

RELATIONS BETWEEN FINITE HOMOLOGY AND HOMOTOPY

B. Brown

(received June 21, 1968)

1. Introduction. For a finite abelian group G let $\lambda(G)$ be the least positive integer such that $\lambda(G)G = 0$. Let $\bar{\lambda}(G)$ be the least integer such that $\lambda(G) \mid \bar{\lambda}(G)$ ($\lambda(G)$ divides $\bar{\lambda}(G)$) and if $2 \mid \lambda(G)$ then $4 \mid \bar{\lambda}(G)$. For a finitely generated abelian group G let G_T be the subgroup of G made up of all elements of G of finite order, and let $G_F = G/G_T$. For a simply-connected C-W complex X , let $\Phi(\pi X; r)$ be the smallest class of abelian groups containing the groups $\pi_i(X)$, $i = 2, \dots, r$.

We will assume throughout this paper that the topological spaces under discussion have the homotopy type of C-W complexes with only finitely many cells in each dimension. We write $H_*(X)$ ($H^*(X)$) for reduced homology (cohomology) with integer coefficients.

The point of this paper is to prove

THEOREM 1*. Suppose

i) $\pi_i(X) = 0$, $i = 1, \dots, k-1$ where $k-1 \geq 1$

ii) $\pi_i(X)$ is finite for $i = k, \dots, n$,

then $\prod_{i=n-k+1}^n \lambda(\pi_i(X)) H_n(X) \in \Phi(\pi X; n-k)$.

COROLLARY 2.1. If $\dim X = k$ and $H_*(X)$ is finite then

$$\lambda(\{X, X\}) \mid \prod_{i=1}^k \bar{\lambda}(H_i(X))$$

*Hoo [1] proves a slightly different version of this theorem for the special case $k = 2$.

and

$$\lambda(\{X, X\}) \mid \prod_{i=1}^k \lambda(\Sigma_i(X)) \quad [\Sigma_i(X) = \{S^i, X\}],$$

THEOREM 3. If $H^i(X) = 0$ for $i > n+k$ and $H_i(Y) = 0$ for $i < n$ then

$$\{X, Y\}_F = \left(\sum_{i=n}^{n+k} H^i(X; H_i(Y)) \right)_F$$

and

$$\lambda(\{X, Y\}_T) \mid \lambda\left(\sum_{i=n}^{n+k} H^i(X; H_i(Y))\right)_T \prod_{i=1}^k \lambda(\Sigma_i(S^0)).$$

2. Some algebraic lemmas. For an element a in an abelian group A , $o(a)$ will mean the order of a .

Definition. For finite abelian groups A and B we will write $A < B$ if and only if for every $a \in A$ there exists a $b \in B$ such that $o(a) \mid o(b)$. ($o(a)$ divides $o(b)$).

The following algebraic lemmas are all trivial.

LEMMA 1. $A < B \Leftrightarrow \lambda(A) \mid \lambda(B)$.

LEMMA 2. $A < 0 \Rightarrow A = 0$.

LEMMA 3. If $A < B$ and B is in some class of abelian groups \mathcal{C} , then $A \in \mathcal{C}$.

LEMMA 4. $A < B$ and $B < C \Rightarrow A < C$.

LEMMA 5. If $A < B$ then for any integer r , $rA < rB$.

LEMMA 6. If $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C$ is exact $\lambda(B) \mid \lambda(A)\lambda(C)$ and so

$$\text{i) } rA = 0 \Rightarrow rB < C$$

$$\text{ii) } sC = 0 \Rightarrow sB < A.$$

LEMMA 7. Suppose $A_i \rightarrow B_i \rightarrow C_i$ is exact $i = 1, \dots, t$;

i) if $n_i A_i = 0 \quad i = 1, \dots, t$ and $C_i = B_{i+1}$ for
 $i = 1, \dots, t-1$ then $n_t \dots n_1 B_1 < C_t$;

ii) if $m_i C_i = 0 \quad i = 1, \dots, t$ and $A_i = B_{i+1}$
 $i = 1, \dots, t-1$ then $m_t m_{t-1} \dots m_1 B_1 < A_t$.

LEMMA 8. If R is a finite ring with identity I_R then $\lambda(R) = 0(I_R)$.

LEMMA 9. For a finite abelian group G let I_G be the identity
homomorphism. ($I_G \in \text{Hom}(G, G)$.) Then $\lambda(\text{Hom}(G, G)) = 0(I_G) = \lambda(G)$.

