



Heat kernel asymptotics for real powers of Laplacians

Cipriana Anghel

Abstract. We describe the small-time heat kernel asymptotics of real powers Δ^r , $r \in (0, 1)$ of a non-negative self-adjoint generalized Laplacian Δ acting on the sections of a Hermitian vector bundle \mathcal{E} over a closed oriented manifold M . First, we treat separately the asymptotic on the diagonal of $M \times M$ and in a compact set away from it. Logarithmic terms appear only if n is odd and r is rational with even denominator. We prove the non-triviality of the coefficients appearing in the diagonal asymptotics, and also the non-locality of some of the coefficients. In the special case $r = 1/2$, we give a simultaneous formula by proving that the heat kernel of $\Delta^{1/2}$ is a polyhomogeneous conormal section in $\mathcal{E} \boxtimes \mathcal{E}^*$ on the standard blow-up space M_{heat} of the diagonal at time $t = 0$ inside $[0, \infty) \times M \times M$.

1 Introduction

Let Δ be a self-adjoint generalized Laplacian acting on the sections of a Hermitian vector bundle \mathcal{E} over an oriented, compact Riemannian manifold M of dimension n . Denote by p_t the heat kernel of Δ , i.e., the Schwartz kernel of the operator $e^{-t\Delta}$. It is known since Minakshisundaram–Pleijel [21] that $p_t(x, y)$ has an asymptotic expansion as $t \searrow 0$ near the diagonal

$$(1.1) \quad p_t(x, y) \stackrel{t \searrow 0}{\sim} t^{-n/2} e^{-\frac{d(x,y)^2}{4t}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} t^j \Psi_j(x, y),$$

where $d(x, y)$ is the geodesic distance between x and y , and the Ψ_j 's are recursively defined as solutions of certain ODE's along geodesics (see, e.g., [4, 5]). This asymptotic expansion applied to D^*D , where D is a twisted Dirac operator, plays a leading role in the heat kernel proofs of the Atiyah–Singer index theorem (see [6, 7, 12]).

Bär and Moroianu [2] studied the short-time asymptotic behavior of the heat kernel of $\Delta^{1/m}$, $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$, for a strictly positive self-adjoint generalized Laplacian Δ . They give explicit asymptotic formulæ separately in the case when $t \searrow 0$ along the diagonal $\text{Diag} \subset M \times M$, and when t goes to 0 in a compact set away from the diagonal. The asymptotic behavior depends on the parity of the dimension n and of the root m .

Received by the editors September 30, 2022; revised January 4, 2023; accepted January 16, 2023.

Published online on Cambridge Core January 23, 2023.

This work was partially supported from the project PN-III-P4-ID-PCE-2020-0794 funded by UEFSCDI.

AMS subject classification: 58J37, 58J35.

Keywords: Heat kernel asymptotics, fractional powers of Laplacians, blow-up heat space, polyhomogeneous expansions.



More precisely, logarithmic terms appear when n is odd and m is even. They use the Legendre duplication formula, and the more general Gauss multiplication formula for the Γ function (see, e.g., [22]). Another crucial argument in [2] is to use integration by parts in order to show that the Schwartz kernel q_{-s} of the pseudodifferential operator Δ^{-s} , $s \in \mathbb{C}$, defines a meromorphic function when restricted to the diagonal in $M \times M$.

1.1 Small-time heat asymptotic for real powers of Δ

The purpose of this paper is to study the short-time asymptotic of the Schwartz kernel h_t of the operator $e^{-t\Delta^r}$, where $r \in (0, 1)$ and Δ is a non-negative self-adjoint generalized Laplacian, like, for instance, $\Delta = D^*D$ for a Dirac operator D . We give separate formulæ as t goes to 0 in $[0, \infty) \times \text{Diag}$, and when $t \searrow 0$ in $[0, \infty) \times K$, where $K \subset M \times M$ is a compact set disjoint from the diagonal. In Theorem 6.1, we obtain that $h_{t|_{[0, \infty) \times K}} \in t \cdot \mathcal{C}^\infty([0, \infty) \times K)$ is a smooth function vanishing at least to order 1 at $\{t = 0\}$. The asymptotic along the diagonal depends on the parity of n (like in [2]) and on the rationality of r . In Theorem 7.1, the most interesting case occurs when logarithmic terms appear. This happens only if n is odd, $r = \frac{\alpha}{\beta}$ is rational, and the denominator β is even. In that case,

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} h_{t|_{\text{Diag}}} \underset{t \searrow 0}{\sim} & \sum_{j=0}^{(n-1)/2} t^{-\frac{n-2j}{2r}} \cdot A_{-\frac{n-2j}{2r}} + \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ \alpha+2j+1}}^{\infty} t^{\frac{2j+1}{2r}} \cdot A_{\frac{2j+1}{2r}} \\ & + \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ \beta+j}}^{\infty} t^j \cdot A_j + \sum_{\substack{l=1 \\ l \text{ odd}}}^{\infty} t^{l\frac{\beta}{2}} \log t \cdot B_l. \end{aligned}$$

Similar expansions are proved in Theorem 7.1 in all the other cases. Furthermore, we prove the non-triviality of the coefficients appearing in the diagonal asymptotics (Theorem 1.1), and also the non-locality of some of them (Theorem 1.3).

In the special case $r = 1/2$, Bär and Moroianu [2] described the small-time asymptotic behavior of h_t on the diagonal and away from it separately. In Theorem 1.4, we give an uniform description of the transition between the on- and off-diagonal behavior by proving that the heat kernel of $\Delta^{1/2}$ is a polyhomogeneous conormal section in $\mathcal{E} \boxtimes \mathcal{E}^*$ on the standard blow-up space $[[0, \infty) \times M \times M, \{t = 0\} \times \text{Diag}]$.

1.2 Comparison to previous results

Fahrenwaldt [11] studied the off-diagonal short-time asymptotics of the heat kernel of $e^{-tf(P)}$, where $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a smooth function with certain properties, and P is a positive self-adjoint generalized Laplacian. The function $f(x) = x^r$, $r \in (0, 1)$ does not satisfy the third condition in [11, Hypothesis 3.3], which seems to be crucial for the arguments and statements in that paper, so the results of [11] do not seem to apply here.

Duistermaat and Guillemin [10] give the asymptotic expansion of the heat kernel of e^{-tP} , where P is a scalar positive elliptic self-adjoint pseudodifferential operator. The order of P in [10] seems to be a positive integer. It is claimed in [1] that this asymptotic holds true in the context of fiber bundles. Furthermore, Grubb [16, Theorem 4.2.2]

studied the heat asymptotics for e^{-tP} in the context of fiber bundles when the order of P is positive, not necessary an integer. In Theorem 7.1, we obtain the vanishing of some terms appearing in [16, Corollary 4.2.7] in our particular case when $P = \Delta^r$ is a real power of a self-adjoint non-negative generalized Laplacian Δ , $r \in (0, 1)$. We also show that the remaining terms do not vanish in general.

Theorem 1.1 For each $r \in (0, 1)$, none of the coefficients in the small-time asymptotic expansion of h_t appearing in Theorem 7.1 vanishes identically for every generalized Laplacian Δ .

The logarithmic coefficients B_l and the coefficients A_j for $j \notin \mathbb{Z}$ can be computed in terms of the heat coefficients for $e^{-t\Delta}$ appearing in (1.1). It is well known that the heat coefficients of a generalized Laplacian are locally computable in terms of the curvature of the connection on \mathcal{E} , the Riemannian metric of M and their derivatives (see, e.g., [5]). This is no longer the case for the coefficients of positive integer powers of t from Theorem 7.1 as we shall see now.

By applying Theorem 7.1 for $r \in (0, 1)$ and a set of geometric data, namely a hermitic vector bundle \mathcal{E} over an oriented, compact Riemannian manifold (M, g) , a metric connection ∇ and an endomorphism $F \in \text{End } \mathcal{E}$, $F^* = F$, we produce an endomorphism $A_l(M, g, \mathcal{E}, h_{\mathcal{E}}, \nabla, F) \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M, \text{End } \mathcal{E})$ for each index l appearing in (1.2).

Definition 1.1 (i) We say that a function A which associates to any set of geometric data $(M, g, \mathcal{E}, h_{\mathcal{E}}, \nabla, F)$ a section in $\mathcal{C}^\infty(M, \text{End } \mathcal{E})$ is *locally computable* if for any two sets of geometric data $(M, g, \mathcal{E}, h_{\mathcal{E}}, \nabla, F)$, $(M', g', \mathcal{E}', h_{\mathcal{E}'}, \nabla', F')$ which agree on an open set (i.e., there exist an isometry $\alpha : U \rightarrow U'$ between two open sets $U \subset M$, $U' \subset M'$, and a metric isomorphism $\beta : \mathcal{E}|_U \rightarrow \mathcal{E}'|_{U'}$ which preserves the connection and $\beta_x \circ F_x \circ \beta_{\alpha(x)}^{-1} = F'_{\alpha(x)}$), we have

$$\beta_x \circ A_x \circ \beta_{\alpha(x)}^{-1} = A_{\alpha(x)},$$

for any $x \in U$.

- (ii) A scalar function a defined on the set of all geometric data $(M, g, \mathcal{E}, h_{\mathcal{E}}, \nabla, F)$ with values in \mathbb{C} is called *locally computable* if there exists a locally computable function C as in (i) above such that $a = \int_M \text{Tr } C \, \text{dvol}_g$ for any $(M, g, \mathcal{E}, h_{\mathcal{E}}, \nabla, F)$.
- (iii) A function A as in (i) is called *cohomologically locally computable* if there exists a locally computable function C as in (i) such that for any $(M, g, \mathcal{E}, h_{\mathcal{E}}, \nabla, F)$,

$$[\text{Tr } A \, \text{dvol}_g] = [\text{Tr } C \, \text{dvol}_g] \in H_{dR}^n(M).$$

Remark 1.2 (i) If a function A is locally computable, then the integral $a := \int_M \text{Tr } A \, \text{dvol}_g$ is locally computable.

- (ii) A function A is cohomologically locally computable if and only if $a := \int_M \text{Tr } A \, \text{dvol}_g$ is locally computable.

Theorem 1.3 If r is irrational, the heat coefficients A_j in Theorem 7.1 (and in particular in (1.2)) are not locally computable for integer $j \geq 1$. If $r = \frac{\alpha}{\beta}$ is rational, then A_j are not locally computable for $j \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{l\beta : l \in \mathbb{N}\}$. All the other coefficients can be written in terms of the heat coefficients of $e^{-t\Delta}$, hence they are locally computable.

Consider the asymptotic expansion in [10, Corollary 2.2'] for a scalar *admissible* operator, i.e., an elliptic, self-adjoint, positive pseudodifferential operator P of positive integer order d :

$$e^{-tP} \underset{t \rightarrow 0}{\sim} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} A_l(P) t^{(l-n)/d} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} B_k(P) t^k \log t.$$

Gilkey and Grubb [14, Theorem 1.4] proved that the coefficients $a_l(P)$ for $l \geq 0$ and $b_k(P)$ for $k \geq 1$ from the corresponding small-time heat trace expansion

$$(1.3) \quad \text{Tr } e^{-tP} \underset{t \rightarrow 0}{\sim} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} a_l(P) t^{(l-n)/d} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k(P) t^k \log t$$

are generically non-zero in the above class of admissible operators. In Theorem 1.1, we prove the same type of statement. However, in our case, the order of the operator Δ^r is $2r$; thus, it is integer only for $r = 1/2$. Even in this case, the non-vanishing result in Theorem 1.1 is not a consequence of [14, Theorem 1.4] since, in our case, we do not consider the whole class of admissible operators of fixed integer order d in the sense of Gilkey and Grubb [14], but the smaller class of square roots of generalized Laplacians.

Furthermore, in [14, Theorem 1.7], it is proved that the coefficients $a_l(P)$ in (1.3) corresponding to $t^{(l-n)/d}$, for $(l-n)/d \in \mathbb{N}$, are not locally computable. Remark that the meaning of “locally computable” in [14] is different from our Definition 1.1. More precisely, in the definition of Gilkey and Grubb, a locally computable function A has to be a smooth function in the jets of the homogeneous components of the total symbol of the operator. A locally computable coefficient in the sense of Gilkey and Grubb [14] is clearly locally computable in the sense of Definition 1.1(ii).

For $r = 1/2$, Bär and Moroianu [2] remark that for odd $k = 1, 3, \dots$, the coefficients A_k in (1.2) corresponding to t^k appear to be non-local. In Section 9, we clarify this remark by proving that they are indeed non-local in the sense of Definition 1.1 (i) (Theorem 1.3). In fact, we prove that the A_k 's are not *cohomologically* local. By Remark 1.2 (ii), it also follows that the integrals $a_k := \int_M \text{Tr } A_k \text{ dvol}_g$ are not locally computable in the sense of Definition 1.1 (ii). Therefore, the a_k 's for odd k are also not locally computable in the sense of Gilkey and Grubb [14].

