

# NON-LOCAL ELLIPTIC BOUNDARY-VALUE PROBLEMS

BUI AN TON

Let  $G$  be a bounded open set of  $R^n$  with a smooth boundary  $\partial G$ . We consider the following elliptic boundary-value problem:

$$Au = f \text{ on } G; \quad B_j u = \sum_{k=1}^m L_{jk} C_k u \text{ on } \partial G, \quad j = 1, \dots, m,$$

where  $A$  and  $B_j$  are, respectively singular integro-differential operators on  $G$  and on  $\partial G$ , of orders  $2m$  and  $r_j$  with  $r_j < 2m$ ;  $C_k$  are boundary differential operators, and  $L_{jk}$  are linear operators, bounded in a sense to be specified.

Let  $A_2$  be the realization of  $A$  as an operator on  $L^2(G)$  with the above boundary conditions. When the symbols  $\sigma_A, \sigma_j$  of  $A$  and  $B_j$  satisfy a strengthened Shapiro-Lopatinskiĭ condition, we show, in § 2, that  $A_2$  is a Fredholm operator, the generalized eigenfunctions of  $A_2$  are complete in  $L^2(G)$  and  $(A_2 + \lambda I)^{-1}$  exists for large  $|\lambda|$ ,  $\arg \lambda = \theta$ . We also prove the existence of a solution of  $(A_2 + \lambda I)u = f(x, T_1 u, \dots, T_{2m-1} u)$ , where  $T_j$  are bounded, linear operators from  $W^{j,2}(G)$  into  $L^2(G)$ ,  $f(x, \zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_{2m-1})$  has a linear growth in  $(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_{2m-1})$ .

The proofs depend on a result on elliptic boundary-value problems  $\{A; B_j\}$  containing a large parameter  $\lambda$ , which is given in § 3. The notation, the definitions, and the results are given in § 1.

Non-local elliptic boundary-value problems have been studied by Agranovič (2), Beals (4), Browder (6), Schechter (8), and others.

**1.** Let  $G$  be a bounded open set of  $R^n$ , regular of class  $C^\infty$  with boundary  $\partial G$ . The generic point  $x$  of  $G$  is  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ . Set  $D_j = i^{-1} \partial / \partial x_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . For each  $n$ -tuple  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$  of non-negative integers, we write:

$$D^\alpha = \prod_{j=1}^n D_j^{\alpha_j} \quad \text{and} \quad |\alpha| = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j.$$

Let  $s$  be a non-negative integer; we denote by  $W^{s,2}(G)$  the space

$$W^{s,2}(G) = \{u: u \text{ in } L^2(G), D^\alpha u \text{ in } L^2(G); |\alpha| \leq s\}$$

(the derivatives are taken in the sense of the theory of distributions).  $W^{s,2}(G)$  is a Hilbert space with the norm

$$\|u\|_{s,2} = \left\{ \sum_{|\alpha| \leq s} \|D^\alpha u\|_{L^2(G)}^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

---

Received March 13, 1967.

and the obvious inner product. Set

$$|||u|||_{s,2} = \{ ||u||_{s,2}^2 + |\lambda|^{s/m} ||u||_{0,2}^2 \}^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

then

$$|||u|||_{s,2} \leq \left( \sum_{k=0}^s |\lambda|^{k/m} ||u||_{s-k,2}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C |||u|||_{s,2}$$

(cf. Agranovič and Višik (3, p. 64)).

Let  $\phi_k, k = 1, \dots, N$ , be those functions of the finite partition of unity whose supports intersect the boundary  $\partial G$ . For  $s \geq 0$ , we define  $W^{s,2}(\partial G)$  as the completion of  $C^\infty(\partial G)$  with respect to the norm

$$||u||'_{s,2} = \left( \sum_{k=1}^N ||\phi_k u||_{W^{s,2}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where  $||\phi_k u||_{W^{s,2}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})}$  is taken in local coordinates and is defined by means of the Fourier transforms:

$$||\phi_k u||_{W^{s,2}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} = \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} (1 + |\xi|^{2s}) |F(\phi_k u)|^2 d\xi \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

The space  $W^{s,2}(\partial G)$  is a Hilbert space. It neither depends on the choice of local coordinates nor on the choice of the partition of unity. We set

$$|||u|||'_{s,2} = ( ||u||'_{s,2} + |\lambda|^{s/m} ||u||'_{0,2} )^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

We have that

$$|||u|||'_{s-\frac{1}{2},2} \leq C |||u|||_{s,2}.$$

Let  $u(x)$  be in  $C^k(\mathbb{R}_+^n), \mathbb{R}_+^n = \{x: x_n > 0\}$ . Then the Hestenes formula defines a smooth continuation  $L$  of  $u$  to  $Lu$  in  $C^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . If  $u$  is in  $W^{k,2}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ , then  $||Lu||_{W^{s,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C ||u||_{W^{s,2}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}, s = 0, \dots, k$ .

DEFINITION 1.1. (i)  $A$  is said to be an operator of order  $k$  in  $W^{s,2}(G)$  if  $A$  is a bounded linear mapping from  $W^{s,2}(G)$  into  $W^{s-k,2}(G)$ .  $s$  and  $k$  are two non-negative integers with  $s \geq k$ .

(ii)  $A$  is said to be an operator almost of order  $k - 1$  on  $W^{s,2}(G)$  if  $A$  may be decomposed into  $A = A_\epsilon' + A_\epsilon''$ , where  $A_\epsilon'$  is an operator of order  $k$  in  $W^{s,2}(G)$  with norm less than  $\epsilon$  and  $A_\epsilon''$  is an operator of order  $k - 1$  in  $W^{s,2}(G)$ .  $\epsilon$  is any given positive number.

Consider the singular integral operators

$$A_{mk}u(x) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{|x-y|>\epsilon} Y_{mk}(x-y) |x-y|^{-n} u(y) dy, \quad u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

where  $Y_{mk}(x)$  are the spherical functions on the unit sphere in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Let  $\sigma(x, \xi)$  be a positive homogeneous function of  $\xi$  of degree 0. We expand  $\sigma(x, \xi)$  as follows:

$$\sigma(x, \xi) = \sum_{m,k} \gamma_m a_{mk}(x) Y_{mk}(\xi), \quad \gamma_0 = 1.$$

The operator  $A = \sum_{m,k} a_{mk}(x)A_{mk}$  associated with  $\sigma$  is a homogeneous singular integral operator on  $R^n$  with symbol  $\sigma$ . It is of class  $(p, q)$  if

$$\sigma(x, \xi) \in C^p(R^n; W^{q,2}(\Sigma)),$$

where  $C^p(R^n; W^{q,2}(\Sigma))$  is the space of functions  $f(x, \cdot)$  on  $R^n$  with values in  $W^{q,2}(\Sigma)$  and having  $x$ -continuous derivatives of order  $\leq p$  in  $W^{q,2}(\Sigma)$ .  $\Sigma$  is the unit sphere in  $R^n$ .

DEFINITION 1.2. A singular integro-differential operator of class  $(p, q)$  of order  $s$  in  $W^{k,2}(R^n)$ ,  $s \geq k$ , is an operator of the form:

$$A = \sum_{|\alpha|=s} A_\alpha D^\alpha + T,$$

where  $A_\alpha$  are homogeneous singular integral operators in  $R^n$ , of class  $(p, q)$ , and  $T$  is an arbitrary linear operator almost of order  $s - 1$  in  $W^{k,2}(R^n)$ .  $A$  is homogeneous if  $T = 0$ .

The symbol of  $A$ ,

$$\sigma_A(x, \xi) = \sum_{|\alpha|=s} \sigma_\alpha(x, \xi) \xi^\alpha,$$

is a positive homogeneous function of order  $s$  with respect to  $\xi$ ,  $\sigma_\alpha(x, \xi)$  is the symbol of  $A_\alpha$ .

