Dynamics of generalised exponential maps

BY PATRICK COMDÜHR

Mathematisches Seminar, Christian-Albrechts-Universität zu Kiel, Ludewig-Meyn-Str. 4*, D-*24098 *Kiel, Germany e-mail:* comduehr@math.uni-kiel.de

VASILIKI EVDORIDOU† AND DAVID J. SIXSMITH

*School of Mathematics and Statistics, The Open University, Milton Keynes MK*7 6*AA e-mails:* vasiliki.evdoridou@open.ac.uk*,* david.sixsmith@open.ac.uk

(*Received* 20 *August* 2020*; revised* 28 *March* 2022*; accepted* 28 *March* 2022)

Abstract

Since 1984, man[y](#page-0-0) authors have studied the dynamics of maps of the form $\mathcal{E}_a(z) = e^z - a$, with $a > 1$. It is now well-known that the Julia set of such a map has an intricate topological structure known as a *Cantor bouquet*, and much is known about the dynamical properties of these functions.

It is rather surprising that many of the interesting dynamical properties of the maps \mathcal{E}_a actually arise from their elementary function theoretic structure, rather than as a result of analyticity. We show this by studying a large class of continuous \mathbb{R}^2 maps, which, in general, are not even quasiregular, but are somehow analogous to \mathcal{E}_a . We define analogues of the Fatou and the Julia set and we prove that this class has very similar dynamical properties to those of \mathcal{E}_a , including the Cantor bouquet structure, which is closely related to several topological properties of the endpoints of the Julia set.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 37F10 (Primary); 30D05 (Secondary)

1. *Introduction*

Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be a function, and let f^n denote the *n*th iterate of *f*. In this paper we are interested in the iteration of a continuous function $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$, which need not be analytic, and throughout we identify \mathbb{R}^2 with the complex plane $\mathbb C$ in the obvious way. A special case of such a function is when $f: \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ is transcendental entire. Then we define the *Julia set J*(*f*) as the set of points $z \in \mathbb{C}$ where the iterates $\{f^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ fail to form a normal family in any neighbourhood of *z*; roughly speaking, the iterates of *f* are chaotic near a point in the Julia set. For an introduction to the properties of the Julia set, and the dynamics of transcendental entire functions, see, for example, [\[3](#page-13-0)[,16\]](#page-13-1).

In the study of the dynamics of transcendental entire functions, many authors have considered maps of the form

$$
\mathcal{E}_a(z) := e^z - a, \quad \text{for } a > 1.
$$
 (1)

† Supported by Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council grant EP/R010560/1.

^C The Author(s), 2022. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of Cambridge Philosophical Society.

It is straightforward to show that \mathcal{E}_a has an attracting fixed point $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$. We denote by *F* the set of points that are attracted to ξ ; in other words

$$
F := \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : \mathcal{E}_a^n(z) \longrightarrow \xi \text{ as } n \to \infty \}.
$$

It can be shown that $J(\mathcal{E}_a) = \mathbb{C} \setminus F$. (Clearly *F* here is the *Fatou set* of \mathcal{E}_a , but we do not use this fact.)

The first study of the dynamics of maps of the form [\(1\)](#page-0-1) was by Devaney and Krych [\[7\]](#page-13-2). Many authors since then have investigated these maps, and in the following we summarise some of the most important results that are known concerning their dynamical properties. Before stating the result we need a number of definitions.

We say that a component γ of $J(\mathcal{E}_a)$ is a *Devaney hair* if it is a simple curve $\gamma : [0, \infty) \to$ C with the properties that:

- (i) $\gamma(t) \to \infty$ as $t \to \infty$.
- (ii) for each $n \ge 0$, $\mathcal{E}_a^n(\gamma)$ is a simple curve that connects $\mathcal{E}_a^n(\gamma(0))$ to ∞ . We call $\gamma(0)$ the *endpoint* of the curve γ .
- (iii) for each $t > 0$, $\mathcal{E}_a^n \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$ uniformly on $\gamma([t, \infty))$.

Note that there are other definitions of a Devaney hair in the literature; we have used the definition first used in [\[14\]](#page-13-3), although, unlike in that paper, we do not formally specify that the hairs lie in the Julia set.

A subset of $\mathbb C$ is a *Cantor bouquet* if it is ambiently homeomorphic to a topological object known as a *straight brush*; see [\[1\]](#page-13-4) for a precise definition. We say that $X \subset \mathbb{C}$ is *totally separated* if for all $a, b \in X$, with $a \neq b$, there exists a relatively open and closed set *U* ⊂ *X* such that $a \in U$ and $b \notin U$.

We are now able to state the results.

THEOREM A. Let \mathcal{E}_a be the transcendental entire function defined in [\(1\)](#page-0-1). Then the *following all hold.*

- (a) *J*(*Ea*) *has uncountably many components, each of which is a Devaney hair.*
- (b) *J*(*Ea*) *is a Cantor bouquet.*
- (c) *Write E for the set of endpoints of the Devaney hairs in* $J(\mathcal{E}_a)$ *. Then E is totally separated, but* $E \cup \{\infty\}$ *is connected.*

Remarks. Part (a) seems to be a combination of results from [\[8](#page-13-5)[,9,](#page-13-6)[12\]](#page-13-7). Part (b) is a result of [\[1\]](#page-13-4); although the term "Cantor bouquet" had been used previously, this was the first paper to give a precise topological definition of such an object. Part (c) is from [\[10\]](#page-13-8); this result can also be stated that ∞ is an *explosion point* for the set $E \cup \{\infty\}$. Note that many of the authors cited above considered, in fact, the transcendental entire functions $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\lambda}(z) := \lambda e^{z}$, for $\lambda \neq 0$. The functions \mathcal{E}_a and $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{e^{-a}}$ have the same dynamics, as they are conjugate via the map $z \mapsto z - a$.