For $d = 1$, the non-local coefficients in the heat expansion (1.3) in [14] are a_{n+1}, a_{n+2}, \dots , whereas in our case corresponding to $r = d/2 = 1/2$, the non-local coefficients are a_1, a_3, \dots . Despite some formal resemblances, it appears therefore that the results of the present paper are quite different from those of [14].

1.3 The heat kernel as a conormal section

Recall that a smooth function f on the interior of a manifold with corners is said to be *polyhomogeneous conormal* if for any boundary hypersurface given by a boundary defining function θ , f has an expansion with terms of the form $\theta^k \log^l \theta$ toward $\{\theta = 0\}$ (only natural powers l are allowed). In [19], Melrose introduced the heat space M_H^2 by performing a parabolic blow-up of the diagonal in $M \times M$ at time $t = 0$. The new space is a manifold with corners with boundary hypersurfaces given by the boundary defining functions ρ and ω_0 . Then the heat kernel p_t has the form $\rho^{-n} \mathcal{C}^\infty(M_H^2)$, and it vanishes rapidly at $\{\omega_0 = 0\}$ (see [19, Theorem 7.12]).

In the special case $r = 1/2$, we are able to give a simultaneous formula for the asymptotic behavior of h_t as t goes to zero *both* on the diagonal and away from it. We can understand better the heat operator $e^{-t\Delta^{1/2}}$ on a *homogeneous* (rather than parabolic) *blow-up* heat space M_{heat} , the usual blow-up of $\{0\} \times \text{Diag}$ in $[0, \infty) \times M \times M$. The new added face is called the *front face* and we denote it ff , whereas the lift of the old boundary is the *lateral boundary*, denoted lb .

Theorem 1.4 *If n is even, then the Schwartz kernel h_t of the operator $e^{-t\Delta^{1/2}}$ belongs to $\rho^{-n}\omega_0 \cdot \mathcal{C}^\infty(M_{\text{heat}})$, while if n is odd, $h_t \in \rho^{-n}\omega_0 \cdot \mathcal{C}^\infty(M_{\text{heat}}) + \rho \log \rho \cdot \omega_0 \cdot \mathcal{C}^\infty(M_{\text{heat}})$.*

Theorem 1.4 improves the results of [2] twofold. First, it holds true for non-negative generalized Laplacians. Second, while Bär–Moroianu describe the asymptotic behavior of h_t on the diagonal and away from it separately, this theorem also gives a precise, uniform description of the transition between these two regions by showing that h_t is a polyhomogeneous conormal section on M_{heat} with values in $\mathcal{E} \boxtimes \mathcal{E}^*$.

Note that throughout the paper, integral kernels act on sections by integration with respect to the fixed Riemannian density from M in the second variable, so h_t does not contain a density factor. We feel that in the present context this exhibits more clearly the asymptotic behavior.

Based on the study of the case $r = 1/2$ and on the separate asymptotic expansions of the heat kernel h_t of Δ^r , $r \in (0, 1)$ as t goes to 0 given in Theorems 6.1 and 7.1, we can conjecture that the heat kernel h_t is a polyhomogeneous conormal function for *all* $r \in (0, 1)$ on a “transcendental” heat blow-up space M_{heat}^r depending on r . We leave this as a future project.

2 The heat kernel of a generalized Laplacian

Let \mathcal{E} be a Hermitian vector bundle over a compact Riemannian manifold M of dimension n . Consider Δ to be a generalized Laplacian, i.e., a second-order differential operator which satisfies

$$\sigma_2(\Delta)(x, \xi) = |\xi|^2 \cdot \text{id}_{\mathcal{E}}.$$

For example, if ∇ is a connection on \mathcal{E} and $F \in \Gamma(\text{End } \mathcal{E})$, $F^* = F$, then $\nabla^* \nabla + F$ is a symmetric generalized Laplacian on \mathcal{E} .

Suppose that Δ is self-adjoint. Since M is compact, the spectrum of Δ is discrete and $L^2(M, \mathcal{E})$ splits as an orthogonal Hilbert direct sum

$$L^2(M, \mathcal{E}) = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \text{Spec } \Delta}^\perp E_\lambda,$$

where E_λ is the eigenspace corresponding to the eigenvalue λ of Δ . Moreover, $\dim E_\lambda < \infty$ and by elliptic regularity, the eigensections are smooth (see, e.g., [8]). Let $e^{-t\Delta}$ be the *heat operator* defined as

$$e^{-t\Delta}\Phi = e^{-t\lambda}\Phi,$$

for any $\Phi \in E_\lambda$, $\lambda \in \text{Spec } \Delta$.

Definition 2.1 The heat kernel of a self-adjoint elliptic pseudodifferential operator P acting on the sections of \mathcal{E} is the Schwartz kernel of the operator e^{-tP} .

If we denote by $\{\Phi_j\}$ an orthonormal Hilbert basis of Δ -eigensections, then the heat kernel $p_t(x, y)$ satisfies

$$p_t(x, y) = \sum_j e^{-t\lambda_j} \Phi_j(x) \otimes \Phi_j^*(y)$$

in $\mathcal{C}^\infty((0, \infty) \times M \times M)$.

Recall that the L^2 -product of two sections $s_1, s_2 \in \Gamma(\mathcal{E})$ is given by

$$\langle s_1, s_2 \rangle_{L^2(\mathcal{E})} = \int_M h_{\mathcal{E}}(s_1, s_2) \operatorname{dvol}_g,$$

where g is the metric on M and $h_{\mathcal{E}}$ is the Hermitian product on \mathcal{E} .

Let $y \in M$ be a fixed point. We work in geodesic normal coordinates defined by the exponential map

$$\exp_y : T_y M \longrightarrow M.$$

Since M is compact, there exists a global injectivity radius ε . For x close enough to y ($d(x, y) \leq \varepsilon$), take $x \in T_y M$ the unique tangent vector of length smaller than ε such that $x = \exp_y x$. Let

$$j(x) = \frac{\exp_y^* dx}{dx}$$

namely the pull-back of the volume form dx on M through the exponential map \exp_y is equal with $j(x)dx$. More precisely,

$$j(x) = |\det(d_x \exp_{x_0})| = \det^{1/2}(g_{ij}(x)).$$

Denote by $\tau_x^y : \mathcal{E}_x \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_y$ the parallel transport along the unique minimal geodesic $x_s = \exp_y(sx)$, where $s \in [0, 1]$, which connects the points x and y . The heat kernel $p_t(x, y)$ belongs to the space $\mathcal{C}^\infty((0, \infty) \times M \times M, \mathcal{E}_x \otimes \mathcal{E}_y^*)$ and $p_t(x, y)$ satisfies the heat equation

$$(\partial_t + \Delta_x) p_t(x, y) = 0.$$

Furthermore, $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} P_t s = s$, in $\|\cdot\|_0$, for any smooth section $s \in \Gamma(M, \mathcal{E})$, where

$$(P_t s)(x) = \int_M p_t(x, y) s(y) dg(y),$$

where $dg(y)$ is the Riemannian density of the metric g . The next theorem is due to Minakshisundaram and Pleijel (see, for instance, [4, 21]).

Theorem 2.1 The heat kernel p_t has the following asymptotic expansion near the diagonal:

$$p_t(x, y) \stackrel{t \searrow 0}{\sim} (4\pi t)^{-n/2} e^{-\frac{d(x,y)^2}{4t}} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} t^i \Psi_i(x, y),$$

where $\Psi_i : \mathcal{E}_y \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_x$ are \mathcal{C}^∞ sections defined near the diagonal. Moreover, the Ψ_i 's are given by the following explicit formulæ:

$$\Psi_0(x, y) = j^{-1/2}(x) \tau_y^x,$$

$$\tau_x^y \Psi_i(x, y) = -j^{-1/2}(x) \int_0^1 s^{i-1} j^{-1/2}(x_s) \tau_{x_s}^y \Delta_x \Psi_{i-1}(x_s, y) ds.$$

The asymptotic sum in Theorem 2.1 can be understood using truncation and bounds of derivatives as in [5]. We prefer the interpretation given in [19], where the heat kernel p_t is shown to belong to $\rho^{-n} \mathcal{C}^\infty(M_H^2)$ on the parabolic blow-up space M_H^2 and to vanish rapidly at the temporal boundary face $\{\omega_0 = 0\}$ (see Section 10).

Example 2.2 Let $\mathbb{T}^n = (S^1)^n = \mathbb{R}^n / (2\pi\mathbb{Z})^n$ be the n -dimensional torus with the standard product metric $g = d\theta_1^2 \otimes \dots \otimes d\theta_n^2$. Consider the trivial bundle $\mathcal{E} = \mathbb{C}$ over \mathbb{T}^n with the standard metric $h_{\mathcal{E}}$, the trivial connection $\nabla = d$, and the zero endomorphism F . Let Δ_1 be the Laplacian on \mathbb{T}^n given by the metric g . The eigenvalues of Δ_1 are $\{k_1^2 + \dots + k_n^2 : k_1, \dots, k_n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Let $\varphi_l(\xi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{il\xi}$ be the standard orthonormal basis of eigenfunctions of each Δ_{S^1} . Then, for $\theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n) \in \mathbb{T}^n$, the heat kernel p_t of Δ_1 is the following:

$$p_t(\theta, \theta) = \sum_{(k_1, \dots, k_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n} e^{-t(k_1^2 + \dots + k_n^2)} \varphi_{k_1}(\theta_1) \overline{\varphi_{k_1}(\theta_1)} \dots \varphi_{k_n}(\theta_n) \overline{\varphi_{k_n}(\theta_n)}.$$

Since $\varphi_l(\xi) \overline{\varphi_l(\xi)} = \frac{1}{2\pi}$, for any $\xi \in S^1$, we get

$$p_t(\theta, \theta) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \sum_{(k_1, \dots, k_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n} e^{-t(k_1^2 + \dots + k_n^2)}.$$

Remark that the Fourier transform of the function $f_t : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $f_t(x) = e^{-t|x|^2}$ is given by

$$\hat{f}_t(\xi) = \frac{\pi^{n/2}}{t^{n/2}} e^{-\frac{|\xi|^2}{4t}}.$$

Using the multidimensional Poisson formula (see, for instance, [3]), we obtain that

$$p_t(\theta, \theta) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} f_t(k) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \hat{f}_t(2\pi k) = \frac{\pi^{n/2}}{(2\pi)^n} t^{-n/2} + \frac{\pi^{n/2}}{(2\pi)^n} t^{-n/2} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}} e^{-\frac{\pi^2 |k|^2}{t}}.$$

Since the last sum is of order $\mathcal{O}\left(e^{-\frac{1}{t}}\right)$ as $t \rightarrow 0$, it follows that the first coefficient in the asymptotic expansion at small-time t of p_t is $\frac{\pi^{n/2}}{(2\pi)^n}$ and all the others vanish.

From now on, suppose that Δ is non-negative (i.e., $h_{\mathcal{E}}(\Delta f, f) \geq 0$, for any $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M, \mathcal{E})$). For $s \in \mathbb{C}$, we define the complex powers $\Delta^{-s} \in \Psi^{-2s}(M, \mathcal{E})$ of Δ as

$$\Delta^{-s} \Phi = \begin{cases} \lambda^{-s} \Phi, & \text{if } \Phi \in E_\lambda, \lambda \neq 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } \Phi \in \text{Ker } \Delta. \end{cases}$$

Remark that $(\Delta^s)_{s \in \mathbb{C}}$ is a holomorphic family of pseudodifferential operators. Let $r \in (0, 1)$. We denote by h_t the heat kernel of Δ^r , namely the Schwartz kernel of the

operator $e^{-t\Delta}$. We have seen that

$$(2.1) \quad p_t(x, x) \stackrel{t \searrow 0}{\sim} t^{-n/2} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} t^j a_j(x, x),$$

with smooth sections $a_j(x, x) \in \mathcal{E}_x \otimes \mathcal{E}_x^*$.

3 The link between the heat kernel and complex powers of the Laplacian

Proposition 1 (Mellin Formula) *With the notations above, for $\Re s > 0$, we have*

$$\Delta^{-s} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^{\infty} t^{s-1} (e^{-t\Delta} - P_{\text{Ker } \Delta}) dt,$$

where $P_{\text{Ker } \Delta}$ is the orthogonal projection onto the kernel of Δ .