DEFINITION 1.3. (i)  $A = R\tilde{A}L$  (where  $\tilde{A}$  is a homogeneous singular integro-differential operator of class  $(p, q)$  of order  $s$  in  $W^{k,2}(R^n)$ ,  $s \geq k$ ,  $R$  is the restriction operator of functions from  $R^n$  to  $R_+^n$ , and  $L$  is the extension operator of functions from  $R_+^n$  to  $R^n$ ) is a singular integro-differential operator of class  $(p, q)$  and of order  $s$  in  $W^{k,2}(R_+^n)$ .

(ii)  $A$  is called an admissible singular integro-differential operator on  $R_+^n$  if for  $x_n = 0$  we have that

$$\sigma_A(x', 0, \xi', \xi_n) = \sum_{k=0}^s \sigma_k(x', \xi') \xi_n^k$$

and  $\sigma_s(x', \xi')$  does not depend on  $\xi_n$ .

Hence, if  $\sigma$  is the symbol of an admissible singular integro-differential operator of class  $(p, q)$  of order  $s$  in  $W^{k,2}(R_+^n)$ , then  $\sigma_k(x', \xi')$  are positively homogeneous of degree  $s - k$  and are in  $C^p(R^{n-1}; W^{q-\frac{1}{2},2}(\Sigma'))$ , where  $\Sigma'$  is the unit sphere in  $R^{n-1}$ .

Let  $\{N_k\}$  be a finite open covering of  $\text{cl}(G)$  and  $\{\phi_k\}$  a finite partition of unity corresponding to  $N_k$ . Denote by  $\psi_k$  an infinitely differentiable function with compact support in  $N_k$  and  $\psi_k = 1$  on the support of  $\phi_k$ .

We shall consider singular integro-differential operators on  $G$  of the form

$$(1.1) \quad A = \sum_k \phi_k A_k \psi_k + T,$$

where  $T$  is an operator almost of order  $2m - 1$  in  $W^{s,2}(G)$  ( $s \geq 2m$ ) and  $A_k$  is an admissible singular integro-differential operator of order  $2m$  on  $R_+^n$  if  $N_k$  is a boundary neighbourhood, and on  $R^n$ , otherwise.

We consider also operators on  $\partial G$  of the form

$$(1.2) \quad B_j = \sum'_k \phi_k B_{jk} \psi_k + T_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, m,$$

where the summation is taken over all the  $k$  corresponding to boundary neighbourhoods  $N_k$ .  $B_{jk}$  are given by

$$B_{jk} = \sum_{l=0}^{r_j} B_{jk}{}^l D_n{}^l,$$

where  $B_{jk}{}^l$  are singular integro-differential operators on  $R^{n-1}$ , homogeneous of orders  $r_j - l$ .  $T_j$  is an operator almost of order  $-1$  from  $W^{s,2}(G)$  into  $W^{s-r_j-\frac{1}{2},2}(\partial G)$ . The symbol  $\sigma_A$  of  $A$  is defined as follows: it is a function  $\sigma_A(P, \xi)$  such that for points  $P$  in  $N_k$ ,  $x$  in local coordinates, it coincides with the symbol  $\sigma_{A_k}(x, \xi)$  of  $A_k$ . Similarly for  $\sigma_{B_j}$ .

DEFINITION 1.4. *An admissible singular integro-differential operator  $A$  on  $G$  of the form (1.1) is said to be elliptic at a point  $P$  of  $G$  if*

$$\sigma_{A_k}(x, \xi) \neq 0 \quad \text{for } \xi \neq 0; \quad P \in N_k \cap G,$$

and elliptic on  $G$  if it is elliptic at each point of  $G$ .

The definition is invariant with respect to the choice of coordinate neighbourhoods and local coordinates.

$A_k$  is said to be *properly elliptic* at  $x_0 = (x', 0)$  if  $\sigma_{A_k}(x', \xi', \zeta) = 0$ , considered as a polynomial in the complex variable  $\zeta$ , has  $m$  roots in the upper half  $\zeta$ -plane and  $m$  roots in the lower half-plane. Throughout the paper, we shall assume that the  $A_k$  are properly elliptic on  $R^n$ .

DEFINITION 1.5. *The elliptic boundary-value problem  $\{A; B_j, j = 1, \dots, m\}$  on  $G$ , where  $A$  and  $B_j$  are of the form (1.1) and (1.2), is said to be regular if for each  $k$  corresponding to boundary neighbourhoods  $N_k$  we have that*

$$\text{Det} \left( \int_C \zeta^{r-1} \sigma_{B_{jk}}(x', \xi', \zeta) [\sigma_{A_k}(x', \xi', \zeta)]^{-1} d\zeta \right) \neq 0,$$

where  $r, j = 1, \dots, m$  and  $C$  is a closed Jordan rectifiable curve in the upper half  $\zeta$ -plane containing all the  $m$  roots of  $\sigma_{A_k}(x', \xi', \zeta) = 0$ .

ASSUMPTION (1). *Let  $\{A; B_j, j = 1, \dots, m\}$  be a regular elliptic boundary problem on  $G$ .  $A$  and  $B_j$  are of the form (1.1) and (1.2).*

*We assume that there exists a  $\theta, 0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$ , such that for every  $k$  corresponding to boundary neighbourhoods  $N_k$  we have that*

$$\text{Det} \left( \int_C \zeta^{r-1} \sigma_{B_{jk}}(x', \xi', \zeta) [\sigma_{A_k}(x', \xi', \zeta) + \lambda]^{-1} d\zeta \right) \neq 0,$$

where  $r, j = 1, \dots, m, \arg \lambda = \theta, |\lambda| \geq \lambda_0 > 0$ , and  $C$  is as in Definition 1.5.

We now state the main results of the paper.

**THEOREM 1.1.** *Let  $\{A; B_j, j = 1, \dots, m\}$  be a regular elliptic boundary-value problem on  $G$ . The admissible singular integro-differential operator  $A$  is of the form (1.1), of class  $(s - 2m, q)$ , and of order  $2m$ .  $s \geq 2m$  and  $q > (n - 1)/2$ . The  $B_j$  are of the form (1.2), of class  $(s - r_j, q - \frac{1}{2})$ , and of orders  $r_j$  with  $r_j < 2m - 1$ .*

*Suppose that there exists a  $\theta$  such that Assumption (1) is satisfied. Then*

(1) *For all  $u$  in  $W^{s,2}(G)$ , we have that*

$$|||u|||_{s,2} \leq C \left\{ |||(A + \lambda I)u|||_{s-2m,2} + \sum_{j=1}^m |||B_j u|||'_{s-r_j-\frac{1}{2},2} \right\},$$

where  $\arg \lambda = \theta$ ,  $|\lambda| \geq \lambda_0 > 0$ , and  $C$  is independent of  $\lambda$  and  $u$ .

(2) *For any  $(f, g_1, \dots, g_m)$  in*

$$W^{s-2m,2}(G) \times \prod_{j=1}^m W^{s-r_j-\frac{1}{2},2}(\partial G), \quad s \geq 2m,$$

*there exists a unique solution  $u$  in  $W^{s,2}(G)$  of*

$$(A + \lambda I)u = f \text{ on } G, \quad B_j u = g_j \text{ on } \partial G, \quad j = 1, \dots, m.$$

The proof of the theorem is long and will be given in § 3. The theorem has been proved by Agranovič and Višik (3) for the case when the operators  $A$  and  $B_j$  are differential operators (cf., also, Agmon (1)).

