

STANOVLENIE ROSSIISKOGO SAMODERZHAVSTVA: ISSLEDOVANIIE SOTSIAL'NO-POLITICHESKOI ISTORII VREMENI IVANA GROZNOGO. By S. O. Shmidt. Moscow: "Mysl'," 1973. 359 pp. 1.43 rubles.

Shmidt's title promises more than his three specialized and unconnected studies and perfunctory foreword and afterword deliver. Also, only two studies—on the Moscow rising of June 1547, pompously called "The Beginning of the Moscow Tsardom," and on "The Making of Councils of the Land"—are confined to Ivan Groznyi. The third, "*Mestnichestvo* and Absolutism (a Formulation of the Problem)," spans early modern Russia, late fifteenth to late eighteenth century. Specialists will recognize Shmidt's essays from his published articles (listed p. 313, n. 19). The second and third follow earlier articles word for word save for additional footnotes, minor editorial changes, and expanded arguments to meet past criticism.

Read for the first time, Shmidt's studies are rewarding. He sketches the history of *mestnichestvo* as a dynamic relationship between the ruler's developing *raison d'état* in demanding service and the aristocracy's claim to hereditary rights. His argument, too involved to trace here, is original and the best recent account for specialist or nonspecialist. In the other essays Shmidt leads us adroitly and in detail through the sources, and sticks close to them in the analysis of events. He grossly exaggerates the significance of the rising of 1547 (p. 14, "the most important event of the political history of Russia in the sixteenth century"), but irrefutably establishes that the Moscow fire triggered a massive "primitive" rebellion of townspeople which shocked Ivan Groznyi into beginning to consolidate state power. Shmidt's essay on Councils of the Land is controversial. He claims to detect at least six expanded Councils of Boyar Duma, clergy, and others between 1547 and the first generally accepted Council of 1566. To Shmidt they were Councils of the Land in-the-making. Other Soviet scholars claim that only some or none of these were genuine. Arguments turn either on differences about treacherously ambiguous evidence or on whether one accepts Lenin's assertion that Russia became a "class-representative monarchy" only in the seventeenth century. All protagonists admit that in assemblies from 1547 to 1564–65 Ivan picked delegates, to a limited degree even for the Boyar Duma and the clergy. The remaining delegates were soldiers or bureaucrats. Shmidt occasionally accepts questionable sources that support his theories, such as the testimony of the late seventeenth-century *Khrushchovskaia stepennaia kniga* about a council in 1549. More important, he shows that Groznyi began what became a tradition, the packing of meetings of the Boyar Duma with other interested parties to force acceptance of the burdens of state-building. These assemblies were instruments to establish autocracy; and that of 1564–65, Shmidt ingeniously argues, included for the first time representatives of the town of Moscow and was a confrontation leading to the Oprichnina.

DAVID B. MILLER  
Roosevelt University

BORIS GODUNOV: THE TRAGIC TSAR. By Ian Grey. New York: Charles Scribner's Sons, 1973. 188 pp. \$8.95.

Readers of Russian history who are already familiar with Ian Grey's biographies of Peter I, Ivan III, Ivan IV, and Catherine II will find his latest effort similar in approach and manner. In this volume Grey chronicles the narrative history of the