Proof It is straightforward to check that both sides coincide on eigensections $\Phi \in E_\lambda$, $\lambda \in \text{Spec } \Delta$. Since $\{\Phi_j\}_j$ is a Hilbert basis, the result follows. ■

We will write $P_{\text{Ker } \Delta}(x, y)$ for the Schwartz kernel $\sum_k \varphi_k(x) \otimes \varphi_k^*(y)$, where $\{\varphi_k\}$ is an orthonormal basis in $\text{Ker } \Delta$. Denote by q_{-s} the Schwartz kernel of the operator Δ^{-s} . Let us first study the poles and the zeros of q_{-s} away from the diagonal.

Proposition 2 *Let K be a compact in $M \times M \setminus \text{Diag}$. Then, for $(x, y) \in K$, the function $s \mapsto q_{-s}|_K \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(K, \mathcal{E} \boxtimes \mathcal{E}^*)$ is entire. Moreover, $q_{-s}|_K$ vanishes at each negative integer s .*

Proof For $\Re s > 0$, let $f_{x,y}(s) = \int_0^{\infty} t^{s-1} (p_t(x, y) - P_{\text{Ker } \Delta}(x, y)) dt$. Remark that

$$\begin{aligned} f_{x,y}(s) &= \int_0^{\infty} t^{s-1} (p_t(x, y) - P_{\text{Ker } \Delta}(x, y)) dt \\ &= \int_1^{\infty} t^{s-1} (p_t(x, y) - P_{\text{Ker } \Delta}(x, y)) dt \\ &\quad + \int_0^1 t^{s-1} p_t(x, y) dt - P_{\text{Ker } \Delta}(x, y) \cdot \int_0^1 t^{s-1} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Since $p_t(x, y) - P_{\text{Ker } \Delta}(x, y)$ decays exponentially fast as t goes to ∞ , the first integral is absolutely convergent in C^k norms. The heat kernel p_t vanishes with all of its derivatives as $t \searrow 0$ in the compact K , thus the second integral is also absolutely convergent. The last integral term is well-defined for $\Re s > 0$, and it extends to a meromorphic function on \mathbb{C} with a simple pole in $s = 0$. Therefore, $s \mapsto f_{x,y}(s)$ extends to a meromorphic function on \mathbb{C} . By Proposition 1 and the identity theorem, the equality of meromorphic functions

$$\Gamma(s)q_{-s}(x, y) = f_{x,y}(s)$$

holds for any $s \in \mathbb{C}$. In particular, we obtain $q_0(x, y) = -P_{\text{Ker } \Delta}(x, y)$. Furthermore, $q_{-s}|_K$ is an entire function and vanishes in $s = -1, -2, \dots$ ■

Remark 3.1 The fact that $q_{-s}|_K$ vanishes for negative integers s also follows from the fact that then Δ^{-s} is a differential operator.

Now we check the behavior of q_{-s} along the diagonal. It is no longer holomorphic there, and the coefficients $a_j(x, x)$ from (2.1) appear as residues of $q_{-s}(x, x)$.

Proposition 3 *Let $x \in M$. Then the function $s \mapsto \Gamma(s)q_{-s}(x, x)$ has a meromorphic extension from the set $\{s \in \mathbb{C} : \Re s > \frac{n}{2}\}$ to \mathbb{C} with simple poles in $s \in \{0\} \cup \{\frac{n}{2} - j : j \in \mathbb{N}\}$. The residue of $\Gamma(s)q_{-s}(x, x)$ in $s = \frac{n}{2} - j$, $j \neq \frac{n}{2}$, is $a_j(x, x)$. If n is even, then the residue of $\Gamma(s)q_{-s}(x, x)$ in $s = 0$ is $a_{\frac{n}{2}}(x, x) - P_{\text{Ker } \Delta}(x, x)$. If n is odd, the residue in $s = 0$ is $-P_{\text{Ker } \Delta}(x, x)$ and the meromorphic extension of $q_{-s}(x, x)$ vanishes at $s \in \{-1, -2, \dots\}$.*

Proof Consider the function $f_{x,x}(s) = \int_0^\infty t^{s-1} (p_t(x, x) - P_{\text{Ker } \Delta}(x, x)) dt$ for $\Re s > \frac{n}{2}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} f_{x,x}(s) &= \int_0^\infty t^{s-1} (p_t(x, x) - P_{\text{Ker } \Delta}(x, x)) dt \\ &= \int_1^\infty t^{s-1} (p_t(x, x) - P_{\text{Ker } \Delta}(x, x)) dt \\ &\quad + \int_0^1 t^{s-1} p_t(x, x) dt - P_{\text{Ker } \Delta}(x, x) \cdot \int_0^1 t^{s-1} dt. \end{aligned}$$

The first integral is absolutely convergent, as seen in the proof of Proposition 2. The last integral term is meromorphic with a simple pole at $s = 0$ with residue $-P_{\text{Ker } \Delta}(x, x)$. Let us analyze the behavior of the second term $A_x(s) = \int_0^1 t^{s-1} p_t(x, x) dt$.

Using (2.1), we have that for $N \geq 0$,

$$t^{n/2} p_t(x, x) = \sum_{j=0}^N t^j a_j(x, x) + R_{N+1}(t, x),$$

where R_{N+1} is of order $\mathcal{O}(t^{N+1})$ as $t \rightarrow 0$. Furthermore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} A_x(s) &= \int_0^1 t^{s-\frac{n}{2}-1} t^{\frac{n}{2}} p_t(x, x) dt = \sum_{j=0}^N \int_0^1 t^{s-\frac{n}{2}-1} t^j a_j(x, x) dt + \int_0^1 t^{s-\frac{n}{2}-1} R_{N+1}(t, x) dt \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^N a_j(x, x) \frac{1}{s - \frac{n}{2} + j} + \int_0^1 t^{s-\frac{n}{2}-1} R_{N+1}(t, x) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $s \mapsto A_x(s)$ extends to a meromorphic function on \mathbb{C} with simple poles in $\{\frac{n}{2} - j : j = 0, N + 1\}$. Using again Proposition 1 and the identity theorem, we deduce the equality

$$\Gamma(s)q_{-s}(x, x) = f_{x,x}(s),$$

for any $s \in \mathbb{C}$. It follows that $\Gamma(s)q_{-s}(x, x)$ is meromorphic on \mathbb{C} with simple poles in $s \in \{0\} \cup \{\frac{n}{2} - j : j \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Moreover, the residue of $\Gamma(s)q_{-s}(x, x)$ in a pole $\frac{n}{2} - j$ is $a_j(x, x)$, and the conclusion follows. ■

For $p \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, let $B_\varepsilon(p)$ be the open disk centered in p of radius ε . We need the following technical result.

Proposition 4 Consider $\alpha < \beta$, and let $\varepsilon > 0, l \in \mathbb{N}$.

- If K is a compact set disjoint from the diagonal, then the function $s \mapsto \Gamma(s)q_{-s|_K}$ is uniformly bounded in $\{s \in \mathbb{C} : \alpha \leq \Re s \leq \beta\} \setminus B_\varepsilon(0)$ in the \mathcal{C}^l norm on K .
- The function $s \mapsto \Gamma(s)q_{-s}|_{\text{Diag}}$ defined on $\{s \in \mathbb{C} : \alpha \leq \Re s \leq \beta\} \setminus \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\frac{n}{2}\}} B_\varepsilon(\frac{n}{2} - j) \mapsto \mathcal{C}^l(\text{Diag}, \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{E}^*)$ is uniformly bounded.

Proof With the same argument as in the proof of Proposition 2, the restriction of the \mathcal{C}^l norm on K of the function $s \mapsto f_{x,y}(s)$ is absolutely convergent in $\{s \in \mathbb{C} : \alpha \leq \Re s \leq \beta\} \setminus B_\varepsilon(0)$, hence it is uniformly bounded.

As in the proof of Proposition 3, the \mathcal{C}^l norm along Diag of $s \mapsto f_{x,x}(s)$ converges absolutely in $\{s \in \mathbb{C} : \alpha \leq \Re s \leq \beta\} \setminus \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\frac{n}{2}\}} B_\varepsilon(\frac{n}{2} - j)$, thus the conclusion follows. ■

4 The behavior of quotients of Gamma functions along vertical lines

A fundamental result used in [2] is the Legendre duplication formula

$$\frac{\Gamma(s)}{\Gamma(\frac{s}{2})} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} 2^{s-\frac{1}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{s+1}{2}\right),$$

together with the rapid decay of the Gamma function in vertical lines $\Re s = \tau$ (see, e.g., [22]). These results are replaced in our case by the following estimate.

Proposition 5 The function $s \mapsto \frac{\Gamma(s)}{\Gamma(rs)}$ decreases in vertical lines faster than $|s|^{-k}$, for any $k \geq 0$, uniformly in each strip $\{s \in \mathbb{C} : \alpha \leq \Re(s) \leq \beta\}$, for any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof For $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_-$, recall the Stirling formula (see, for instance, [23])

$$\log \Gamma(z) = \left(z - \frac{1}{2}\right) \log z - z + \frac{1}{2} \log(2\pi) + \Omega(z),$$

where \log is defined on its principal branch, and Ω is an analytic function of z . For $|\arg z| < \pi$ and $|z| \rightarrow \infty$, Ω can be written as

$$\Omega(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \frac{B_{2j}}{2j(2j-1)z^{2j-1}} + R_N(z),$$

where B_{2j} are the Bernoulli numbers ($B_2 = \frac{1}{6}, B_4 = -\frac{1}{30}, B_6 = \frac{1}{42}$, etc.). Moreover, the error term satisfies

$$|R_N(z)| \leq \frac{|B_{2N}|}{2N(2N-1)} \cdot \frac{\sec^{2N}\left(\frac{\arg z}{2}\right)}{|z|^{2N-1}};$$

thus, $R_N(z)$ is of order $\mathcal{O}(|z|^{-2N+1})$ as $|z| \rightarrow \infty$ (see, for instance, [22, equation (2.1.6)]). For $s \notin (-\infty, 0)$, it follows that

$$\frac{\Gamma(s)}{\Gamma(rs)} = s^{-s(r-1)} e^{s(r-1)} r^{\frac{1}{2}-rs} e^{\Omega(s)-\Omega(rs)}.$$

Let $s = a + ib$, $a \in \mathbb{R}$ fixed. As $|b| \rightarrow \infty$, the difference $|\Omega(s) - \Omega(rs)| \rightarrow 0$; thus, $|e^{\Omega(s) - \Omega(rs)}| \rightarrow 1$. Note that $|r^{\frac{1}{2} - rs}| = |r^{\frac{1}{2} - ra}|$ and $|e^{(r-1)s}| = e^{(r-1)a}$, so these terms are bounded. We show in Lemma 4.1 that for any $k \geq 0$, $|s|^k |s^s|$ goes to 0 as $\Re s = a$ is fixed and $|\operatorname{Im} s|$ tends to ∞ . It follows that the quotient $\frac{\Gamma(s)}{\Gamma(rs)}$ indeed decreases in vertical lines faster than $|s|^{-k}$, for any $k \geq 0$, uniformly in vertical strips. ■

Lemma 4.1 *Let $k \geq 0$. If $a \in \mathbb{R}$ is fixed and $|b| \rightarrow \infty$, then $|(a + ib)^{k+a+ib}|$ tends to zero.*

Proof Let $s = a + ib \notin (-\infty, 0)$ and set $\log(a + ib) = x + iy$. Then $x = \log \sqrt{a^2 + b^2}$, $y = \arg s \in (-\pi, \pi)$; hence,

$$|s^{s+k}| = |e^{(k+a+ib)\log(a+ib)}| = e^{(k+a)x - by} = e^{(k+a)\log \sqrt{a^2+b^2} - b \arg s}.$$

Since $b = \tan \arg s \cdot a$, the exponent is equal to

$$(4.1) \quad (k+a) \log \sqrt{a^2+b^2} - b \arg s \\ = (k+a) \log a + \frac{k+a}{2} \log(1 + \tan^2 \arg s) - a \tan \arg s \cdot \arg s.$$

If $a > 0$, then $\arg s \nearrow \frac{\pi}{2}$ or $\arg s \searrow -\frac{\pi}{2}$, and in both cases $t := \tan \arg s$ tends to ∞ . The exponent (4.1) behaves as the function $t \mapsto \log(1 + t^2) - t$; therefore, as $t \rightarrow \infty$, the exponent goes to $-\infty$ and the statement of the claim follows.

