

V I S U A L N E U R O S C I E N C E

THE HUMANE CARE AND USE OF ANIMALS

Statement regarding the care and use of animals, approved by the American Physiological Society and by the Society for Neuroscience, April 1984, and adopted by Visual Neuroscience.

“Continued progress in many areas of biomedical research requires the use of living animals in order to investigate complex systems and functions because, in such cases, no adequate alternatives exist. Progress in both basic and clinical research in such areas cannot continue without the use of living animals as experimental subjects. The use of living animals in properly designed scientific research is therefore both ethical and appropriate. Nevertheless, our concern for the humane treatment of animals dictates that we weigh carefully the benefits to human knowledge and welfare whenever animal research is undertaken. The investigator using research animals assumes responsibility for proper experimental design, including ethical as well as scientific aspects.

“The scientific community shares the concern of society at large that the use of animals in research should conform to standards that are consonant with those applied to other uses of animals by humans. Most of the more specific sections of this document were formulated with respect to research using warm-blooded vertebrates. As a general principle, however, ethical issues involved in the use of any species, whether vertebrate or invertebrate, are best considered in relation to the complexity of the species’ nervous system and its apparent awareness of the environment, rather than physical appearance or evolutionary proximity to humans.

“*Factors that relate to the design of experiments.* The primary factor used to evaluate humane treatment in animal research is degree of distress or discomfort, assessed by anthropomorphic judgments made by reasonable and prudent human observers. *The fundamental principle of ethical animal research is that experimental animals must not be subjected to avoidable distress or discomfort.* This principle must be observed when designing any experiment that uses living animals.

“Although most animal research involves minimal distress or discomfort, certain valid scientific questions may require experimental designs that inevitably produce these effects. Such situations, while uncommon, are extremely diverse and must be evaluated individually. It is critical that distress and discomfort be minimized by experimental design. It is also important to recognize that there is no difference between distress and discomfort that may be inherent in a valid experimental design and that which may occur as an unintended side effect. It is therefore incumbent on the investigator to recognize and to eliminate all *avoidable* sources of distress and discomfort in animal subjects. This goal often requires attention to specifics of animal husbandry as well as to experimental design.

“Invasive procedures and paralytic drugs should never be employed without benefit of anesthetic agents unless there is a very strong scientific justification and careful consideration is given to alternatives. Advances in experimental techniques, such as the use of devices chronically implanted under anesthesia, can offer alternative approaches. If these are not feasible, it is essential to monitor nociceptive responses (for example, recordings of EEG, blood pressure, and pupillary responses) that may indicate distress in the animal subject, and to use these as signals of the need to alleviate pain, to modify the experimental design, or to terminate the experiment.

“When designing research projects, investigators should carefully consider the species and numbers of animals necessary to provide valid information, as well as the question of whether living subjects are required to answer the scientific question. As a general rule, experiments should be designed so as to minimize the number of animals used and to avoid the depletion of endangered species. Advances in experimental methods, more efficient use of animals, within-subject designs, and modern statistical techniques all provide possible ways to minimize the numbers of animals used in research. This goal is completely consistent with the critical importance of replication and validation of results to true progress of science.

“*Factors that relate to the conduct of experiments.* Research animals must be acquired and cared for in accordance with the guidelines published in the *NIH Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals* (National Institutes of Health Publications No. 80-23, Revised 1978). Investigators must also be aware of the relevant local, state, and federal laws. The quality of research data depends in no small measure on the health and general condition of the animals used, as well as on the specifics of experimental design. Thus, proper animal husbandry is integral to the success of any research effort using living animal subjects. General standards for animal husbandry (housing, food quality, ventilation, etc.) are detailed in the *NIH Guide*. The experienced investigator can contribute additional specifics for optimum care for particular experimental situations, or for species not commonly encountered in laboratory settings.

