## Invasive Plant Science and Management

[www.cambridge.org/inp](https://www.cambridge.org/inp)

## Research Article

Cite this article: Abella SR, Porter SL, Kline KA, Chiquoine LP, and Jurand BS (2024). Changing dominance of invasive common reed (Phragmites australis) and native plant colonization with variation in management, wildfires, and soils in a desert wetland. Invasive Plant Sci. Manag. doi: [10.1017/inp.2024.21](https://doi.org/10.1017/inp.2024.21)

Received: 20 April 2024 Revised: 31 July 2024 Accepted: 6 August 2024

Associate Editor: Jacob N. Barney, Virginia Tech

#### Keywords:

Environmental gradient; initial conditions; Mojave Desert; salinity; secondary invasion; seedbank

Corresponding author: Scott R. Abella; Email: [scott.abella@unlv.edu](mailto:scott.abella@unlv.edu)

© The Author(s), 2024. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of Weed Science Society of America. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence [\(https://](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution and reproduction, provided the original article is properly cited.



# Changing dominance of invasive common reed (Phragmites australis) and native plant colonization with variation in management, wildfires, and soils in a desert wetland

Scott R. Abella<sup>1</sup> (D. Shelley L. Porter<sup>2</sup>, Kathleen A. Kline<sup>2</sup>, Lindsay P. Chiquoine<sup>3</sup> and Benjamin S. Jurand4

<sup>1</sup>Associate Professor, University of Nevada Las Vegas, School of Life Sciences, Las Vegas, NV, USA; Founder and Ecologist, Natural Resource Conservation LLC, Boulder City, NV, USA; <sup>2</sup>Research Assistant, University of Nevada Las Vegas, School of Life Sciences, Las Vegas, NV, USA; <sup>3</sup>Research Associate, University of Nevada Las Vegas, School of Life Sciences, Las Vegas, NV, USA and <sup>4</sup>Environmental Specialist, Clark County Wetlands Park, Las Vegas, NV, USA

## Abstract

Among the most widely distributed species globally, common reed [Phragmites australis (Cav.) Trin. ex Steud.] has generated extensive interest in invasive plant science and management because its introduced strains are highly invasive and often form monocultures that alter ecosystem properties. In desert wetlands in Las Vegas, NV, USA, where management goals included reducing hazardous P. australis fuels and increasing native plant diversity, we assessed variation in P. australis cover, the degree of native plant colonization, and soil seedbanks after P. australis management treatments (cutting, glyphosate–imazapyr herbicide) and wildfires across gradients in soil properties. Based on change in P. australis cover during six measurement events over 24 mo, 24 study sites formed three groups: (1) decreasing cover, where initially high P. australis cover (60% to 85%) decreased to <5% following multiple cutting or herbicide treatments; (2) sustaining low cover, where wildfire or clearing was associated with initially low P. australis cover which remained low (<30%) after multiple herbicide applications; and (3) sustaining high cover (45% to 100% initially and remaining at 30% to 100%), including sites unmanaged or treated/burned only once. High soil salinity correlated with low postmanagement P. australis cover. No native plants were detected in the sustaining high P. australis cover group, despite natives occurring in the seedbank. Where management reduced P. australis cover, minimal native plant colonization did occur. Secondary invasion by other non-native plants was nearly absent. Our results suggest that if P. australis can be initially cleared, multiple herbicide applications can persistently keep cover low, especially on drier, saline soils. Slow native plant colonization suggests that a phased approach may be useful to initially reduce P. australis cover, keep it low via repeated treatments, and actively revegetate sites with native species tailored to the moisture–salinity gradient across P. australis–invaded habitats.

## Introduction

The wetland-associated, tall grass common reed [Phragmites australis (Cav.) Trin. ex Steud.] is among the most widespread species on Earth, inhabiting all continents except Antarctica (Packer et al. [2017\)](#page-8-0). With a complex genetic history, the species has high genetic variability and different genetic strains that vary in invasiveness among its native and introduced habitats (Eller et al. [2017\)](#page-8-0). Introduced strains are in the category of the most-damaging invasive plants, by being highly invasive; having diverse mechanisms for spread (via both seed and clonal reproduction); forming monocultures; and being an ecosystem engineer that can change soil properties (e.g., forming thick litter layers), alter hydrology (e.g., slowing water flow in dense stands), and increase fire severity by producing abundant fuels (Engloner [2009\)](#page-8-0). As a result, there is global interest in developing management strategies to reduce invasive populations of P. australis and increase native plants in diverse settings such as forested wetlands in Australia (Greet and King [2019](#page-8-0)), waterways in France (Errico et al. [2019\)](#page-8-0), estuaries in South Africa (Russell and Kraaij [2008\)](#page-8-0), created wetlands in Japan (Kimura and Tsuyuzaki [2011\)](#page-8-0), and temperate (Zimmerman et al. [2018](#page-9-0)) and desert (Rohal et al. [2019](#page-8-0)) wetlands in the United States, among many others globally.

Two broad conclusions from the P. australis management literature are that (1) usually multiple treatment applications or combinations of different treatments are required to achieve control, with further research required to develop these management strategies in different environments across the variety of climates, soils, and plant community conditions invaded globally (e.g., Mal and Narine [2004;](#page-8-0) Quirion et al. [2018\)](#page-8-0); and (2) the degree of native plant



## Management Implications

Different trajectories in cover of invasive Phragmites australis (common reed) and native plant colonization occurred across variation in initial conditions, the number of management treatments (cutting, herbicide) or wildfires, and soil properties such as salinity. By 24 mo after our study began, 15 of 18 (83%) managed sites had P. australis cover ≤16%, compared with 80% to 100% cover on six unmanaged sites. Reducing P. australis cover and litter layers (which averaged 1-m thick on unmanaged sites) is important for meeting management goals of reducing hazardous fuels and providing open space for native plant colonization or active revegetation. After P. australis cover was reduced, secondary invasion by other non-native plants was minimal or absent. No colonization by native plants ever occurred on unmanaged sites, while some colonization occurred on managed sites by species such as narrowleaf willow (Salix exigua Nutt.), catclaw acacia [Senegalia greggii (A. Gray) Britton & Rose], and salt heliotrope (Heliotropium curassavicum L.). Active revegetation using saline-tolerant species may hasten native plant establishment on saline soil, where P. australis appeared to have lower resilience. More competitive natives may be suitable for moister or less saline soil, where P. australis was more resilient after treatments and wildfires. Our findings suggest that a multiphase approach may be useful to managing P. australis monocultures in desert wetlands. This could include taking advantage of initial wildfires (or potentially conducting prescribed fires) to cost-effectively clear P. australis biomass, applying herbicide multiple times to deter resprouting and reestablishment, and allowing native plants to colonize slowly with P. australis kept at low abundance or using active revegetation to hasten native plant establishment.