If $a < 0$, then $\arg s \searrow \frac{\pi}{2}$ or $\arg s \nearrow -\frac{\pi}{2}$. In the first case when $\arg s \searrow \frac{\pi}{2}$, it follows that $t = \tan \arg s \rightarrow -\infty$. The exponent (4.1) behaves as $\pm \log(1 + t^2) + t$; hence, the conclusion follows. While if $\arg s \nearrow -\frac{\pi}{2}$, then $t \rightarrow \infty$, and the exponent (4.1) behaves as $\pm \log(1 + t^2) - t$; thus, the exponent tends again to $-\infty$. Therefore, $|s^{k+s}|$ goes to zero, which ends the proof. ■

5 Link between the complex powers of Δ and the heat kernel of Δ^r

Proposition 6 (Inverse Mellin Formula) *For $\Re \tau > 0$, the operators $e^{-t \Delta^r}$ and Δ^{-s} are related by the following formula:*

$$e^{-t \Delta^r} - \operatorname{P}_{\operatorname{Ker} \Delta} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Re s = \tau} t^{-s} \Gamma(s) \Delta^{-rs} ds.$$

Proof The equality holds on each eigensection Φ_j corresponding to an eigenvalue $\lambda_j \in \operatorname{Spec} \Delta$. Since $\{\Phi_j\}_j$ is a Hilbert basis, the result follows. ■

Set $\tau > \frac{n}{2r}$. Then the Schwartz kernel q_{-rs} of Δ^{-rs} is continuous and by the inverse Mellin formula, we get an identity which relates the Schwartz kernels h_t and q_{-rs} :

$$h_t(x, y) - \operatorname{P}_{\operatorname{Ker} \Delta}(x, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Re s = \tau} t^{-s} \Gamma(s) q_{-rs}(x, y) ds \\ = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Re s = \tau} t^{-s} \frac{\Gamma(s)}{\Gamma(rs)} \cdot \Gamma(rs) q_{-rs}(x, y) ds.$$

Now let $k > 0$. By changing τ to $\tau + \varepsilon$ (for a small $\varepsilon > 0$) if needed, we can assume that $\tau - k \notin \{\frac{n}{2} - j : j \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{0\}$. Using Propositions 4 and 5, we can apply the residue

formula and move the line of integration to the left:

$$(5.1) \quad h_t(x, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Re s = \tau - k} t^{-s} \frac{\Gamma(s)}{\Gamma(rs)} \cdot \Gamma(rs) q_{-rs}(x, y) ds + \sum_{s \in -\mathbb{N} \cup \{\frac{n-2j}{2r}; j \in \mathbb{N}\}} \text{Res}_s (t^{-s} \Gamma(s) q_{-rs}(x, y)) + P_{\text{Ker } \Delta}(x, y).$$

Notice that $-\mathbb{N} \cup \{\frac{n-2j}{2r}; j \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is the set of all possible poles of $s \mapsto \Gamma(s) q_{-rs}(x, y)$, but some of them might actually be regular points. We will study the sum (5.1) in detail in Theorems 6.1 and 7.1.

Let K be a compact set in $M \times M \setminus \text{Diag}$ and $l \in \mathbb{N}$. Remark that the integral term in (5.1) is of order $\mathcal{O}(t^{k-\tau})$ in $\mathcal{C}^l(K, \mathcal{E} \boxtimes \mathcal{E}^*)$. Indeed,

$$\left\| \int_{\Re s = \tau - k} t^{-s} \Gamma(s) q_{-rs|_K} ds \right\|_l \leq t^{-\tau+k} \cdot \int_{s = \tau - k + iu} \left\| \frac{\Gamma(s)}{\Gamma(rs)} \cdot \Gamma(rs) q_{-rs|_K} \right\|_l du,$$

and using again Propositions 4 and 5, the claim follows. Furthermore, when k goes to ∞ , we get

$$(5.2) \quad h_{t|_K} \stackrel{t \searrow 0}{\sim} \sum_{\alpha=0}^{\infty} t^\alpha \cdot \text{Res}_{s=-\alpha} (\Gamma(s) q_{-rs|_K}) + t^0 \cdot P_{\text{Ker } \Delta|_K},$$

The meaning of the asymptotic sign in (5.2) is that if we set h_t^N to be the right-hand side in (5.2) restricted to $\alpha \leq N$, then the difference $|\partial_t^j (h_{t|_K} - h_t^N)|$ is of order $\mathcal{O}(t^{N+1-j})$ in $\mathcal{C}^l(K, \mathcal{E} \boxtimes \mathcal{E}^*)$, for any $N, j \in \mathbb{N}$.

Remark that using again Propositions 4 and 5, the integral term in (5.1) is of order $\mathcal{O}(t^{k-\tau})$ in $\mathcal{C}^l(\text{Diag}, \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{E}^*)$. Therefore when k tends to ∞ , we obtain

$$(5.3) \quad h_{t|_{\text{Diag}}} \stackrel{t \searrow 0}{\sim} \sum_{\alpha \in (-\mathbb{N}) \cup \{\frac{n-2j}{2r}; j \in \mathbb{N}\}} t^{-\alpha} \cdot \text{Res}_{s=\alpha} (\Gamma(s) q_{-rs|_{\text{Diag}}}) + t^0 \cdot P_{\text{Ker } \Delta|_{\text{Diag}}},$$

in the sense of the following:

Definition 5.1 Consider $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}$. We say that $h_{t|_{\text{Diag}}} \stackrel{t \searrow 0}{\sim} \sum_{\alpha \in A} t^\alpha c_\alpha + \sum_{\beta \in B} t^\beta \log t \cdot c_\beta$ if for any $k, N \in \mathbb{N}$, the difference

$$\partial_t^j \left(h_{t|_{\text{Diag}}} - \sum_{\alpha \leq N} t^\alpha c_\alpha - \sum_{\beta \leq N} t^\beta \log t \cdot c_\beta \right)$$

is of order $\mathcal{O}(t^{N+1-j} \log t)$ in $\mathcal{C}^l(\text{Diag}, \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{E}^*)$.

6 The asymptotic expansion of h_t away from the diagonal

Theorem 6.1 The Schwartz kernel h_t of the operator $e^{-t\Delta^r}$ is \mathcal{C}^∞ on $[0, \infty) \times (M \times M \setminus \text{Diag})$. Furthermore, let $K \subset M \times M \setminus \text{Diag}$ be a compact set. Then the Taylor series of $h_{t|_K}$ as $t \searrow 0$ is the following:

$$h_{t|_K} \stackrel{t \searrow 0}{\sim} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} t^j q_{rj|_K} \frac{(-1)^j}{j!}.$$

Moreover, if $r = \frac{\alpha}{\beta}$ is rational with α, β coprime, then the coefficient of t^j vanishes for $j \in \beta\mathbb{N}^*$.

Proof Let $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Using Propositions 4 and 5, $(-s)(-s-1)\dots(-s-j+1)t^{-s-j} \frac{\Gamma(s)}{\Gamma(rs)} \Gamma(rs)q_{-rs|_K}$ is L^1 integrable on $\mathfrak{R}s = \tau - k$ in $\mathcal{C}^l(K, \mathcal{E} \boxtimes \mathcal{E}^*)$, for sufficiently large k and for any $l \in \mathbb{N}$. It follows that h_t is \mathcal{C}^∞ on $(0, \infty) \times (M \times M \setminus \text{Diag})$. By Proposition 2, the function $s \mapsto q_{-rs}(x, y)$ is entire for any $(x, y) \in K$. Since $\text{Res}_{s=-j} \Gamma(s) = \frac{(-1)^j}{j!}$, using (5.2) we get

$$h_{t|_K} \stackrel{t \searrow 0}{\sim} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} t^j q_{rj|_K} \frac{(-1)^j}{j!} + P_{\text{Ker } \Delta|_K}.$$

We obtained in the proof of Proposition 2 that $q_{0|_K} = -P_{\text{Ker } \Delta|_K}$; thus,

$$h_{t|_K} \stackrel{t \searrow 0}{\sim} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} t^j q_{rj|_K} \frac{(-1)^j}{j!},$$

and therefore $h_{t|_K}$ is \mathcal{C}^∞ also at $t = 0$, and vanishes at order 1. Moreover, using again Proposition 2, if $r = \frac{\alpha}{\beta}$ is rational and j is a non-zero multiple of β , then $q_{rj|_K} \equiv 0$ and the conclusion follows. ■

7 The asymptotic expansion of h_t along the diagonal

To obtain the coefficients in the asymptotic of h_t along the diagonal as $t \searrow 0$, we need to compute the residues from (5.3). Some of them are related to the heat coefficients a_j 's of p_t due to Proposition 3. We will distinguish three cases. If n is even, $\Gamma(s)q_{-rs}(x)$ has simple poles in $\{\frac{n}{2r}, \frac{n-2}{2r}, \dots, \frac{2}{2r}\} \cup \{0, -1, \dots\}$ and the residues will give rise to real powers of t . If n is odd and either r is irrational or r is rational with odd denominator, $\Gamma(s)q_{-rs}(x)$ has simple poles in $\{0, -1, \dots\} \cup \{\frac{n-2j}{2r} : j = 0, 1, \dots\}$. Otherwise, if n is odd and r is rational with even denominator, then there exist some double poles which give rise to logarithmic terms in the asymptotic expansion of h_t .

Theorem 7.1 Let $a_j(x, x)$ be the coefficients in (2.1) of the heat kernel p_t of the non-negative self-adjoint generalized Laplacian Δ . The asymptotic expansion of the Schwartz kernel h_t of the operator $e^{-t\Delta^r}$, $r \in (0, 1)$ along the diagonal when $t \searrow 0$ is the following:

(1) If n is even, then

$$h_{t|\text{Diag}} \stackrel{t \searrow 0}{\sim} \sum_{j=0}^{n/2-1} t^{-\frac{n-2j}{2r}} \cdot A_{-\frac{n-2j}{2r}} + a_{n/2} + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} t^j \cdot A_j.$$

If $r = \frac{\alpha}{\beta}$ is rational, for $j = l\beta$, $l \in \mathbb{N}^*$, we obtain that $q_{rj}(x, x) = (-1)^j \cdot j! \cdot a_{\frac{n}{2}+l\alpha}(x, x)$, and the coefficient of $t^{l\beta}$ can be described more precisely as

$$A_{l\beta} = a_{\frac{n}{2}+l\alpha}.$$

(2) If n is odd and either $r \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ or the denominator of r is odd, then

$$h_{t|\text{Diag}} \stackrel{t \searrow 0}{\sim} \sum_{j=0}^{(n-1)/2} t^{-\frac{n-2j}{2r}} \cdot A_{-\frac{n-2j}{2r}} + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} t^j \cdot A_j + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} t^{\frac{2j+1}{2r}} \cdot A_{\frac{2j+1}{2r}}.$$

Moreover, if $r = \frac{\alpha}{\beta}$ is rational and β is odd, then $A_{l\beta} \equiv 0$ for any $l \in \mathbb{N}^*$.

(3) If n is odd, $r = \frac{\alpha}{\beta}$ is rational and its denominator β is even, then

$$\begin{aligned} h_{t|\text{Diag}} \stackrel{t \searrow 0}{\sim} & \sum_{j=0}^{(n-1)/2} t^{-\frac{n-2j}{2r}} \cdot A_{-\frac{n-2j}{2r}} + \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ \alpha \nmid 2j+1}}^{\infty} t^{\frac{2j+1}{2r}} \cdot A_{\frac{2j+1}{2r}} + \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ \frac{\beta}{2} \nmid j}}^{\infty} t^j \cdot A_j \\ & + \sum_{\substack{l=1 \\ l \text{ odd}}}^{\infty} t^{l\frac{\beta}{2}} \cdot A_{l\frac{\beta}{2}} + \sum_{\substack{l=1 \\ l \text{ odd}}}^{\infty} t^{l\frac{\beta}{2}} \log t \cdot B_{l\frac{\beta}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

In all these cases, the coefficients are

$$\begin{aligned} A_{-\frac{n-2j}{2r}}(x) &= \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n-2j}{2r}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n-2j}{2}\right)} \cdot \frac{1}{r} \cdot a_j(x, x), & A_j(x) &= \frac{(-1)^j}{j!} \cdot q_{rj}(x, x), \\ A_{\frac{2j+1}{2r}}(x) &= \frac{\Gamma\left(-\frac{2j+1}{2r}\right)}{\Gamma\left(-\frac{2j+1}{2}\right)} \cdot \frac{1}{r} \cdot a_{\frac{n+2j+1}{2}}(x, x), & B_{l\frac{\beta}{2}}(x) &= \frac{(-1)^{l\frac{\beta}{2}}}{r \left(l\frac{\beta}{2}\right)! \Gamma\left(-l\frac{\beta}{2} \cdot r\right)} \cdot a_{\frac{n+l\alpha}{2}}(x, x), \\ A_{l\frac{\beta}{2}}(x) &= \frac{(-1)^{l\frac{\beta}{2}}}{\left(l\frac{\beta}{2}\right)! \Gamma\left(-rl\frac{\beta}{2}\right)} \cdot \text{FP}_{s=-l\frac{\beta}{2}}\left(\Gamma(rs)q_{-rs}(x, x)\right) + \text{FP}_{s=-l\frac{\beta}{2}}\left(\frac{\Gamma(s)}{\Gamma(rs)}\right) \cdot \frac{a_{\frac{n+l\alpha}{2}}(x, x)}{r}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof We compute the coefficients from (5.3) by using Proposition 3. ■

7.1 The case when n is even

For $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, n/2 - 1\}$, we have

$$(7.1) \quad \text{Res}_{s=-\frac{n-2j}{2r}} \left(t^{-s} \frac{\Gamma(s)}{\Gamma(rs)} \Gamma(rs) q_{-rs}(x, x) \right) = t^{-\frac{n-2j}{2r}} \cdot \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n-2j}{2r}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n-2j}{2}\right)} \cdot \frac{a_j(x, x)}{r}.$$

The residue in $s = 0$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Res}_{s=0} \left(t^{-s} \Gamma(s) q_{-rs}(x, x) \right) &= \text{Res}_{s=0} \left(t^{-s} \frac{\Gamma(s)}{\Gamma(rs)} \Gamma(rs) q_{-rs}(x, x) \right) \\ &= r \cdot \frac{1}{r} \left(a_{\frac{n}{2}}(x, x) - P_{\text{Ker } \Delta}(x, x) \right) = a_{\frac{n}{2}}(x, x) - P_{\text{Ker } \Delta}(x, x), \end{aligned}$$

thus the coefficient of t^0 in the asymptotic expansion (5.3) is $a_{\frac{n}{2}}(x, x)$.