LEMMA 10. Suppose that G is an abelian group and that R is
a finite ring with identity I_R . If $m: R \times G \rightarrow G$ satisfies

i) $m(I_R, g) = g$ for all $g \in G$, and

ii) $m(r_1 + r_2, g) = m(r_1, g) + m(r_2, g)$ for all
 $r_1, r_2 \in R, g \in G$ then $\lambda(G) \mid \lambda(R)$.

LEMMA 11. For finite abelian groups G and H , $\lambda(G) \mid \lambda(G \oplus H)$,
and $\bar{\lambda}(G) \mid \bar{\lambda}(G \oplus H)$.

LEMMA 12. G is a finite abelian group. If $p^k \mid \lambda(G)$ and
 $p^{k+1} \nmid \lambda(G)$ then $G = Z_p^k \oplus G'$.

LEMMA 13. G is a finite abelian group. $\lambda(G) \nmid \bar{\lambda}(G)$ if and only
if $G = G' \oplus Z_2 \oplus \dots \oplus Z_2$, where $\lambda(G')$ is odd.

3. Eilenberg-MacLane spaces and Moore spaces. Let $K(G, n)$
be the Eilenberg-MacLane space of type (G, n) and $M(G, n)$ the Moore
space of type (G, n) .

PROPOSITION 1. Let G be a finite abelian group and let I_K be
the homotopy class of the identity map of $K(G, n)$. Then

$$\lambda([K(G, n), K(G, n)]) = o(I_K) = \lambda(G).$$

Proof. $[K(G, n), K(G, n)] \cong \text{Hom}(G, G)$ and I_K corresponds to I_G , under the isomorphism. Since $[K(G, n), K(G, n)]$ is a ring with identity I_K , $\lambda([K(G, n), K(G, n)]) = o(I_K)$ Lemma 8

$$= o(I_G) = \lambda(G) \text{ Lemma 9.}$$

PROPOSITION 2. If G is a finite abelian group and X is a C-W complex, then $\lambda(G)H^i(X; G) = \lambda(G)H_i(K(G, n)) = 0$.

Proof. $[K(G, n), K(G, n)]$ acts on both $H^i(X; G)$ and $H_i(K(G, n))$ as a ring of operators, so the statement follows from Lemma 10 and Proposition 1.

PROPOSITION 3. If p is a prime and $p \nmid 2$ then

$$\{M(\mathbb{Z}_p^k, n), M(\mathbb{Z}_p^k, n)\} = \mathbb{Z}_p^k.$$

Proof. Let $f: S^n \rightarrow S^n$ be a map of degree p^k . Then the cone of f , C_f , has the homotopy type of $M(\mathbb{Z}_p^k, n)$. The Hurewicz Theorem implies that $\{S^n, C_f\} = \mathbb{Z}_p^k$.

The fact that $\{S^{n+1}, C_f\} = 0$ follows from the exact sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \{S^{n+1}, S^n\} & \xrightarrow{f_*} & \{S^{n+1}, S^n\} & \rightarrow & \{S^{n+1}, C_f\} & \rightarrow & \{S^{n+1}, S^{n+1}\} \xrightarrow{(Sf)_*} \{S^{n+1}, S^{n+1}\} \\ \parallel & & \parallel & & \parallel & & \parallel \\ \mathbb{Z}_2 & & \mathbb{Z}_2 & & \mathbb{Z} & & \mathbb{Z} \end{array}$$

and the observation that f_* is onto and $(Sf)_*$ is a monomorphism. The sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \{S^n, C_f\} & \xleftarrow{f^*} & \{S^n, C_f\} & \leftarrow & \{C_f, C_f\} & \leftarrow & \{S^{n+1}, C_f\} \\ \parallel & & \parallel & & \parallel & & \parallel \\ \mathbb{Z}_p^k & & \mathbb{Z}_p^k & & \mathbb{Z}_p^k & & 0 \end{array}$$

is exact and f^* is the zero homomorphism, since it is multiplication by

p^k , and so $\{C_f, C_f\} = Z_{p^k}$.

PROPOSITION 4. If $k \geq 2$ then $\{M(Z_{2^k}, n), M(Z_{2^k}, n)\} = Z_{2^k} \oplus Z_2$.

Proof. Let $f: S^n \rightarrow S^n$ be a map of degree 2^k . Let $\alpha: S^n \rightarrow C_f$ be the inclusion and let $\beta: C_f \rightarrow S^{n+1}$ be the inclusion of C_f into the cone of α . Let $h^t: S^{t+1} \rightarrow S^t$ be the appropriate suspension of the Hopf map. We have $\{S^n, C_f\} = Z_{2^k}$ (with generator α) and $\{S^{n+1}, C_f\} = Z_2$ (with generator αh^n).