Our aim in this paper is to show that there is a large class of continuous functions $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \to$ \mathbb{R}^2 that are analogous to the map \mathcal{E}_a and also have the dynamical properties listed above. This shows that, in some sense, the properties listed in Theorem [A](#page-1-0) derive from elementary function theoretic properties of \mathcal{E}_a rather than its analyticity. We stress that the functions in our class are continuous but not necessarily quasiregular.

To define our maps, we observe that if $z = x + iy$, then $e^z = e^x(\cos y + i \sin y)$. So we begin by considering a map

$$
Z: \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}; \quad Z(x+iy) := g(x)h(y),
$$

where $g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ and $h : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$ are continuous functions defined in such a way that g has behaviour analogous to the real exponential function, and *h* has behaviour analogous to the $map y \mapsto cos y + i sin y$.

We first specify the map h. We suppose that $h : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$ is a 2π -periodic function such that $h : [0, 2\pi) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is biLipschitz, such that arg $h(y)$ is a strictly monotonic increasing function of *y*, and such that the origin lies in the bounded complementary component of $h([0, 2\pi))$.

It is also useful to set $h(y) := h_1(y) + ih_2(y)$, for real valued functions h_1 and h_2 . Note also that it follows from the above that there exists $h_{\alpha} \in (0, 1)$ and $h_{\beta} \in (1, \infty)$ such that

$$
h_{\alpha} \le |h(y)| \le h_{\beta}, \quad \text{for } y \in \mathbb{R}.
$$
 (2)

Next we specify the function *g*. We let $g : \mathbb{R} \to (0, \infty)$ be a convex function such that $g(x) \to 0$ as $x \to -\infty$. In addition, to ensure that *g* grows sufficiently quickly, we suppose that there exists $c > h_B/h_\alpha > 1$ such that,

$$
g'(x) \ge \frac{c-1}{2\pi} g(x), \quad \text{a.e. for } x \text{ sufficiently large.}
$$
 (3)

Note that, since $c > 1$, we must have that $g'(x) \to \infty$, as $x \to \infty$, where defined. In addition, it is easy to deduce from [\(3\)](#page-2-0), together with the convexity of *g*, that there is a constant $x_0 > 0$ such that

$$
g(x + 2\pi) \ge cg(x), \quad \text{for } x \ge x_0. \tag{4}
$$

We then define the map *Z* mentioned earlier by

$$
Z(x + iy) := g(x)h(y) = g(x)h_1(y) + ig(x)h_2(y).
$$
\n(5)

Note that it follows from the definition of *h* that *Z* can also be written in the form

$$
Z(x + iy) = g(x)k(y)e^{im(y)},
$$

where $k(y)$ and $m(y)$ are real, positive, Lipschitz and bi-Lipschitz functions respectively. However we do not use this fact. Note that when $g(x) = e^x$, the function *Z* in [\(5\)](#page-2-1) is quasiregular, and is known as a *Zorich map*. If, in addition, $h(y) = \cos y + i \sin y$, then we have $Z \equiv \exp$.

Finally we define the function we are going to iterate. We let $a > 0$ and set

$$
f(z) := Z(z) - a. \tag{6}
$$

We will later ensure that *a* is sufficiently large for various conditions to hold. We then make the following definition.

Definition 1.1. Suppose that f is as defined in (6) , where Z is as defined in (5) for functions g, h that satisfy all the conditions listed earlier. Then we say that f is a *generalised exponential.*

Although not necessarily quasiregular, *f* is continuous, open, discrete, and, by Rademacher's theorem, differentiable almost everywhere. Note also that it follows from these definitions that any local inverse of *f* is also continuous and differentiable almost everywhere. The name for the generalised exponentials can be further justified by the fact that no polynomial can satisfy condition [\(3\)](#page-2-0).

For a generalised exponential, f, there is no obvious definition of a Julia set; although the Julia set can be defined for quasiregular maps $[6]$, we do not want to assume that f is even quasiregular. The following result allows us, nonetheless, to establish a set analogous to the Julia set. Here we define

$$
\mathbb{H}_r := \{x + iy : x \le r\}, \quad \text{for } r \in \mathbb{R}.
$$

THEOREM 1·2. *Suppose that f is a generalised exponential. Then, there exist m* < 0 *and* $M > 0$ *such that whenever a is sufficiently large, f has a unique attracting fixed point* $\xi \in \mathbb{H}_m$, $f(\mathbb{H}_M) \subset \mathbb{H}_m$, and all points of \mathbb{H}_M tend to ξ under iteration.

We can now use Theorem $1\cdot 2$ $1\cdot 2$ to make the following natural definition.

Definition [1](#page-3-0).3 If the conditions of Theorem 1.2 hold, then we let F denote the set of points that iterate to the unique attracting fixed point, and set $J := \mathbb{C} \setminus F$.

Our main result is then an extension of Theorem [A](#page-1-0) to the class of generalised exponentials.

THEOREM 1·4. *Suppose that f is a generalised exponential. Then, for all sufficiently large values of a, the following all hold.*

- (a) *J has uncountably many components, each of which is a Devaney hair.*
- (b) *J is a Cantor bouquet.*
- (c) *If E is the set of endpoints of the Devaney hairs in J, then E is totally separated, but E* ∪ {∞} *is connected.*

Note that the fact that *J* has uncountably many components is also a consequence of *(b)*. However, it seems worth emphasising this fact.

Structure The structure of the paper is as follows. First in Section [2](#page-3-1) we prove Theorem 1.[2.](#page-3-0) The proof of Theorem 1.4 1.4 is then spread across the rest of the paper.