“Surgery performed with the intent that the animal will survive (for example, on animals intended for chronic study) should be carried out, or directly supervised, by persons with appropriate levels of experience and training, and with attention to asepsis and prevention of infection. Major surgical procedures should be done using an appropriate method of anesthesia to render the animal insensitively to pain. Muscle relaxants and paralytics have no anesthetic action and should not be used alone for surgical restraint. Postoperative care must include attention to minimize discomfort and the risk of infection.

“Many experimental designs call for surgical preparation under anesthetic agents with no intent that the animal survive. In such cases, the animals ordinarily should be maintained unconscious for the duration of the experiment. At the conclusion of the experiment, the animal should be killed without regaining consciousness and death ensured before final disposition.

“Certain experiments may require physical restraint, and/or withholding of food or water, as methodological paradigms. In such cases, careful attention must be paid to minimize discomfort or distress and to ensure that general health is maintained. *Immobilization or restraint to which animals cannot be readily adapted should not be imposed when alternative procedures are practical.* Reasonable periods of rest and readjustment should be included in the experimental schedule unless these would be absolutely inconsistent with valid scientific objectives.

“When distress and discomfort are unavoidable attributes of a valid experimental design, it is mandatory to conduct such experiments so as to minimize these effects, to minimize the duration of the procedure, and to minimize the numbers of animals used, consistent with the scientific objectives of the study.”

V I S U A L N E U R O S C I E N C E

DETAILED INFORMATION FOR CONTRIBUTORS

AIMS AND SCOPE. *Visual Neuroscience* publishes papers based on original experimental or theoretical work concerned explicitly with the biological substrates of vision, including the neural mechanisms involved in visually guided behavior and perception. Studies based exclusively on clinical, psychophysical, or behavioral methods will be considered only if they speak directly to issues of neural mechanisms. The journal features full-length research reports and review articles as well as short communications.

ORIGINALITY AND COPYRIGHT. To be considered for publication in *Visual Neuroscience* a manuscript cannot have been published previously, nor can it be under review for publication elsewhere. Papers with multiple authors are reviewed with the assumption that all authors have approved the submitted manuscript and concur in its submission to *Visual Neuroscience*. A Transfer of Copyright Agreement must be executed before an article can be published. Government authors whose articles were created in the course of their employment must so certify in lieu of copyright transfer. Authors are responsible for obtaining written permission from the copyright owners to reprint any previously published material included in their article.

MANUSCRIPT SUBMISSION AND REVIEW. An original and three high quality photocopies should be submitted to:

James T. McIlwain, Editor
Visual Neuroscience
Brown University, Box G-M416
Providence, RI 02912, USA

Subsequent correspondence should refer to the Manuscript Reference Number, which will appear on the Acknowledgment Card sent to the corresponding author. Each manuscript will normally be reviewed by at least two referees with relevant scientific experience. Authors may suggest appropriate reviewers, but final selection of referees will be made by the Editor. Reviewers are asked to evaluate manuscripts for their scientific merit and clarity of presentation and to voice any concerns related to the welfare of animal and human subjects. Every effort will be made to notify authors of the reviewers' recommendations within six weeks of receipt of a manuscript.

MANUSCRIPT LENGTH AND EXCESS PAGE CHARGES. Due to space limitations, concisely written papers are more likely to receive favorable review than those judged to be excessively long. Page charges are not levied for articles occupying fewer than 12 printed pages (i.e. double-spaced manuscripts of approximately 40 pages or less, using standard, uniformly spaced typefaces, and including figures), but authors will be asked to pay \$100 for each printed page beyond 12. Editorial review and publication of a paper are not contingent upon the payment of page charges.

Manuscripts submitted as Short Communications should normally occupy no more than 4 printed pages, figures included (approximately 13 manuscript pages).