**THEOREM 1.2.** *Suppose that the hypotheses of Theorem 1.1 are satisfied. Let  $C_k, k = 1, \dots, m$ , be a set of boundary differential operators of orders  $\nu_k$  with  $\nu_k < 2m$ . Let  $L_{jk}, j, k = 1, \dots, m$ , be a set of compact (or bounded) linear operators from  $W^{s-\nu_k-\frac{1}{2},2}(\partial G)$  into  $W^{s-r_j-\frac{1}{2},2}(\partial G)$  (or into  $W^{s-r_j-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon,2}(\partial G)$  for some  $\epsilon > 0$ ). Then*

(i) *there exists a positive constant  $M$ , independent of  $\lambda$  ( $\arg \lambda = \theta$ ) and  $u$ , such that, for all  $u$  in  $W^{s,2}(G)$ ,*

$$|||u|||_{s,2} \leq M \left\{ |||(A + \lambda I)u|||_{s-2m,2} + \sum_{j=1}^m \left\| \left( B_j - \sum_{k=1}^m L_{jk} C_k \right) u \right\|'_{s-r_j-\frac{1}{2},2} \right\},$$

$s \geq 2m, |\lambda| \geq \lambda_0 > 0;$

(ii) *let  $A_2$  be the realization of  $A$  as an operator on  $L^2(G)$  with null boundary conditions*

$$B_j u - \sum_{k=1}^m L_{jk} C_k u = 0 \text{ on } \partial G, \quad j = 1, \dots, m.$$

*Then  $(A_2 + \lambda I)^{-1}$  exists and is defined on all of  $L^2(G)$ . It is a compact operator on  $L^2(G)$  with  $|||A_2 + \lambda I)^{-1}|| \leq M/|\lambda|$  for  $|\lambda| \geq \lambda_0 > 0$ .*

**THEOREM 1.3.** *Suppose that the hypotheses of Theorem 1.2 are satisfied. Then*

(i) *there exists a positive constant  $M$  such that, for all  $u$  in  $W^{s,2}(G)$ ,*

$$|||u|||_{s,2} \leq M \left\{ |||Au|||_{s-2m,2} + |||u|||_{0,2} + \sum_{j=1}^m \left\| \left( B_j - \sum_{k=1}^m L_{jk} C_k \right) u \right\|'_{s-r_j-\frac{1}{2},2} \right\},$$

$s \geq 2m;$

(ii)  $A_2$  is a Fredholm operator and  $\text{ind}(A_2) = 0$  (cf. Schechter (8)).

**THEOREM 1.4.** *Suppose that the hypotheses of Theorem 1.2 are satisfied for  $s = 2m$ . Suppose, further, that there exist  $\theta_k, k = 1, \dots, N, 0 \leq \theta_k < 2\pi$ , for which assumption (1) is satisfied and such that the plane is divided by these rays  $\arg \lambda = \theta_k$  into angles which are less than  $2m\pi/n$ . Then the generalized eigenfunctions of  $A_2$  are complete in  $L^2(G)$ .*

The theorem extends for the case  $p = 2$ , a result of Agmon (1).

**THEOREM 1.5.** *Suppose that the hypotheses of Theorem 1.2 are satisfied for  $s = 2m$ . Let  $f(x, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_{2m})$  be a function measurable in  $x$  on  $G$ , continuous in  $(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{2m})$  with  $f(x, 0, \dots, 0) \neq 0$ . Suppose, further, that there exists a positive constant  $M$  such that*

$$|f(x, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_{2m})| \leq M \left\{ 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{2m-1} |\xi_j| \right\}.$$

Let  $T_1, \dots, T_{2m-1}$  be bounded linear operators from  $W^{j,2}(G)$  into  $L^2(G)$  and let  $T_{2m}$  be a bounded linear operator from  $W^{2m-\epsilon,2}(G)$  into  $L^2(G)$ ,  $0 < \epsilon$ . Then

(i) for  $|\lambda| \geq \lambda_0 > 0$ , there exists a non-trivial solution  $u$  in  $W^{2m,2}(G)$  of the elliptic boundary-value problem

$$(A + \lambda I)u = f(x, T_1u, \dots, T_{2m}u) \text{ on } G,$$

$$B_j u = \sum_{k=1}^m L_{jk} C_k u \text{ on } \partial G, \quad j = 1, \dots, m;$$

(ii) let  $(g_1, \dots, g_m)$  be in

$$\prod_{j=1}^m W^{2m-r_j-\frac{1}{2},2}(\partial G).$$

There exists a solution  $u$  in  $W^{2m,2}(G)$  of  $(A + \lambda I)u = f(x, T_1u, \dots, T_{2m}u)$  on  $G$ ;  $B_j u = g_j$  on  $\partial G$ .

**2.** In this section we shall give the proofs of Theorems 1.2–1.5, assuming Theorem 1.1.

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* (1) We establish the a-priori estimate. Suppose that part (i) of the theorem is not true. Then for any  $\lambda$  with  $\arg \lambda = \theta, |\lambda| \geq \lambda_0 > 0$ , there would exist  $\{u_n\}$  with

$$\| \|u_n\| \|_{s,2} = 1$$

and

$$\| \| (A + \lambda)u_n \| \|_{s-2m,2} + \| \|u_n\| \|_{0,2} + \sum_{j=1}^m \left\| \left( B_j - \sum_{k=1}^m L_{jk} C_k \right) u_n \right\| \|_{s-r_j-\frac{1}{2},2} \rightarrow 0.$$

From the weak compactness of the unit ball in a Hilbert space, we obtain a subsequence, which we may assume to be the original one, such that  $u_n \rightarrow u$  weakly in  $W^{s,2}(G)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Since  $u_n \rightarrow 0$  in  $L^2(G)$ , we have that  $u = 0$ . Since  $G$  is a bounded open set of  $R^n$ , regular of class  $C^\infty$ , it follows from the

Sobolev imbedding theorem that  $u_n \rightarrow 0$  in  $W^{s-1,2}(G)$  and  $u_n \rightarrow 0$  weakly in  $W^{s-\frac{1}{2},2}(\partial G)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . The operator  $\sum_{k=1}^m L_{jk} C_k$  is a compact linear mapping from  $W^{s-\frac{1}{2},2}(\partial G)$  into  $W^{s-r_j-\frac{1}{2},2}(\partial G)$ , being the composition of a linear mapping from  $W^{s-\frac{1}{2},2}(\partial G)$  into  $W^{s-\nu_k-\frac{1}{2},2}(\partial G)$  and a compact mapping from  $W^{s-\nu_k-\frac{1}{2},2}$  into  $W^{s-r_j-\frac{1}{2},2}(\partial G)$ .

Therefore  $\sum_{k=1}^m L_{jk} C_k u_n \rightarrow 0$  in  $W^{s-r_j-\frac{1}{2},2}(\partial G)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Hence,  $B_j u_n \rightarrow 0$  in  $W^{s-r_j-\frac{1}{2},2}(\partial G)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, m$ . In a similar fashion, we show that

$$\lambda^{(s-r_j-\frac{1}{2})/2m} B_j u_n \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } L^2(\partial G), \quad j = 1, \dots, m.$$

On the other hand, from Theorem 1.1, we obtain the following:

$$\| \|u_n\| \|_{s,2} \leq M \left\{ \| (A + \lambda)u_n \|_{s-2m,2} + \sum_{j=1}^m \| \|B_j u_n\| \|'_{s-r_j-\frac{1}{2},2} \right\}.$$

Thus  $\| \|u_n\| \|_{s,2} \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , which is a contradiction. Now take  $|\lambda|$  sufficiently large and we obtain the a-priori estimate.