The sequence $0 \leftarrow \{S^n, C_f\} \xleftarrow{\alpha_*} \{C_f, C_f\} \xleftarrow{\beta_*} \{S^{n+1}, C_f\} \leftarrow 0$ is exact. So $\{C_f, C_f\} = Z_{2^{k+1}}$ or $Z_{2^k} \oplus Z_2$.

Assume that $\{C_f, C_f\} = Z_{2^{k+1}}$. Let I be the stable homotopy class of the identity map $C_f \rightarrow C_f$. Then I is the multiplicative identity in the ring $\{C_f, C_f\}$ and consequently must be a generator of the cyclic group. Since $\alpha_*(2^k I) = 2^k \alpha = 0$, and $2^k I \neq 0$, we must have $2^k I = \beta_*(\alpha h^n) = \alpha h^n \beta$. We will arrive at a contradiction by showing that this last equation is false.

The sequence $0 \rightarrow \{S^{n+2}, S^n\} \xrightarrow{\alpha_*} \{S^{n+2}, C_f\} \xrightarrow{\beta_*} \{S^{n+2}, S^{n+1}\} \rightarrow 0$ is exact and $\{S^{n+2}, S^n\} = Z_2$ (with generator $h^n h^{n+1}$); $\{S^{n+2}, S^{n+1}\} = Z_2$ (with generator h^{n+1}). Since β_* is onto, there exists an element $\gamma \in \{S^{n+2}, C_f\}$ such that $\beta \gamma = h^{n+1}$. Since α_* is a monomorphism $\alpha h^n h^{n+1} \neq 0$. So $\alpha h^n \beta \gamma \neq 0$. But γ is an element in a group of order 4 and $k \geq 2$, so $2^k I \gamma = 2^k \gamma = 0$. Therefore $\alpha h^n \beta \neq 2^k I$ and this contradiction implies that $\{C_f, C_f\} = Z_{2^k} \oplus Z_2$. Let $n \geq 4$ and let X be the space in the Postnikov system for $M(Z_2, n)$ made up of the first two non-zero homotopy groups of $M(Z_2, n)$. Then the Postnikov system of X looks like this:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 K(Z_2, n+1) & \xrightarrow{a} & X \\
 & \downarrow b & \\
 K(Z_2, n) & \xrightarrow{k} & K(Z_2, n+2).
 \end{array}$$

The Postnikov invariant k is either 0 or Sq^2 . If $k = 0$, we have $X = K(Z_2, n) \times K(Z_2, n+1)$ and $H^{n+1}(X; Z_2) = Z_2 \oplus Z_2$. But this contradicts $H^{n+1}(X, Z_2) = H^{n+1}(M(Z_2, n); Z_2) = Z_2$. So $k = Sq^2$.

(We begin now not to distinguish, in our symbols, between the following objects: a cohomology operation, a cohomology class, a map, and its homotopy class.)

PROPOSITION 5. $[X, X] = Z_4$ and $2I_X = aSq^1b$.

Proof. The sequence

$$0 = H^{n-1}(X; Z_2) \rightarrow H^{n+1}(X; Z_2) \xrightarrow{a_*} [X, X] \xrightarrow{b_*} H^n(X; Z_2) \xrightarrow{Sq^2} H^{n+2}(X; Z_2)$$

is exact. $H^{n+1}(X; Z_2) = Z_2$ with generator Sq^1b , $H^n(X; Z_2) = Z_2$ with generator b , and $Sq^2b = 0$. So $0 \rightarrow Z_2 \rightarrow [X, X] \rightarrow Z_2 \rightarrow 0$ is exact and $[X, X] = Z_2 \oplus Z_2$ or Z_4 depending on whether or not $2I_X = 0$. If $2I_X \neq 0$ we have $b_*(2I_X) = 0$ and $2I_X \in \text{Im } a_*$, that is,