2. *Existence of the sets F and J*

In this section we give the proof of Theorem $1\cdot 2$, and so establish the existence of the sets *F* and *J* from Definition 1·[3.](#page-3-3) Firstly, we need a form of expansion for *Z*, which is given in the following lemma. Here, for $f : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ differentiable a.e., we define

$$
\ell(Df(x)) := \inf_{|w|=1} |Df(x)(w)|.
$$

LEMMA 2.1. *There exist constants* $\mu > 1$ *and* $M > 0$ *such that*

$$
\ell(DZ(z)) \ge \mu > 1, \quad a.e. \text{ for } \text{Re } z \ge M. \tag{7}
$$

Proof. For the derivative of *Z* we have, whenever it exists, that

$$
DZ(x+iy) := A := \begin{pmatrix} g'(x)h_1(y) & g(x)h'_1(y) \\ g'(x)h_2(y) & g(x)h'_2(y) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} h_1(y) & h'_1(y) \\ h_2(y) & h'_2(y) \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} g'(x) & 0 \\ 0 & g(x) \end{pmatrix}.
$$

The fact that *h* is biLipschitz yields, again by Rademacher's theorem, that *h* exists almost everywhere.

It is well known that

$$
\inf_{|w|=1} |A \cdot w| \cdot \sup_{|w|=1} |A \cdot w| = \det A.
$$

It follows by the definition of A and [\[4,](#page-13-10) section 2] that for a suitable constant $c_h > 0$, which depends only on the Lipschitz constant of *h*

$$
\det A \ge g(x)g'(x)c_h.
$$

Since $|h(y)| \ge h_\alpha > 0$, and since both $g'(x)$ (where defined) and $g(x)$ tend to infinity as *x* tends to infinity, the result then follows by a simple exercise, which we omit.

Proof of Theorem 1·[2.](#page-3-0) Let *M* > 0 be the constant from Lemma 2·[1.](#page-3-4) Since *h* is biLipschitz, there exists a constant $L > 1$ such that

$$
|h'(y)| \le L, \quad \text{a.e. for } y \in \mathbb{R}.
$$

Since $g(x)$ and $g'(x)$ (where defined) both tend to 0 as *x* tends to $-\infty$, we can choose $m < 0$ sufficiently small that

$$
g(x) + g'(x) \le \frac{1}{2(1+L)},
$$
 a.e. for $x \le m$.

We deduce that

$$
|DZ(x+iy)| \le |g'(x)h_1(y)| + |g(x)h'_1(y)| + |g'(x)h_2(y)| + |g(x)h'_2(y)|
$$

\n
$$
\le (L+1)(g'(x) + g(x))
$$

\n
$$
\le \frac{1}{2}, \quad \text{a.e. for } x \le m.
$$

Since $DZ(x + iy) = Df(x, y)$ it follows that

$$
|f(z_1)-f(z_2)| \leq \frac{1}{2}|z_1-z_2|, \quad \text{for } z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{H}_m.
$$

Now choose

$$
a > \max\{0, h_{\beta}g(M) - m\}.
$$
\n(8)

(Note that the choice of *a* here is stronger than is required in this proof, but convenient for use in later results).

If $x \leq M$, then

$$
Re f(x + iy) = g(x)h1(y) - a \le h\betag(M) - a \le m.
$$

Fig. 1. An illustration of *J* for the generalised exponential with $a = 2$, with $g(x) = e^x$, and with *h* being the obvious extension of the linear maps from [0, $\pi/2$] to [1, *i*], [$\pi/2$, π] to [*i*, −1], and so on.

Fig. 2. An illustration of *J* for the function with $a = 1$, with $h(y) = (\cos y, \sin y)$, and with $g(x) = 0$ in the left half-plane and $g(x) = x^3$ in the right half-plane. Note that this function is *not* covered by the results of this paper. However, it is still possible to define *J* in a similar way, and we still observe some of the features of *J* that we might expect.

In other words, $f(\mathbb{H}_M) \subset \mathbb{H}_m$. Hence *f* is a contraction mapping on \mathbb{H}_m , and so \mathbb{H}_m contains a unique attracting fixed point ξ by the Banach fixed point theorem. Since f is expanding in the complement of \mathbb{H}_M , by Lemma 2.[1,](#page-3-4) the uniqueness of ξ is immediate.

In the remainder of the paper we will assume that f , g , h , Z are as defined above, that f is a generalised exponential, and that *a* has been chosen such that [\(8\)](#page-4-0) holds.

3. *Symbolic dynamics*

In this section we define tracts and external addresses, and then use these to establish symbolic dynamics on *J*. We begin by defining the tracts of the function *g*. Since

 $-a < 0 < M$, we have that $\mathbb{H}_{-a} \subset \mathbb{H}_M$, and so points with imaginary part in an interval $[(4k+1)\pi/2, (4k+3)\pi/2]$, for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, necessarily lie in *F*.

Definition 3.1 Let $t_1 \in [0, 2\pi]$ be such that Re $h(t_1) = 0$, and Im $h(t_1) > 0$. Similarly let $t_2 \in [0, 2\pi]$ be such that Re $h(t_2) = 0$, and Im $h(t_1) < 0$. If $t_2 > t_1$, then we replace t_2 with $t_2 - 2\pi$. (It is easy to see from the definition of h that these exist and are unique. For each $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, define the tract T_k by

$$
T_k := \{x + iy \in \mathbb{C} : x > M \text{ and } 2k\pi + t_2 < y < 2k\pi + t_1\}.
$$

Also set

$$
H := f(T_0). \tag{9}
$$

Clearly *H* is the image of any tract. Geometrically *H* is the right half-plane with a bounded set removed; in particular

$$
H = \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Re } z > a \} \setminus \overline{f(\{ x + iy \in \mathbb{C} : x \in (0, M) \text{ and } y \in (t_2, t_1) \})}.
$$

We stress that the sets T_k are not tracts in the sense usually defined for functions in the class *B*. However, if T_k , $T_{k'}$ are both tracts, then it follows by [\(8\)](#page-4-0) that $\overline{T_{k'}} \subset f(T_k) = H$; abusing slightly the terminology of class *B* maps, *f* is of *disjoint type*.

