

A NOTE ON n -HARMONIC MAJORANTS

HONG OH KIM AND CHANG OCK LEE

Suppose $D(v)$ is the Dirichlet integral of a function v defined on the unit disc U in the complex plane. It is well known that if v is a harmonic function in U with $D(v) < \infty$, then for each p , $0 < p < \infty$, $|v|^p$ has a harmonic majorant in U .

We define the "iterated" Dirichlet integral $D_n(v)$ for a function v on the polydisc U^n of C^n and prove the polydisc version of the well known fact above:

If v is an n -harmonic function in U^n with $D_n(v) < \infty$, then for each p , $0 < p < \infty$, $|v|^p$ has an n -harmonic majorant in U^n .

1. Introduction

For a differentiable real function v defined in the polydisc,

$$U^n = \{(z_1, \dots, z_n) : |z_j| < 1 \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq n\}$$

in C^n , we define the "iterated" Dirichlet integral $D_n(v)$ as

$$\int_{U^n} \dots \int_{U^n} |\nabla_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \nabla_n v|^2 dx dy.$$

where $z_j = x_j + iy_j$, $dx = dx_1 \dots dx_n$, $dy = dy_1 \dots dy_n$ and

$$\nabla_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \nabla_n = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1} \right) \otimes \dots \otimes \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_n} \right)$$

Received 6 February 1986.

Copyright Clearance Centre, Inc. Serial-fee code: 0004-9727/86
\$A2.00 + 0.00.

For example, we have

$$|\nabla_1 \otimes \nabla_2 v|^2 = \left| \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2} \right|^2 + \left| \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x_1 \partial y_2} \right|^2 + \left| \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial y_1 \partial x_2} \right|^2 + \left| \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial y_1 \partial y_2} \right|^2.$$

If $n = 1$, $D_1(v)$ is the usual Dirichlet integral of v .

A continuous function v is an open set in \mathbb{C}^n is n -harmonic if v is harmonic in each complex variable separately, that is,

$$\frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x_j^2} + \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial y_j^2} = 0, \quad (1 \leq j \leq n).$$

The function v on U^n has an n -harmonic majorant if there is an n -harmonic function V such that $v(z) \leq V(z)$ throughout U^n .

Let $h^p(U^n)$, $0 < p < \infty$, be the class of all n -harmonic functions v in U^n for which

$$\|v\|_p = \sup_{0 \leq r_1, \dots, r_n < 1} M_p(r_1, \dots, r_n; v) < \infty$$

where

$$M_p(r_1, \dots, r_n; v) = \left(\int_0^{2\pi} \dots \int_0^{2\pi} |v(r_1 e^{i\theta_1}, \dots, r_n e^{i\theta_n})|^p \frac{d\theta_1}{2\pi} \dots \frac{d\theta_n}{2\pi} \right)^{1/p}.$$

For $p \geq 1$, M_p is an increasing function of r_1, \dots, r_n , separately. If $v \in h^p(U^n)$, $p \geq 1$, it is known that the radial limit

$$v^*(e^{i\theta_1}, \dots, e^{i\theta_n}) = \lim_{r_1, \dots, r_n \rightarrow 1} v(r_1 e^{i\theta_1}, \dots, r_n e^{i\theta_n})$$

of v exists almost everywhere and it is an L^p -function on the distinguished boundary.

$$T^n = \{(z_1, \dots, z_n) : |z_j| = 1 \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq n\}.$$

Moreover, $\|v\|_p = \|v^*\|_{L^p(T^n)}$. Also, if $v \in h^p(U^n)$, $p > 1$, then

v is equal to the iterated Poisson integral of its radial limit function v^* . That is,

$$v(z_1, \dots, z_n) = \int_{T^n} \int P_{r_1}(\theta_1 - t_1) \dots P_{r_n}(\theta_n - t_n) v^*(e^{it_1}, \dots, e^{it_n}) \frac{dt_1}{2\pi} \dots \frac{dt_n}{2\pi}$$

where $P_r(\theta-t)$ is the Poisson kernel for U . See [1, 3, 8] for more about H^p .