7.1.1 The case when n is even and r is irrational

Let $j \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Then

$$(7.2) \quad \text{Res}_{s=-j} (t^{-s} \Gamma(s) q_{-rs}(x, x)) = t^j \frac{(-1)^j}{j!} \cdot q_{rj}(x, x).$$

Therefore, in this case, the asymptotic expansion of h_t is the following:

$$h_t(x, x) \underset{t \searrow 0}{\sim} \sum_{j=0}^{n/2-1} t^{-\frac{n-2j}{2r}} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n-2j}{2r}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n-2j}{2}\right)} \frac{a_j(x, x)}{r} + a_{\frac{n}{2}}(x, x) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} t^j \frac{(-1)^j}{j!} q_{rj}(x, x).$$

7.1.2 The case when n is even and $r = \frac{\alpha}{\beta}$ is rational with $(\alpha, \beta) = 1$

Some of the coefficients $q_{rj}(x, x)$ from (7.2) can be expressed in terms of the a_k 's from (2.1). Remark that $\frac{\Gamma(s)}{\Gamma(rs)}$ has simple poles in $\{-1, -2, \dots\} \setminus \{-\frac{1}{r}, -\frac{2}{r}, \dots\}$. For $j \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $s := -\frac{j}{r} \in \{-1, -2, \dots\}$ if and only if j is a multiple of α , which is equivalent to $s = -\frac{l\alpha}{r} = -l\beta$ for some $l \in \mathbb{N}^*$. In this case, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Res}_{s=-l\beta} (t^{-s} \Gamma(s) q_{-rs}(x, x)) &= \text{Res}_{s=-l\beta} \left(t^{-s} \frac{\Gamma(s)}{\Gamma(rs)} \Gamma(rs) q_{-rs}(x, x) \right) \\ &= t^{l\beta} r \cdot \frac{1}{r} a_{\frac{n}{2}+l\alpha}(x, x) = t^{l\beta} a_{\frac{n}{2}+l\alpha}(x, x). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for rational $r = \frac{\alpha}{\beta}$, if $j = l\beta$, $l \in \mathbb{N}^*$, we conclude that

$$(7.3) \quad q_{rj}(x, x) = (-1)^j \cdot j! \cdot a_{\frac{n}{2}+l\alpha}(x, x),$$

and $h_t(x, x)$ has the following asymptotic expansion as $t \searrow 0$:

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n/2-1} t^{-\frac{n-2j}{2r}} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n-2j}{2r}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n-2j}{2}\right)} \frac{a_j(x, x)}{r} + a_{\frac{n}{2}}(x, x) + \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ \beta \mid j}}^{\infty} t^j \frac{(-1)^j}{j!} q_{rj}(x, x) + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} t^{l\beta} a_{\frac{n}{2}+l\alpha}(x, x).$$

7.2 The case when n is odd

For $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, (n-1)/2\}$, the coefficient of $t^{-\frac{n-2j}{2r}}$ is computed as in (7.1). Furthermore, in $s = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Res}_{s=0} (t^{-s} \Gamma(s) q_{-rs}(x, x)) &= \text{Res}_{s=0} \left(t^{-s} \frac{\Gamma(s)}{\Gamma(rs)} \cdot \Gamma(rs) q_{-rs}(x, x) \right) \\ &= r \cdot \frac{-1}{r} \cdot \text{P}_{\text{Ker } \Delta}(x, x) = -\text{P}_{\text{Ker } \Delta}(x, x); \end{aligned}$$

hence, there is no free term in the asymptotic expansion of h_t as t goes to zero.

Now we have to compute the residues of the function $t^{-s} \Gamma(s) q_{-rs}(x, x)$ in $s \in \{-1, -2, \dots\}$ and $s \in \{-\frac{1}{2r}, -\frac{3}{2r}, \dots\}$.

7.2.1 The case when n is odd and r is irrational

Then these sets are disjoint; thus, all poles of the function $\Gamma(s)q_{-rs}(x)$ are simple. For $j \in \mathbb{N}^*$, the coefficient of t^j is obtained as in (7.2). Furthermore, for $j \in \mathbb{N}$, we get

$$(7.4) \quad \text{Res}_{s=-\frac{2j+1}{2r}} \left(t^{-s} \frac{\Gamma(s)}{\Gamma(rs)} \cdot \Gamma(rs)q_{-rs}(x, x) \right) = t^{\frac{2j+1}{2r}} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(-\frac{2j+1}{2r})}{\Gamma(-\frac{2j+1}{r})} \cdot \frac{a_{\frac{n+2j+1}{2}}(x, x)}{r}.$$

Therefore, the small-time asymptotic expansion of h_t is the following:

$$h_t(x, x) \stackrel{t \searrow 0}{\sim} \sum_{j=0}^{n/2-1} t^{-\frac{n-2j}{2r}} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n-2j}{2r})}{\Gamma(\frac{n-2j}{2})} \cdot \frac{a_j(x, x)}{r} + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} t^j \cdot \frac{(-1)^j}{j!} q_{rj}(x, x) + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} t^{\frac{2j+1}{2r}} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(-\frac{2j+1}{2r})}{\Gamma(-\frac{2j+1}{2})} \cdot \frac{a_{\frac{n+2j+1}{2}}(x, x)}{r}.$$

7.2.2 The case when n is odd and $r = \frac{\alpha}{\beta}$ is rational

Consider the sets

$$A := \{-1, -2, \dots\}, \quad B := \{-\frac{1}{2r}, -\frac{3}{2r}, \dots\}, \quad C := \{-\frac{1}{r}, -\frac{2}{r}, \dots\}.$$

Remark that A is the set of negative poles of $s \mapsto t^{-s}\Gamma(s)q_{-rs}(x, x)$, and $A \setminus C$ is the set of poles of the function $s \mapsto \frac{\Gamma(s)}{\Gamma(rs)}$. Clearly B and C are disjoint. Moreover, $A \cap C = \{-l\beta : l \in \mathbb{N}^*\}$. Furthermore, if β is odd, then $A \cap B = \emptyset$, and otherwise if β is even, then $A \cap B = \{-l\frac{\beta}{2} : l \in 2\mathbb{N} + 1\}$. Such an $s = -\frac{2j+1}{2r} = l\frac{\beta}{2} \in A \cap B$ is a double pole for $\Gamma(s)q_{rs}(x)$.

7.2.3 Suppose that β is odd

Then A and B are disjoint. Thus, for $s = -\frac{2j+1}{2r} \in B$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$, the residue of $t^{-s}\Gamma(s)q_{rs}(x, x)$ is the one computed in (7.4).

For $s = -j \in A \setminus C$ (which means that $j \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $\beta \nmid j$), the residue of $t^{-s}\Gamma(s)q_{-rs}(x, x)$ in s is the one computed in (7.2).

If $s = -l\beta = -\frac{l\alpha}{r} \in A \cap C$ for some $l \in \mathbb{N}^*$, then $\Gamma(s)$ has a simple pole in s and by Proposition 3, (the meromorphic extension of) $q_{-rs}(x, x)$ vanishes at $s = -l\beta$. Hence, the product $t^{-s}\Gamma(s)q_{-rs}(x, x)$ is holomorphic in $s = -l\beta$ and $t^{l\beta}$, $l \in \mathbb{N}^*$, does not appear in the asymptotic expansion.

Therefore, if $r = \frac{\alpha}{\beta}$ is rational and β is odd, we obtain

$$h_t(x, x) \stackrel{t \searrow 0}{\sim} \sum_{j=0}^{n/2-1} t^{-\frac{n-2j}{2r}} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n-2j}{2r})}{\Gamma(\frac{n-2j}{2})} \cdot \frac{a_j(x, x)}{r} + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} t^{\frac{2j+1}{2r}} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(-\frac{2j+1}{2r})}{\Gamma(-\frac{2j+1}{2})} \cdot \frac{a_{\frac{n+2j+1}{2}}(x, x)}{r} + \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ \beta \nmid j}}^{\infty} t^j \frac{(-1)^j}{j!} \cdot q_{rj}(x, x).$$

7.2.4 Assume now that β is even

For $s = -\frac{2j+1}{2r} \in B \setminus A$ ($j \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\alpha \nmid 2j+1$), the residue is computed as in (7.4). For $s = -j \in A \setminus (B \cup C)$ (namely $j \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $\frac{\beta}{2} \nmid j$), the residue is computed as in (7.2).

For $s \in C \cap A$ (namely $s = -l\beta$, $l \in \mathbb{N}^*$), the residue is again 0. Indeed, $\Gamma(s)$ has a simple pole in $-l\beta$ and by Proposition 3, (the meromorphic extension of) $q_{-rs}(x, x)$ vanishes in $-l\beta$, thus $t^{l\beta}$ does not appear in the asymptotic expansion of h_t .

Finally, if $s = -\frac{l\alpha}{2r} = -l\frac{\beta}{2} \in A \cap B$, $l \in 2\mathbb{N} + 1$, then s is a double pole for $\Gamma(s)q_{-rs}(x, x)$. We write the Laurent expansions of the functions t^{-s} , $\frac{\Gamma(s)}{\Gamma(rs)}$, and $\Gamma(rs)q_{-rs}(x, x)$, respectively, in $s = -\frac{l\alpha}{2r} = -l\frac{\beta}{2} =: -k$:

$$\begin{aligned}
 t^{-s} &= t^k - t^k \log t + \mathcal{O}(s+k)^2, \\
 \frac{\Gamma(s)}{\Gamma(rs)} &= \frac{(-1)^k}{k! \cdot \Gamma(-kr)} (s+k)^{-1} + \dots, \\
 \Gamma(rs)(q_{-rs}(x, x)) &= \frac{1}{r} a_{\frac{n+l\alpha}{2}}(x, x)(s+k)^{-1} + \dots.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we finally obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Res}_{s=-k} \left(t^{-s} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(s)}{\Gamma(rs)} \cdot \Gamma(rs)q_{-rs}(x, x) \right) &= t^k \cdot \frac{(-1)^k}{k! \Gamma(-kr)} \cdot \text{FP}_{s=-k} (\Gamma(rs)q_{-rs}(x, x)) \\
 &+ t^k \text{FP}_{s=-k} \left(\frac{\Gamma(s)}{\Gamma(rs)} \right) \cdot \frac{a_{\frac{n+l\alpha}{2}}(x, x)}{r} \\
 &+ t^k \log t \cdot \frac{(-1)^k}{k! \Gamma(-kr)} \cdot \frac{a_{\frac{n+l\alpha}{2}}(x, x)}{r}.
 \end{aligned}$$

8 Non-triviality of the coefficients

Let us prove Theorem 1.1. Recall the definition of the zeta function of a non-negative self-adjoint generalized Laplacian Δ :

$$\zeta_\Delta(s) := \sum_{\lambda \in \text{Spec } \Delta \setminus \{0\}} \lambda^{-s} = \int_M q_{-s}(x, x) dg(x).$$

This series is absolutely convergent for $\Re s > \frac{n}{2}$ and extends meromorphically to \mathbb{C} with possible simple poles in the set

$$\left\{ \frac{n}{2} - j : j \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \left\{ \frac{n}{2} \right\} \right\}$$

(see, for instance, [13]).