Note also that if T_k is a tract, then $f: T_k \to H$ is a continuous bijection, and in fact the same is true for $f: \overline{T_k} \to \overline{H}$. (This follows from the definitions of *g* and *h*; in fact *f* is a bijection on a set slightly larger than T_k .) Since $\overline{T_k}$ is compact in \widehat{C} , and since \overline{H} is a Hausdorff space, it follows that $f: T_k \to H$ is a homeomorphism. We denote the inverse of this restriction by f_k^{-1} .

More generally, if $k_1k_2 \cdots k_n$ is a finite sequence of integers, then we define $f_{k_1k_2\cdots k_n}^{-n} := f_{k_1}^{-1} \circ \cdots \circ f_{k_n}^{-1}.$

Next we consider the components of *J* and define the notion of external addresses.

PROPOSITION 3·2 *Every component of J is unbounded.*

Proof. Note that if $Y \subset H$ is connected and unbounded, and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $f_k^{-1}(Y)$ is connected and unbounded; connectedness follows from continuity, and unboundedness is a consequence of the fact that *f* is a homeomorphism of the closure of each tract.

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, consider the set

$$
F_n = \bigcup_{k_1 \cdots k_n \in \mathbb{Z}^n} f_{k_1 \cdots k_n}^{-n}(H) \cup \{ \infty \}.
$$

Then, considered as a subset of the Riemann sphere, F_n is connected, by the above remark, and compact; in other words, F_n is a continuum. It follows that

$$
J\cup\{\infty\}=\bigcap_{n\in\mathbb{N}}F_n
$$

is a nested intersection of continua, and so is itself a continuum. The result then follows by the "Boundary bumping theorem"; see, for example, [\[11,](#page-13-11) theorem 5·6].

We write $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}.$

Definition 3·3 Observe that $z \in J$ if and only if there is an external address $\underline{s} = s_0 s_1 \cdots \in$ $\mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{N}_0}$ such that $f^n(z) \in T_{s_n}$, for $n \ge 0$. We write $\underline{s} = \text{addr}(z)$. We also write $J_{\underline{s}}$ for the set of

points with external address <u>*s*</u>. Finally we let \hat{J}_s denote the closure of J_s in \hat{C} . If *<u>s</u>* is an external address such that $J_s \neq \emptyset$, then we say that *s* is admissible.

The conclusions of the following observation are straightforward, and the proof is omitted. Here σ is the *Bernoulli shift map* defined by $\sigma(s_0s_1s_2 \cdots) = s_1s_2 \cdots$.

Observation 3.4 Suppose that $s = s_0 s_1 \cdots$ and s' are admissible external addresses, with $\underline{s} \neq \underline{s}'$. Then all the following hold:

(i) $J_{\underline{s}} = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f_{s_0 s_1 \cdots s_{n-1}}^{-n}(H)$

(ii) $J_{\underline{s}}$ and $J_{\underline{s}'}$ are disjoint

(iii) if $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $f^{n}(J_{s}) = J_{\sigma^{n}(s)}$.

Our next step is to characterise the admissible external addresses.

Definition 3.5 We say that an external address $\underline{s} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{N}_0}$ is g-bounded if there exists $x'_0 \geq 0$ such that

$$
2\pi |s_n| \le g^n(x'_0), \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N}_0. \tag{10}
$$

Note that the constant 2π in [\(10\)](#page-7-0) can, in fact, be replaced by any positive constant; indeed, this comment also applies to the choice of the constant 2π in the definition of admissible external addresses in [\[7\]](#page-13-2). We have used 2π here for consistency.

We show that the admissible external addresses are identically the external addresses that are *g*-bounded, provided that *g* satisfies [\(4\)](#page-2-3).

THEOREM 3·6 *Suppose that* [\(4\)](#page-2-3) *holds, and that* $s \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{N}_0}$ *. Then s is admissible if and only if s is g-bounded.*

Proof. First, suppose that $s = s_0 s_1 \cdots$ is admissible, and so there exists a point $z = x + iy \in \mathbb{C}$ with external address *s*. Note that

$$
\text{Im} f(z) \le |Z(z)| = g(x)|h(y)| \le g(x),
$$

and indeed

$$
\mathrm{Im} f^{n}(z) \leq |Z^{n}(z)| \leq g^{n}(x), \quad \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}.
$$

Observe that it follows from [\(4\)](#page-2-3) that there exists $x'_0 > 0$ such that

$$
g^{n}(x+2\pi) \ge g^{n}(x) + 2\pi
$$
, for $x \ge x'_{0}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$.

Hence, for $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$,

$$
2\pi|s_n| \leq \text{Im} f^n(z) + 2\pi \leq g^n(x) + 2\pi \leq g^n(x + x'_0) + 2\pi \leq g^n(x + x'_0 + 2\pi),
$$

and so *s* is indeed *g*-bounded.