community establishment is highly variable following P. australis management (e.g., Güsewell [2003](#page-8-0); Judd and Francoeur [2019](#page-8-0)). Phragmites australis's diverse regeneration mechanisms, which can include seed dispersal, reproduction from soil seedbanks, rhizomes, stolons, and shoot nodes (Ailstock et al. [2001\)](#page-8-0), coupled with its other traits (such as accumulation of dead mass and litter that can affect herbicide contact with live plant parts), often means that multiple applications of the same or different treatments in combination are needed to persistently reduce invasive populations (Breen et al. [2014](#page-8-0); Monteiro et al. [1999](#page-8-0); Rohal et al. [2019](#page-8-0)). There is a major role for invasive plant science to identify these effective treatment combinations in different settings, because effective treatments have varied among studies across the diverse management contexts of the global distribution of the species (Kimura and Tsuyuzaki [2011;](#page-8-0) Russell and Kraaij [2008](#page-8-0); Zimmerman et al. [2018\)](#page-9-0). Variations of mechanical cutting (e.g., mowing at different frequencies), herbicides, prescribed burning, managed flooding, grazing, and a variety of other treatments have shown promise for reducing P. australis (Hazelton et al. [2014;](#page-8-0) Packer et al. [2017;](#page-8-0) Rohal et al. [2019](#page-8-0)).

Management goals often include both reducing P. australis and stimulating native plant establishment, but dual achievement of these goals has varied in completeness among prior studies. This is illustrated in reviews of P. australis management, such as Hazelton et al. ([2014](#page-8-0)), who concluded that little information on communitylevel recovery following removal of P. australis was available. Recently, examples of native plant responses to P. australis treatments have been highly variable, ranging from no increase in a floristic quality metric (Judd and Francoeur [2019](#page-8-0)), to other

non-native plants responding more positively than native plants (Robichaud and Rooney [2021](#page-8-0)), to native cover increasing but lacking recovery toward species composition typifying habitat not invaded by P. australis (Rohal et al. [2019](#page-8-0)), to native community composition converging with that typifying uninvaded habitat (Zimmerman et al. [2018\)](#page-9-0). When P. australis is reduced, the degree of native plant colonization could depend on factors such as the abundance or composition of soil seedbanks or nearby vegetation (Rohal et al. [2023](#page-8-0)).

Relationships of P. australis management outcomes with site factors, such as soil properties, have also been noted as a potentially important but poorly understood variable in P. australis management (Rohal et al. [2023](#page-8-0)). As P. australis can invade a variety of wetland sites, ranging from freshwater to brackish or salt marsh, salinity can be a major factor in P. australis dynamics (Gorai et al. [2006\)](#page-8-0). Although not considered a halophyte highly tolerant of salinity, P. australis nevertheless can invade saline soil but could have some life-history features compromised by salinity (Chambers et al. [2003](#page-8-0)). For example, salinity may reduce seed germination, making P. australis more reliant on clonal expansion (Bart and Hartman [2003\)](#page-8-0).

We conducted our study to address uncertainties in P. australis management strategies, native plant recovery or secondary invasion during P. australis management, and potential associations of P. australis management outcomes with soil properties. Most P. australis research has occurred in temperate or Mediterranean climates, and we performed our study in a desert biome for which little P. australis ecology and management science is available (e.g., Rohal et al. [2021\)](#page-8-0). The dearth of research in deserts is especially notable, as invasive strains of P. australis may have been expanding in deserts in recent decades (Kulmatiski et al. [2010\)](#page-8-0). We asked: (1) How does P. australis cover change after different combinations of management treatments (cutting, herbicide) and wildfires? (2) To what degree do native or other non-native plants colonize during P. australis management and are these present in soil seedbanks? (3) Are soil properties, such as salinity, correlated with P. australis dynamics after treatments?

## Materials and Methods

## Study Area

The study area is the 85-ha Wetlands Nature Preserve (36.10°N, 115.02°W), within the 1,174-ha Clark County Wetlands Park, on the eastern edge of Las Vegas, NV, USA, in the Mojave Desert. The study area is adjacent to and on the south side of the Las Vegas Wash. The wash carries wastewater and runoff from the Las Vegas Valley to Lake Mead and had an average stream flow of 10 m<sup>3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> in 2023 (Pabco Road Station, U.S. Geological Survey, Reston, VA, USA). Groundwater levels are typically within 1.5 m of the surface in our study area where P. australis occurs (Lato et al. [2006](#page-8-0)). Soils with *P. australis* in the study area are classified as fine-silty, mixed, superactive, thermic Typic Aquisalids (Land series) and fine-silty, carbonatic, thermic Typic Torrifluvents (Glencarb series). Both of these soils are derived from mixed alluvium, contain gypsum and carbonates, are moderately to very strongly saline (electrical conductivity 8 to 32 + dS m<sup>-1</sup>), and are somewhat poorly to well drained (Lato et al. [2006](#page-8-0)). A weather station 1 km south of the study area recorded precipitation averaging 9.1 cm yr<sup>−</sup><sup>1</sup> from 2006 through 2023 (Duck Creek Broadbent Station, Clark County Regional Flood Control District, Las Vegas, NV, USA). During the

years spanning our study, precipitation was 91% (2021), 45% (2022), and 81% (2023) of average.

Vegetation in our study area includes a mixture of native species that were planted since the early 2000s (e.g., Fremont cottonwood [Populus fremontii S. Watson] and Goodding's willow [Salix gooddingii C.R. Ball]) or recruited naturally (e.g., big saltbush [Atriplex lentiformis (Torr.) S. Watson]); infrequent and small (<1 ha) patches of non-native saltcedar (Tamarix ramosissima Ledeb.), which have been reduced by ongoing treatments since the early 2000s; and P. australis patches. The P. australis patches include a mixture of those thought to be at least 25 yr old and newer patches that have invaded open areas or become established and replaced patches of other vegetation after disturbances such as wildfires or floods (Whitney et al. [2015](#page-9-0)). A P. australis genetic investigation in the park concluded that the native strain and an invasive hybrid (between the native and introduced strains) occur along the Las Vegas Wash (Saltonstall et al. [2016](#page-8-0)). The P. australis in our study plots showed invasiveness (i.e., aggressive colonization) and formed a monoculture (Saltonstall et al. [2016](#page-8-0)). The invasiveness, low habitat diversity, disruption of water flow (Errico et al. [2019\)](#page-8-0), and hazardous fuels of P. australis monocultures made them a priority for management and replacement with more diverse native vegetation.