The prototype of our main theme is the following well-known theorem (see [9] for example):

Let v be a harmonic function in U with $D_1(v) < \infty$. Then for each p , $0 < p < \infty$, the function $|v|^p$ has a harmonic majorant in U .

We prove the polydisc version of the theorem above:

MAIN THEOREM. Let v be an n -harmonic function in U^n with $D_n(v) < \infty$. Then for each p , $0 < p < \infty$, the function $|v|^p$ has an n -harmonic majorant in U^n .

We consider only the case $n = 2$, but the procedure can be repeated for an arbitrary n .

2. The iterated Dirichlet integral

PROPOSITION 2.1. If v is a 2-harmonic function in U^2 , then

$$D_2(v) = 4 \int_{U^2} \left| \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial r_1 \partial r_2} \right|^2 dx dy .$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Proof. By an elementary calculation, we see that } & |v_1 \otimes v_2|^2 \\ &= \left| \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2} \right|^2 + \left| \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x_1 \partial y_2} \right|^2 + \left| \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial y_1 \partial x_2} \right|^2 + \left| \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial y_1 \partial y_2} \right|^2 . \\ &= \left| \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial r_1 \partial r_2} \right|^2 + \frac{1}{r_1^2} \left| \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial \theta_1 \partial r_2} \right|^2 + \frac{1}{r_2^2} \left| \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial r_1 \partial \theta_2} \right|^2 + \frac{1}{r_1^2 r_2^2} \left| \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial \theta_1 \partial \theta_2} \right|^2 . \end{aligned}$$

Since v can be expanded as

$$v(r_1 e^{i\theta_1}, r_2 e^{i\theta_2}) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \hat{v}(k) r_1^{|k_1|} r_2^{|k_2|} e^{ik_1 \theta_1 + ik_2 \theta_2}$$

where $k = (k_1, k_2)$, a pair of integers, and $\hat{v}(k)$ is the multiple Fourier coefficient of v , we have, by Parseval's identity,

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial r_1 \partial r_2} \right|^2 d\theta_1 d\theta_2 = (2\pi)^2 \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^2} |k_1|^2 |k_2|^2 |\hat{v}(k)|^2 r_1^{2|k_1|-2} r_2^{2|k_2|-2},$$

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial \theta_1 \partial r_2} \right|^2 d\theta_1 d\theta_2 = (2\pi)^2 \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^2} |k_1|^2 |k_2|^2 |\hat{v}(k)|^2 r_1^{2|k_1|} r_2^{2|k_2|-2},$$

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial r_1 \partial \theta_2} \right|^2 d\theta_1 d\theta_2 = (2\pi)^2 \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^2} |k_1|^2 |k_2|^2 |\hat{v}(k)|^2 r_1^{2|k_1|-2} r_2^{2|k_2|},$$

and

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial \theta_1 \partial \theta_2} \right|^2 d\theta_1 d\theta_2 = (2\pi)^2 \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^2} |k_1|^2 |k_2|^2 |\hat{v}(k)|^2 r_1^{2|k_1|} r_2^{2|k_2|}.$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} \int_U^2 \int \left| \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial r_1 \partial r_2} \right|^2 dx dy &= \int_U^2 \int \frac{1}{r_1^2} \left| \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial \theta_1 \partial r_2} \right|^2 dx dy \\ &= \int_U^2 \int \frac{1}{r_2^2} \left| \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial r_1 \partial \theta_2} \right|^2 dx dy \\ &= \int_U^2 \int \frac{1}{r_1^2 r_2^2} \left| \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial \theta_1 \partial \theta_2} \right|^2 dx dy \\ (2.1) \quad &= \pi^2 \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^2} |k_1| |k_2| |\hat{v}(k)|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$D_2(v) = 4 \int_U^2 \int \left| \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial r_1 \partial r_2} \right|^2 dx dy. \quad \square$$