In the other direction, suppose that $\underline{s} = s_0 s_1 \cdots \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{N}_0}$ is *g*-bounded. Recalling that $c > h_B/h_\alpha$, we can choose $\kappa > 0$ small enough that

$$
\kappa^2 < \frac{c^2 h_\alpha^2}{h_\beta^2} - 1.
$$

Let $\tilde{g}(x) := h_{\beta} g(x)$. It can be deduced from [\(4\)](#page-2-3) and [\(10\)](#page-7-0) that there exists $x_0'' \ge 0$ such that

$$
\max\{3\pi, 4\pi |s_n|\} \le \kappa \tilde{g}^n(x), \quad \text{for } x \ge x_0'' \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{N}_0. \tag{11}
$$

Choose $\delta > 0$ sufficiently small that

$$
(1+\delta)^2 < \frac{c^2 h_\alpha^2}{h_\beta^2} - \kappa^2.
$$

We also choose

$$
r_0 > \max\left\{M, x_0, x_0'', \frac{2\pi + a}{\delta}\right\},\,
$$

where x_0 is the constant from [\(4\)](#page-2-3) and $M > 0$ is the constant from Lemma 2.[1.](#page-3-4)

Increasing r_0 , if necessary, we can also assume that all points of real part at least r_0 lie in *H*. We then set $r_{k+1} = \tilde{g}(r_k)$, for $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, let D_n be the closed square of side 2π , with sides parallel to the axes, and with bottom left vertex at the point $r_n + 2\pi 4s_n$.

We claim that $f(D_n) \supset D_{n+1}$, for $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. To prove the claim, first fix $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Observe that the image under *Z* of the left-hand side of D_n contains points of modulus at most $h_{\beta}g(r_n)$. Similarly, by [\(4\)](#page-2-3), the image under *Z* of the right-hand side of D_n contains points of modulus at least $h_\alpha c g(r_n)$. We can deduce that $f(D_n)$ contains the annulus

$$
A_n := \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : h_\beta g(r_n) \leq |z + a| \leq ch_\alpha g(r_n) \}.
$$

Since $h_{\beta}g(r_n) = r_{n+1}$, we can see that D_{n+1} does not lie inside the inner radius of A_n . Hence it remains to prove that D_{n+1} does not lie outside the outer radius of this annulus. Without loss of generality we can assume that s_{n+1} is non-negative. A furthermost point of D_{n+1} from (− *a*, 0) is the point $r_{n+1} + 2\pi + 2\pi i(s_{n+1} + 1)$. Hence the square of the distance from $(-a, 0)$ to any point of D_{n+1} is at most

$$
(r_{n+1} + a + 2\pi)^2 + (2\pi(s_{n+1} + 1))^2 \le r_{n+1}^2 (1+\delta)^2 + r_{n+1}^2 \kappa^2 \le r_{n+1}^2 \cdot \frac{c^2 h_\alpha^2}{h_\beta^2},
$$

where we have used [\(11\)](#page-8-0), together with the choices of δ and κ . Since the outer radius of A_n is $ch_{\alpha}g(r_n) = ch_{\alpha}r_{n+1}/h_{\beta}$, this completes the proof of the claim.

It follows by, for example, [\[15,](#page-13-12) lemma 1], that there is point *z* such that $f^{n}(z) \in D_{n}$, for $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Since $D_n \setminus T_{s_n}$ maps to the complement of *H*, we in fact have that $f^n(z) \in T_{s_n}$, for $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. In other words, $z \in J_s$, which completes the proof.

4. *Devaney Hairs*

Our goal in this section is to show that each component of *J* is a Devaney hair. Part (*a*) of Theorem [1](#page-3-2)·4 follows, since there are uncountably many *g*-bounded, and hence admissi-ble, external addresses. Note that this requires that we establish the three properties [\(i\)](#page-0-1), [\(ii\)](#page-0-1) and (iii) .

We first show that our function *f* satisfies a *uniform head-start condition*; this terminology is from [\[13\]](#page-13-13). This is a key ingredient in the arguments we use in the remainder of this paper. We require the following expansion estimate, which follows from [\(7\)](#page-3-5); recall that $\mu > 1$.

PROPOSITION 4·1 *Suppose that f is a generalised exponential function, that n* ∈ N*, and that U* is a component of $f^{-n}(\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{H}_M)$. Then

$$
|f^{n}(z) - f^{n}(w)| \ge \mu^{n} |z - w|, \quad \text{for } z, w \in U.
$$

Proof. Let $\phi : \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{H}_M \to U$ be the inverse to f^n . Since $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{H}_M$ is convex, it follows by [\(7\)](#page-3-5) that, if $z', w' \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{H}_M$, then

$$
|\phi(z') - \phi(w')| \le \int_{[z',w']} |\phi'(\zeta)| |d\zeta| \le |z'-w'| \text{ess sup}_{\zeta \in [z',w']} |D\phi(\zeta)| \le \frac{1}{\mu^n} |z'-w'|,
$$

where $[z', w']$ denotes the line segment from z' to w' . The result follows.

We now prove that *f* satisfies a uniform head-start condition.