(2) Let  $A_2$  be a linear operator on  $L^2(G)$  defined as follows:

$$D(A_2) = \left\{ u: u \text{ in } W^{2m,2}(G), Au \text{ in } L^2(G); \right. \\ \left. B_j u = \sum_{k=1}^m L_{jk} C_k u \text{ on } \partial G, j = 1, \dots, m \right\}, \\ A_2 u = Au \text{ if } u \text{ is in } D(A_2).$$

$A_2$  is densely defined. Indeed, we have that  $C_c^\infty(G) \subset D(A_2)$ . From the a-priori estimate and Proposition 16.1 of Agranovič (2, p. 99), we deduce that  $(A_2 + \lambda I)$  is a closed operator on  $L^2(G)$  with  $N(A_2 + \lambda I) = \{0\}$ . We show that  $R(A_2 + \lambda I) = L^2(G)$ . Let  $f$  be any element of  $L^2(G)$ ,  $v$  an element of  $W^{2m,2}(G)$ , and suppose that  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ . Consider the following elliptic boundary-value problem

$$(A + \lambda I)u = f \text{ on } G, \quad B_j u = t \sum_{k=1}^m L_{jk} C_k v \text{ on } \partial G, \quad j = 1, \dots, m.$$

From Theorem 1.1, we know that there exists a unique solution  $u$  in  $W^{2m,2}(G)$  of the above problem. Define the following non-linear mapping  $T(t)$  from  $[0, 1] \times W^{2m,2}(G)$  into  $W^{2m,2}(G)$ :

$$T(t)v = u,$$

where  $u$  is the unique solution of the above boundary-value problem. If we can show that  $T(1)u = u$ , i.e.  $T(1)$  has a fixed point, then  $u$  is in  $D(A_2)$  and is in  $R(A_2 + \lambda I)$ . Since  $f$  is an arbitrary element of  $L^2(G)$ , we have that  $R(A_2 + \lambda I) = L^2(G)$ . We verify that  $T(t)$  satisfies the hypotheses of the Leray-Schauder fixed-point theorem.

PROPOSITION 2.1. *T(t) is a completely continuous operator from  $[0, 1] \times W^{2m,2}(G)$  into  $W^{2m,2}(G)$ .*

*Proof.*  $T(t)$  is continuous. Let  $t_n \rightarrow t, v_n \rightarrow v$  in  $W^{2m,2}(G)$ . From Theorem 1.1 we obtain the following:

$$\|u_n\|_{2m,2} \leq M \left\{ \|f\|_{0,2} + \sum_{j,k=1}^m \|L_{jk}C_k(t_nv_n)\|'_{2m-\tau_j-\frac{1}{2},2} \right\}.$$

Thus

$$\|u_n - u\|_{2m,2} \leq M \sum_{j,k=1}^m \|L_{jk}C_k(t_nv_n - tv)\|'_{2m-\tau_j-\frac{1}{2},2}.$$

We immediately have that  $u_n \rightarrow u$  in  $W^{2m,2}(G)$ .  $T(t)$  is compact. Indeed, suppose that  $\|v_n\|_{2m,2} \leq M$ . Then from the weak compactness of the unit ball in a Hilbert space, we have that  $v_n \rightarrow v$  weakly in  $W^{2m,2}(G)$ , hence also weakly in  $W^{2m-\frac{1}{2},2}(\partial G)$ . But  $\sum_{k=1}^m L_{jk}C_k$  is a compact operator from  $W^{2m-\frac{1}{2},2}(\partial G)$  into  $W^{2m-\tau_j-\frac{1}{2},2}(\partial G)$ , thus

$$\sum_{k=1}^m L_{jk}C_kv_n \rightarrow \sum_{k=1}^m L_{jk}C_kv \text{ in } W^{2m-\tau_j-\frac{1}{2},2}(\partial G)$$

as well as in  $L^2(\partial G)$ . Therefore  $u_n \rightarrow u$  in  $W^{2m,2}(G)$ .

**PROPOSITION 2.2.**  $I - T(0)$  is a homeomorphism of  $W^{2m,2}(G)$  into itself. If  $[I - T(t)]v = 0, 0 < t \leq 1$ , then  $\|v\|_{2m,2} \leq M$ , where  $M$  is independent of  $t$ .

*Proof.* The first assertion follows directly from Theorem 1.1. Suppose that  $T(t)v = v$ ; then  $v$  is the solution of the boundary-value problem

$$(A + \lambda I)v = f \text{ on } G, \quad B_j v = \sum_{k=1}^m L_{jk}C_k(tv) \text{ on } \partial G, \quad j = 1, \dots, m.$$

In the first part of the proof of the theorem, we may, instead of considering the operator  $L_{jk}$ , take the operator  $tL_{jk}$ ; then we have that

$$\|v\|_{2m,2} \leq M \|f\|_{0,2},$$

where  $M$  is independent of  $\lambda, v$ , and  $t$ .

*Proof of Theorem 1.2 (continued).* The operator  $T(t)$  satisfies all the conditions of the Leray-Schauder fixed-point theorem (the uniform continuity condition of the theorem is not necessary as observed by Browder in (7)). Therefore,  $T(1)u = u$ . Thus  $R(A_2 + \lambda I) = L^2(G)$  and hence,  $(A_2 + \lambda I)^{-1}$  exists and is defined on all of  $L^2(G)$ . Since the injection mapping from  $W^{2m,2}(G)$  into  $L^2(G)$  is compact,  $(A_2 + \lambda I)^{-1}$  is a compact linear mapping of  $L^2(G)$  into itself and, moreover, from the a-priori estimate, it follows that

$$\|(A_2 + \lambda I)^{-1}\| \leq M/|\lambda| \text{ for } |\lambda| \geq \lambda_0 > 0.$$

The theorem is proved.

*Proof of Theorem 1.3.* (1) We establish the a-priori estimate by contradiction. It is similar to the first part of the proof of Theorem 1.2. We obtain a

contradiction by using the following estimate of Proposition 16.3 of Agranovič (2, p. 101):

$$\|u\|_{s,2} \leq M \left\{ \|Au\|_{s-2m,2} + \|u\|_{0,2} + \sum_{j=1}^m \|B_j u\|'_{s-r_j-\frac{1}{2},2} \right\}.$$

(2) By standard arguments, we deduce from the a-priori estimate that  $A_2$  is closed,  $N(A_2)$  is of finite dimension, and that  $R(A_2)$  is closed in  $L^2(G)$ . Hence  $A_2$  is a semi-Fredholm operator.

We now show that if Assumption (1) is satisfied, then  $A_2$  is a Fredholm operator and  $\text{ind}(A_2) = \dim N(A_2) - \text{codim } R(A_2) = 0$ . From Theorem 1.2, we have that

$$(A_2 + \lambda I)(A_2 + \lambda I)^{-1} = I,$$

where  $I$  is the identity operator on  $L^2(G)$ . Thus

$$A_2(A_2 + \lambda I)^{-1} = I - \lambda(A_2 + \lambda I)^{-1}.$$

Since  $(A_2 + \lambda I)^{-1}$ , considered as a mapping from  $L^2(G)$  into itself, is compact, it follows from a well-known argument that  $I - \lambda(A_2 + \lambda I)^{-1}$  is a Fredholm operator and  $\text{ind}(I - \lambda(A_2 + \lambda I)^{-1}) = 0$ . Hence  $A_2(A_2 + \lambda I)^{-1}$  is a Fredholm operator and  $\text{ind}(A_2(A_2 + \lambda I)^{-1}) = 0$ . We can easily show that  $R(A_2) = R(A_2(A_2 + \lambda I)^{-1})$  and  $N(A_2) = N(A_2(A_2 + \lambda I)^{-1})$ . Therefore,  $\text{ind}(A_2) = \text{ind}(A_2(A_2 + \lambda I)^{-1}) = 0$ .

*Proof of Theorem 1.4.* Since  $(A_2 + \lambda I)^{-1}$  is a compact linear mapping of  $L^2(G)$  into itself, the spectrum of  $A_2$  is discrete and the eigenspaces are of finite dimension. With the hypotheses of the theorem, it follows from Theorem 3.2 of Agmon (1, pp. 128–129) that the generalized eigenfunctions of  $A_2$  are complete in  $L^2(G)$ . Indeed, the proof in (1) depends only on the compactness of  $(A_2 + \lambda I)^{-1}$  and on an estimate on the growth of the resolvent operator as in Theorem 1.2.