$2I_X = aSq^1b$. We will prove that $2I_X \neq 0$ by showing that for some

$$\gamma \in H^{n+3}(X; Z_4), \quad 2\gamma \neq 0.$$

Let $\phi: K(Z_4, n+3) \rightarrow K(Z_2, n+3)$ be the fibre map corresponding to the projection $Z_4 \rightarrow Z_2$, and let $\psi: K(Z_2, n+3) \rightarrow K(Z_4, n+3)$ be the inclusion of the fibre into the total space. The following computations are straightforward: $H^{n+3}(K(Z_2, n); Z_4) = Z_2 \oplus Z_2$ with generators $\psi Sq^2 Sq^1$ and r , where $\phi r = Sq^1 Sq^2$; $H^{n+3}(K(Z_2, n+2); Z_4) = Z_2$ with generator t , where $\phi t = Sq^1$. $\phi(r - tSq^2) = Sq^1 Sq^2 - Sq^1 Sq^2 = 0$. Therefore $r - tSq^2 = 0$ or $\psi Sq^2 Sq^1$. Whatever the case, we have $tSq^2 \neq \psi Sq^2 Sq^1$.

PROPOSITION 6. $\{M(Z_2, n), M(Z_2, n)\} = Z_4$.

Proof. Take $n \geq 4$. Then $\{M(Z_2, n), M(Z_2, n)\} = [M(Z_2, n), M(Z_2, n)] = [M(Z_2, n), X]$. (Where X is as above.) Using the homotopy sequence for the fibring $K(Z_2, n+1) \xrightarrow{a} X \xrightarrow{b} K(Z_2, n)$, we have that $0 = H^{n-1}(M(Z_2, n); Z_2) \rightarrow H^{n+1}(M(Z_2, n); Z_2) \xrightarrow{a_*} [M(Z_2, n), X] \xrightarrow{b_*} H^n(M(Z_2, n); Z_2) \rightarrow H^{n+2}(M(Z_2, n); Z_2) = 0$ is exact; $H^n(M(Z_2, n); Z_2) = Z_2$. Call the generator g . $H^{n+1}(M(Z_2, n); Z_2) = Z_2$ with generator $Sq^1 g$. Since b_* is onto there exists a $\xi \in [M(Z_2, n), X]$ such that $b\xi = g$. Then $Sq^1 b\xi = Sq^1 g \neq 0$, and since a_* is a monomorphism $aSq^1 b\xi = aSq^1 g \neq 0$. But $aSq^1 b = 2I_X$. Therefore $0 \neq aSq^1 b\xi = 2\xi$, and $[M(Z_2, n), X] = Z_4$.

Putting together Propositions 3, 4, and 6 we have that if $G = Z_p^k$ (any prime p and exponent k) then $\lambda(\{M(G, n), M(G, n)\}) = \bar{\lambda}(G)$. Now we prove:

PROPOSITION 7. For any finite abelian group G , $\lambda(\{M(G, n), M(G, n)\}) = \bar{\lambda}(G)$.

Proof. $G = \sum_i Z_{p_i}^{r_i}$. Let $M = M(G, n)$, $M_i = M(Z_{p_i}^{r_i}, n)$. Then $M = \vee_i M_i$. Let I_M (I_{M_i}) be the stable homotopy class of the identity map of M (M_i). Then $I_M = \vee_i I_{M_i}$. For any positive integer t , $tI_M = \vee_i tI_{M_i}$ and $tI_M = 0$ if and only if $tI_{M_i} = 0$ for each i .

Since $o(I_{M_i}) = \bar{\lambda}(Z_{p_i}^{r_i}) \mid \bar{\lambda}(G)$ (remark above and Lemma 11) we have $\bar{\lambda}(G)I_{M_i} = 0$ for all i and $o(I_M) \mid \bar{\lambda}(G)$. Now we must show that $\bar{\lambda}(G) \mid o(I_M)$. Using Lemma 13, $\bar{\lambda}(G) = \lambda(G)$ or $G = G^1 \oplus Z_2 \oplus \dots \oplus Z_2$ where $\lambda(G^1)$ is odd.

Case 1. Assume $\bar{\lambda}(G) = \lambda(G)$. For any prime p suppose $p^k \mid \lambda(G)$ and $p^{k+1} \nmid \lambda(G)$. Then $G = Z_p^k \oplus G'$ (Lemma 12).

($p^k \neq 2$, otherwise we would not be in Case 1.) Let $M_p^k = M(Z_p^k, n)$

and $M'' = M(G'', n)$. Then $M = M_p^k \vee M''$, and $M'' = M(G'', n)$.

Therefore $o(I_{M_p^k}) \mid o(I_M)$. That is $p^k \mid o(I_M)$ and this holds for all maximal prime power factors p^k of $\lambda(G)$. So $\lambda(G) \mid o(I_M)$. That is, if $\bar{\lambda}(G) = \lambda(G)$ then $\bar{\lambda}(G) = o(I_M)$.