Consider the trivial bundle \mathbb{C} over a compact Riemannian manifold M . As in [17], let $(\Delta + \xi)_{\xi > 0}$ be a family of generalized Laplacians indexed by $\xi > 0$, and denote by q_{-s}^ξ the Schwartz kernels of the operators $(\Delta + \xi)^{-s}$. Note that for $\Re s > \frac{n}{2}$,

$$(8.1) \quad \int_M q_{-s}^\xi(x, x) dx = \text{Tr} (\Delta + \xi)^{-s} = \zeta_{\Delta + \xi}(s) = \sum_{\lambda_j \in \text{Spec } \Delta} (\lambda_j + \xi)^{-s}.$$

Since for $\Re s > \frac{n}{2}$ the sum is absolutely convergent, we obtain

$$\frac{d}{d\xi} \zeta_{\Delta+\xi}(s) = -s \cdot \sum_{\lambda_j \in \text{Spec } \Delta} (\lambda_j + \xi)^{-s-1} = -s \cdot \zeta_{\Delta+\xi}(s+1).$$

By induction, it follows that for $\Re s > \frac{n}{2}$,

$$(8.2) \quad \frac{d}{d\xi^k} \zeta_{\Delta+\xi}(s) = (-1)^k s(s+1) \dots (s+k-1) \cdot \zeta_{\Delta+\xi}(s+k).$$

Using the identity theorem, (8.2) holds true on \mathbb{C} as an equality of meromorphic functions. Consider $s \in \mathbb{R} \setminus (-\mathbb{N})$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ large enough such that $s+k > \frac{n}{2}$. Since $\zeta_{\Delta+\xi}(s+k)$ is a convergent sum of strictly positive numbers, the right-hand side is non-zero. Thus, for any fixed $s \in \mathbb{R} \setminus (-\mathbb{N})$, on any open set $U \subset (0, \infty)$, the function $\xi \mapsto \zeta_{\Delta+\xi}(s)$ is not identically zero on U , and by (8.1), $q_{-s}^\xi(x, x)$ cannot be constant zero on M . Hence, for $s = -rj \notin -\mathbb{N}$, there exist $\xi_0 \in (0, \infty)$ and $x_0 \in M$ such that the coefficient $q_{rj}^{\xi_0}(x_0, x_0)$ of the asymptotic expansion of the Schwartz kernel h_t of $e^{-t(\Delta+\xi_0)^r}$ is non-zero.

Now suppose that $rj \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $r = \frac{\alpha}{\beta}$ is rational and j is a multiple of β , $j := l\beta$. If n is odd, we already proved in Theorem 7.1 that $t^{l\beta}$ does not appear in the asymptotic expansion of h_t as $t \searrow 0$. Furthermore, if n is even, by (7.3), $q_{rj}(x, x)$ is a non-zero multiple of the coefficient $a_{\frac{n}{2}+l\alpha}(x, x)$ in the asymptotic expansion (2.1) of the heat kernel p_t . It is well known that the heat coefficients in (2.1) are non-trivial (see, for instance, [13]). It follows that all coefficients obtained in Theorem 7.1 indeed appear in the asymptotic expansion, proving Theorem 1.1.

9 Non-locality of the coefficients $A_j(x)$ in the asymptotic expansions

Let us prove Theorem 1.3. We give an example of an n -dimensional manifold and a Laplacian for which the coefficients $A_j(x) = \frac{(-1)^j}{j!} q_{rj}(x, x)$, $j \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $rj \notin \mathbb{N}$ appearing in Theorem 7.1 are not locally computable in the sense of Definition 1.1 (i). Let $\mathbb{T}^n = \mathbb{R}^n / (2\pi\mathbb{Z})^n$ be the n -dimensional torus from Example 2.2. Let Δ_g be the Laplacian on \mathbb{T}^n given by the metric $g = d\theta_1^2 + \dots + d\theta_n^2$.

Remark that the eigenvalues of Δ_g are $\{k_1^2 + \dots + k_n^2 : k_1, \dots, k_n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Let $\varphi_l(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{ilt}$ be the standard orthonormal basis of eigenfunctions of each Δ_{S^1} . Then, for $\Re s > \frac{n}{2}$ and $\theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n) \in \mathbb{T}^n$, the Schwartz kernel of Δ_g^{-s} is given by

$$q_{-s}^{\Delta_g}(\theta, \theta) = \sum_{(k_1, \dots, k_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}} (k_1^2 + \dots + k_n^2)^{-s} \varphi_{k_1}(\theta_1) \overline{\varphi_{k_1}(\theta_1)} \dots \varphi_{k_n}(\theta_n) \overline{\varphi_{k_n}(\theta_n)}.$$

Consider the n -dimensional zeta function

$$\zeta_n(s) := \sum_{(k_1, \dots, k_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}} (k_1^2 + \dots + k_n^2)^{-s} = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}^*} k^{-s} R_n(k),$$

where $R_n(k)$ is the number of representations of k as a sum of n squares. Since $\varphi_l(t)\overline{\varphi_l(t)} = \frac{1}{2\pi}$ for any $t \in S^1$, it follows that

$$(9.1) \quad q_{-s}^{\Delta_g}(\theta, \theta) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \zeta_n(s),$$

for any $\Re s > \frac{n}{2}$, and clearly $q_{-s}^{\Delta_g}$ is independent of θ .

Now let us change the metric locally on each component S^1 . Let U be an open interval in S^1 , and $\psi : S^1 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ a smooth function with $\text{supp } \psi \subset U$. Consider the new metric $(1 + \psi(\theta)) d\theta^2$ on each S^1 . Then there exist $p > 0$ and an isometry $\Phi : (S^1, (1 + \psi(\theta)) d\theta^2) \rightarrow (S^1, p^2 d\theta^2)$. Remark that the Laplacian on S^1 given by the metric $p^2 d\theta^2$ corresponds under this isometry to p^{-2} times the Laplacian for the metric $d\theta^2$. Let

$$\tilde{g} = \sum_{j=1}^n (1 + \psi(\theta_j)) d\theta_j^2 \quad g_p = \sum_{j=1}^n p^2 d\theta_j^2 = p^2 g.$$

Then clearly $\Phi \times \dots \times \Phi : (\mathbb{T}^n, \tilde{g}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{T}^n, g_p)$ is an isometry, and let $\tilde{\Delta}, \Delta_p$ be the corresponding Laplacians on \mathbb{T}^n . Denote by $q_{-s}^{\tilde{\Delta}}$ and $q_{-s}^{\Delta_p}$ the Schwartz kernels of the complex powers $\tilde{\Delta}^{-s}$ and Δ_p^{-s} . We have for $\Re s > \frac{n}{2}$,

$$(9.2) \quad q_{-s}^{\Delta_p}(\theta, \theta) = \frac{1}{(2\pi p)^n} \sum_{k=(k_1, \dots, k_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}} (p^{-2}k_1^2 + \dots + p^{-2}k_n^2)^{-s} = \frac{p^{2s}}{(2\pi p)^n} \zeta_n(s).$$

Remark that

$$q_{-s}^{\Delta_p}(\theta, \theta) = q_{-s}^{\tilde{\Delta}}(\Phi(\theta), \Phi(\theta)),$$

and both of them are independent of θ . By (9.2), for $\Re s > \frac{n}{2}$, we obtain

$$(9.3) \quad q_{-s}^{\tilde{\Delta}}(\theta, \theta) = \frac{p^{2s-n}}{(2\pi)^n} \zeta_n(s).$$

Now we prove that $\zeta_n(s)$ has a meromorphic extension on \mathbb{C} with so-called trivial zeros at $s = -1, -2, \dots$. By Proposition 1, for $\Re s > \frac{n}{2}$, we have

$$\zeta_n(s)\Gamma(s) = \int_0^\infty t^{s-1} \sum_{k=(k_1, \dots, k_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}} e^{-t(k_1^2 + \dots + k_n^2)} dt = \int_0^\infty t^{s-1} F(t) dt,$$

where $F(t) := \sum_{k=(k_1, \dots, k_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}} e^{-t(k_1^2 + \dots + k_n^2)}$. Using the multidimensional Poisson formula (see, for instance, [3]), it follows that

$$1 + F(t) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} f_t(k) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \hat{f}_t(2\pi k) = \pi^{n/2} t^{-n/2} \left(1 + F\left(\frac{\pi^2}{t}\right) \right),$$

and therefore

$$F(t) = -1 + \pi^{n/2} t^{-n/2} + \pi^{n/2} t^{-n/2} F\left(\frac{\pi^2}{t}\right).$$

Since $F(t)$ goes to 0 rapidly as $t \rightarrow \infty$, the function $A(s) = \int_1^\infty t^{s-1}F(\pi t)dt$ is entire. Remark that

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_n(s)\Gamma(s) &= \int_0^\pi t^{-s}F(t)dt + \int_\pi^\infty t^{s-1}F(t)dt \\ &= \pi^s \left(-\frac{1}{s} + \frac{1}{s - \frac{n}{2}} + A\left(\frac{n}{2} - s\right) + A(s) \right), \end{aligned}$$

so

$$(9.4) \quad \pi^{-s}\zeta_n(s)\Gamma(s) = -\frac{1}{s} + \frac{1}{s - \frac{n}{2}} + A\left(\frac{n}{2} - s\right) + A(s).$$

Therefore, ζ_n extends meromorphically to \mathbb{C} with a simple pole in $s = \frac{n}{2}$ and zeros at $s = -1, -2, \dots$. Furthermore, since the RHS is invariant through the involution $s \mapsto \frac{n}{2} - s$, it follows that $\zeta_n(s)$ does not have any other zeros for $s \in (-\infty, 0)$. We obtain the well-known functional equation of the Epstein zeta function

$$\pi^{-s}\zeta_n(s)\Gamma(s) = \pi^{s-n/2}\zeta_n\left(\frac{n}{2} - s\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2} - s\right)$$

(see, for instance, [9, equation (63)]). Remark that for $r \in (0, 1)$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}^*$ with $rj \notin \mathbb{N}$, $\zeta_n(-rj)$ is not zero.

Using the identity theorem, it follows that (9.1) and (9.3) hold true as an equality of meromorphic functions on \mathbb{C} , and furthermore, we get

$$q_{rj}^{\Delta_g}(\theta, \theta) \neq q_{rj}^{\tilde{\Delta}}(\theta, \theta),$$

for $rj \notin \mathbb{N}$. Since we modified the metric locally in $U^n \subset \mathbb{T}^n$ and the corresponding kernel $q_{rj}^{\tilde{\Delta}}$ changed its behavior globally, it follows that it is not locally computable in the sense of Definition 1.1 (i).

Furthermore, let us see that the heat coefficients $A_j(x) = \frac{(-1)^j}{j!}q_{rj}(x, x)$ for $j = \mathbb{N}^*$, $rj \notin \mathbb{N}$ are not cohomologically local in the sense of Definition 1.1 (iii). We argue by contradiction. Let j be fixed. Suppose that there exists a function C , locally computable in the sense of Definition 1.1 (i), such that

$$(9.5) \quad \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} q_{rj}^{\Delta_g} d\text{vol}_g = \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} C(g) d\text{vol}_g, \quad \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} q_{rj}^{\tilde{\Delta}} d\text{vol}_{\tilde{g}} = \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} C(\tilde{g}) d\text{vol}_{\tilde{g}}.$$

Using (9.1) and (9.3), it follows that

$$(2\pi)^n \zeta_n(-rj) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} C(g) d\text{vol}_g, \quad (2\pi p)^n p^{-2rj} \zeta_n(-rj) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} C(\tilde{g}) d\text{vol}_{\tilde{g}}.$$

Remark that in the case of the trivial bundle with the trivial connection over a locally homogeneous Riemannian manifold (M, h) (i.e., such that every two points have isometric neighborhoods), the function $C(M, h) \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$ is constant on M . This follows directly from Definition 1.1 (i). Therefore, $C(g)$, $C(\tilde{g})$, and $C(g_p)$ are constant functions.

