

**CORRECTION TO: CONJUGACY CLASSES  
OF MAXIMAL TORI IN SIMPLE REAL ALGEBRAIC GROUPS  
AND APPLICATIONS**

D. Ž. ĐOKOVIĆ AND NGUYỄN Q. THẮNG

ABSTRACT. An error in *Conjugacy classes of maximal tori in simple real algebraic groups and applications*, Canad. J. Math. **46**(1994), 699–717 is corrected.

We wish to inform the reader that there is an error in the proof of Theorem 4.5 of our paper [2]. The statement of this theorem remains valid except that the row B I of Table VII should contain  $\text{Spin}(2n, 1)$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , instead of  $\text{SO}(2n, 1)^\circ$ ,  $n \geq 1$ . (In our notation,  $\text{Spin}(p, q)$  is a connected Lie group.) Unless stated otherwise, all references below are to [2].

We recall some of the notation:  $\tilde{G}$  is a connected and simply connected almost simple  $\mathbf{R}$ -group,  $\sigma$  is the corresponding anti-holomorphic involution of  $\tilde{G}$ ,  $T$  is a maximal  $\mathbf{R}$ -torus of  $\tilde{G}$  containing a maximal split  $\mathbf{R}$ -torus  $S$  of  $\tilde{G}$ ,  $\Phi$  is the root system of  $\tilde{G}$  relative to  $T$ , and  $\Delta = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l\}$  a base of  $\Phi$ . For other non-explained notation, see the original paper.

Let  $X^*$  be the character group of  $T$  and  $X_*$  the group of (algebraic) one-parameter subgroups of  $T$ , both written additively, and  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle: X^* \times X_* \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$  the canonical pairing. Thus we have  $\alpha(h(z)) = z^{\langle \alpha, h \rangle}$  for  $\alpha \in X^*$ ,  $h \in X_*$ , and  $z \in \mathbf{C}^*$ . For  $\alpha \in \Phi$ , we denote by  $h_\alpha$  the corresponding coroot considered as an element of  $X_*$ . Since  $\sigma(T) = T$ ,  $\sigma$  acts on  $X^*$  and  $X_*$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(\alpha)(t) &:= \overline{\alpha(\sigma(t))}, \quad t \in T, \alpha \in X^*; \\ \sigma(h)(z) &:= \sigma(h(\bar{z})), \quad z \in \mathbf{C}^*, h \in X_*. \end{aligned}$$

We write  $h_i$  for  $h_{\alpha_i}$ . Let  $\alpha = k_1\alpha_1 + \dots + k_l\alpha_l \in X^*$ ,  $k_i \in \mathbf{Z}$ , and set  $S_\alpha = h = k_1h_1 + \dots + k_lh_l \in X_*$ . The torus  $S(\alpha) \subset T$ , as defined on p. 711, is the image of  $h$ . The notation  $S_\alpha$  and  $S(\alpha)$  (and its generalization  $S(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_r)$ ) were ill-chosen and were partially responsible for our error. However, in order to avoid possible confusion, we shall continue to use that notation.

If  $\sigma(h) = h$  then  $\sigma(h(z)) = h(\bar{z})$ , and so  $\text{Im}(h)$  is a split  $\mathbf{R}$ -torus. If  $\sigma(h) = -h$ , then  $\sigma(h(z)) = h(\bar{z}^{-1})$ , and so  $\text{Im}(h)$  is an anisotropic  $\mathbf{R}$ -torus. Hence the assertion:

(\*)  $\text{If } \sigma(\alpha) = \epsilon\alpha, \epsilon = \pm 1, \text{ then } \sigma S_\alpha(t)\sigma^{-1} = S_\alpha(\bar{t}^\epsilon),$

---

Received by the editors August 10, 1994.

AMS subject classification: Primary: 20G20; secondary: 22E45, 22E46.

© Canadian Mathematical Society 1994.

made on p. 712, is false and should be replaced by the following:

(\*\*) If  $\alpha = k_1\alpha_1 + \dots + k_l\alpha_l$ ,  $k_i \in \mathbf{Z}$ ,  $h = k_1h_1 + \dots + k_lh_l$ , and  $\sigma(h) = h$  (resp.  $\sigma(h) = -h$ ), then the torus  $S(\alpha)$  is defined over  $\mathbf{R}$  and is split (resp. anisotropic) over  $\mathbf{R}$ .