## Phragmites australis Patches and Treatment and Wildfire **History**

We identified 24 P. australis patches of at least 0.05 ha based on a distribution map maintained by the park and our own field reconnaissance. Each patch was separated from other P. australis patches by other vegetation patches (mostly native species noted in the study area description) or public recreational trails (Figure [1\)](#page-3-0).

Initial conditions varied among the P. australis patches in May 202[1](#page-3-0) when we began our study (Figure 1; Supplementary Table [S1\)](https://doi.org/10.1017/inp.2024.21). Before May 2021, two of the patches were burned by wildfire in April 2020 and reburned (fueled by P. australis resprouts) in February 2021, while another two burned only in February 2021. Twelve of the patches were mechanically cut at ground level, with the cut material moved off site in November 2020, and four of these were then burned by wildfire in February 2021. Two additional cut patches had the cut P. australis material left spread on site in a layer (up to 1-m thick) intended to hinder resprouting. The Bureau of Land Management (Southern Nevada District) fuels-management program performed cutting using a T770 Compact Track Loader with a drum mulcher attachment (Bobcat, West Fargo, ND, USA) and a 299D2 Compact Track Loader with a disk mulcher (Caterpillar, Irving, TX, USA; and Diamond Mowers, Sioux Falls, SD, USA). The last six of the 24 patches were undisturbed in May 2021.

From these baseline conditions in May 2021 when we began our study, the diverse treatment and wildfire activity the 24 patches received over the next 2 yr enabled us to assess P. australis abundance change and native and non-native plant colonization across dynamic disturbances and management summarized in Supplementary Table [S1.](https://doi.org/10.1017/inp.2024.21) Of the six original undisturbed patches (i.e., no management or wildfires in May 2021), four remained undisturbed throughout our study to May 2023, when our study ended. The other two burned by wildfire in December 2021 but received no management throughout our study. The other 18 patches received one or more management treatments (with some also burned by wildfire) from May 2021 to May 2023. Fourteen of the patches received herbicide twice, in May 2021 and May 2022,

and another two patches received herbicide three times (May 2021 and 2022 and April 2023). Herbicide formulated for use around aquatic environments was applied via foliar spot spraying with backpack and vehicle-mounted tank sprayers by the National Park Service, Invasive Plant Management Team (Lake Mead National Recreation Area, Boulder City, NV, USA). A herbicide containing glyphosate under the aquatic formulation Rodeo® (Corteva Agriscience, Indianapolis, IN, USA) and imazapyr under the aquatic formulation Polaris® (Nufarm Americas, Alsip, IL, USA) was applied at a mix rate of 2% Rodeo®, 1% Polaris®, and 0.5% surfactant (Alligare, Opelika, AL, USA). This solution plus the water was sprayed per application at an average rate of  $1,466$  L ha<sup>-1</sup>, with a range of 335 to 9,020 L ha<sup>−</sup><sup>1</sup> proportional to the coverage of P. australis (C Deuser, National Park Service Invasive Plant Management Team, Boulder City, NV, USA, personal communication). The last two managed patches received herbicide only once, in May 2021. In total, from 2020, representing conditions antecedent to our study, through the end of our study in May 2023, the number of times a patch was burned by wildfire or received a management treatment ranged from 0 to 5 among the 24 patches (Supplementary Table [S1](https://doi.org/10.1017/inp.2024.21)).

## Data Collection

Within each of the 24 P. australis patches and at least 3 m from the patch edge as a buffer, we randomly located a 10 m by 10 m (0.01 ha) plot. In each plot, we recorded the aerial cover of each vascular plant species (including P. australis) as 0.1%, 0.25%, 0.5%, 1% intervals for 1% to 10% cover, and 5% intervals for cover >10%, up to the maximum 100% cover a species could attain separately as live or dead cover. We recorded cover separately for live and standing dead plant parts. We also recorded the average height of P. australis. In eight equally spaced locations within each plot, we measured and averaged the depth of P. australis litter (in cm, and representing the undecomposed, Oi soil horizon) covering the ground surface and the aerial cover of litter (%, using the same cover classes as for live plants). Nomenclature and classification of species as native/non-native to the United States and by growth form (e.g., perennial forb) followed USDA-NRCS [\(2024\)](#page-9-0). We sampled vegetation and litter on plots in May, August, and November 2021; in March and May 2022; and in May 2023.

During the initial sampling in May 2021, we collected 500 cm<sup>3</sup> of the 0- to 5-cm and 5- to 15-cm mineral soil from 2 m out of plots off the southwestern and northeastern corners of each plot and composited the samples per plot and per layer for analysis. We analyzed the samples for texture (hydrometer method), coarse fragment (>2-mm diameter) content by weight and volume, bulk density, and extract pH and electrical conductivity. In September 2022, we collected 200 cm<sup>3</sup> of the 0- to 5-cm mineral soil to measure gravimetric moisture (105 C oven drying for 24 h).

Approximately 2 m outside and encircling each plot, we used a metal soil corer (8-cm diameter, 5-cm deep) to collect eight subsamples (each  $250 \text{ cm}^3$  and composited on a plot basis) of the 0- to 5-cm mineral soil seedbank (other propagules, such as emergence from rhizomes, were not detected during assays). We collected samples in May 2021 and again in August 2021, and we assayed these collections separately beginning within a week of sample collection. To process samples from each collection, we placed a 3-cm layer of sterilized sand into black, plastic nursery trays (28-cm wide by 54-cm long by 6-cm deep; Greenhouse Megastore, Sacramento, CA, USA). We then placed the 2,000-cm<sup>3</sup> composite sample of soil from each plot in a 1- to 2-cm-thick layer

<span id="page-3-0"></span>

Figure 1. Repeat photos from the same locations on three representative plots in each of three Phragmites cover groups in desert wetlands, Las Vegas, NV, USA. When we began our study in May 2021, the plot shown in the photo representative of the Phragmites decreasing cover group had 80% Phragmites cover that declined to 2% by May 2023 after two herbicide applications following cutting Phragmites in November 2020 and subsequent resprouting. The sustaining low plot began with <1% Phragmites cover in May 2021 (following a November 2020 cutting and February 2021 wildfire) that remained low (1%) in May 2023 after two herbicide applications. The sustaining high plot began with 100% Phragmites cover in May 2021 that remained high at 90% in May 2023. Photos in 2021 by SRA and in 2023 by SLP and KAK. Below the photos, the summary of management and wildfire history synthesizes information for all plots within each group (2x and 1-3x refer to the number of herbicide applications through time). Complete management and wildfire history and Phragmites cover for each plot is in Supplementary Table [S1](https://doi.org/10.1017/inp.2024.21).