Since $(\mathbb{T}^n, \tilde{g})$ is (globally) isometric to (\mathbb{T}^n, g_p) , it follows that $C(\tilde{g}) = C(g_p)$. Furthermore, since (\mathbb{T}^n, g_p) is locally isometric to (\mathbb{T}^n, g) and $C(g_p)$, $C(g)$ are constant functions, it also follows that they are equal: $C(g_p) = C(g)$. Hence we

conclude that $C(\tilde{g}) = C(g_p) = C(g) =: C$, for some $C \in \mathbb{C}$, and thus we have

$$(9.6) \quad \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} C \, d\text{vol}_{\tilde{g}} = \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} C \, d\text{vol}_{g_p}.$$

Since $g_p = p^2 g$, we obtain that

$$(9.7) \quad \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} C \, d\text{vol}_{g_p} = p^n \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} C \, d\text{vol}_g,$$

and then using (9.5)–(9.7), we get

$$(2\pi p)^n p^{-2rj} \zeta_n(-rj) = p^n \cdot (2\pi)^n \zeta_n(-rj).$$

But, we proved above that $\zeta_n(-rj)$ does not vanish for $rj \notin \mathbb{N}$. We obtain a contradiction because $p^{-2rj} \neq 1$ for $r \in (0, 1)$, $j = 1, 2, \dots$

10 Interpretation of h_t on the heat space for $r = 1/2$

In Theorems 6.1 and 7.1, we studied the asymptotic behavior of the heat kernel h_t of Δ^r , $r \in (0, 1)$ for small-time t in two distinct cases: when we approach $t = 0$ along the diagonal in $M \times M$, and when we approach a compact set away from the diagonal. We now give a simultaneous asymptotic expansion formula for both cases when $r = \frac{1}{2}$. Furthermore, in order to understand the asymptotic behavior as t goes to zero in *any* direction (not just the case when t goes to 0 in the vertical one), we will pull-back the formula on a certain *linear* heat space M_{heat} .

In [19], Melrose used his blow-up techniques to give a conceptual interpretation for the asymptotic of the heat kernel p_t . Recall that the heat space M_H^2 is obtained by performing a parabolic blow-up of $\{t = 0\} \times \text{Diag}$ in $[0, \infty) \times M \times M$. The heat space M_H^2 is a manifold with corners with boundary hypersurfaces given by the boundary defining functions ρ and ω_0 . The heat kernel p_t belongs to $\rho^{-n} \mathcal{C}^\infty(M_H^2)$, and vanishes rapidly at the boundary hypersurface $\{\omega_0 = 0\}$ (see [19, Theorem 7.12]).

In order to study the Schwartz kernel h_t of $e^{-t\Delta^{1/2}}$, we introduce the *linear heat space* M_{heat} , which is just the standard blow-up of $\{0\} \times \text{Diag}$ in $[0, \infty) \times M \times M$ (see [20] for details regarding the blow-up of a submanifold). Let *ff* be the *front face*, i.e., the newly added face, and denote by *lb* the *lateral boundary* which is the lift of the old boundary $\{0\} \times M \times M$. The blow down map is given locally by

$$\beta_H : M_{\text{heat}} \longrightarrow [0, \infty) \times M \times M \quad \beta_H(\rho, \omega, x') = (\rho\omega_0, \rho\omega' + x', x'),$$

where

$$\omega \in \mathbb{S}_H^n = \{\omega = (\omega_0, \omega') \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : \omega_0 \geq 0, \omega_0^2 + |\omega'|^2 = 1\}.$$

Proof of Theorem 1.4 We want to show that $h_t \in \rho^{-n} \omega_0 \cdot \mathcal{C}^\infty(M_{\text{heat}}) + \rho \log \rho \cdot \omega_0 \cdot \mathcal{C}^\infty(M_{\text{heat}})$, and in fact, the second (logarithmic) term does not occur when n is even. First, we deduce the unified formula for h_t as $t \searrow 0$ both on the diagonal and away from it. By Mellin formula 1 and inverse Mellin formula 6, for $\tau > n$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 h_t(x, y) - P_{\text{Ker } \Delta}(x, y) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Re s = \tau} t^{-s} \frac{\Gamma(s)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) q_{-s/2}(x, y) ds \\
 &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Re s = \tau} t^{-s} \frac{\Gamma(s)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)} \int_0^\infty T^{\frac{s}{2}-1} (p_T(x, y) - P_{\text{Ker } \Delta}(x, y)) dT ds.
 \end{aligned}$$

We use the Legendre duplication formula as in [2] (see, for instance, [22]):

$$\frac{\Gamma(s)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} 2^{s-\frac{1}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{s+1}{2}\right),$$

obtaining that $h_t(x, y) - P_{\text{Ker } \Delta}(x, y)$ is equal to

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi}} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Re s = \tau} \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{2\sqrt{T}}{t}\right)^s \Gamma\left(\frac{s+1}{2}\right) (p_T(x, y) - P_{\text{Ker } \Delta}(x, y)) dT ds.$$

Set $X := \frac{2\sqrt{T}}{t}$. Using Propositions 4, 5, and Fubini, we first compute the integral in s . Changing the variable $S = \frac{s+1}{2}$ and applying the residue theorem, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Re s = \tau} X^s \Gamma\left(\frac{s+1}{2}\right) ds &= \frac{2}{2\pi i} \int_{\Re S = \frac{\tau+1}{2}} X^{2S-1} \Gamma(S) dS = 2 \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} X^{-2k-1} \\
 &= 2X^{-1} e^{-X^{-2}} = \frac{t}{\sqrt{T}} e^{-\frac{t^2}{4T}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain

$$(10.1) \quad h_t(x, y) - P_{\text{Ker } \Delta}(x, y) = \frac{t}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty T^{-3/2} e^{-\frac{t^2}{4T}} (p_T(x, y) - P_{\text{Ker } \Delta}(x, y)) dT.$$

Since $p_T(x, y) - P_{\text{Ker } \Delta}(x, y)$ decays exponentially as T goes to infinity, it follows that the integral from 1 to ∞ in the right-hand side of equation (10.1) is of the form $t \cdot \mathcal{C}_{t,x,y}^\infty([0, \infty) \times M^2)$. Furthermore, by the change of variable $u = \frac{t}{2\sqrt{T}}$, we have

$$-\frac{t}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^1 T^{-3/2} e^{-\frac{t^2}{4T}} dT \cdot P_{\text{Ker } \Delta}(x, y) = -\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{t/2}^\infty e^{-u^2} du \cdot P_{\text{Ker } \Delta}(x, y).$$

Since $\int_{t/2}^\infty e^{-u^2} du$ tends to $\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2}$ as $t \searrow 0$, the term $-\frac{t}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^1 T^{-3/2} e^{-\frac{t^2}{4T}} dT P_{\text{Ker } \Delta}(x, y)$ will cancel in the limit as $t \rightarrow 0$ with $-P_{\text{Ker } \Delta}(x, y)$ from the left-hand side of (10.1).

Let us study the remaining integral term $\frac{t}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^1 T^{-3/2} e^{-\frac{t^2}{4T}} p_T(x, y) dT$. By Theorem 2.1,

$$p_T(x, y) = T^{-n/2} e^{-\frac{d(x,y)^2}{4T}} \sum_{j=0}^N T^j a_j(x, y) + R_{N+1}(T, x, y),$$

where the remainder $R_{N+1}(T, x, y)$ is of order $\mathcal{O}(T^{N+1})$; therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{t}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^1 T^{-3/2} e^{-\frac{t^2}{4T}} p_T(x, y) dT &= \frac{t}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^1 T^{-3/2} e^{-\frac{t^2}{4T}} R_{N+1}(T, x, y) dT \\
 &\quad + \frac{t}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^1 T^{-3/2} e^{-\frac{t^2}{4T}} T^{-n/2} e^{-\frac{d(x,y)^2}{4T}} \sum_{j=0}^N T^j a_j(x, y) dT.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $R_{N+1}(T, x, y)$ is of order $\mathcal{O}(T^{N+1})$, the first integral is again of type $t \cdot \mathcal{C}_{t,x,y}^\infty$. By changing the variable $u = \frac{t^2+d(x,y)^2}{4T}$ in the second integral, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{t}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{j=0}^N a_j(x, y) \int_0^1 T^{-\frac{n+3}{2}+j} e^{-\frac{t^2+d(x,y)^2}{4T}} dT \\ &= \frac{t}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{j=0}^N a_j(x, y) \left(\frac{t^2 + d(x, y)^2}{4} \right)^{-\frac{n+1}{2}+j} \int_{\frac{t^2+d(x,y)^2}{4}}^\infty u^{\frac{n+1}{2}-j-1} e^{-u} du \\ &= \frac{t}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{j=0}^N a_j(x, y) \Gamma\left(\frac{n+1}{2} - j, \frac{t^2 + d(x, y)^2}{4}\right) \left(\frac{t^2 + d(x, y)^2}{4}\right)^{-\frac{n+1}{2}+j}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\Gamma(z, \xi) := \int_\xi^\infty u^{z-1} e^{-u} du$ is the upper incomplete Gamma function. We conclude that $h_t(x, y)$ is equal to

(10.2)

$$t \cdot \mathcal{C}_{t,x,y}^\infty + \frac{t}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{j=0}^N a_j(x, y) \Gamma\left(\frac{n+1}{2} - j, \frac{t^2 + d(x, y)^2}{4}\right) \left(\frac{t^2 + d(x, y)^2}{4}\right)^{-\frac{n+1}{2}+j}.$$

■

10.1 The case when n is even

If $z > 0$, then one can easily check that $\Gamma(z, \xi) \in \xi^z \mathcal{C}_\xi^\infty[0, \varepsilon] + \Gamma(z)$, for some $\varepsilon > 0$. Furthermore, for $z \in (-\infty, 0] \setminus \{0, -1, -2, \dots\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(z, \xi) &= -\frac{1}{z} \xi^z e^{-\xi} + \frac{1}{z} \Gamma(z+1, \xi) \\ &= \xi^z e^{-\xi} \sum_{k=0}^{a-1} \frac{-1}{z(z+1)\dots(z+k)} \xi^k + \frac{1}{z(z+1)\dots(z+a)} \Gamma(z+a, \xi) \\ &= \xi^z \mathcal{C}_\xi^\infty[0, \varepsilon] + \frac{1}{z(z+1)\dots(z+a-1)} \Gamma(z+a, \xi), \end{aligned}$$

where a is a positive integer such that $z+a > 0$. Thus, for a non-integer $z < 0$, we have

$$\Gamma(z, \xi) = \xi^z \mathcal{C}_\xi^\infty[0, \varepsilon] + \frac{1}{z(z+1)\dots(z+a-1)} \Gamma(z+a).$$

We want to interpret equation (10.2) on the heat space M_{heat} ; thus, we pull back (10.2) through β_H :

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_H^* h &= \rho \omega_0 \beta_H^* \mathcal{C}_{t,x,y}^\infty + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \rho \omega_0 \sum_{j=0}^N \left(\frac{\rho^2}{4}\right)^{-\frac{n+1}{2}+j} \beta_H^* a_j(x, y) \Gamma\left(\frac{n+1}{2} - j, \frac{\rho^2}{4}\right) \\ &= \rho \omega_0 \beta_H^* \mathcal{C}_{t,x,y}^\infty + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \rho^{-n} \omega_0 \sum_{j=0}^{n/2} \rho^{2j} 2^{n+1-2j} \beta_H^* a_j(x, y) \Gamma\left(\frac{n+1}{2} - j\right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \rho \omega_0 \sum_{j=0}^{n/2} \beta_H^* a_j(x, y) \mathcal{C}_{\rho^2}^\infty[0, \varepsilon] + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \rho \omega_0 \sum_{j=n/2+1}^N \beta_H^* a_j(x, y) \mathcal{C}_{\rho^2}^\infty[0, \varepsilon] \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \rho^{-n} \omega_0 \sum_{j=n/2+1}^N \rho^{2j} 2^{n+1-2j} \rho_H^* a_j(x, y) \frac{2^{-n/2+j}}{(n+1-2j)(n+3-2j)\dots(-1)} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right).$$

Since $\Gamma\left(\frac{n+1}{2} - j\right) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}(n-2j-1)!!}{2^{n/2-j}}$ for $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, n/2\}$, it follows that

(10.3)

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_H^* h &= \rho \omega_0 \beta_H^* \mathcal{C}_{t,x,y}^\infty + \omega_0 \rho \mathcal{C}_{\rho^2}^\infty[0, \varepsilon] + \rho^{-n} \omega_0 \sum_{j=0}^{n/2} \rho^{2j} 2^{n/2-j} (n-2j-1)!! \beta_H^* a_j(x, y) \\ &+ \rho^{-n} \omega_0 \sum_{j=n/2+1}^N \rho^{2j} \frac{(-1)^{j-n/2} 2^{n/2-j}}{(2j-n-1)!!} \beta_H^* a_j(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

The case $\rho \neq 0$ and $\omega_0 \rightarrow 0$ corresponds to $x \neq y$ and $t \searrow 0$ before the pull-back. We obtain that $\beta_H^* h$ is in $\mathcal{C}^\infty(M_{\text{heat}})$ and it vanishes at first order on lb, which is compatible with Theorem 6.1.

If $\rho \rightarrow 0$ and $\omega_0 = 1$, which corresponds to $x = y$ and $t \searrow 0$, then $\beta_H^* h = \rho^{-n} \omega_0 \sum_{j=0}^N \rho^{2j} A_j(x)$, where we denoted by $A_j(x)$ the coefficients appearing in (10.3). Again, this result is compatible with Theorem 7.1, and moreover, the coefficients are precisely the ones from [2, Theorem 3.1].