MANUSCRIPT PREPARATION AND STYLE. Manuscripts must be in English and typed double-spaced on one side only of 8½ × 11" or A4 size good quality paper. Allow margins of at least 1" (20 mm); use a 5-space paragraph indent; do not hyphenate words at the end of lines and do not justify right margins. Minor corrections to the manuscript may be typed or neatly printed in ink; retyping is required for significant changes. Numbers should be spelled out when they occur at the beginning of a sentence; use Arabic numerals elsewhere. Abbreviations should be used sparingly and nonstandard abbreviations should be defined at their first occurrence. Metric system (SI) units should be used. Manuscripts that do not conform to the style of *Visual Neuroscience* will be returned without review.

scripts that do not conform to the style of *Visual Neuroscience* will be returned without review.

MANUSCRIPT ELEMENTS AND ORDER. Unless there are obvious and compelling reasons for variation (e.g. review articles, short communications), manuscripts should be organized as follows:

Title page. This is page 1. The title should be concise, informative, and free of abbreviations, chemical formulae, technical jargon, and esoteric terms. This page should include (a) the article's full title, (b) names and affiliations of all authors, (c) the name, mailing address, and telephone number of the corresponding author, (d) the address for reprint requests if different from that of the corresponding author, (e) a short title of 50 characters or less, and (f) a list of the number of manuscript pages, number of tables, and number of figures.

Abstract and keywords page. This is page 2 and should include (a) the article's full title, (b) an abstract of no more than 300 words, and (c) up to 5 keywords or phrases that reflect the content and major thrust of the article. The abstract should give a succinct account of the objective, methods, results, and significance of the research.

Introduction. This section begins on page 3 and should clearly state the objective of the research in the context of previous work bearing directly on the subject. An extensive review of the literature is not usually appropriate.

Methods. This section should be brief but provide sufficient information to permit others to replicate the study. Pertinent details of species, apparatus and equipment, procedures and experimental design should be described.

All experiments involving human subjects must be conducted in accordance with principles embodied in the Declaration of Helsinki (Code of Ethics of the World Medical Association). Experiments involving animal subjects must conform to the principles regarding the care and use of animals adopted by the American Physiological Society and the Society for Neuroscience. The editor may refuse papers that provide insufficient evidence of adherence to these principles.

Results. The results should be presented clearly and concisely, using figures and tables to summarize or illustrate the important findings. Quantitative observations are often more effectively displayed in graphs than in tables.

Discussion. The discussion should summarize the major findings and explain their significance in terms of the study's objectives and relationship to previous, relevant work. This section should present compact, clearly developed arguments rather than wide-ranging speculation or uncritical collation of earlier reports.

Acknowledgments. Use a separate page to recognize the contributions of individuals and supporting institutions.

References. *Visual Neuroscience* uses the author-date reference style of the *Journal of Physiology*. In the text, references should be cited as follows:

as shown by Herrick (1948)
(Gordon et al., 1973)
(Buhl & Peichl, 1986; Gordon et al., 1987)

The alphabetical list of references begins a new page, and must be typed double-spaced. Each in-text citation must have a corresponding reference and vice versa. List works by different authors who are cited within the same parentheses in chronological order, beginning with the earlier work. Journal titles should not be abbreviated. Only published articles and articles in press should appear in this list. Responsibility for the accuracy of references cited lies with the authors. Brief examples:

Journal article

Buhl, E.H. & Peichl, L. (1986). Morphology of rabbit retinal ganglion cells projecting to the medial terminal nucleus of the accessory optic system. *Journal of Comparative Neurology*, *253*, 163-174.

Book

Herrick, C.J. (1948). *The Brain of the Tiger Salamander*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Chapter in an edited book

Bonds, A.B. & DeBruyn, E.J. (1986). Inhibition and spatial selectivity in the visual cortex: The cooperative neuronal network revisited. In *Models of Visual Cortex*, ed. Rose, D. & Dobson, V.G., pp. 292-300. Chichester, England: John Wiley & Sons.

For more than one work by the same author(s) published in the same year, use (Jones, 1986a, b) in text and likewise in the reference section.

Tables. Tables should be numbered consecutively with Arabic numerals and each should be typed double-spaced on a separate sheet. All tables are to be grouped together after the references. A short explanatory title and column headings should make the table intelligible without reference to the text. All tables must be cited and their approximate positions indicated in the text.