*Proof of Theorem 1.5.* Let  $v$  be an element of  $W^{2m,2}(G)$  and suppose that  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ . Consider the following elliptic boundary-value problem:

$$(A + \lambda I)u = f(x, tT_1v, \dots, tT_{2m}v) \quad \text{on } G,$$

$$B_j u = \sum_{k=1}^m L_{jk} C_k u \quad \text{on } \partial G, \quad j = 1, \dots, m.$$

Since

$$|f(x, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_{2m})| \leq M \left\{ 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{2m-1} |\xi_j| \right\},$$

$f(x, tT_1v, \dots, tT_{2m}v)$  is in  $L^2(G)$ . Define the non-linear mapping  $\mathfrak{T}(t)$  from  $[0, 1] \times W^{2m,2}(G)$  into  $W^{2m,2}(G)$  as follows:

$$\mathfrak{T}(t)v = u,$$

where  $u$  is the unique solution of the above boundary-value problem. It follows from Theorem 1.2 that  $\mathfrak{T}(t)$  is well-defined.

To prove the theorem, we show that  $\mathfrak{T}(t)$  satisfies the hypotheses of the Leray-Schauder fixed-point theorem. The proof is essentially the same as that given in (10). It suffices to note that since  $T_{2m}$  is a bounded linear mapping from  $W^{2m-\epsilon,2}(G)$  into  $L^2(G)$ , it is a compact linear mapping from  $W^{2m,2}(G)$  into  $L^2(G)$ .

A similar argument (taking into account Theorem 1.1) gives the existence of a solution in  $W^{2m,2}(G)$  of

$$(A + \lambda I)u = f(x, T_1u, \dots, T_{2m}u) \quad \text{on } G, \quad B_j u = g_j \quad \text{on } \partial G, \quad j = 1, \dots, m.$$

Finally, we note that with the estimate on  $\|(A_2 + \lambda I)^{-1}\|$  of Theorem 1.2 for all  $\lambda$  with  $|\arg \lambda| \leq \pi/2$ , we may show the existence of a solution of a non-local parabolic boundary-value problem of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + Au &= f(x, t) && \text{on } G \times [0, T]; \\ B_j u &= \sum_{k=1}^m L_{jk} C_k u && \text{on } \partial G \times [0, T], \quad j = 1, \dots, m; \\ u(x, 0) &= u_0(x) && \text{on } G, \end{aligned}$$

by using a result of Sobolevskii (9) (cf. 10).

3. We proceed to prove Theorem 1.1. As usual, we consider first the case of a half-space with  $A$  and  $B_j$  having constant symbols, then the case when  $A$  and  $B_j$  have symbols depending on  $x$ , but close (in a sense to be specified) to constant symbols, and finally, the case of a bounded open set  $G$  of  $R^n$ .

**THEOREM 3.1.** *Let  $\{A; B_j, j = 1, \dots, m\}$  be a regular elliptic boundary-value problem on  $R_+^n = \{x: x_n > 0\}$ . The homogeneous singular integro-differential operators  $A$  and  $B_j$  are of orders  $2m, r_j$  ( $r_j < 2m - 1$ ) with constant symbols  $\sigma_A(\xi)$  in  $W^{q,2}(\Sigma)$ ;  $\sigma_j(\xi')$  in  $W^{q-\frac{1}{2},2}(\Sigma')$ ,  $q > (n - 1)/2$ . Suppose that there exists a  $\theta, 0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$ , for which Assumption (1) is verified. Then*

$$(i) \quad \left\{ \|u\|_{s,2} \leq M \left\{ \|(A + \lambda I)u\|_{s-2m,2} + \sum_{j=1}^m \|B_j u\|'_{s-r_j-\frac{1}{2},2} \right\} \right\}$$

for all  $u$  in  $W^{s,2}(R_+^n)$  and for all  $|\lambda| \geq \lambda_0 > 0, \arg \lambda = \theta$ .  $M$  is independent of  $\lambda, u$  and  $s \geq 2m$ .

(ii) The mapping  $\mathcal{A}u = \{(A + \lambda I)u, B_1u, \dots, B_mu\}$  of  $W^{s,2}(R_+^n)$  into

$$W^{s-2m,2}(R_+^n) \times \prod_{j=1}^m W^{s-r_j-\frac{1}{2},2}(R^{n-1})$$

is 1-1 and onto for large  $|\lambda|$ .

*Proof.* We follow (3) closely (cf. also 2 and 5).

(i) To prove the a-priori estimate, it suffices to show it for  $u$  in  $C_c^\infty(R_+^n \cup R^{n-1})$ . Since  $A$  is an admissible singular integro-differential operator on  $R_+^n$ , we have that

$$A = \sum_{k=0}^{2m} A_k D_n^k;$$

similarly,

$$B_j = \sum_{k=0}^{\tau_j} B_{jk} D_n^k,$$

where  $A_k$  and  $B_{jk}$  are singular integro-differential operators on  $R^{n-1}$ , homogeneous of orders  $2m - k$  and  $r_j - k$ , respectively, with constant symbols.

(a) Consider  $(A + \lambda I)u = Lf = f_0(x)$  on  $R^n$ , where  $L$  is the extension of  $f$  to  $R^n$ . By taking the Fourier transform, we obtain

$$(\sigma_A(\xi) + \lambda)\hat{u} = \hat{f}_0(\xi) = \left( \sum_{k=0}^{2m} \sigma_k(\xi') \xi_n^k + \lambda \right) \hat{u}.$$

A computation as in (3) yields  $\|u\|_{s,2} \leq C \|f\|_{s-2m,2}$ .

(b) Consider the boundary-value problem:

$$(A + \lambda I)w = 0 \text{ on } R_+^n, \quad B_j w = g_j - B_j u \text{ on } R^{n-1}, \quad j = 1, \dots, m.$$

By taking the Fourier transform with respect to the tangential variables  $\hat{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$ , we obtain

$$\sum_{k=0}^{2m} \sigma_k(\xi') D_n^k \hat{w}(\xi', x_n) + \lambda \hat{w}(\xi', x_n) = 0, \quad x_n > 0,$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\tau_j} \sigma_{jk}(\xi') D_n^k \hat{w}(\xi', 0) = \hat{h}_j(\xi') = \hat{g}_j - \sum_{k=0}^{\tau_j} \sigma_{jk}(\xi') D_n^k \hat{u}(\xi', 0), \quad j = 1, \dots, m,$$

where  $\hat{w}$  and  $\hat{g}_j$  denote the Fourier transforms of  $w$  and  $g_j$  with respect to  $\hat{x}$ . We seek a solution of the form

$$\hat{w}(\xi', x_n) = \sum_{\tau=1}^m p_\tau(\xi') \int_{C_{\lambda, \xi'}} \zeta^{\tau-1} \exp(i\zeta x_n) [\sigma_A(\xi', \zeta) + \lambda]^{-1} d\zeta,$$

where  $C_{\lambda, \xi'}$  is a closed Jordan rectifiable curve in the upper half  $\zeta$ -plane, containing in its interior all the  $m$  roots of

$$\lambda + \sum_{k=0}^{2m} \sigma_k(\xi') \zeta^k = 0,$$

considered as a polynomial in  $\zeta$ . We are reduced to showing the solvability of a system of  $m$  equations with  $m$  unknowns,  $p_\tau(\xi')$ . Since Assumption (1) is verified, the system may be solved in a unique fashion. If we set

$$c_{\tau j}(\xi', \lambda) = \int_{C_{\lambda, \xi'}} \zeta^{\tau-1} \sigma_j(\xi', \zeta) [\sigma_A(\xi', \zeta) + \lambda]^{-1} d\zeta$$

and if  $Q_{\tau j}(\xi', \lambda)$  are the elements of the inverse of the transpose of the matrix  $(c_{\tau j})$ , then

$$\hat{w}(\xi', x_n) = \sum_{\tau, j=1}^m Q_{\tau j}(\xi', \lambda) \hat{h}_j(\xi', \lambda) \int_{C_{\lambda, \xi'}} \zeta^{\tau-1} \exp(i\zeta x_n) [\sigma_A(\xi', \zeta) + \lambda]^{-1} d\zeta.$$

To take the inverse Fourier transform of  $\hat{w}(\xi', x_n)$ , we need the following lemma.