If all roots of  $\Phi$  have the same length, then one can identify the dual root system  $\Phi^\vee := \{h_\alpha : \alpha \in \Phi\}$  with  $\Phi$  so that the action of  $\sigma$  is preserved. In that case (\*) is valid, but if  $\Phi$  has roots of different lengths, then (\*) fails.

For  $\alpha \in \Phi$  let  $T_\alpha := \text{Ker}(\alpha)^\circ$ ,  $Z_\alpha = Z_{\tilde{G}}(T_\alpha)$ , and  $G_\alpha = [Z_\alpha, Z_\alpha]$ , the commutator subgroup of  $Z_\alpha$ . Then  $G_\alpha \cong \text{SL}_2(\mathbf{C})$ ,  $\text{Im}(h_\alpha) = G_\alpha \cap T$ , and  $\langle \alpha, h_\alpha \rangle = 2$ . These properties characterize  $h_\alpha$ , and consequently we have

(\*\*\*) 
$$\sigma(h_\alpha) = h_{\sigma(\alpha)}, \alpha \in \Phi.$$

Our determination of the maximal split  $\mathbf{R}$ -torus  $S$  has to be corrected in the cases B I and F II only.

CASE B I (p. 714). We have to correct the treatment of the case  $q = 1$ . In the Satake diagram of  $G(\mathbf{R}) = \text{SO}(p, 1)^\circ$ ,  $p = 2n$ ,  $n > 1$ , only the first vertex  $\alpha_1$  is white while all others are black. Hence we have  $\sigma(\alpha_i) = -\alpha_i$  for  $i > 1$ . By a simple computation (or see [1]) one can show that

$$\sigma(\alpha_1) = \tilde{\alpha} = \alpha_1 + 2(\alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_n)$$

is the highest root of  $\Phi$ .

We claim that the torus  $S := S(\alpha)$ , where

$$\alpha := 2(\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_{n-1}) + \alpha_n \in X^*,$$

is split over  $\mathbf{R}$ . The corresponding  $h \in X_*$  is given by

$$h = 2(h_1 + \dots + h_{n-1}) + h_n.$$

Since  $\Phi$  is the root system of type  $B_n$ , we have

$$h_{\tilde{\alpha}} = h_1 + 2(h_2 + \dots + h_{n-1}) + h_n.$$

By using (\*\*\*) we find that

$$\sigma(h) = 2h_{\tilde{\alpha}} - 2(h_2 + \dots + h_{n-1}) - h_n = h.$$

In view of (\*\*), our claim is proved.

We have  $Z_G(S) = SH$ , where  $\Delta_H = \Delta \setminus \{\alpha_1\}$ . As  $\mathcal{S} = \langle h(-1) \rangle = \langle \epsilon_n \rangle \subset H$ ,  $T(\mathbf{R})$  is connected and  $G^* = \text{Spin}(2n, 1)$  is weakly exponential.

CASE F II (p. 717). We only indicate that, on line 11,  $S(\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4)$  should be replaced by  $S(2\alpha_1 + 4\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4)$ , and  $\langle \epsilon_1\epsilon_3 \rangle$  by  $\langle \epsilon_3 \rangle$ .

We close with two other minor corrections.

1) In the proof of Proposition 1.3, p. 701, line -3,  $T_2(\mathbf{R})$  and  $T'_2(\mathbf{R})$  should be replaced by  $S_1(\mathbf{R})$  and  $S'_1(\mathbf{R})$ , respectively. On the same page, last two lines,  $H(\mathbf{R})$  should be replaced by  $(T_0H)(\mathbf{R})$ .

2) In Table IV, line 15 (b),  $\text{SO}^*(2)$  should be replaced by  $\text{SO}^*(4)$ .

## REFERENCES

1. S. Araki, *On root systems and on infinitesimal classification of irreducible symmetric spaces*, Osaka J. Math. **13**(1962), 1–34.
2. D. Ž. Đoković and Nguyen Q. Thang, *Conjugacy classes of maximal tori in simple real algebraic groups and applications*, Canad. J. Math. **46**(1994), 699–717.

*Department of Pure Mathematics  
University of Waterloo  
Waterloo, Ontario  
N2L 3G1*