

**Acknowledgments.**


**Financial support.** No financial support was provided relevant to this article.

**Conflicts of interest.** All authors report no conflicts of interest relevant to this article.

**References**

- Hazra A, Collison M, Pisano J, *et al*. Coinfections with SARS-CoV-2 and other respiratory pathogens. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2020 Jul 3. doi: [10.1017/ice.2020.322](https://doi.org/10.1017/ice.2020.322).
- Kim D, Quinn J, Pinsky B, Shah NH, Brown I. Rates of coinfection between SARS-CoV-2 and other respiratory pathogens. *JAMA* 2020;323:2085–2086.
- Lansbury L, Lim B, Baskaran V, Lim WS. Coinfections in people with COVID-19: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Infect* 2020 May 27. doi: [10.1016/j.jinf.2020.05.046](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinf.2020.05.046).
- Wu Q, Xing Y, Shi L, *et al*. Coinfection and other clinical characteristics of COVID-19 in children. *Pediatrics* 2020;146(1):e20200961.
- Mannheim J, Gretsich S, Layden JE, Fricchione MJ. Characteristics of hospitalized pediatric COVID-19 cases—Chicago, Illinois, March–April 2020. *J Pediatric Infect Dis Soc* 2020 Jun 1 [Epub ahead of print]. doi: [10.1093/jpids/piaa070](https://doi.org/10.1093/jpids/piaa070).
- Influenza surveillance: 2019–2020 flu activity report. Illinois Department of Public Health website. <https://dph.illinois.gov/topics-services/diseases-and-conditions/influenza/influenza-surveillance>. Published April 25, 2020. Accessed July 13, 2020.
- Weisenstein B. Pritzker gives stay-at-home order for Illinois, in effect until April 7. Illinois Policy website. <https://www.illinoispolicy.org/pritzker-orders-illinoisans-to-shelter-in-place-until-april-7>. Published March 20, 2020. Accessed July 13, 2020.
- Rawson TM, Moore LSP, Zhu N, *et al*. Bacterial and fungal coinfection in individuals with coronavirus: a rapid review to support COVID-19 antimicrobial prescribing. *Clin Infect Dis* 2020. May 2 [Epub ahead of print]. doi: [10.1093/cid/ciaa530](https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciaa530).

## Construction of a container isolation ward: A rapidly scalable modular approach to expand isolation capacity during the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic

Liang En Wee MRCP<sup>1,2</sup> , Esther Monica Peijin Fan BSc<sup>3</sup>, Raphael Heng B.Eng<sup>4</sup>, Shin Yuh Ang MBA<sup>3</sup>, Juat Lan Chiang MHSc(Mgt)<sup>3,4</sup>, Thuan Tong Tan PhD<sup>2</sup>, Moi Lin Ling FRCPA<sup>5</sup> and Limin Wijaya MRCP<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>SingHealth Infectious Diseases Residency, Singapore, <sup>2</sup>Department of Infectious Diseases, Singapore General Hospital, Singapore, <sup>3</sup>Division of Nursing, Singapore General Hospital, Singapore, <sup>4</sup>Facilities and Infrastructure Development, Singapore General Hospital, Singapore and <sup>5</sup>Department of Infection Prevention and Epidemiology, Singapore General Hospital, Singapore

*To the Editor*—During the ongoing coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic, airborne infection isolation rooms (AIIRs) are in high demand. Surge capacity is urgently required during significant ongoing community transmission.<sup>1</sup> Converting hospital wards to AIIRs can serve as a temporary solution,<sup>1,2</sup> but it comes at the expense of existing capacity. Temporary tent-based structures or conversion of nonmedical facilities has been commonly proposed.<sup>3–5</sup> Such solutions, though, may not be durable, and retrofitting nonmedical facilities to meet medical standards is difficult. Building more permanent structures with AIIR capabilities, however, is time-consuming and costly.<sup>5</sup> We describe our institution's experience with constructing an isolation ward from prefabricated containers.