In general, for an n -harmonic function v in U^n , we have

$$D_n(v) = 2^n \int_U^n \int \left| \frac{\partial^n v}{\partial r_1 \cdots \partial r_n} \right|^2 dx dy.$$

Let α be a real number and let

$$v(z_1, z_2) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \hat{v}(k) r_1^{|k_1|} r_2^{|k_2|} e^{ik_1 \theta_1 + ik_2 \theta_2}$$

be a 2-harmonic function on U^2 . The fractional derivative of v of

order α is defined as

$$D^{\alpha, \alpha} v(z_1, z_2) = \sum_{k \in Z^2} (1+|k_1|)^{\alpha} (1+|k_2|)^{\alpha} \hat{v}(k) r_1^{|k_1|} r_2^{|k_2|} e^{ik_1 \theta_1 + ik_2 \theta_2} .$$

The fractional integral of v of order α is defined as $I^{\alpha, \alpha} v = D^{-\alpha, -\alpha} v$. If $\alpha > 0$, the following integral representation can easily be verified:

$$I^{\alpha, \alpha} v(z_1, z_2) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)^2} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 (\log \frac{1}{\rho})^{\alpha-1} (\log \frac{1}{\sigma})^{\alpha-1} v(\rho z_1, \sigma z_2) d\rho d\sigma .$$

PROPOSITION 2.2. Let v be a 2-harmonic function in U^2 . Then $D_2(v) < \infty$ if and only if $D^{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}} v \in h^2(U^2)$.

Proof. By Parseval's identity we have

$$\|D^{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}} v\|_2^2 = \sum_{k \in Z^2} (1+|k_1|)(1+|k_2|) |\hat{v}(k)|^2 .$$

By (2.1), we obtain that $D^{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}} v \in h^2(U^2)$ if and only if

$$\iint_{U^2} \left| \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial r_1 \partial r_2} \right|^2 dx dy < \infty ,$$

or, equivalently, if and only if $D_2(v) < \infty$. □

Generally, for an n -harmonic function v in U^n , $D_n(v) < \infty$ if and only if $D^{\frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{2}} v \in h^2(U^n)$.

The following proposition is known, but we include a proof.

PROPOSITION 2.3. For $p > 1$, $v \in h^p(U^2)$ if and only if $|v|^p$ has a 2-harmonic majorant.

Proof. Let V be a 2-harmonic majorant of $|v|^p$. Then we have, by the mean value property,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |v(r_1 e^{i\theta_1}, r_2 e^{i\theta_2})|^p \frac{d\theta_1}{2\pi} \frac{d\theta_2}{2\pi} &\leq \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} V(r_1 e^{i\theta_1}, r_2 e^{i\theta_2}) \frac{d\theta_1}{2\pi} \frac{d\theta_2}{2\pi} \\ &= V(0, 0) < \infty ; \end{aligned}$$

so $v \in h^p(U^2)$.

If $v \in h^p(U^2)$ for $p > 1$, we have

$$v(z_1, z_2) = \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} P_{r_1}(\theta_1 - t_1)^p P_{r_2}(\theta_2 - t_2)^p v^*(e^{it_1}, e^{it_2}) \frac{dt_1}{2\pi} \frac{dt_2}{2\pi},$$

where $P_r(\theta - t)$ is Poisson kernel for U . We apply Jensen's inequality to get

$$(2.2) \quad |v(z_1, z_2)|^p \leq \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} P_{r_1}(\theta_1 - t_1)^p P_{r_2}(\theta_2 - t_2)^p |v^*(e^{it_1}, e^{it_2})|^p \frac{dt_1}{2\pi} \frac{dt_2}{2\pi}.$$

But the right hand side of (2.2) is a 2-harmonic function and so a 2-harmonic majorant of $|v|^p$. This completes the proof. \square

3. A theorem of Hardy and Littlewood

If v is a function in U^2 , $v(\cdot, w)$ denotes the function $z \mapsto v(z, w)$ with w fixed and $v_{r,s}$ the function $(z, w) \mapsto v(rz, sw)$. Throughout this paper, $C(\dots)$ denotes a positive constant depending only on the argument (\dots) and it may vary from occurrence to occurrence even in the proof of the same theorem.

