum_{n=1}^N a_n\right).$$

PROOF: Let $\epsilon > 0$ be arbitrary and $u_n = h(n)n^{-1/6}$.

$$(6) \quad \sum_{n=1}^N b_n = \sum_{n=1}^N b_n I(u_n \geq \epsilon) + \sum_{n=1}^N b_n I(u_n < \epsilon).$$

To evaluate the first sum in (6) we use the inequality

$$(7) \quad x^r \exp(-x^2/2) \leq (r/e)^{r/2}, \quad r > 0, x \geq 0,$$

which is obtained by maximising the function in the left hand side of (7). Choosing $C_1 = 3(14/e)^7$ we obtain for all $x > 0$

$$\sum_{k=1}^3 x^{3k-1} \exp(-x^2/2) \leq C_1 x^{-6}.$$

Using this inequality with $x = h(n)$ we have

$$(8) \quad b_n = \sum_{k=1}^3 h^{3k-1}(n) n^{-k/2} \exp(-h^2(n)/2) < C_1 h^{-6}(n) n^{-1/2}.$$

Thus

$$(9) \quad \sum_{n=1}^N b_n I(u_n \geq \varepsilon) \leq C_1 \sum_{n=1}^N n^{-1/2} h^{-6}(n) I(u_n \geq \varepsilon) < C_1 \varepsilon^{-6} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-3/2} < C_2 \varepsilon^{-6} < \infty,$$

where $C_2 = 2C_1$. To evaluate the second sum in (6) notice $b_n = (u_n^3 + u_n^6 + u_n^9) a_n$. Hence

$$(10) \quad \sum_{n=1}^N b_n I(u_n < \varepsilon) < 3\varepsilon^3 \sum_{n=1}^N a_n, \quad \text{if } \varepsilon < 1.$$

Let now $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n < \infty$. Then from (6) (9) and (10) it follows that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n < C_2 \varepsilon^{-6} + 3\varepsilon^3 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n < \infty,$$

which is (4). Let $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n = \infty$. For a given $\varepsilon > 0$ choose M so large that for all $N \geq M$,

$C_2 < \varepsilon^7 \sum_{n=1}^N a_n$. Hence from (6), (9) and (10)

$$\left(\sum_{n=1}^N b_n \right) \left(\sum_{n=1}^N a_n \right)^{-1} < \varepsilon + 3\varepsilon^3,$$

which is (5). □

PROOF OF THEOREM 1: Denote by $I(A)$ the indicator of set A . Φ and f denote respectively the standard normal distribution and the density functions. We have

$$Y_N = \sum_{n=1}^N I(S_n \in I_n) = \sum_{n=1}^N I(S_n > \sigma n^{1/2} h(n)),$$

and

$$(11) \quad EY_N = \sum_{n=1}^N P(S_n > \sigma n^{1/2} h(n)).$$

Let $F_n(x) = P(S_n < \sigma n^{1/2} x)$, then proceeding from (11)

$$(12) \quad EY_N = \sum_{n=1}^N (1 - F_n(h(n))) = \sum_{n=1}^N (1 - \Phi(h(n))) + \sum_{n=1}^N (\Phi(h(n)) - F_n(h(n))).$$

Using the inequality

$$a^{-1}(1 - a^{-2}) \exp(-a^2/2) < \int_a^\infty \exp(-t^2/2) dt < a^{-1} \exp(-a^2/2),$$

see Feller [4, p.175] we obtain

$$(13) \quad \sum_{n=1}^\infty (1 - \Phi(h(n))) < \infty \Leftrightarrow \sum_{n=1}^\infty h^{-1}(n) \exp(-h^2(n)/2) < \infty.$$

Moreover, if the series diverges

$$(14) \quad \begin{aligned} \sqrt{(2\pi)} \sum_{n=1}^N (1 - \Phi(h(n))) &\sim \sum_{n=1}^N h^{-1}(n) \exp(-h^2(n)/2) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^N a_n \sim \int_1^N h^{-1}(t) \exp(-h^2(t)/2) dt, \quad N \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

To evaluate the second sum in (12) we use the Edgeworth expansion for F_n . (See Feller [3, p.541], Petrov [6, p.159].) Assumptions of the theorem imply

$$(15) \quad F_n(x) = \Phi(x) + f(x) \sum_{k=1}^3 R_k(x) n^{-k/2} + o(n^{-3/2}),$$

where $o(n^{-3/2})$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ holds uniformly in x , $R_k(x)$ is a polynomial of order $3k - 1$, which depends on F only through the first five moments of F and does not depend on n , $k = 1, 2, 3$. Letting $x = h(n)$ in (15) we obtain

$$\sum_{n=1}^N (\Phi(h(n)) - F_n(h(n))) = \sum_{n=1}^N f(h(n)) \sum_{k=1}^3 R_k(h(n))n^{-k/2} + o(N^{-1/2}), \quad N \rightarrow \infty.$$

Since $R_k(x) = O(x^{3k-1})$, $x \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$(16) \quad \sum_{n=1}^N (\Phi(h(n)) - F_n(h(n))) = O\left(\sum_{n=1}^N b_n\right).$$

(1) now follows from (12) by (14), (16) and Lemma 1.