LEMMA 4.2. Suppose that f is generalised exponential function. Then there exists $K > 1$ *with the following properties.*

(i) *Suppose that T, T' are tracts. If* $z_0, z_1 \in \overline{T}$ *and* $f(z_0), f(z_1) \in \overline{T'}$ *, then*

 $\text{Re } z_1 \geq K \text{Re } z_0 \implies \text{Re } f(z_1) \geq K \text{Re } f(z_0).$

(ii) *Suppose that* z_0, z_1 *have the same external address. Then there exist* $k \in \mathbb{N}$ *and* $j \in \{0, 1\}$ *such that*

$$
Re f^{p}(z_{j}) \geq K Re f^{p}(z_{1-j}), \quad \text{for } p \geq k.
$$

Proof. Note first that

$$
|f(z)| - a \le |Z(z)| \le h_{\beta} g(\text{Re } z), \quad \text{for } z \in \mathbb{C}, \tag{12}
$$

and

$$
|f(z)| + a \ge |Z(z)| \ge h_{\alpha} g(\text{Re } z), \quad \text{for } z \in \mathbb{C}.\tag{13}
$$

First we prove (i). Suppose that *T*, *T'* are two tracts, that $z_0, z_1 \in \overline{T}$, and that $f(z_0)$, $f(z_1) \in \overline{T'}$. Suppose that $q \in \mathbb{N}$, that $K \geq 2\pi q$, and finally that $\text{Re } z_0 \geq K \text{ Re } z_1$. Then, by (4) and (13) ,

Re *f*(*z*1) ≥ |*f*(*z*1)|−| Im *f*(*z*1)|, ≥ *h*α*g*(Re *z*1) − *a* − | Im *f*(*z*0)| − π, ≥ *h*α*cqg*(Re *z*0) − *a* − |*f*(*z*0)| − π.

We then consider two possibilities. Suppose first that $|f(z_0)| \ge 2a$. It follows, by [\(12\)](#page-9-1), that $g($ Re $z_0)$ \geq $(|f(z_0)| - a)/h_\beta$ \geq $(1/2h_\beta)|f(z_0)|$. Hence, since Re $f(z_0) \geq M$,

$$
\operatorname{Re} f(z_1) \ge \left(\frac{h_{\alpha}c^q}{2h_{\beta}}-1\right)|f(z_0)| - a - \pi \ge \left(\frac{h_{\alpha}c^q}{2h_{\beta}}-1\right)M - a - \pi.
$$

On the other hand, if $|f(z_0)| < 2a$, then

$$
Re f(z_1) \ge h_{\alpha} c^q g(M) - 3a - \pi.
$$

Since Re $f(z_0) > M$, the conclusion (i) follows provided that *q*, and hence *K*, is chosen sufficiently large. (Note that the choice of *q* can be made independently of z_0 and z_1 .)

For (ii), suppose that $z_0 \neq z_1$ have the same external address. Fix $p \in \mathbb{N}$. Since z_0 and *z*₁ have the same external address, there exists a component *U* of $f^{-p}(\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{H}_M)$, containing both z_0 and z_1 , that maps injectively to $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{H}_M$. It follows by Proposition [4](#page-9-2)·1 that $|f^p(z_0)$ $f^p(z_1)| \ge \mu^p |z_0 - z_1|$. The result then follows by (i), since $f^p(z_0)$ and $f^p(z_1)$ lie in the same tract, and *p* was arbitrary.

Next we use the uniform head-start condition to prove the existence of unbounded simple curves in J ; in other words, we prove that J consists of simple curves that satisfy (i) and (ii). We defer the proof of (iii) until a little later.

Next we introduce a so-called *speed ordering*. For each *z*, $w \in J_s$ we say that $z > w$ if there exists *k* ∈ N with the property that Re $f^k(z) > K$ Re $f^k(w)$, where $K > 1$ is the constant from Lemma 4.[2.](#page-9-3) We extend this order to the closure of $J_{\underline{s}}$ in $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$, which we denote by $\hat{J}_{\underline{s}}$, by the convention that $\infty > z$ for all $z \in J_s$. We then have the following.

LEMMA 4·3 *Suppose that f is a generalised exponential function, and that sis an admissi*ble external address. Then $(\hat{J}_{\mathcal{S}},\succ)$ is a totally ordered space, and $J_{\mathcal{S}}$ has a unique unbounded *component, which is a simple closed arc to infinity.*

Proof. The fact that $(\hat{J}_{\underline{s}}, \rangle)$ is a totally ordered space is a straightforward consequence of Lemma 4·[2.](#page-9-3)

We then claim that each component of \hat{J}_s is homeomorphic to a compact interval, which may be degenerate. The proof of this fact is exactly as in the proof of [\[13,](#page-13-13) proposition 4·4(a)]; it is first shown that the identity map from \hat{J}_s to (\hat{J}_s, \succ) is continuous, and the result then follows from a well-known characterisation of an arc. We omit the details.

Now, since <u>s</u> is admissible, we know that $J_s \neq \emptyset$. We also know, by Proposition 3·[2,](#page-6-0) that each component of J_{s} is unbounded. Uniqueness then follows from the fact that ∞ is the maximal element of (\hat{J}_s, \rangle) .

Note that [\(i\)](#page-0-1) and [\(ii\)](#page-0-1) and are now an immediate consequence of Lemma 4.[3,](#page-10-0) together with Observation 3.4 . It remains to show that the uniform escape property [\(iii\)](#page-0-1) holds on the components of *J*. In fact, this is a consequence of Lemma 4·[3,](#page-10-0) together with the following.

LEMMA 4·4 *Suppose that f is a generalised exponential function. If* $z, w \in J$ have the *same external address, then*

$$
\lim_{k \to \infty} \max\{ \operatorname{Re} f^k(z), \operatorname{Re} f^k(w) \} = \infty.
$$

Proof. We omit the proof of this lemma, which is essentially the same as the proof of [\[13,](#page-13-13) lemma 3·2], using Proposition [4](#page-9-2)·1 to give expansion.

5. *Cantor bouquets*

In this section we show that *J* is a Cantor bouquet; in other words, we prove part *(b)* of Theorem 1·[4.](#page-3-2) It was observed in [\[2\]](#page-13-14) that the result of [\[10\]](#page-13-8) holds for *all* Cantor bouquets. Hence part (c) of Theorem 1.4 1.4 is a direct consequence of this. Note that the arguments in

this section are essentially topological, and very similar to those of [\[5\]](#page-13-15). Accordingly we give only brief details, and refer to that paper for more detailed explanations and definitions.