LEMMA 3.1. [1] For $\alpha > 1$

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |1 - re^{i\theta}|^{-\alpha} d\theta = O(1-r)^{-\alpha+1}, \quad (r \rightarrow 1).$$

LEMMA 3.2. If $v \in h^p(U^2)$, $p > 1$, then

$$|v(z, w)| \leq C(p) \|v(z, \cdot)\|_p (1 - |w|)^{-1/p}$$

and

$$|v(z, w)| \leq C(p) \|v(\cdot, w)\|_p (1 - |z|)^{-1/p}.$$

Proof. For a fixed z , we have

$$v(z, x) = \int_0^{2\pi} P_s(\eta - t) v^*(z, e^{it}) \frac{dt}{2\pi},$$

where $w = se^{i\eta}$ and $v^*(z, e^{it}) = \lim_{s \rightarrow 1} v^*(z, se^{it})$. Using Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |v(z, w)| &\leq \left(\int_0^{2\pi} |v^*(z, e^{it})|^p \frac{dt}{2\pi} \right)^{1/p} \left(\int_0^{2\pi} \left(\frac{1-|w|^2}{|e^{it}-w|^2} \right)^q \frac{dt}{2\pi} \right)^{1/q} \\ &\leq \|v(z, \cdot)\|_p (1-|w|^2) \left(\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1}{|e^{it}-se^{in}|^{2q}} \frac{dt}{2\pi} \right)^{1/q}, \end{aligned}$$

where q is the conjugate index of p . By Lemma 3.1 there exists a constant $C(p)$ such that

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1}{|e^{it}-se^{in}|^{2q}} \frac{dt}{2\pi} \leq C(p) (1-|w|)^{1-2q}.$$

Hence

$$|v(z, w)| \leq C(p) \|v(z, \cdot)\|_p (1-|w|)^{-1/p}.$$

□

LEMMA 3.3. If $v \in h^p(U^2)$, $p > 1$, then

$$\|v(\cdot, w)\|_p \leq C(p) \|v\|_p (1-|w|)^{-1/p}.$$

Proof. We use Lemma 3.2 and the monotone convergence theorem (MCT) to get

$$\begin{aligned} \|v(\cdot, w)\|_p^p &= \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \int_0^{2\pi} |v(re^{i\theta}, w)|^p \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \\ &\leq C(p) (1-|w|)^{-1} \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \int_0^{2\pi} \|v(re^{i\theta}, \cdot)\|_p^p \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \quad (\text{Lemma 3.2}) \\ &= C(p) (1-|w|)^{-1} \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \int_0^{2\pi} \lim_{s \rightarrow 1} \int_0^{2\pi} |v(re^{i\theta}, se^{in})|^p \frac{dn}{2\pi} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \\ &= C(p) (1-|w|)^{-1} \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |v(re^{i\theta}, se^{in})|^p \frac{dn}{2\pi} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \quad (\text{MCT}) \\ &= C(p) (1-|w|)^{-1} \|v\|_p^p. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$\|v(\cdot, w)\|_p \leq C(p) \|v\|_p (1-|w|)^{-1/p}.$$

□

LEMMA 3.4. If $v \in h^p(U^2)$, $p > 1$, and if

$$M(\eta) = \sup_{0 \leq \sigma < 1} \left(\int_0^{2\pi} |v(re^{i\theta}, \sigma e^{i\eta})|^p \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \right)^{1/p},$$