Figures and legends. The number of figures should be the minimum necessary to make the essential points of the paper. Figures should be supplied no larger than 8 × 10" (approx. 200 × 250 mm) and must be camera-ready. Photographs for halftone reproduction must be on white glossy paper. Figures should be composed to occupy a single column (8.3 cm) or two columns (17 cm) after reduction. Diagrams and illustrations must have a professional appearance and be typed or drawn with sharp, black lettering to permit reduction. To assure legibility, letters, numbers, and symbols on figures should have a minimum height of 1 mm when reduced. Photomicrographs must include a calibration bar; if symbols are used on micrographs, they must contrast sufficiently with the background to be clearly visible when printed. Photocopies of micrographs are not acceptable for review purposes.

Artwork should normally be in black and white; if authors have color figures, the publisher will provide a price quotation for the additional production costs. All figures must be identified on the back with the short title of the paper, figure number, and figure orientation (top or bottom). Preferably, figures should be mounted on heavy sheets of the same size as the manuscript. Four complete sets of figures should be carefully packaged in protective envelopes, one to accompany each copy of the manuscript. Each figure must be cited and its approximate position clearly indicated within the text.

Figures must be numbered consecutively with Arabic numerals and be accompanied by a descriptive caption typed double-spaced on a separate sheet. The captions, collected at the end of the manuscript, should concisely describe the figure and identify any symbols and/or calibration bars.

COPYEDITING AND PAGE PROOFS. The publisher reserves the right to copyedit manuscripts to conform to the style of *Visual Neuroscience*. The corresponding author will receive page proofs for final proofreading. No rewriting of the final accepted manuscript is permitted at the proof stage, and substantial changes may be charged to the authors.

OFFPRINTS. The corresponding author will receive 25 free article offprints. A form will accompany the page proofs allowing orders for complete copies of the issue and for the purchase of additional offprints. Offprint requirements of all coauthors should be included on this form. Orders received after issue printing will be subject to a 50% reprint surcharge.

V I S U A L N E U R O S C I E N C E

Volume 8

February 1992

Number 2

CONTENTS

Research Articles

- THOMAS E. FRUMKES, 83 Influence of rod adaptation upon cone responses to light
GU DRUN LANGE, offset in humans: I. Results in normal observers
NOREEN DENNY, AND
IWONA BECZKOWSKA
- GU DRUN LANGE AND 91 Influence of rod adaptation upon cone responses to light
THOMAS E. FRUMKES offset in humans: II. Results in an observer with exaggerated
suppressive rod-cone interaction
- DAVID M. LINN AND 97 GABA inhibits ACh release from the rabbit retina: A direct
STEPHEN C. MASSEY effect or feedback to bipolar cells?
- DONALD C. HOOD AND 107 A computational model of the amplitude and implicit time of
DAVID G. BIRCH the *b*-wave of the human ERG
- SHUANG WU, 127 Electroretinograms (ERGs) and visual-evoked potentials
JOHN C. ARMINGTON, (VEPs) elicited by pattern displacement
AND ADAM REEVES
- DAVID W. MARSHAK 137 Peptidergic neurons of teleost retinas
- NEAL S. PEACHEY, 145 Light adaptation, rods, and the human cone flicker ERG
KENNETH R. ALEXANDER,
DEBORAH J. DERLACKI,
AND GERALD A. FISHMAN
- MARTIN EGELHAAF AND 151 Are there separate ON and OFF channels in fly
ALEXANDER BORST motion vision?
- SAMUEL ROSSEL, 165 Vertical disparity and binocular vision in the praying mantis
UTE MATHIS, AND
THOMAS COLLETT
- NIKOLAUS J. SUCHER 171 A slowly inactivating K⁺ current in retinal ganglion cells
AND STUART A. LIPTON from postnatal rat

Short Communication

ISSN 0952-5238

© 1992 Cambridge University Press

Printed in the United States of America