In Singapore, at the end of July 2020, >50,000 cases of COVID-19 had been reported locally.<sup>6</sup> Our institution's purpose-built 51-bed isolation ward housed high-risk COVID-19 suspects and confirmed COVID-19 cases in AIIRs. From February 2020, given ongoing community transmission, lower-risk individuals with respiratory syndromes were housed in converted general wards, with partitions and reduced beds per cubicle to mitigate the risk of healthcare-associated transmission.<sup>7</sup> To date, this

containment strategy has been successful, with no cases of healthcare-associated transmission between patients and healthcare workers (HCWs).<sup>8,9</sup> However, this placed pressure on bed capacity, with almost 20% of bed capacity set aside to house suspected and confirmed cases of COVID-19. Our institution thus sought to relieve the pressure by constructing an isolation ward extension.

From mid-April 2020, our institution started planning the isolation-ward extension, soon after a surge in cases (Fig. 1a). An open-air car park (3,200 m<sup>2</sup>) was utilized. The novel feature was the utilization of a scalable modular design using prefabricated containers. Each prefabricated container (6 m × 2.4 m) was redesigned as a single-occupancy room with an en suite bathroom (Fig. 1b, c, and d). All patient rooms met design standards for AIIRs, including ≥12 air changes per hour and controlled direction of air flow with a negative differential pressure of –2.5 Pascal. Each container was also equipped with oxygen, air conditioning, exhaust ventilation, and a high-efficiency particulate air (HEPA) filter. Modification of containers was done off site. One container was also customized with lead shielding and a patient booth to allow x-rays to be performed.

At the end of May 2020, construction began (Fig. 1e). Modular construction allowed rapid construction of a 50-bed isolation ward in just 50 days, using only 100 workers, despite significant challenges. Outbreaks in the dormitories of foreign workers significantly limited manpower availability, and construction work was curtailed during a 2-month-long shutdown as part of

**Author for correspondence:** Wee Liang En Ian, E-mail: [ian.wee@mohh.com.sg](mailto:ian.wee@mohh.com.sg)

**Cite this article:** Wee LE, *et al*. (2021). Construction of a container isolation ward: A rapidly scalable modular approach to expand isolation capacity during the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic. *Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology*, 42: 1162–1164, <https://doi.org/10.1017/ice.2020.1222>





**Fig. 1.** Hospital surge response over 6 months during COVID-19 outbreak, layout of container isolation ward, and construction process.

community-based measures to reduce transmission.<sup>6</sup> During construction, the prefabricated containers were placed on a reinforced base. Over the containers, an A-shaped structure with a canvas roof was erected to provide shelter. Nurses' stations, a rest

area, changing rooms, and on-call rooms were also constructed within the structure. The layout incorporated segregated, unidirectional traffic flows for entry and exit of HCWs and patients to reduce the possibility of cross contamination. Water, electricity,

sewage, and oxygen were connected to the hospital's utilities system.

The container isolation ward opened on July 14, 2020. Staff don N95 respirators when working within the ward, and full PPE (N95 respirator, disposable gown, gloves and eye protection) during patient contact. The ward's capacity is equally divided between confirmed COVID-19 cases and high-risk COVID-19 suspects. Operations have been designed to minimize potential exposure. For monitoring vitals, patients are given wearable biosensors that wirelessly transmit heart rate, respiration rate, and oxygen saturation to a mobile app, allowing continuous remote monitoring. In-room smart phones are also provided; built-in video-conferencing allows for medical, nursing and pharmacy staff to communicate remotely with the patient. Nursing and medical manpower has been drawn from various hospital departments. Training has been provided for all HCWs. For nurses who did not have previous experience in units nursing COVID-19 suspects or COVID-19 cases, they had to undergo a few weeks of attachment in the isolation units to be trained in strict infection prevention and control (IPC) practices. To date, no IPC breaches or exposures have been observed over 1 month of operations, despite regular audit. Overall, >1,500 cases of COVID-19 have been managed at our institution.