on top of the sterilized sand in the trays to optimize surface area for seedling emergence. After an initial watering to soil saturation, we covered trays with plastic humidity domes and randomly arranged the trays on a bench in a research greenhouse (University of Nevada Las Vegas). We then kept samples under natural lighting, randomly rearranged them on benches monthly, and watered them as necessary to keep soil moist during the emergence period. The emergence period was May through December 2021 (7 mo) for the May 2021 collection and from August 2021 through July 2022 (11 mo) for the August 2021 collection. We added 150 ml of 500 ppm of the germination stimulant gibberellic acid to samples after the first, second, and third months. Every 2 wk, we counted emerging seedlings by species and removed them from trays as they matured for identification.

### Statistical Analysis

Standing live  $(r = 0.58, P < 0.001, 119 \text{ df})$  and dead  $(r = 0.49, P < 0.001, P < 0.001, P < 0.001$  $P < 0.001$ ) cover of *P. australis* both correlated with total (live  $+$ dead) standing cover of P. australis based on repeated-measures correlation on the 24 plots across the six measurement events (Marusich and Bakdash [2022](#page-8-0)). Based on this relationship, combined with the importance of both standing live and dead cover as fuel for wildfire and ecological effects such as shading across seasons (Ailstock et al. [2001](#page-8-0)), we used P. australis total cover for subsequent analyses.

We analyzed temporal change in P. australis total cover and related it to management and wildfire history and soil variables. After inputting a 24 plot by 6 measurement event (spanning May 2021 to May 2023) matrix containing P. australis total cover, we performed two complementary multivariate analyses in PC-ORD 7.07 (MjM Software Design, Gleneden Beach, OR, USA). We classified plots into groups displaying similar temporal variation in P. australis cover using cluster analysis (Euclidean distance, Ward's linkage method), followed by ordinating plots using principal

components analysis (correlation cross-products matrix). We input soil variables as a second matrix to screen them as correlates with P. australis cover in the ordination, and we summarized the number and type (mechanical or chemical) of management treatments and wildfire occurrences for each of three P. australis cover groups identified by the cluster analysis. Next, in SAS v. 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA), we performed repeated-measures ANOVA to compare mean P. australis total cover, height, and litter cover and depth across measurement events within each P. australis cover group. We used autoregressive structure, and for models with P < 0.05, performed post hoc comparisons with Tukey tests (PROC GLIMMIX).

As native plants had low total cover (<5%, almost all live) among plots and were absent entirely from plots classified into a high P. australis cover group (hence, no variation to analyze), we assessed native species by calculating frequency (percentage of plots inhabited during at least one measurement event for each P. australis cover group). Similarly, we calculated frequency for non-native plants other than P. australis and mean seedbank density (seeds m<sup>−</sup><sup>2</sup> corresponding with a 0- to 5-cm sample depth) for native and non-native species.

## Results and Discussion

## Phragmites australis Cover Groups

Cluster analysis identified three groups of plots with similar temporal variation in total P. australis cover, and these groups separated distinctly in the ordination (Figures 1 and [2](#page-4-0)). We named these groups according to their temporal pattern across the six measurements between May 2021 and May 2023 in total P. australis cover: decreasing, sustaining low, and sustaining high.

Decreasing P. australis cover plots had high initial total cover of *P. australis* (76  $\pm$  4%, mean  $\pm$  SEM) when our study began in May 2021, which included resprouting after cutting and removal of cut

<span id="page-4-0"></span> $1.5$ 

 $1.0$ 

 $0.5$ 

 $0.0$ 

 $-0.5$ 

 $-1.0$ 

 $-1.5$  $-4$ 

Axis 2



 $\circ$ 

Figure 2. Ordination of plots according to variation in Phragmites cover across six measurement events between May 2021 and May 2023 in desert wetlands, Las Vegas, NV, USA. Plots are symbolized based on their cluster analysis groupings of Phragmites cover and connected via convex hulls. Soil correlates with  $r^2 \ge 0.25$  are shown as vectors proportional to the strength of their correlation with distributions of plots in the ordination. All the soil variables were for the upper mineral soil layer (0-5 cm) except for sand, which was for the 5- to 15-cm depth. Statistics for the cluster analysis were 204,483 total sum of squares and 3.2% chaining. In the principal components ordination, axis 1 extracted 78% of the variance and axis 2 extracted 14% (92% cumulative).

Axis 1

 $-1$ 

material in November 2020. With successive herbicide applications in May 2021 and May 2022, however, P. australis total cover declined to <7% in 2022 and was nearly eliminated (1%) by the end of the study in May 2023 (Figure [3\)](#page-5-0). Residual P. australis shoots remained  $\leq 0.5$ -m tall for the last year of the study (Figure [3\)](#page-5-0). Although P. australis litter cover persisted, it always averaged  $\leq$ 6-cm deep (Figure [4](#page-6-0)).

 $-3$ 

 $-2$ 

Plots with sustaining low P. australis cover began in May 2021 with  $14 \pm 5\%$  total cover of *P. australis* following any of three scenarios of initial conditions. These scenarios of initial conditions included: wildfire in February 2021 and no management activities; cutting with material left on site in November 2020; or cutting in November 2020 in addition to wildfire in either or both April 2020 and February 2021. Throughout the rest of the study through May 2023, and encompassing one to three herbicide applications per plot, P. australis total cover always averaged less than 19% (Figure [3](#page-5-0)). As with the decreasing cover group, P. australis litter remained on plots only as a thin layer, always averaging <10-cm thick (Figure [4\)](#page-6-0).

The sustaining high P. australis cover group began in May 2021 with 86  $\pm$  8% total cover of *P. australis* following either no management (six plots) or cutting and removal of biomass in November 2020 (two plots). Thereafter, cover remained high at 96% to 99%, only briefly dipping to 59% in early 2022 before rebounding for the rest of the study through May 2023 (Figure [3\)](#page-5-0). Six of eight plots in this group received no management throughout the May 2021 to 2023 measurement period. The brief dip in average cover followed December 2021 or January 2022 wildfires that burned four plots, two of which then received a herbicide application in May 2022 but exhibited vigorous P. australis resprouting. Height of P. australis shoots averaged >3 m when our study began in May 2021 and remained 2.8-m tall in May 2023 within this group (Figure [3](#page-5-0)). Moreover, P. australis litter depth averaged 1-m thick in May 2021. Despite half the plots in this group burning once, litter on average still exceeded 0.5-m thick in May 2023 when our study ended (Figure [4\)](#page-6-0).