LEMMA 3.1. (i) *Let*

$$\phi_{\alpha\beta}(\xi, x_n) = \int_C \zeta^\alpha \xi^\beta \exp(i\zeta x_n) [\sigma_A(\xi, \zeta) + \lambda]^{-1} d\zeta.$$

*Then*

$$\phi_{\alpha\beta}(\xi, x_n) = O(|\xi| + |\lambda|^{1/2m})^{\alpha+\beta+1-2m} \exp(-dx_n(|\xi|^2 + |\lambda|^{1/m})^{\frac{1}{2}}),$$

where  $d = \min\{\text{Im}\zeta: \zeta \in C\} > 0$ .

(ii)  $Q_{rj}(\xi, \lambda) = O(|\xi| + |\lambda|^{1/2m})^{2m-r-rj}$ ,  $r, j = 1, \dots, m$ .

*Proof.* Set  $\lambda = \mu^{2m}$  and make the following change of variables:

$$\xi' = \xi(|\xi|^2 + |\mu|^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \mu' = \mu(|\xi|^2 + |\mu|^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \zeta' = \zeta(|\xi|^2 + |\mu|^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

(1) We have that

$$\phi_{\alpha\beta}(\xi, x_n) = (|\xi|^2 + |\mu|^2)^{(\alpha+\beta+1-2m)/2} \phi_{\alpha\beta}(\xi', x_n(|\xi|^2 + |\mu|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}),$$

where

$$\phi_{\alpha\beta}(\xi, x_n) = \int_C \zeta^\alpha \xi^\beta \exp(i\zeta x_n) [\sigma_A(\xi, \zeta) + \mu^{2m}]^{-1} d\zeta.$$

(i) As  $|\xi| \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $|\xi'| \rightarrow 1$  and  $|\mu'| \rightarrow 0$ . Thus, the roots with positive imaginary parts of

$$(\mu')^{2m} + \sum_{k=0}^{2m} \sigma_k(\xi') \zeta'^k = 0$$

tend continuously to those of

$$\sum_{k=0}^{2m} \sigma_k(I) \zeta^k = 0.$$

Hence, there exists a closed curve  $C_1$  independent of  $\mu$  and  $\xi$  containing all the  $m$  roots with positive imaginary parts of

$$(\mu)^{2m} + \sum_{k=0}^{2m} \sigma_k(\xi) \zeta^k = 0 \quad \text{for large } |\xi|.$$

Therefore, for large  $|\xi|$ , we have that

$$|\phi_{\alpha\beta}(\xi, x_n)| \leq M \exp(-dx_n(|\xi|^2 + |\mu|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}) (|\xi|^2 + |\mu|^2)^{(\alpha+\beta+1-2m)/2}.$$

(ii) For small  $|\xi|$ , as  $|\xi| \rightarrow 0$ ,  $|\xi'| \rightarrow 0$  and  $|\mu'| \rightarrow 1$ . Thus, all the roots with positive imaginary parts of  $(\mu')^{2m} + \sigma_A(\xi', \zeta) = 0$  tend continuously to those with positive imaginary parts of  $1 + \sigma_A(0, \zeta) = 0$ . Again, we have a curve  $C_2$ , in the upper half  $\zeta$ -plane, independent of both  $\mu$  and  $\xi$  containing all the  $m$  roots of  $(\mu')^{2m} + \sigma_A(\xi', \zeta) = 0$  for small  $|\xi|$ . Thus,

$$\phi_{\alpha\beta}(\xi, x_n) \leq M \exp(-dx_n(|\xi|^2 + |\mu|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}) (|\xi|^2 + |\mu|^2)^{(\alpha+\beta+1-2m)/2}.$$

Combining (i) and (ii) we obtain the first part of the lemma.

(2) Arguing as above, we have that

$$Q_{rj}(\xi, \lambda) = O(|\xi| + |\lambda|^{1/m})^{2m-r-rj}.$$

*Proof of Theorem 3.1.* (i) (continued). As in (3), using Lemma 3.1 and the Parseval formula, we obtain:

$$|||w|||_{s,2} \leq C \sum_{j=1}^m |||h_j|||'_{s-\tau_j-\frac{1}{2},2}.$$

Thus

$$|||w|||_{s,2} \leq C \left\{ |||u|||_{s,2} + \sum_{j=1}^m |||g_j|||'_{s-\tau_j-\frac{1}{2},2} \right\} \leq C \left\{ |||f|||_{s-2m,2} + \sum_{j=1}^m |||g_j|||'_{s-\tau_j-\frac{1}{2},2} \right\}.$$

Therefore, if  $v$  is such that  $(A + \lambda I)v = f$  on  $R_+^n$ ,  $B_j v = g_j$  on  $R^{n-1}$ , we obtain

$$|||v|||_{s,2} \leq C \left\{ |||f|||_{s-2m,2} + \sum_{j=1}^m |||g_j|||'_{s-\tau_j-\frac{1}{2},2} \right\}.$$

(ii) Let  $(f, g_1, \dots, g_m)$  be an element of

$$W^{s-2m,2}(R_+^n) \times \prod_{j=1}^m W^{s-\tau_j-\frac{1}{2},2}(R^{n-1}).$$

Then the unique solution  $u$  in  $W^{s,2}(R_+^n)$  of

$$(A + \lambda I)u = f \text{ on } R_+^n, \quad B_j u = g_j \text{ on } R^{n-1}, \quad j = 1, \dots, m,$$

is given by

$$u(x) = F^{-1} \{ [\sigma_A(\xi) + \lambda]^{-1} F(Lf) \} \Big|_{R_+^n} + \sum_{j=1}^m (F')^{-1} \left\{ \sum_{\tau=1}^m Q_{\tau j} \int_C \zeta^{\tau-1} \exp(i\zeta x_n) [\sigma_A(\xi', \zeta) + \lambda]^{-1} d\zeta \right\} F' g_j \Big|_{R_+^n},$$

where  $F'$  denotes the Fourier transform with respect to  $\hat{x}$ .

Because of Lemma 3.1, the expression is well-defined.

**THEOREM 3.2.** *Let  $\{A; B_j, j = 1, \dots, m\}$  be a regular elliptic boundary-value problem on  $R_+^n$ . The singular integro-differential operators  $A$  and  $B_j$  are of orders  $2m$  and  $r_j$  ( $r_j < 2m - 1$ ), respectively. Suppose that there exists a  $\theta, 0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$ , for which Assumption (1) is satisfied. Suppose further that*

$$\max_x |||\sigma_A(\xi, x) - \sigma_A(\xi, 0)|||_{q,2} + \sum_{j,k} \max_x |||\sigma_{jk}(x', \xi') - \sigma_{jk}(0, \xi')|||_{q-\frac{1}{2},2} \leq \delta$$

for  $x$  near 0. Then

(1) *There exists a constant  $M$  independent of  $\lambda, \arg \lambda = \theta$ , and of  $u$  such that*

$$|||u|||_{s,2} \leq M \left\{ |||(A + \lambda)u|||_{s-2m,2} + \sum_{j=1}^m |||B_j u|||'_{s-\tau_j-\frac{1}{2},2} \right\} s \geq 2m;$$

(2) *For every  $(f, g_1, \dots, g_m)$  in*

$$W^{s-2m,2}(R_+^n) \times \prod_{j=1}^m W^{s-\tau_j-\frac{1}{2},2}(R^{n-1})$$

there exists a unique solution  $u$  in  $W^{s,2}(R_+^n)$  of  $(A + \lambda)u = f$  on  $R_+^n$ ;  $B_j u = g_j$  on  $R^{n-1}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, m$ .