Case 2. Assume $G = G' \oplus Z_2 \oplus \dots \oplus Z_2$ where $\lambda(G')$ is odd. Let $M' = M(G', n)$ and $M_2 = M(Z_2, n)$. $M = M' \vee M_2 \vee \dots \vee M_2$ and $I_M = I_{M'} \vee I_{M_2} \vee \dots \vee I_{M_2}$. Since $\lambda(G') = \bar{\lambda}(G')$ we have $o(I_{M'}) = \bar{\lambda}(G')$ (Case 1) and this number is odd. $o(I_{M_2 \vee \dots \vee I_{M_2}}) = o(I_{M_2}) = 4$ is prime to $o(I_{M'})$ and so $o(I_M) = o(I_{M'}) \cdot o(I_{M_2 \vee \dots \vee I_{M_2}}) = 4\bar{\lambda}(G') = \bar{\lambda}(G)$.

PROPOSITION 8. If G is a finite abelian group and Y is a C - W complex, then for any $n \geq 3$,

i) $\lambda [M(G, n), M(G, n)] = \bar{\lambda}(G)$

ii) $\lambda [M(G, n), Y] \mid \bar{\lambda}(G)$.

Proof. i) is a consequence of stability and ii) is a consequence of i) and Lemma 10.

4. The Theorems.

THEOREM 1. Suppose

i) $\pi_i(X) = 0, i = 1, \dots, k - 1$ where $k - 1 \geq 1$

ii) $\pi_i(X)$ is finite for $i = k, \dots, n$,

then

$$\prod_{i=n-k+1}^n \lambda(\pi_i(X)) H_n(X) \in \Phi(\pi X; n - k) .$$

Proof. Let X^j be the space in the Postnikov system for X made up of the first j homotopy groups of X . We have fibrations $K(\pi_{j+1}(X), j + 1) \rightarrow X^{j+1} \rightarrow X^j$ and the sequence

$H_n(K(\pi_{j+1}(X), j+1) \rightarrow H_n(X^{j+1}) \rightarrow H_n(X^j))$ is exact when $j \geq n-k$.

$\lambda(\pi_{j+1}(X)) H_n(K(\pi_{j+1}(X); j+1) = 0$ (Proposition 2) and so, using Lemma 7,

$$\prod_{i=n-k+1}^n \lambda(\pi_i(X)) H_n(X^n) < H_n(X^{n-k}). \text{ Also } H_n(X^n) = H_n(X) \text{ and}$$

$H_n(X^{n-k}) \in \mathcal{C}(\pi X; n-k)$ by the (mod \mathcal{C}) Hurewicz Theorem. Now use Lemma 3 and the proof is complete.

COROLLARY 1.1. If $\Sigma_i(X) (= \{S^i, X\})$ is finite for $i = 1, \dots, n$ then $\lambda(H_n(X)) \mid \prod_{i=1}^n \lambda(\Sigma_i(X))$.

Proof. Choose $m > n+1$ and let $Y = S^m X$. Then $\pi_j(Y) = 0$ for $i < m$ and $\pi_j(Y) = \Sigma_{j-m}(X)$ is finite for $m \leq j \leq m+n$. Applying

Theorem 1 we have $\prod_{j=m+1}^{m+n} \lambda(\pi_j(Y)) H_{m+n}(Y) \in \mathcal{C}(\pi Y; n)$. But $\mathcal{C}(\pi Y; n)$

is the trivial class and $H_{m+n}(Y) = H_n(X)$, so we have $\prod_{i=1}^n \lambda(\Sigma_i(X)) H_n(X) = 0$.

THEOREM 2A. If $\dim X = k$ and $H_*^*(X)$ is finite, then for any Y $\prod_i \bar{\lambda}(H_i(X)) [S^2 X, Y] = 0$.

Proof. Let $P = S^2 X$ and let P^i be the space in the Eckmann-Hilton decomposition of P made up of the first i homology groups of P . Note that all spaces and maps in this decomposition are double suspensions.

The inclusion $P^{i-1} \rightarrow P^i$ has cone $M(H_1(P), i)$ and so for any Y the

sequence $[M(H_1(P), i), Y] \rightarrow [P^i, Y] \rightarrow [P^{i-1}, Y]$ is exact.

$\bar{\lambda}(H_1(P)) [M(H_1(P), i), Y] = 0$ for all i (Proposition 8), and using Lemma 7

we have $\prod_{i=1}^{k+2} \bar{\lambda}(H_i(P)) [P^{k+2}, Y] < [P^0, Y]$. But $P^{k+2} = P, P^0 = \text{pt.}, [P^0, Y] = 0$

and $H_i(P) = H_{i-2}(X)$ and the proof is complete.