## Native Plant Colonization, Secondary Invasion, and Soil **Seedbanks**

Sustaining low cover plots < 50% cover in May 2021 and < 30 % in May 2023

 $\overline{2}$ 

No native plants were recorded in vegetation during the study on plots in the sustaining high P. australis cover group (Table [1\)](#page-7-0). This is consistent with studies from other regions finding that P. australis can form monocultures (Engloner [2009](#page-8-0)). Native plant colonization did occur on plots in the decreasing and sustaining low P. australis cover groups. Although their cover was low, native species colonizing plots where P. australis cover declined or remained low included: the trees S. greggii and S. exigua, the shrub willow baccharis (Baccharis salicina Torr. & A. Gray), and the perennial forbs Mojave thistle [Cirsium mohavense (Greene) Petr.], H. curassavicum, and yellow nightshade groundcherry (Physalis crassifolia Benth.).

These native colonizers were consistent with management objectives to convert P. australis monocultures to more diverse native species that could provide ecological functions important over time, as suggested by prior research. In a Mojave Desert study in southern California, for example, C. mohavense was a host plant for 25 genera of insects (Goeden and Ricker [1987](#page-8-0)). Heliotropium curassavicum produces abundant nectar and is utilized by butterflies (Wiesenborn and Pratt [2010\)](#page-9-0). Physalis crassifolia is an important food plant for small mammals such as desert woodrats (Neotoma lepida; Cameron and Rainey [1972](#page-8-0)). The tree S. greggii is favored for nesting by birds, including in prior studies in the Las Vegas Valley (Austin [1970](#page-8-0)). In addition to providing wildlife habitat, the other native tree colonizing plots, S. exigua, can reduce pharmaceutical pollutants in water by sequestering them (Franks et al. [2019\)](#page-8-0).

Throughout our study, secondary invasion by other non-native plants was limited to absent among plots, including after management activities and wildfires. Cover of non-native plants other than P. australis never exceeded 4% on any plot during any measurement. No non-native species occurred on more than one plot within any of the three P. australis cover groups (Table [1\)](#page-7-0). The tree T. ramosissima, annual forb spiny sowthistle [Sonchus asper(L.) Hill], and the perennial forb broadleaved pepperweed (Lepidium

 $\overline{3}$ 

<span id="page-5-0"></span>

Figure 3. Mean (+1 standard error of the mean bars) vegetative cover and height of Phragmites across six measurement events within three Phragmites vegetative cover groups in desert wetlands, Las Vegas, NV, USA. Within a Phragmites cover group, if temporal variation was significant at P < 0.05, letters compare means through time (means not sharing a common letter differ at P < 0.05). Statistics for repeated-measures ANOVA within Phragmites cover groups are as follows: decreasing, F(5, 25) = 5,218, P < 0.001 for Phragmites total cover, and  $F(5, 25) = 204$ , P < 0.001 for height; sustaining low,  $F(5, 45) = 3.0$ , P = 0.020 for *Phragmites* total cover, and  $F(5, 45) = 14$ , P < 0.001 for height; and sustaining high,  $F(5, 35) = 3.3$ , P = 0.016 for *Phragmites* total cover, and  $F(5, 35) = 12$ , P < 0.001 for height.

latifolium L.) were the only non-natives recorded in the vegetation, each occurring in one plot. Additionally, non-natives comprised only a small portion of the seeds in soil seedbanks: 17% in the decreasing, 3% in the sustaining low, and 2% in the sustaining high P. australis cover groups (Table [1\)](#page-7-0). Of the two non-native species detected in the seedbank, one, red brome (Bromus rubens L.), is an upland species not detected in the wetlands vegetation and potentially unable to grow there (Jurand and Abella [2013](#page-8-0)). Although infrequent, L. latifolium was the only non-native in both the vegetation and seedbank and may represent the priority secondary invader of concern. Lepidium latifolium can reproduce via seed and vegetatively, is semi-tolerant of saline soil, and can form monocultures (Francis and Warwick [2007](#page-8-0)). The species can be controlled with flooding, herbicide, and, if at low density such as in our study, pulling, so long as rhizomes are extracted (Francis and Warwick [2007\)](#page-8-0).

We detected only nine species in the soil seedbank, but it was dominated by natives in terms of species richness (78%, seven of nine species) and abundance (95% of seeds detected; Table [1](#page-7-0)). The native perennial forbs H. curassavicum and P. crassifolia occurred in both the seedbank and vegetation of plots, while several natives absent from the vegetation of plots (but present within the local flora of the study area) were in the seedbank (e.g., B. salicina, Typha spp.). Although no native species occurred in vegetation of the sustaining high P. australis cover group, native seedbank density was highest in this group. Native seedbank density in this group averaged 164 seeds m<sup>−</sup><sup>2</sup> , compared with 50 and 39 seeds m<sup>−</sup><sup>2</sup> in the decreasing and sustaining low P. australis cover groups. There could

be multiple processes for native seed retention within dense P. australis stands. For example, P. australis structure could slow winds, resulting in deposition of wind-blown seed, it could trap seed in thick litter layers, or it could inhibit seed germination via dense P. australis cover (Baldwin et al. [2010](#page-8-0); Rohal et al. [2021](#page-8-0)).

We did not detect emergence of P. australis from the seedbank samples, and there could be several reasons for this. Much of the P. australis in our study area may be an invasive hybrid (Salstonstall et al. [2016](#page-8-0)). Including seed collections from around our study area, Williams et al. [\(2019](#page-9-0)) found that germination of the invasive hybrid's seed was low (9%). Germination overall across P. australis lineages and populations has been highly variable globally, ranging from almost no germination to 100% (Mal and Narine [2004\)](#page-8-0). Viable seeds of P. australis can have minimal dormancy and thus may not form long-term seedbanks (Male and Narine [2004](#page-8-0)). Moreover, Greenwood and MacFarlane ([2006\)](#page-8-0) found that P. australis seeds decompose rapidly, which could also limit seedbank formation. Another factor limiting P. australis germination (and thus potential detection in seedbanks) could be the high salinity of our study area's soils, discussed in the next section.