*Proof.* We prove the a-priori estimate. We denote by  $A_0$  and  $B_{j0}$  the principal parts of  $A$  and  $B_j$ , and by  $A_0(0)$  and  $B_{j0}(0)$  the homogeneous singular integro-differential operators with symbols  $\sigma_A(0, \xi)$  and  $\sigma_j(0, \xi')$ . From Theorem 3.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |||u|||_{s,2} &\leq M \left\{ |||(A_0(0) + \lambda)u|||_{s-2m,2} + \sum_{j=1}^m |||B_{j0}(0)u|||'_{s-r_j-\frac{1}{2},2} \right\} \\ &\leq M \left\{ |||(A + \lambda)u|||_{s-2m,2} + |||(A_0(0) - A_0)u|||_{s-2m,2} \right. \\ &\quad + |||(A - A_0)u|||_{s-2m,2} + \sum_{j=1}^m |||B_j u|||'_{s-r_j-\frac{1}{2},2} + |||(B_{j0} - B_j)u|||'_{s-r_j-\frac{1}{2},2} \\ &\quad \left. + |||(B_{j0} - B_{j0}(0))u|||'_{s-r_j-\frac{1}{2},2} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

(i) Since  $A$  is an admissible singular integro-differential operator on  $R_+^n$ , it may be written as:  $A = R\tilde{A}L + T$ , where  $T$  is an operator almost of order  $2m - 1$  on  $W^{s,2}(R_+^n)$ .

Therefore,  $|||(A - A_0)u|||_{s-2m,2} \leq \epsilon |||u|||_{s,2} + C(\epsilon) |||u|||_{s-1,2}$  and

$$|\lambda|^{(s-2m)/2m} |||(A - A_0)u|||_{0,2} \leq \epsilon |\lambda|^{(s-2m)/2m} |||u|||_{2m,2} + C(\epsilon) |\lambda|^{(s-2m)/2m} |||u|||_{2m-1,2}.$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} |||u|||_{2m-1,2} &\leq \epsilon / C(\epsilon) |||u|||_{2m,2} + K(\epsilon) |||u|||_{0,2}, \\ |||(A - A_0)u|||_{s-2m,2} &\leq 2\epsilon |||u|||_{s,2} + C_2(\epsilon) |\lambda|^{-1/2m} |||u|||_{s,2}. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Similarly,

$$B_j = \sum_{k=0}^{r_j} B_{jk} D_n^k + \sum_{k=0}^{r_j} T_{jk} D_n^k,$$

where  $T_{jk}$  are linear operators almost of orders  $r_j - k - 1$  on  $W^{s-\frac{1}{2},2}(R^{n-1})$ . Thus

$$|||(B_j - B_{j0})u|||'_{s-r_j-\frac{1}{2},2} \leq \epsilon |||u|||'_{s-\frac{1}{2},2} + C_3(\epsilon) |\lambda|^{-1/2m} |||u|||_{s,2}.$$

(iii) We consider  $|||(A_0 - A_0(0))u|||_{s-2m,2}$ . If  $\sigma_A(x, \xi)$  is the symbol of  $A$ , then the symbol  $\sigma_{\tilde{A}}(x, \xi)$  of  $\tilde{A}$  may be obtained from  $\sigma_A(x, \xi)$  by the Hestenes formula and, moreover,

$$\max_x |\sigma_{\tilde{A}}(x, \xi) - \sigma_{\tilde{A}}(0, \xi)|_{q,2} \leq C \max_x |\sigma_A(x, \xi) - \sigma_A(0, \xi)|_{q,2},$$

where  $C$  does not depend on  $\sigma_A$ . Thus

$$|||(A_0 - A_0(0))u|||_{s-2m,2} \leq C_2 |||(\tilde{A}_0 - \tilde{A}_0(0))Lu|||_{s-2m,2}.$$

Using Proposition 8.3 of Agranovič (2, p. 47), we have that

$$|||(\tilde{A}_0 - \tilde{A}_0(0))Lu|||_{s-2m,2} \leq C_3 \delta |||u|||_{s,2} + C_4(\sigma_A) |\lambda|^{-1/2m} |||u|||_{s,2}.$$

(iv) A similar argument yields:

$$|||(B_{j0} - B_{j0}(0))u|||'_{s-\tau_j-\frac{1}{2},2} \leq C\delta |||u|||_{s,2} + C_5(\sigma_j)|\lambda|^{-1/2m} |||u|||_{s,2}.$$

Therefore, by taking  $\delta$  small and  $|\lambda|$  sufficiently large, we obtain the a-priori estimate of the theorem.

(2) We now show that  $\mathcal{A}$  has a right inverse. It follows from Theorem 3.1 that  $\mathcal{A}_0(0)$  has a right inverse  $\mathfrak{T}_0$ ; thus

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}\mathfrak{T}_0 &= \mathcal{A}_0(0)\mathfrak{T}_0 + (\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{A}_0)\mathfrak{T}_0 + (\mathcal{A}_0 - \mathcal{A}_0(0))\mathfrak{T}_0 \\ &= I + (\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{A}_0)\mathfrak{T}_0 + (\mathcal{A}_0 - \mathcal{A}_0(0))\mathfrak{T}_0. \end{aligned}$$

Set

$$g = (g_1, \dots, g_m) \quad \text{and} \quad |||(f, g)|||_{s,2} = |||f|||_{s-2m,2} + \sum_{j=1}^m |||g_j|||'_{s-\tau_j-\frac{1}{2},2}.$$

Let  $u = \mathfrak{T}_0(f, g)$  with  $\mathcal{A}_0(0)\mathfrak{T}_0(f, g) = (f, g)$  (Theorem 3.1). Then a computation, as in the first part, yields

$$|||(\mathcal{A}_0 - \mathcal{A}_0(0))\mathfrak{T}_0(f, g)|||_{s,2} \leq \frac{1}{4} |||(f, g)|||_{s,2}$$

for  $\delta$  small and  $|\lambda|$  sufficiently large. Also,

$$|||(\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{A}_0)\mathfrak{T}_0(f, g)||| \leq \frac{1}{4} |||(f, g)|||_{s,2}$$

since  $(\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{A}_0)$  is an operator almost of order  $-1$  from  $W^{s,2}(R_+^n)$  into  $W^{s-2m,2}(R_+^n) \times \prod_{j=1}^m W^{s-\tau_j-\frac{1}{2},2}(R^{n-1})$ . Let

$$Q = (\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{A}_0)\mathfrak{T}_0 + (\mathcal{A}_0 - \mathcal{A}_0(0))\mathfrak{T}_0;$$

then  $|||Q(f, g)|||_{s,2} \leq \frac{1}{2} |||(f, g)|||_{s,2}$ . Hence  $(I + Q)^{-1}$  exists. Take  $\mathfrak{I} = \mathfrak{T}_0(I + Q)^{-1}$ , then  $\mathcal{A}\mathfrak{I} = I$ .

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* (1) We establish the a-priori estimate. Since  $G$  is a bounded open set of  $R^n$ , regular of class  $C^\infty$  (cf. 5), there exist a finite open covering of  $\text{cl}(G)$  and a finite partition of unity  $\phi_k$  corresponding to  $N_k$ . Let  $\psi_k$  be an infinitely differentiable function with compact support in  $N_k$  such that  $\psi_k = 1$  on the support of  $\phi_k$ . We have that

$$\mathcal{A} = \sum_{k=1}^N \phi_k \mathcal{A}_k \psi_k + T,$$

where  $T$  is an operator almost of order  $-1$  from  $W^{s,2}(G)$  into

$$W^{s-2m,2}(G) \times \prod_{j=1}^m W^{s-\tau_j-\frac{1}{2},2}(\partial G) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{A}_k = (A_k + \lambda I, B_{1k}, \dots, B_{mk}),$$

where  $A_k$  and  $B_{jk}$  are singular integro-differential operators on  $R_+^n$  and on  $R^{n-1}$ , respectively. We also have that

$$\mathcal{A}_k(\phi_k u) = \mathcal{A}_k(\phi_k \psi_k u) = \phi_k \mathcal{A}_k(\psi_k u) + T_k(\psi_k u),$$

where  $T_k$  is an operator almost of order  $-1$  from  $W^{s,2}(R_+^n)$  into

$$W^{s-2m,2}(R_+^n) \times \prod_{j=1}^m W^{s-\tau_j-\frac{1}{2},2}(R^{n-1})$$

if  $N_k$  is a boundary neighbourhood and  $T_k$  is an operator almost of order  $-1$  from  $W^{s,2}(R_+^n)$  into  $W^{s-2m,2}(R_+^n)$  if  $N_k$  is an interior neighbourhood (cf. **2**).

