

# THE NUMBER OF CIRCULAR PATTERNS COMPATIBLE WITH A PSEUDO-SYMMETRIC CONNECTED GRAPH

L. E. BAUM AND J. A. EAGON

In this paper we prove:

**THEOREM.** *Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be an ordered pseudo-symmetric connected graph with  $l$  lines and  $v$  vertices. Let there be  $a_{ij}$  lines directed from vertex  $i$  to vertex  $j$ ,  $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, v$ . Let  $\gcd(a_{ij}) = d$ , and define  $\sum_{j=1}^v a_{ij} = a_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, v$ . The number of distinct  $l$  long circular arrangements of the  $v$  vertices arising from circuits of the graph is:*

$$\frac{\nabla}{\prod_{i=1}^v a_i} \sum_{x|d} \phi(x) \prod_{i=1}^v \left[ \begin{matrix} a_i \\ x \\ a_{i1}/x, a_{i2}/x, \dots, a_{iv}/x \end{matrix} \right],$$

where  $\phi$  is the Euler phi function, the large bracket indicates a multinomial coefficient, and  $\nabla$  can be taken as the  $(v - 1) \times (v - 1)$  determinant:

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} a_2 - a_{22} & -a_{23} & -a_{24} & \dots & -a_{2,v-1} & -a_{2v} \\ -a_{32} & a_3 - a_{33} & -a_{34} & \dots & -a_{3,v-1} & -a_{3v} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ -a_{v2} & -a_{v3} & & & -a_{v,v-1} & a_v - a_{vv} \end{bmatrix}.$$

(For all graph-theoretic undefined terms and unproved theorems see **(1)**, especially Chapters 16 and 17).

*Proof.* We say that an  $l$  long circle of the  $v$  vertices is of frequency  $p$  if it is composed of a sequence of  $p$  identical  $1/p$  long stretches but is not composed of a sequence of more than  $p$  identical stretches. Each frequency  $p$  circular pattern that arises from a circuit of  $\mathcal{G}$  arises from exactly  $(\prod_{i,j=1}^v a_{ij})/p$  Euler circuits of  $\mathcal{G}$ . Moreover, if  $d = \gcd(a_{ij})$ , the only frequencies  $p$  that can arise are divisors of  $d$ . We thus have the fundamental relation:

$$(1) \quad \sum_{p|d} \frac{n_p(\mathcal{G})}{p} = \frac{\text{no. of Euler circuits of } \mathcal{G}}{\prod_{i,j=1}^v a_{ij}} = E(\mathcal{G}),$$

where  $n_p(\mathcal{G})$  is the number of circular patterns of frequency  $p$  that arise from Euler circuits of  $\mathcal{G}$ .

For each  $p$  dividing  $d$  we define a new graph  $\mathcal{G}_p$  with the same number  $v$  of

Received October 16, 1964.

vertices as before but with  $a_{ij}/p$  lines directed from vertex  $i$  to vertex  $j$ . Obviously

$$(\mathfrak{G}_p)_q = \mathfrak{G}_{pq}.$$

Every  $l/p$  long sequence of vertices arising from an Euler circuit of  $\mathfrak{G}_p$  defines by a  $p$ -fold repetition an  $l$ -long circular sequence of frequency  $\geq p$ . If, in keeping with our previous notation,  $n_1(\mathfrak{G}_p)$  is the number of frequency 1 circular patterns of length  $l/p$  defined by Euler circuits of  $\mathfrak{G}_p$ , we have the relation:

$$n_p(\mathfrak{G}_1) = n_1(\mathfrak{G}_p).$$

Shortening  $n_1(\mathfrak{G}_p)$  to  $n(\mathfrak{G}_p)$ , (1) becomes

$$(2) \quad \sum_{p|d} \frac{n(\mathfrak{G}_p)}{p} = E(\mathfrak{G}).$$

Note that if  $q$  is some fixed divisor of  $d$ , then (2) implies that

$$\sum_{p|d/q} \frac{n([\mathfrak{G}_q]_p)}{p} = E(\mathfrak{G}_q).$$

Since  $(\mathfrak{G}_q)_p = \mathfrak{G}_{qp}$ , letting  $qu = d$ , we have

$$E(\mathfrak{G}_{d/u}) = \sum_{p|u} \frac{n(\mathfrak{G}_{pd/u})}{p} = \sum_{p|u} \frac{n(\mathfrak{G}_{d/p})}{u/p},$$