Certain limitations were anticipated. Observation was limited because each container only had a single window. As such, the usage of wearable biosensors required incorporation of network equipment and wireless access points during the design phase. Given the limited space, it was anticipated that the psychological effects of isolation might pose difficulties.<sup>10</sup> Provision of an in-room smart phone served as a communication conduit, improving the experience of isolation. Limited space meant that resuscitation would be challenging. As such, admission to the container isolation ward was restricted to patients <75 years of age who were functionally independent and had little risk of immediate clinical deterioration. A fully equipped resuscitation room was built within the structure to accommodate resuscitation in full PPE in the event of collapse. However, to minimize the likelihood of activation, deteriorating patients would be pre-emptively transferred to the main hospital block.

In conclusion, prefabricated containers allowed rapid expansion of AIIR capacity using an easily scalable modular design, though space constraints meant that patient selection had to be optimized and patient monitoring facilitated. This flexible modular approach provides surge capacity for isolation facilities, preventing hospitals from being overwhelmed during a pandemic caused by a novel respiratory pathogen. Other cases requiring airborne precautions, such as pulmonary tuberculosis and varicella, have also been managed in the container isolation ward; the container isolation

ward is thus anticipated to provide AIIR capacity even after the height of the COVID-19 pandemic is over.

**Acknowledgments.** We thank the Ministry of Health for providing funding for the container isolation ward and supporting the proposal.

**Financial support.** No financial support was provided relevant to this article.

**Conflicts of interest.** All authors report no conflicts of interest relevant to this article.

## References

1. Lee JK, Jeong HW. Rapid expansion of temporary, reliable airborne-infection isolation rooms with negative air machines for critical COVID-19 patients. *Am J Infect Control* 2020;48:822–824.
2. Miller SL, Clements N, Elliott SA, Subhash SS, Eagan A, Radonovich LJ. Implementing a negative-pressure isolation ward for a surge in airborne infectious patients. *Am J Infect Control* 2017;45:652–659.
3. Bagdasarian N, Mathews I, Alexander NJY, *et al*. A safe and efficient, naturally ventilated structure for COVID-19 surge capacity in Singapore. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2020 Jun 24 [Epub ahead of print]. doi: [10.1017/ice.2020.309](https://doi.org/10.1017/ice.2020.309).
4. Thangayah JR, Tan KBK, Lim CS, Fua TP. Disease outbreak surge response: how a Singapore tertiary hospital converted a multistory car park into a flu screening area to respond to the COVID-19 pandemic. *Disaster Med Public Health Prep* 2020 Jul 14 [Epub ahead of print]. doi: [10.1017/dmp.2020.249](https://doi.org/10.1017/dmp.2020.249).
5. Fang D, Pan S, Li Z, *et al*. Large-scale public venues as medical emergency sites in disasters: lessons from COVID-19 and the use of Fangcang shelter hospitals in Wuhan, China. *BMJ Glob Health* 2020;5(6):e002815. doi: [10.1136/bmjgh-2020-002815](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjgh-2020-002815).
6. Updates on COVID-19 (coronavirus disease 2019) local situation. Singapore Ministry of Health website. <https://www.moh.gov.sg/covid-19>. Accessed July 31, 2020.
7. Wee LE, Hsieh JYC, Phua GC, *et al*. Respiratory surveillance wards as a strategy to reduce nosocomial transmission of COVID-19 through early detection: the experience of a tertiary-care hospital in Singapore. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2020 May 8 [Epub ahead of print]. doi: [10.1017/ice.2020.207](https://doi.org/10.1017/ice.2020.207).
8. Wee LE, Sim XYJ, Conceicao EP, *et al*. Containing COVID-19 outside the isolation ward: the impact of an infection control bundle on environmental contamination and transmission in a cohorted general ward [published online ahead of print, 2020 Jun 26]. *Am J Infect Control* 2020 Jun 26 [Epub ahead of print]. doi: [10.1016/j.ajic.2020.06.188](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajic.2020.06.188).
9. Wee LE, Sim JXY, Conceicao EP, *et al*. Containment of COVID-19 cases amongst healthcare workers: the role of surveillance, early detection and outbreak management. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2020 May 11 [Epub ahead of print]. doi: [10.1017/ice.2020.219](https://doi.org/10.1017/ice.2020.219).
10. Morgan DJ, Pineles L, Shardell M, *et al*. The effect of contact precautions on healthcare worker activity in acute-care hospitals. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2013;34:69–73.