Remark that formula (10.3) is stronger than Theorems 6.1 and 7.1. If both ρ and ω_0 tend to 0 (with different speeds), it describes the behavior of h_t as t goes to zero from any positive direction (not only the vertical one).

10.2 The case when n is odd

Remark that for small ξ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(0, \xi) &= \int_\xi^\infty t^{-1} e^{-t} dt = \int_\xi^1 \frac{e^{-t} - 1}{t} dt + \int_\xi^1 t^{-1} dt + \int_1^\infty t^{-1} e^{-t} dt \\ &= -\log \xi + \mathcal{C}_\xi^\infty[0, \varepsilon]. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, if p is a negative integer, inductively we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(-p, \xi) &= \frac{e^{-\xi} \xi^{-p}}{p!} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} (-1)^k (p-k-1)! \xi^k + \frac{(-1)^p}{p!} \Gamma(0, \xi) \\ &= \xi^{-p} \mathcal{C}_\xi^\infty[0, \varepsilon] - \frac{(-1)^p}{p!} \log \xi + \mathcal{C}_\xi^\infty[0, \varepsilon]. \end{aligned}$$

We pull-back equation (10.2) on the heat space M_{heat} :

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_H^* h &= \rho \omega_0 \beta_H^* \mathcal{C}_{t,x,y}^\infty + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \rho \omega_0 \sum_{j=0}^N \left(\frac{\rho^2}{4}\right)^{-\frac{n+1}{2}+j} \beta_H^* a_j(x, y) \Gamma\left(\frac{n+1}{2} - j, \frac{\rho^2}{4}\right) \\ &= \rho \omega_0 \beta_H^* a_j(x, y) + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \rho \omega_0 \sum_{l=0}^{(n-1)/2} \beta_H^* a_j(x, y) \mathcal{C}_{\rho^2}^\infty[0, \varepsilon] \\ &+ \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \rho^{-n} \omega_0 \sum_{j=0}^{(n-1)/2} \rho^{2j} \beta_H^* a_j(x, y) 2^{n-2j} \Gamma\left(\frac{n+1}{2} - j\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &+ \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \rho^{-n} \omega_0 \sum_{j=(n+1)/2}^N \rho^{2j} \log \rho \beta_H^* a_j(x, y) 2^{n-2j} \frac{(-1)^{j-\frac{n+1}{2}+1}}{(j-\frac{n+1}{2})!} \\
 &+ \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \rho^{-n} \omega_0 \sum_{j=(n+1)/2}^N \rho^{2j} \beta_H^* a_j(x, y) 2^{n-2j} \frac{(-1)^{j-\frac{n+1}{2}}}{(j-\frac{n+1}{2})!} \log 2 \\
 &+ \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \rho \omega_0 \sum_{j=(n+1)/2}^N \beta_H^* a_j(x, y) \mathcal{C}_{\rho^2}^\infty[0, \varepsilon] \\
 &+ \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \rho^{-n} \omega_0 \sum_{j=(n+1)/2}^N \rho^{2j} \beta_H^* a_j(x, y) 2^{n-2j} \frac{(-1)^{j-\frac{n+1}{2}}}{(j-\frac{n+1}{2})!} \mathcal{C}_{\rho^2}^\infty[0, \varepsilon].
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \beta_H^* h &= \rho \omega_0 \beta_H^* \mathcal{C}_{t,x,y}^\infty + \omega_0 \rho \mathcal{C}_{\rho^2}^\infty[0, \varepsilon] + \omega_0 \rho^{-n} \mathcal{C}_{\rho^2}^\infty[0, \varepsilon] \\
 &+ \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \rho^{-n} \omega_0 \sum_{j=0}^{(n-1)/2} \rho^{2j} \beta_H^* a_j(x, y) 2^{n-2j} \left(\frac{n+1}{2} - j\right)! \\
 (10.4) \quad &+ \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \rho^{-n} \omega_0 \sum_{j=(n+1)/2}^N \rho^{2j} \log \rho \beta_H^* a_j(x, y) 2^{n-2j} \frac{(-1)^{j-\frac{n+1}{2}+1}}{(j-\frac{n+1}{2})!} \\
 &+ \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \rho^{-n} \omega_0 \sum_{j=(n+1)/2}^N \rho^{2j} \beta_H^* a_j(x, y) 2^{n-2j} \frac{(-1)^{j-\frac{n+1}{2}}}{(j-\frac{n+1}{2})!} \log 2.
 \end{aligned}$$

If $\rho \neq 0$ and $\omega_0 \rightarrow 0$ (corresponding to $x \neq y$ and $t \searrow 0$ before the pull-back on M_{heat}), we obtain that $\beta_H^* h \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M_{\text{heat}})$ and it vanishes at order 1 at lb, which is compatible with the result of Theorem 6.1.

In the case $\rho \rightarrow 0$ and $\omega_0 = 1$ which corresponds to $x = y$ and $t \searrow 0$, we obtain $\beta_H^* h = \rho^{-n} \mathcal{C}_{\rho^2}^\infty + \rho^{-n} \sum_{j=0}^N \rho^{2j} A_j(x) + \rho^{-n} \sum_{j=(n+1)/2}^N \rho^{2j} \log \rho B_j(x)$, where we denoted by A_j and B_j the coefficients appearing in (10.4). This result is compatible with Theorem 7.1 and again, we find some of the coefficients appearing in [2, Theorem 3.1].

11 The heat kernel as a polyhomogeneous conormal section

Let us recall the notions of index family and polyhomogeneous conormal functions on a manifold with corners with two boundary hypersurfaces. (For an accessible introduction, see [15], and for full details of the theory, see [18].) A discrete subset $F \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{N}$ is called an *index set* if the following conditions are satisfied:

- 1) For any $N \in \mathbb{R}$, the set $F \cap \{(z, p) : \Re z < N\}$ is finite.
- 2) If $p > p_0$ and $(z, p) \in F$, then $(z, p_0) \in F$.

If X is a manifold with corners with two boundary hypersurfaces B_1 and B_2 given by the boundary defining functions x and y , a smooth function f on \mathring{X} is said to be *polyhomogeneous conormal* with index sets E and F , respectively, if in a small neighborhood $[0, \varepsilon) \times B_1$, f has the asymptotic expansion

$$f(x, y) \stackrel{x \searrow 0}{\sim} \sum_{(z,p) \in F} a_{z,p}(y) \cdot x^z \log^p x,$$

where $a_{z,p}$ are smooth coefficients on B_2 , and for each $a_{z,p}$ there exists a sequence of real numbers $b_{w,q}$, such that

$$a_{z,p}(y) \stackrel{y \searrow 0}{\sim} \sum_{(w,q) \in E} b_{w,q} \cdot y^w \log^q y.$$

One can prove that f is a polyhomogeneous conormal function on X with index sets $F_p = \{(k, 0) : k \in \mathbb{Z}, k \geq -p\}$ and $F_0 = \{(n, 0) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ if and only if $f \in y^{-p} \mathcal{C}^\infty(X)$. Furthermore, f is a polyhomogeneous conormal function on X with index sets $F' = \{(n, 1) : n \in \mathbb{N}^*\}$ and F_0 if and only if $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(X) + \log y \cdot \mathcal{C}^\infty(X)$. Therefore, we can restate Theorem 1.4 as follows:

Theorem 11.1 For $r = \frac{1}{2}$, the heat kernel h_t of the operator $e^{-t\Delta^{1/2}}$ is a polyhomogeneous conormal section on the linear heat space M_{heat} with values in $\mathcal{E} \boxtimes \mathcal{E}^*$. The index set for the lateral boundary is

$$F_{\text{lb}} = \{(k, 0) : k \in \mathbb{N}^*\}.$$

If n is even, the index set of the front face is

$$F_{\text{ff}} = \{(-n + k, 0) : k \in \mathbb{N}\},$$

whereas for n odd, the index set toward ff is given by

$$F_{\text{ff}} = \{(-n + k, 0) : k \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{(k, 1) : k \in \mathbb{N}^*\}.$$

It seems reasonable to expect that the Schwartz kernel h_t of the operator $e^{-t\Delta^r}$ for $r \in (0, 1)$ can be lifted to a polyhomogeneous conormal section in a certain “transcendental” heat space M_{Heat}^r depending on r with values in $\mathcal{E} \boxtimes \mathcal{E}^*$. However, already in the case $r = 1/3$, our method leads to complicated computations involving Bessel modified functions. We therefore leave this investigation open for a future project.

Acknowledgment I am grateful to my advisor Sergiu Moroianu for many enlightening discussions and for a careful reading of the paper. I would like to thank the anonymous referee for helpful suggestions and remarks leading to the improvement of the presentation.

References

- [1] M. S. Agronovič, *Some asymptotic formulas for elliptic pseudodifferential operators*. Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. 21(1987), 63–65.
- [2] C. Bär and S. Moroianu, *Heat kernel asymptotics for roots of generalized Laplacians*. Int. J. Math. 14(2003), 397–412.
- [3] R. Bellman, *A brief introduction to theta functions*, Athena Series: Selected Topics in Mathematics, Holt, Rinehart and Winston, New York, 1961.
- [4] M. Berger, P. Gauduchon, and E. Mazet, *Le spectre d'une variété riemannienne*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 194, Springer, Berlin and New York, 1971.
- [5] N. Berline, E. Getzler, and M. Vergne, *Heat kernels and Dirac operators*, Springer, Berlin, 2004.
- [6] N. Berline and M. Vergne, *A computation of the equivariant index of the Dirac operator*. Bull. Soc. Math. France 113(1985), 305–345.
- [7] J. M. Bismut, *The Atiyah–Singer theorems: a probabilistic approach*. J. Funct. Anal. 57(1984), 329–348.

- [8] J. Bourguignon, O. Hijazi, J. Milhorat, A. Moroianu, and S. Moroianu, *A spinorial approach to Riemannian and conformal geometry*, European Mathematical Society, Zurich, 2015.
- [9] K. Chandrasekharan and R. Narasimhan, *Hecke's functional equation and arithmetical identities*. *Ann. Math.* 74(1961), 1–23.
- [10] J. J. Duistermaat and V. W. Guillemin, *The spectrum of positive elliptic operators and periodic bicharacteristics*. *Invent. Math.* 29(1975), 39–79.
- [11] M. A. Fahrenwaldt, *Off-diagonal heat kernel asymptotics of pseudodifferential operators on closed manifolds and subordinate Brownian motion*. *Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory* 87(2017), 327–347.
- [12] E. Getzler, *Pseudodifferential operators on supermanifolds and the index theorem*. *Commun. Math. Phys.* 92(1983), 163–178.
- [13] P. B. Gilkey, *Invariance theory, the heat equation, and the Atiyah–Singer index theorem*. 2nd ed., *Studies in Advanced Mathematics*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1995.
- [14] P. B. Gilkey and G. Grubb, *Logarithmic terms in asymptotic expansions of heat operator traces*. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* 23(1998), nos. 5–6, 777–792.
- [15] D. Grieser, *Basics of the b -calculus*. In: J. B. Gil, D. Grieser, and M. Lesch (eds.), *Approaches to singular analysis*, *Advances in Partial Differential Equations*, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2001, pp. 30–84.
- [16] G. Grubb, *Functional calculus of pseudo-differential boundary problems*, *Progress in Mathematics*, 65, Birkhäuser, Boston, MA, 1986.
- [17] P. Loya, S. Moroianu, and R. Ponge, *On the singularities of the zeta and eta functions of an elliptic operator*. *Int. J. Math.* 23(2012), no. 6, 1250020.
- [18] R. B. Melrose, *Calculus of conormal distributions on manifolds with corners*. *Int. Math. Res. Not.* 3(1992), 51–61.
- [19] R. B. Melrose, *The Atiyah–Patodi–Singer index theorem*, *Research Notes in Mathematics*, 4, A K Peters, Ltd., Wellesley, MA, 1993.
- [20] R. B. Melrose and R. R. Mazzeo, *Analytic surgery and the eta invariant*. *Geom. Funct. Anal.* 5(1995), no. 1, 14–75.
- [21] S. Minakshisundaram and A. Pleijel, *Some properties of the eigenfunctions of the Laplace operator on Riemannian manifolds*. *Can. J. Math.* 1(1949), 242–256.
- [22] R. B. Paris and D. Kaminski, *Asymptotics and Mellin–Barnes integrals*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2001.
- [23] E. T. Whittaker and G. N. Watson, *A course of modern analysis*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1965.

Institute of Mathematics of the Romanian Academy, Bucharest, Romania

e-mail: cianghel@imar.ro