so

$$(3) \quad uE(\mathfrak{G}_{d/u}) = \sum_{p|u} pn(\mathfrak{G}_{d/p}).$$

Because of the form of (3) we can now use the Möbius inversion formula to express  $pn(\mathfrak{G}_{d/p})$  in terms of  $uE(\mathfrak{G}_{d/u})$ ; see (2, Chapter 6) for all the needed number-theoretic terms and definitions. Summing over all divisors  $r$  of  $d$ , we obtain:

$$(4) \quad \sum_{u|d} n(\mathfrak{G}_{d/u}) = \sum_{u|d} \frac{1}{r} \sum_{s|r} \mu(s) \frac{r}{s} E(\mathfrak{G}_{ds/r}) = \sum_{x|d} E(\mathfrak{G}_x) \sum_{s|x} \frac{\mu(s)}{s},$$

where  $\mu$  is the Möbius function. Since by a standard identity:

$$\sum_{s|x} \frac{\mu(s)}{s} = \frac{\phi(x)}{x},$$

where  $\phi$  is the Euler phi function, (4) yields:

$$(5) \quad \sum_{r|d} n(\mathfrak{G}_r) = \sum_{x|d} \frac{E(\mathfrak{G}_x)}{x} \phi(x).$$

By a standard theorem of Tutte, Bott, Aardenne-Ehrenfest, de Bruijn (1, p. 169) for a graph  $\mathfrak{G}$ :

$$(6) \quad \text{no. of Euler circuits} = \prod_{i=1}^v (a_i - 1)! \nabla$$

where  $\nabla$  can be taken as:

$$\nabla = \det \begin{bmatrix} a_2 - a_{22} & -a_{23} & -a_{24} & \dots & -a_{2,v-1} & -a_{2v} \\ -a_{32} & a_3 - a_{33} & -a_{34} & \dots & -a_{3,v-1} & -a_{3v} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ -a_{v2} & -a_{v3} & \dots & \dots & -a_{v,v-1} & a_v - a_{vv} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since the relevant determinant  $\nabla \mathbb{G}_x$  for  $\mathbb{G}_x$  has each element divided by  $x$ , we have for each  $x$  dividing  $d$ :

$$(7) \quad E(\mathbb{G}_x) = \frac{\nabla \prod_{i=1}^v (a_i/x - 1)!}{x^{n-1} \prod_{i,j=1}^v (a_{ij}/x)!}.$$

Substituting (7) in (5) we find that the number of distinct circular patterns of the  $v$  vertices defined by Euler circuits of the graph  $\mathbb{G}$  is:

$$(8) \quad \sum_{x|d} \frac{\phi(x)}{x^v} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^v (a_i/x - 1)!}{\prod_{i,j=1}^v (a_{ij}/x)!} = \frac{\nabla}{\prod_{i=1}^v a_i} \sum_{x|d} \phi(x) \prod_{i=1}^v \left[ \begin{matrix} a_i \\ x \\ \frac{a_{i1}}{x}, \frac{a_{i2}}{x}, \dots, \frac{a_{iv}}{x} \end{matrix} \right],$$

where the large brackets indicate a multinomial coefficient.

A special case of formula (8) gives the number of distinct circular patterns of 0's and 1's compatible with a given frequency count  $f_i, i = 0, \dots, 2^n - 1$  of  $n$  bit words. The associated graph is similar to the Good diagram (3) with lines corresponding to  $n$  bit words and vertices to  $n - 1$  bit words. If  $i = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a_j 2^j$ , then the graph has  $f_i$  lines extending from vertex  $\sum_{j=0}^{n-2} a_j 2^j$  to vertex  $\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a_j 2^{j-1}$ . Since each vertex has at most 2 distinct vertices as successor in these graphs, all the multinomial coefficients in formula (8) are binomial coefficients.

Of course, if  $\text{gcd}(f_i) = 1$ , in particular if  $l = \sum f_i$  is prime, the formula (8) reduces to a single term.

The authors wish to thank Professors David G. Cantor, Anil Nerode, and W. T. Tutte for helpful conversations.

REFERENCES

1. C. Berge, *The theory of graphs and its applications* (New York, 1962).
2. W. LeVeque, *Topics in number theory* (Reading, Mass., 1956).
3. I. J. Good, *Normal recurring decimals*, J. London Math. Soc., 21 (1946), 167-169.

*Institute for Defense Analyses,  
Princeton, New Jersey*