## Soil Correlates

The county soil survey classified soil across our study area as saline (Lato et al. [2006](#page-8-0)), and our assay of soil samples from plots supported this classification. For surface (0 to 5 cm) soil, one plot was moderately saline (electrical conductivity of 7 dS m<sup>-1</sup>, within the 4 to 8 dS m<sup>−</sup><sup>1</sup> moderately saline range), and the other 23 were strongly

<span id="page-6-0"></span>

Figure 4. Mean (+1 standard error of the mean error bars) Phragmites litter cover and depth across six measurement events within three Phragmites vegetative cover groups in desert wetlands, Las Vegas, NV, USA. Within a Phragmites group, if temporal variation was significant at P < 0.05, letters compare means through time (means not sharing a common letter differ at P < 0.05). Note that the Phragmites groups are according to vegetative cover, not litter cover. Statistics for repeated-measures ANOVA within Phragmites vegetative cover groups are as follows: decreasing,  $F(5, 25) = 544$ , P < 0.001 for litter cover, and  $F(5, 25) = 65$ , P < 0.001 for litter depth; sustaining low,  $F(5, 45) = 1.1$ , P = 0.369 for litter cover, and  $F(5, 45) = 2.0$ , P = 0.098 for litter depth; and sustaining high,  $F(5, 35) = 105$ , P < 0.001 for litter cover, and  $F(5, 35) = 7.6$ , P < 0.001 for litter depth.

(8 to 16 dS m<sup>-1</sup>; 13 plots) or very strongly saline (>16 dS m<sup>-1</sup>; 10 plots) according to soil salinity categories (Stavi et al. [2021\)](#page-9-0). The maximum surface soil salinity we recorded, 64 dS m<sup>-1</sup>, is 32 times higher than when growth of saline-sensitive plants is reported to be affected (Stavi et al. [2021](#page-9-0)). Our subsurface (5 to 15 cm) soil samples were less saline (2 to 11 dS m<sup>−</sup><sup>1</sup> ), but 17 of 24 plots (71%) were still at least moderately saline (>4 dS m<sup>-1</sup>).

High soil salinity expressed as electrical conductivity was associated with sustaining low P. australis cover plots (Figure [2\)](#page-4-0). Although P. australis can grow in brackish marshes and other saline habitats, it is sensitive to salinity and grows best in freshwater and nonsaline habitats (Packer et al. [2017\)](#page-8-0). Increasing salinity in soil or water has reduced P. australis seed germination (Gorai et al. [2006](#page-8-0); Greenwood and MacFarlane [2006](#page-8-0)). In fact, P. australis germination may be inhibited completely in very strongly saline soil (Chambers et al. [2003\)](#page-8-0). Recruitment processes from seed in P. australis appear more sensitive to salinity than does vegetative reproduction. Bart and Hartman [\(2003\)](#page-8-0) proposed a P. australis invasion model whereby the species can initially recruit on lowsaline soil or from limited germination on saline soil, then expand across saline soil through clonal reproduction. The highly saline soils of our study area could be associated with lack of emergence of P. australis from the seedbank samples as well as the lower resilience of P. australis after it was reduced following management activities or wildfires on the most saline soils. We suggest that management activities for reducing P. australis could be most effective and persistent on the most saline soils.

Variation in salinity tolerance could also relate to native species occurrence in vegetation and seedbanks. In comparing salinity tolerance of 42 xeroriparian species in the American West, S. greggii was among the most tolerant of salinity for germination and growth, while B. salicina had low tolerance (Beauchamp et al. [2009](#page-8-0)). In our study, S. greggii was the most frequently occurring native in vegetation overall where P. australis cover was reduced or remained low. In contrast, B. salicina occurred in the seedbank but never in the vegetation, raising a question as to whether high salinity limited its establishment even where P. australis was reduced. If active revegetation is used to augment or hasten native plant colonization after P. australis is reduced, matching plant composition to the broad range of soil salinities we recorded could be important to revegetation success. Selecting the most salttolerant species from the local species pool, such as S. greggii, saltgrass [Distichlis spicata (L.) Greene], big saltbush [Atriplex lentiformis (Torr.) S. Watson], or H. curassavicum, may be important on the most saline soil.

Contrasting with salinity, high soil moisture was positively correlated with sustaining high P. australis cover plots (Figure [2\)](#page-4-0). Although these plots contained the moistest soils in our study, standing water on the plots did not occur or was shallow (<3-cm deep) and infrequent. Prior studies in unmanaged wetlands have reported that P. australis often invades and grows best in soil of intermediate moisture that is neither on drier portions of the landscape nor in deep standing water (Chambers et al. [2003\)](#page-8-0). For example, Baldwin et al. [\(2010\)](#page-8-0) concluded that emergence of



<span id="page-7-0"></span>Table 1. Occurrences of native and non-native plant species in the vegetation and soil seedbank across Phragmites cover groups (decreasing, sustaining low, or sustaining high Phragmites cover) in desert wetlands, Las Vegas, NV, USA.

<sup>a</sup>Plant growth forms are in parentheses: AF, annual forb; AG, annual grass; PF, perennial forb; PG, perennial grass; S, shrub; T, tree. Full botanical names for species in the table not listed in the text include sweetscent [Pluchea odorata (L.) Cass.] and screwbean mesquite (Prosopis pubescens Benth.).

<sup>b</sup>Percentage of plots (out of 6 for the decreasing, 10 for the low, and 8 for the high Phragmites cover groups) in which a species occurred during any of the six measurement events from May 2021 through May 2023. Phragmites occurred in all 24 plots.

c Based on samples of the 0- to 5-cm mineral soil collected in May and August 2021 (Phragmites was not detected in seedbank samples).

P. australis seedlings was prevented by continuous flooding with water 3.5-cm deep. Adult P. australis plants were more tolerant than seedlings to flooding and could adjust to fluctuations of up to 1.2 m in subsurface water levels before shoot growth appreciably declined (Hanslin et al. [2017](#page-8-0)). However, along with seedling establishment, clonal reproduction from rhizomes in adults can be hindered by continuous flooding (Smith [2005\)](#page-9-0). This has stimulated recommendations that managed flooding be used for controlling P. australis, such as to deter resprouting after cutting or herbicide (or other disturbance such as wildfire) has initially reduced mature individuals (Rohal et al. [2019;](#page-8-0) Russell and Kraaij [2008](#page-8-0)). Managed flooding could be tested on suitable sites to examine potential effectiveness in soils in our study area, including identifying potentially effective flooding depth and duration.