In fact, we shall construct a so-called one-sided hairy arc. This is a topological object defined as follows (see also $[1]$ and $[5]$).

Definition 5·1 A *one-sided hairy arc* is a continuum $X \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ containing an arc B (called the base of X), and a total order \prec on B, such that:

- (i) the closure of every connected component of $X \setminus B$ is an arc, with exactly one endpoint in *B*. In particular, for each $x \in X \setminus B$, there exists a unique arc $\gamma_x : [0, 1] \to X$ such that $\gamma_x(0) = x$, $\gamma_x(t) \notin B$ for $t < 1$, and $\gamma_x(1) \in B$. In this case, we say that *x* belongs to the hair attached at $\gamma_x(1)$.
- (ii) all the hairs are attached at the same side of the base.
- (iii) distinct components of $X \setminus B$ have disjoint closures, and $X \setminus B$ is dense in *X*.
- (iv) if $x_0 \in X \setminus B$ and $x_n \in X \setminus B$ is a sequence of points converging to x_0 , then $\gamma_{x_n} \to \gamma_{x_0}$ in the Hausdorff metric.
- (v) if *b* ∈ *B* and *x* belongs to the hair attached at *b*, then there exist sequences x_n^+, x_n^- , attached respectively at points $b_n^+, b_n^- \in B$, such that $b_n^- \prec b \prec b_n^+$ and $x_n^-, x_n^+ \to x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

It is known that if *X* is a one-sided hairy arc, then $X \setminus B$ is homeomorphic to a topological object known as a *straight brush*; we omit the definition, which can be found at [\[1\]](#page-13-4). Our goal is to construct a suitable base *B* so that *J* ∪ *B* is a one-sided hairy arc. Since a Cantor bouquet is, by definition, a set ambiently homeomorphic to a straight brush, the result follows.

We follow the construction in [\[5,](#page-13-15) section 5], although our construction is slightly easier since (up to 2π*i* translation) we only have one tract. First we define *intermediate addresses*, which are used in our construction. Let $\mathcal T$ denote the set of tracts of f equipped with a natural total order.

Definition 5·2 For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, an intermediate entry is a pair $(k, k + 1)$ where $T_k, T_{k+1} \in \mathcal{T}$ are consecutive tracts with respect to the total order of tracts.

Note that this procedure of considering intermediate entries simply adds an intermediate entry between any pair of adjacent tracts. Now we can define intermediate external addresses as follows.

Definition 5·3 A (finite) sequence $s = T_0T_1 \cdots T_{n-1}S_n$, where $n \ge 0$, $T_i \in \mathcal{T}$ for $0 \le i \le n$ $n-1$, and where S_n is an intermediate entry, is called an *intermediate external address*.

We now define *B* to be the union of:

- (i) the set $\mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{N}_0}$ of all external addresses:
- (ii) the set of all intermediate external addresses;
- (iii) the set $\{-\infty, \infty\}$.

We then let $\tilde{H} = \overline{H} \cup B$; recall that *H* is the image of the tracts, defined in [\(9\)](#page-6-1). Exactly as in [\[5,](#page-13-15) section 5] we can define a topology on \tilde{H} by specifying a neighbourhood base for every $s \in B$. It then follows from [\[5,](#page-13-15) Proposition 5·6], that \tilde{H} is homeomorphic to the closed unit disc, and *B* is homeomorphic to an arc.

First, we show that the set of admissible external addresses (see Definition $3\cdot3$) is dense in the set of all external addresses.

PROPOSITION 5.4 *The set of admissible external addresses is dense in* $\mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{N}_0}$ *.*

Proof. We know from Theorem [3](#page-7-2)·6 that *g*-bounded external addresses are admissible. Hence, since periodic external addresses are certainly *g*-bounded, we deduce that periodic external addresses are admissible. The result follows since periodic external addresses are dense in $\mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{N}_0}$.

Let \tilde{J} denote the closure of *J* in the space \tilde{H} . Our goal is to show that \tilde{J} is a one-sided hairy arc. To achieve this we need some results which together imply that properties $(i)-(v)$ $(i)-(v)$ $(i)-(v)$ from definition [5](#page-11-0)·1 hold.

PROPOSITION 5.5. *The set* \tilde{J} *is a continuum with* $J = \tilde{J} \setminus B$ *. Moreover, the closure of every component of J is an arc, with exactly one endpoint in B, distinct components of J have disjoint closures in* \tilde{J} *, and* J *is dense in* \tilde{J} *.*

We know that B is an arc. Note that this proposition gives properties [\(i\)](#page-0-1) and [\(iii\)](#page-2-0). Moreover, \tilde{J} is one-sided by construction, hence property [\(ii\)](#page-2-4) is satisfied.

Proof of Proposition 5·[5.](#page-12-0) Recall from Lemma [4](#page-10-0)·3 that each component of *J* is a simple closed arc to infinity, *Js*, for some external address *s*. Suppose that *s* is an admissible external address. We can deduce from the topology on \tilde{H} that points of J_s cannot accumulate on any element of *B* apart from <u>*s*</u>. Hence $J_s \cup \{s\}$ is a compact subset of \tilde{H} . Moreover, $J_s \cup \{s\}$ is connected.

It follows from Proposition 5⋅[4](#page-12-1) that *B* ⊂ \tilde{J} . Hence \tilde{J} is the disjoint union

$$
\tilde{J} = \bigcup_{\text{admissible } \underline{s}} J_{\underline{s}} \cup B,\tag{14}
$$

where the union is taken over the admissible external addresses.