From Theorem 3.2 and an easy computation we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |||\phi_k u|||_{s,2} \leq M \left\{ |||\phi_k(A_k + \lambda)\psi_k u|||_{s-2m,2} + \epsilon |||\psi_k u|||_{s,2} \right. \\ \left. + C(\epsilon)|\lambda|^{-1/2m} |||\psi_k u|||_{s,2} + \sum_{j=1}^m |||\phi_k B_{jk}(\psi_k u)|||'_{s-\tau_j-\frac{1}{2},2} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

The norms are taken in local coordinates. On the other hand, we have that

$$\phi_k \mathcal{A}_k(\psi_k u) = \phi_k \mathcal{A}(\psi_k u) + \phi_k \tilde{T}_k(\psi_k u),$$

where  $\tilde{T}_k$  is an operator of the same type as  $T_k$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} |||\phi_k u|||_{s,2} \leq M \left\{ |||\phi_k(A + \lambda)(\psi_k u)|||_{s-2m,2} + \epsilon |||\psi_k u|||_{s,2} \right. \\ \left. + C(\epsilon)|\lambda|^{-1/2m} |||\psi_k u|||_{s,2} + \sum_{j=1}^m |||\phi_k B_j(\psi_k u)|||'_{s-\tau_j-\frac{1}{2},2} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

We may write  $\phi_k(A + \lambda)(\psi_k u) = \phi_k(A + \lambda)u + \phi_k(A + \lambda)(\psi_k - 1)u$  and, similarly, for  $\phi_k B_{jk}(\psi_k u)$ . The operator  $\phi_k \mathcal{A}(\psi_k - 1)$  is again an operator almost of order  $-1$  from  $W^{s,2}(G)$  into

$$W^{s-2m,2}(G) \times \prod_{j=1}^m W^{s-\tau_j-\frac{1}{2},2}(\partial G).$$

Hence we finally obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |||u|||_{s,2} \leq M \left\{ |||(A + \lambda)u|||_{s-2m,2} + \epsilon |||u|||_{s,2} + C(\epsilon)|\lambda|^{-1/2m} |||u|||_{s,2} \right. \\ \left. + \sum_{j=1}^m |||B_j u|||'_{s-\tau_j-\frac{1}{2},2} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking  $\epsilon$  small and  $|\lambda|$  sufficiently large, we obtain the a-priori estimate.

(2) We now construct the inverse of  $\mathcal{A}$ . We have that

$$\mathcal{A}u = \sum_{k=1}^N \phi_k \mathcal{A}_k(\psi_k u) + Tu.$$

For each  $k$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_k$  has a right inverse  $R_k$  (Theorem 3.2). To simplify the notation, we write  $g = (g_1, \dots, g_m)$ . Consider

$$R(f, g) = \sum_{r=1}^N \psi_r R_r(\phi_r f, \phi_r g).$$

$R$  is a bounded linear operator from  $W^{s-2m,2}(G) \times \prod_{j=1}^m W^{s-\tau_j-\frac{1}{2},2}(\partial G)$  into  $W^{s,2}(G)$ . We have that

$$\mathcal{A}R(f, g) = \sum_{\tau,k=1}^N \phi_k \mathcal{A}_k[\psi_\tau R_\tau(\phi_\tau f, \phi_\tau g) \psi_k] + TR(f, g).$$

Set  $u_\tau = \psi_\tau R_\tau(\phi_\tau f, \phi_\tau g)$ . We also have that

$$\phi_k \mathcal{A}_k[\psi_k \psi_\tau u_\tau] = \phi_k \mathcal{A}_\tau[\psi_k \psi_\tau u_\tau] + \phi_k T_{\tau k} u_\tau$$

(cf. 2, pp. 102, 75)  $T_{\tau k}$  is an operator almost of order  $-1$  from  $W^{s,2}(G)$  into

$$W^{s-2m,2}(G) \times \prod_{j=1}^m W^{s-\tau_j-\frac{1}{2},2}(\partial G).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}R(f, g) &= \sum_{\tau,k} \phi_k \psi_k \psi_\tau \mathcal{A}_\tau R_\tau(\phi_\tau f, \phi_\tau g) + TR(f, g) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\tau,k} \phi_k T_{\tau k}[\psi_\tau R_\tau(\phi_\tau f, \phi_\tau g)] \\ &\quad + \sum_{\tau,k} \phi_k \{ \mathcal{A}_\tau[\psi_k \psi_\tau R_\tau(\phi_\tau f, \phi_\tau g)] - \psi_k \psi_\tau \mathcal{A}_\tau R_\tau(\phi_\tau f, \phi_\tau g) \}. \end{aligned}$$

Consider the first sum. It is equal to  $(f, g)$ . Set

$$|||(f, g)|||_s = |||f|||_{s-2m,2} + \sum_{j=1}^m |||g_j|||'_{s-\tau_j-\frac{1}{2},2}.$$

Then

$$|||TR(f, g)|||_s \leq \epsilon |||(f, g)|||_s + C(\epsilon) |\lambda|^{-1/2m} |||(f, g)|||_s.$$

In a similar fashion, we obtain the same bound for the third sum. Since  $\mathcal{A}_\tau[\psi_k \psi_\tau \cdot] - \psi_k \psi_\tau \mathcal{A}_\tau[\cdot]$  is an operator almost of order  $-1$  from  $W^{s,2}(R_+^n)$  into

$$W^{s-2m,2}(R_+^n) \times \prod_{j=1}^m W^{s-\tau_j-\frac{1}{2},2}(R^{n-1}),$$

we obtain the following upper bound for the last sum, namely,

$$\epsilon |||(f, g)|||_s + C(\epsilon) |\lambda|^{-1/2m} |||(f, g)|||_s.$$

Thus  $\mathcal{A}R(f, g) = (f, g) + \mathfrak{F}(f, g)$  with  $|||\mathfrak{F}||| \leq \frac{1}{2}$  for large  $|\lambda|$ . Hence  $(I + \mathfrak{F})^{-1}$  exists and  $\mathcal{A}^{-1} = R(I + \mathfrak{F})^{-1}$ .

REFERENCES

1. S. Agmon, *On the eigenfunctions and on the eigenvalues of general elliptic boundary-value problems*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 15 (1962), 119-147.
2. M. S. Agranovič, *Elliptic singular integro-differential operators*, Uspehi Mat. Nauk 20 (1965), no. 5, 3-120 = Russian Math. Surveys 20 (1965), no. 5-6, 2-116.
3. M. S. Agranovič and M. I. Višik, *Elliptic problems with a parameter and parabolic problems of general type*, Uspehi Mat. Nauk 19 (1964), no. 3, 53-161 = Russian Math. Surveys 19 (1964), no. 3, 53-157.
4. R. Beals, *Nonlocal boundary-value problems for elliptic operators*, Amer. J. Math. 87(1965), 315-362.

5. F. E. Browder, *A-priori estimates for solutions of elliptic boundary-value problems*. I, II, Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser. A 63 = Indag. Math. 22 (1960), 145–149, 160–169; III, Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser. A 64 = Indag. Math. 23 (1961), 404–410.
6. ——— *Nonlocal elliptic boundary-value problems*, Amer. J. Math. 86 (1964), 735–750.
7. ——— *Problèmes non-linéaires*, Séminaires Math. Sup. (Univ. Montreal Press, Montreal, 1965).
8. M. Schechter, *Nonlocal elliptic boundary-value problems*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa (3) 20 (1966), 421–441.
9. P. E. Sobolevskii, *Equations of parabolic type in a Banach space*, Trudy Moskov. Mat. Obšč. 10 (1961), 297–350 = Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. (2) (49), 1–62.
10. B. A. Ton, *On nonlinear elliptic boundary-value problems*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 72 (1966), 307–313.

*Université de Montréal,  
Montréal, P.Q.;*  
*University of British Columbia,  
Vancouver, B.C.*