## Potential for Phased Management Approaches

Combined with prior research, our results suggest several advantages of beginning P. australis management with clearing of P. australis live and dead biomass. Although P. australis's own litter can inhibit recruitment and shoot growth (Granéli [1989\)](#page-8-0), thick litter layers and dense standing dead material can hinder management effectiveness by limiting contact of herbicides with live plant parts, suppressing native plant colonization, and creating hazardous fuels (Breen et al. [2014](#page-8-0)). If P. australis biomass is not initially cleared, such as if only herbicide or cutting without biomass removal is used, litter decomposition rate could be a key consideration. Unfortunately, little information is available for P. australis litter decomposition rates in desert climates for nonaquatic habitats (Eid et al. [2014](#page-8-0)). It is also unclear how the extremely saline soils of our study area could affect decomposition, with one scenario being slow decomposition and persistence of P. australis litter for many years (sensu Wong et al. [2010](#page-9-0)). If not initially cleared, P. australis litter in our study was a dense thatch exceeding 1-m thick at 100% ground cover. Initial clearing of P. australis standing biomass and litter in our study occurred through cutting and transport off-site (which was

logistically difficult) or opportunistically by unplanned wildfires. Owing to high fire severity in dense P. australis stands, unplanned wildfires nearly completely consumed and cleared standing and downed P. australis biomass. These observations suggest potential for exploring prescribed fires to cost-effectively initially clear P. australis and facilitate subsequent management of P. australis resprouts.

Our results are consistent with a major conclusion in P. australis management literature that repeated treatments are needed to achieve control (Hazelton et al. [2014;](#page-8-0) Quirion et al. [2018](#page-8-0)). Plots in our study burned or treated just once quickly rebounded in P. australis cover, and the species appeared particularly resilient on moister and lower-salinity soil. Uncertainty remains in the P. australis literature regarding how many times treatments must be repeated or how many years of treatments are required to achieve persistent P. australis control (Hazelton et al. [2014](#page-8-0)). This could depend on factors such as how much top-killing may be needed to deplete P. australis energy reserves for resprouting and whether recruitment by seed rather than only clonal reproduction is occurring, as well as site factors such as soils and hydrology. In our study, high soil salinity correlated with P. australis cover remaining low on managed sites, suggesting that fewer treatments may be needed on saline soil to persistently reduce P. australis. Our results support those of Rohal et al. ([2023](#page-8-0)) in suggesting that different management strategies may be applicable across the environmental gradients where P. australis occurs.

After initial reductions in P. australis cover and repeated P. australis treatments, further management phases could be considered and tested. The limited native plant colonization and soil seedbanks we recorded suggest opportunity for active revegetation to hasten and diversify native plant establishment after P. australis is reduced. On moister or less-saline soil where P. australis appeared more resilient, native species with potential to competitively suppress P. australis resprouts may be suitable as an initial native cover. On extremely saline soil, selecting native species most tolerant of salinity rather than primarily for their

<span id="page-8-0"></span>competitive ability may be most appropriate. Coupling active revegetation of native species with maintenance management activities (e.g., managed flooding or fires) to keep P. australis cover low could be a next research step in identifying long-term strategies for converting P. australis monocultures to more diverse native species in desert wetlands.

Supplementary material. To view supplementary material for this article, please visit <https://doi.org/10.1017/inp.2024.21>

Acknowledgments. We thank Liz Bickmore (Clark County Wetlands Park) for facilitating the study; Curt Deuser and Carlee Coleman (Invasive Plant Management Team, National Park Service) for providing records of herbicide treatments; Frank Rice and staff with the Bureau of Land Management (Southern Nevada District) for coordinating and performing cutting treatments; the Research Greenhouse in the Science and Engineering Building (University of Nevada Las Vegas) for providing space for the seedbank assay; and two anonymous reviewers for helpful comments.

Funding statement. This study was funded through a contract to Natural Resource Conservation LLC from Clark County Parks and Recreation, Clark County Wetlands Park. The California Fire Science Consortium provided further support via a partnership with the University of Nevada Las Vegas.