B is homeomorphic to an arc, and so connected. Also, \tilde{H} is a compact metric space, and hence so is \tilde{J} . The claims of the proposition follow from these facts, together with [\(14\)](#page-12-2).

In order to prove the accumulation of hairs, i.e., property [\(v\)](#page-2-1), we use the following result.

PROPOSITION 5.6. Suppose that $z_0 \in J$. Then there are sequences z_n^- , $z_n^+ \in J$, with addr $(z_n^-) <$ addr $(z_0) <$ addr (z_n^+) *, for n* $\in \mathbb{N}$ *, and* $z_n^- \to z_0$ *,* $z_n^+ \to z_0$ *as n* $\to \infty$ *.*

Proof. Choose $p \in \mathbb{N}$. Let *U* be the component of $f^{-p}(H)$ containing z_0 , and let $\phi : H \to U$ be the inverse to f^{-p} . Define a pair of points $z_p^{\pm} = \phi(f^p(z_0) \pm 2\pi i)$, so that, by definition, we have addr (z_p^-) < addr (z_0) < addr (z_p^+) , for $p \in \mathbb{N}$. It follows by Proposition [4](#page-9-2)·1 that $z_n^{\pm} \to z_0$ as $n \to \infty$, as required.

The following proposition is analogous to $[5,$ proposition 6 \cdot 1 and we omit the proof.

PROPOSITION 5⁻⁷. *Suppose that* $a_n \in J$ converges to a point $a \in J$, and that, for each n, $b_n \in J_{addr(a_n)}$ *has the same external address as* a_n *and satisfies* $b_n \succ a_n$ *in the speed ordering of f. If b* \in *J* is an accumulation point of the sequence b_n , then $b > a$.

We use Proposition [5](#page-12-3).7 as a tool to prove property [\(iv\)](#page-2-3), as shown below.

PROPOSITION 5·8. *If* $x_0 \in X \setminus B$ and $x_n \in X \setminus B$ is a sequence of points converging to x_0 , *then* $\gamma_{x_n} \to \gamma_{x_0}$ *in the Hausdorff metric.*

Proof. Passing to a subsequence, we may assume that γ_{x_n} converges in the Hausdorff metric to a limit γ . Then $\gamma \subset J_s \cup \{s\}$, where $s = \text{addr}(z_0)$. Note that γ is connected as the Hausdorff limit of compact connected subsets of the compact space \tilde{J} , and also it contains both *z*₀ and <u>*s*</u>. Hence we have that $\gamma_{z_0} \subset \gamma$. It remains to show that $\gamma \subset \gamma_{z_0}$. Note that this inclusion follows from Proposition 5·[7.](#page-12-3)

We have shown that $\tilde{J} = J \cup B$ is a one-sided hairy arc. Hence, for the reasons noted earlier, *J* is a Cantor bouquet, which completes the proof of Theorem 1.[4.](#page-3-2)

Acknowledgment. We are grateful to the referee for a careful reading and much helpful feedback.

REFERENCES

- [1] JAN M. AARTS and LEX G. OVERSTEEGEN The geometry of Julia sets. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **338**(2) (1993), 897–918.
- [2] NADA ALHABIB and LASSE REMPE-GILLEN Escaping endpoints Explode. *Comput. Methods Funct. Theory*, **17**(1) (2017), 65–100.
- [3] WALTER BERGWEILER Iteration of meromorphic functions. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **29**(2) (1993), 151–188.
- [4] WALTER BERGWEILER Karpińska's paradox in dimension 3. Duke Math. J. **154**(3) (2010), 599–630.
- [5] KRZYSZTOF BARAŃSKI, XAVIER JARQUE, and LASSE REMPE Brushing the hairs of transcendental entire functions. *Topology Appl.*, **159**(8) (2012), 2102–2114.
- [6] WALTER BERGWEILER and DANIEL A. NICKS Foundations for an iteration theory of entire quasiregular maps. *Israel J. Math.*, **201**(1) (2014), 147–184.
- [7] ROBERT L. DEVANEY and MICHAŁ KRYCH Dynamics of exp(*z*). *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, **4**(1) (1984), 35–52.
- [8] ROBERT L. DEVANEY and FOLKERT TANGERMAN Dynamics of entire functions near the essential singularity. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, **6**(4) (1986), 489–503.
- [9] BOGUSŁAWA KARPIŃSKA Hausdorff dimension of the hairs without endpoints for λ exp *z*. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.*, **328**(11) (1999), 1039–1044.
- [10] JOHN C. MAYER An explosion point for the set of endpoints of the Julia set of λ exp (*z*). *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, **10**(1) (1990), 177–183.
- [11] SAM B. NADLER, Jr. Continuum theory, Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics Vol. 158 (Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1992).
- [12] LASSE REMPE Topological dynamics of exponential maps on their escaping sets. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, **26**(6) (2006), 1939–1975.
- [13] GÜNTER ROTTENFUSSER, JOHANNES RÜCKERT, LASSE REMPE and DIERK SCHLEICHER Dynamic rays of bounded-type entire functions. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, **173**(1), (2011) 77–125.
- [14] LASSE REMPE, PHILIP J. Rippon, and Gwyneth M. Stallard. Are Devaney hairs fast escaping? *J. Difference Equ. Appl.*, **16**(5-6) (2010), 739–762.
- [15] P. J. RIPPON and G. M. STALLARD Slow escaping points of meromorphic functions. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **363**(8) (2011), 4171–4201.
- [16] DIERK SCHLEICHER Dynamics of entire functions. In *Holomorphic Dynamical Systems* Lecture Notes in Math. vol. 1998 (Springer, Berlin, (2010 p. 295–339.).