Finally if F is the standard normal distribution function then the second sum in (12) is identically zero and the Theorem follows by (14). □

PROOF OF THEOREM 2: Taking the expansion for F_n in the form found in Petrov [6, p.169]

$$(17) \quad F_n(x) = \Phi(x) + f(x)R_1(x)n^{-1/2} + Q_n(x),$$

where

$$(18) \quad |Q_n(x)| < (1 + |x|^3)^{-1} o(n^{-1/2}),$$

with $o(n^{-1/2})$, $n \rightarrow \infty$ being uniform in x . Let $c_n = h^2(n)n^{-1/2} \exp(-h^2(n)/2)$ and $d_n = |Q_n(h(n))|$. Then by (17)

$$(19) \quad |\Phi(h(n)) - F_n(h(n))| < C(c_n + d_n).$$

Since for all x large enough $x^{-2} \exp(x^2/2) > \exp(x)$, and by the assumption in (i), $h^{-2}(n) \exp(h^2(n)/2) < Cn^{1/2}$, we have for all n large enough $h(n) < C \ln n$, which implies that

$$a_n = h^{-1}(n) \exp(-h^2(n)/2) > C_1 h^{-3}(n)n^{-1/2} > C_2 n^{-1/2} \ln^{-3} n,$$

and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n = \infty$. Lemma 1 implies $\sum_{n=1}^N c_n = o\left(\sum_{n=1}^N a_n\right)$. From the definition of d_n it is seen that $d_n = o(a_n)$, $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence $\sum_{n=1}^N d_n = o\left(\sum_{n=1}^N a_n\right)$, and statement (i) of the Theorem now follows by (12) and (14).

In the second case, due to monotonicity of $h^{-3}(n)n^{-1/2}$, convergence of the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h^{-3}(n)n^{-1/2}$ implies $\lim h^{-3}(n)n^{1/2} = 0$. Hence $h(n) > Cn^{1/6}$, which implies that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n < \infty$. By Lemma 1 $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n < \infty$. $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n < \infty$ by the assumption in (ii) and (18). The Theorem now follows from (12), (13) and (19). □

PROOF OF COROLLARY 2: The proof follows directly from the following Lemma 2. □

LEMMA 2. Let $k(x) > 0$ be such that $k'(x)/k(x) \sim a/x, x \rightarrow \infty$.

- (i) If $a > -1$ then $\int_1^{\infty} k(t)dt = \infty$ and $\int_1^x k(t)dt \sim (a + 1)^{-1} xk(x), x \rightarrow \infty$.
- (ii) If $a < -1$ then $\int_1^{\infty} k(t)dt < \infty$.

Notice that if $k(x) = h^{-1}(x) \exp(-h^2(x)/2)$ then $k'(x)/k(x) \sim -h'(x)h(x)$ so that Corollary 2 follows. The proof of Lemma 2 can be found in Dieudonne [2, pp.81,82]. It is obtained by integration by parts. $\int_1^x k(t)dt = xk(x) - k(1) - \int_1^x tk'(t)dt$, and $\int_1^x (k(t) + tk'(t))dt = xk(x) - k(1)$. By the assumption $tk'(t) \sim ak(t)$, so that $\int_1^x (k(t) + tk'(t))dt \sim (a + 1) \int_1^x k(t)dt$ and Lemma 2 follows. The result also holds for $a = 0$, see Dieudonne [2] for details.

REFERENCES

- [1] L. Breiman, *Probability* (Addison-Wesley, Reading, 1968).
- [2] J. Dieudonne, *Infinitesimal Calculus* (Hermann, Paris, 1971).
- [3] W. Feller, *An Introduction to Probability Theory and Its Applications 1* (John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1968).
- [4] W. Feller, *An Introduction to Probability Theory and Its Applications 2* (John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1971).
- [5] W. Feller, 'The general form of the so-called law of the iterated logarithm', *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 54 (1943), 373-402.
- [6] V.V. Petrov, *Sums of Independent Random Variables* (Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1975).

Department of Statistics
 The University of Melbourne
 Parkville Vic 3052
 Australia