Competing interests. The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

#### References

- Ailstock MS, Norman CM, Bushmann PJ (2001) Common reed Phragmites australis: control and effects upon biodiversity in freshwater nontidal wetlands. Restor Ecol 9:49–59
- Austin GT (1970) Breeding birds of desert riparian habitat in southern Nevada. Condor 72:431–436
- Baldwin AH, Kettenring KM, Whigham DF (2010) Seedbanks of Phragmites australis-dominated brackish wetlands: relationships to seed viability, inundation, and land cover. Aquat Bot 93:163–169
- Bart D, Hartman JM (2003) The role of large rhizome dispersal and low salinity windows in the establishment of common reed, Phragmites australis, in salt marshes: new links to human activities. Estuaries 26:436–443
- Beauchamp VB, Walz C, Shafroth PB (2009) Salinity tolerance and mycorrhizal responsiveness of native xeroriparian plants in semi-arid western USA. Appl Soil Ecol 43:175–184
- Breen DB, Bailey SD, Violi HA (2014) Managing remnant and reemerging common reed (Phragmites australis) infestations to improve treatment efficacy and mitigate damage to native plants. Invasive Plant Sci Manag 7:445–453
- Cameron GN, Rainey DG (1972) Habitat utilization by Neotoma lepida in the Mohave Desert. J Mammal 53:251–266
- Chambers RM, Osgood DT, Bart DJ, Montalto F (2003) Phragmites australis invasion and expansion in tidal wetlands: interactions among salinity, sulfide, and hydrology. Estuaries 26:398–406
- Eid EM, Shaltout KH, Al-Sodany YM (2014) Decomposition dynamics of Phragmites australis litter in Lake Burullus, Egypt. Plant Species Biol 29:47–56
- Eller F, Skálová H, Caplan JS, Bhatarai GP, Burger MK, Cronin JT, Guo W, Guo X, Hazelton ELG, Kettenring KM, Lambertini C, McCormick MK, Meyerson LA, Mozdzer TJ, Pyšek P, et al. (2017) Cosmopolitan species as models for ecophysiological responses to global change: the common reed *Phragmites australis*. Front Plant Sci 8:1833<br>gloner AI (2009) Structure, growth dynamics and biomass of reed (*Phragmites australis*)—a review. Flor Phragmites australis. Front Plant Sci 8:1833
- Engloner AI (2009) Structure, growth dynamics and biomass of reed
- Errico A, Cesare Lama GF, Francalanci S, Chirico GB, Solari L, Preti F (2019) Flow dynamics and turbulence patterns in a drainage channel colonized by common reed (Phragmites australis) under different scenarios of vegetation management. Ecol Eng 133:39–52
- Francis A, Warwick SI (2007) The biology of invasive alien plants in Canada. 8. Lepidium latifolium L. Can J Plant Sci 87:639–658
- Franks CG, Pearce DW, Rood SB (2019) A prescription for drug-free rivers: uptake of pharmaceuticals by a widespread stream willow. Environ Manag 63:136–147
- Goeden RD, Ricker DW (1987) Phytophagous insect faunas of native Cirsium thistles, C. mohavense, C. neomexicanum, and C. nidulum, in the Mojave Desert of southern California. Ann Entomol Soc Am 80:161–175
- Gorai M, Vadel AM, Neffati M (2006) Seed germination characteristics of Phragmites communis: effects of temperature and salinity. Belg J Bot 139:78–86
- Granéli W (1989) Influence of standing litter on shoot production in reed, Phragmites australis (Cav.) Trin. ex Steudel. Aquat Bot 35:99–109
- Greenwood ME, MacFarlane GR (2006) Effects of salinity and temperature on the germination of Phragmites australis, Juncus kraussii, and Juncus acutus: implications for estuarine restoration initiatives. Wetlands 26:854–861
- Greet J, King E (2019) Slashing phragmites (Phragmites australis) prior to planting does not promote native vegetation establishment. Ecol Manag Restor 20:162–165
- Güsewell S (2003) Management of Phragmites australis in Swiss fen meadows by mowing in early summer. Wetlands Ecol Manag 11:433–445
- Hanslin HM, Maehlum T, Saebø A (2017) The response of Phragmites to fluctuating subsurface water levels in constructed stormwater management systems. Ecol Eng 106:385–391
- Hazelton ELG, Mozder TJ, Burdick DM, Kettenring KM, Whigham DF (2014) Phragmites australis management in the United States: 40 years of methods and outcomes. AoB Plants 6:plu001
- Judd KE, Francoeur SN (2019) Short-term impacts of Phragmites management on nutrient budgets and plant communities in Great Lakes coastal freshwater marshes. Wetlands Ecol Manag 27:55–74
- Jurand BS, Abella SR (2013) Soil seed banks of the exotic annual grass Bromus rubens on a burned desert landscape. Rangeland Ecol Manag 66:157–163
- Kimura H, Tsuyuzaki S (2011) Fire severity affects vegetation and seed bank in a wetland. Appl Veg Sci 14:350–357
- Kulmatiski A, Beard KH, Meyerson LA, Gibson JR, Mock KE (2010) Nonnative Phragmites australis invasion into Utah wetlands. West N Am Nat 70:541–552
- Lato LJ, Merkler D, Lugo J, Harrington K (2006) Soil Survey of Clark County Area, Nevada. Washington, DC: U.S. Government Printing Office. 1801 p
- Mal TK, Narine L (2004) The biology of Canadian weeds. 129. Phragmites australis (Cav.) Trin. ex Steud. Can J Plant Sci 84:365–396
- Marusich LR, Bakdash JZ (2022) rmcorrShiny: a web and standalone application for repeated measures correlation. F1000Research 10:697
- Monteiro A, Moreira I, Sousa E (1999) Effect of prior common reed (Phragmites australis) cutting on herbicide efficacy. Hydrobiologia 415:305–308
- Packer JG, Meyerson LA, Skálová H, Pyšek P, Kueffer C (2017) Biological flora of the British Isles: Phragmites australis. J Ecol 105:1123–1162
- Quirion B, Simek Z, Dávalos A, Blossey B (2018) Management of invasive Phragmites australis in the Adirondacks: a cautionary tale about prospects of eradication. Biol Invasions 20:59–73
- Robichaud CD, Rooney RC (2021) Effective suppression of established invasive Phragmites australis leads to secondary invasion in a coastal marsh. Invasive Plant Sci Manag 14:9–19
- Rohal CB, Adams CR, Reynolds LK, Hazelton ELG, Ketenring KM (2021) Do common assumptions about the wetland seed bank following invasive plant removal hold true? Divergent outcomes following multi-year Phragmites australis management. Appl Veg Sci 24:e12626
- Rohal CB, Cranney C, Hazelton ELG, Kettenring KM (2019) Invasive Phragmites australis management outcomes and native plant recovery are context dependent. Ecol Evol 9:13835–13849
- Rohal CB, Hazelton ELG, McFarland EK, Downard R, McCormick MK, Whigham DF, Kettenring KM (2023) Landscape and site factors drive invasive Phragmites management and native plant recovery across Chesapeake Bay wetlands. Ecosphere 14:e4392
- Russell IA, Kraaij T (2008) Effects of cutting Phragmites australis along an inundation gradient, with implications for managing reed encroachment in a South African estuarine lake system. Wetlands Ecol Manag 16:383–393
- Saltonstall K, Lambert AM, Rice N (2016) What happens in Vegas, better stay in Vegas: Phragmites australis hybrids in the Las Vegas Wash. Biol Invasions 18:2463–2474
- <span id="page-9-0"></span>Smith SM (2005) Manual control of Phragmites australis in freshwater ponds of Cape Cod National Seashore, Massachusetts, USA. J Aquat Plant Manag 43:50–53
- Stavi I, Thevs N, Priori S (2021) Soil salinity and sodicity in drylands: a review of causes, effects, monitoring, and restoration measures. Front Environ Sci 9:712831
- [USDA-NRCS] U.S. Department of Agriculture–Natural Resources Conservation Service (2024) PLANTS database. Greensboro, NC: National Plant Data Team. [http://plantsusdagov.](http://plantsusdagov) Accessed: March 29, 2024
- Whitney JW, Glancy PA, Buckingham SE, Ehrenberg AC (2015) Effects of rapid urbanization on streamflow, erosion, and sedimentation in a desert stream in the American Southwest. Anthropocene 10:29–42
- Wiesenborn WD, Pratt GF (2010) Visitation of heliotrope and western purslane flowers by Hesperopsis gracielae(Lepidoptera: Hesperiidae). Florida Entomol 93:260–264
- Williams J, Lambert AM, Long R, Saltonstall K (2019) Does hybrid Phragmites australis differ from native and introduced lineages in reproductive, genetic, and morphological traits? Am J Bot 106:29–41
- Wong VNL, Greene RSB, Dalal RC, Murphy BW (2010) Soil carbon dynamics in saline and sodic soils: a review. Soil Use Manag 26:2–11
- Zimmerman CL, Shirer RR, Corbin JD (2018) Native plant recovery following three years of common reed (Phragmites australis) control. Invasive Plant Sci Manag 11:175–180