THEOREM 2B. If $\pi_i(X)$ is finite for $i \leq k$ and $\dim Y \leq k-2$, then $\prod_{i=3}^k \lambda(\pi_i(X)) [Y, \Omega^2 X] = 0$.

Proof. Let $L = \Omega^2 X$ and let L^i be the space in the Postnikov system of L made up of the first i homotopy groups of L . All spaces and maps in this Postnikov system are double loops. For each $i \leq k-2$ we have the fibring $K(\pi_i(L), i) \rightarrow L^i \rightarrow L^{i-1}$, and the sequence $H^i(Y; \pi_i(L)) \rightarrow [Y, L^i] \rightarrow [Y, L^{i-1}]$ is exact. $\lambda(\pi_i(L))H^i(Y; \pi_i(L)) = 0$

(Proposition 2) and using Lemma 7 $\prod_{i=1}^{k-2} \lambda(\pi_i(L)[Y, L^{k-2}] < [Y, L^0]$.

However, $L^0 = p.t.$, $[Y, L^0] = 0$, $[Y, L^{k-2}] = [Y, L] = [Y, \Omega^2 X]$ (since $\dim Y \leq k-2$) and $\pi_i(L) = \pi_{i+2}(X)$, and so the proof is complete.

Corollary 2.1A follows immediately from Theorem 2A and Corollary 2.1B is easily proved by applying Theorem 2B to the case $[S^k X, \Omega^2 S^{k+2} X] (= \{X, X\})$.

COROLLARY 2.2. If $\dim X = k$ and $H_*(X)$ is finite then for any C-W complex Y

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{i=1}^k \bar{\lambda}(H_i(X)) \{X, Y\} &= \prod_{i=1}^k \lambda(\Sigma_i(X)) \{X, Y\} = \prod_{i=1}^k \bar{\lambda}(H_i(X)) \{Y, X\} \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^k \lambda(\Sigma_i(X)) \{Y, X\} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Use Lemma 10 and Corollary 2.1.

THEOREM 3. If $H^i(X) = 0$ for $i > n+k$ and $H_i(Y) = 0$ for $i < n$ then $\{X, Y\}_F = (\sum_i H^i(X; H_i(Y)))_F$ and

$$\lambda(\{X, Y\}_T) \mid \lambda((\sum_n^{n+k} H^i(X; H_i(Y)))_T) \prod_{i=1}^k \lambda(\Sigma_i(S^0)).$$

Proof. We may assume that we are in the stable range (i.e. $n > k+1$) and that Y is a finite complex. Then for some r , Y has an r -dual Y' , and $\{X, Y\} = \{X \# Y', S^r\}$. $\dim X \# Y' = (n+k) + (r-n) = r+k < r+n < 2r-1$. So $\{X \# Y', S^r\} = [X \# Y', S^r]$. Let $a: S^r \rightarrow K(Z, r)$ represent the fundamental class of S^r , make it into a fibre map with fibre F . Then $[X \# Y', F] \rightarrow [X \# Y', S^r] \rightarrow [X \# Y', K(Z, r)] \rightarrow [S(X \# Y'), F]$ is exact. $\pi_i(F) = 0$ for $i \leq r$ and $\pi_{r+1}(F) = \Sigma_i(S^0)$ for $0 \leq i \leq k$.

Using Theorem 2B we have $\lambda([X \# Y', F]) \mid \prod_{i=1}^k \lambda(\Sigma_i(S^0))$.

$$[X \# Y', K(Z, r)] = H^r(X \# Y') = \sum_{i=n}^{n+k} H^i(X; H_i(Y)).$$

Also $[S(X \# Y'), F]$ is finite for the same reasons that $[X \# Y', F]$ is finite. It is now easy to see that

$$[X \# Y', F] \rightarrow [X \# Y', S^r]_T \rightarrow \sum_{i=n}^{n+k} H^i(X; H_i(Y))_T$$

is exact and that $[X \# Y', S^r]_F = \sum_{i=n}^{n+k} H^i(X; H_i(Y))_F$ (although a_* need not induce this isomorphism). The theorem now follows from Lemma 6.

REFERENCE

1. C.S. Hoo, Some remarks on a paper of D. W. Kahn. *Canad. Math. Bull.* 10 (1967) 233-237.

Sir George Williams University
Montreal