then

$$\int_0^{2\pi} M(\eta)^p \frac{d\eta}{2\pi} \leq C(p) M_p(r, s; v)^p.$$

Proof. We use Fubini's theorem and apply the Hardy-Littlewood maximal theorem ('Max') [1, p.11] to the harmonic function $v(z, \cdot)$ to get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{2\pi} M(\eta)^p \frac{d\eta}{2\pi} &= \int_0^{2\pi} \sup_{0 \leq \sigma < 1} \int_0^{2\pi} |v(re^{i\theta}, \sigma e^{i\eta})|^p \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \frac{d\eta}{2\pi} \\ &\leq \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \sup_{0 \leq \sigma < 1} |v(re^{i\theta}, \sigma e^{i\eta})|^p \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \frac{d\eta}{2\pi} \\ &= \int_0^{2\pi} \left(\int_0^{2\pi} \sup_{0 \leq \sigma < 1} |v(re^{i\theta}, \sigma e^{i\eta})|^p \frac{d\eta}{2\pi} \right)^{1/p} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \quad (\text{Fubini}) \\ &\leq C(p) \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |v(re^{i\theta}, se^{i\eta})|^p \frac{d\eta}{2\pi} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \quad ('Max') \\ &= C(p) M_p(r, s; v)^p. \end{aligned}$$

□

Now, we can proceed as in the proof of Theorem 2.2 in [7] to prove the following theorem. We give its proof for the sake of completeness. The corresponding theorem on holomorphic functions on the unit disc was proved by Hardy and Littlewood [4,5] and by Flett [2], and on the polydisc U^n , by Kim [7].

THEOREM 3.5. If $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{p}$ and if $v \in h^p(U^2)$, $p > 1$, then

$$I^{\alpha, \alpha} v \in h^q(U^2) \text{ where } q = \frac{p}{1-\alpha p}.$$

Proof. Set

$$(3.1) \quad M(re^{i\theta}, \sigma w) = \sup_{0 \leq \rho < 1} |v(\rho re^{i\theta}, \sigma w)|.$$

We write $z = re^{i\theta}$ and $w = se^{in}$. By Lemma 3.2

$$(3.2) \quad |v(\rho z, \sigma w)| \leq C(p) \|v_{r,s}(\cdot, \sigma e^{in})\|_p^{(1-\rho)^{-1/p}}.$$

By (3.1) and (3.2), we have

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 (1-\rho)^{\alpha-1} |v(\rho r e^{i\theta}, \sigma s e^{in})| d\rho \\ & \leq C(p) \|v_{r,s}(\cdot, \sigma e^{in})\|_p \int_0^\lambda (1-\rho)^{\alpha - \frac{1}{p} - 1} d\rho \\ & + M(re^{i\theta}, \sigma w) \int_\lambda^1 (1-\rho)^{\alpha-1} d\rho. \end{aligned}$$

If $\|v_{r,s}(\cdot, \sigma e^{in})\|_p \geq M(re^{i\theta}, \sigma w)$, we set $\lambda = 0$ in (3.4). (3.3) is then dominated by $C(\alpha) \|v_{r,s}(\cdot, \sigma e^{in})\|_p$. If $\|v_{r,s}(\cdot, \sigma e^{in})\|_p < M(re^{i\theta}, \sigma w)$, we set

$$\lambda = 1 - \left(\frac{\|v_{r,s}(\cdot, \sigma e^{in})\|_p}{M(re^{i\theta}, \sigma w)} \right)^p.$$

(3.3) is then dominated by

$$C(\alpha, p) \|v_{r,s}(\cdot, \sigma e^{in})\|_p^{\alpha p} M(re^{i\theta}, \sigma w)^{1-\alpha p}.$$

Hence for any n , we have

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 (1-\rho)^{\alpha-1} |v(\rho r e^{i\theta}, \sigma s e^{in})| d\rho \\ & \leq C(\alpha, p) (\|v_{r,s}(\cdot, \sigma e^{in})\|_p + \|v_{r,s}(\cdot, \sigma e^{in})\|_p^{\alpha p} M(re^{i\theta}, \sigma w)^{1-\alpha p}). \end{aligned}$$

Integrating (3.5) with respect to $(1-\sigma)^{\alpha-1} d\sigma$, we get

$$(3.6) \quad |I^{\alpha, \alpha} v(z, w)| \leq C(\alpha, p) \left(\int_0^1 (1-\sigma)^{\alpha-1} \|v_{r,s}(\cdot, \sigma e^{in})\|_p d\sigma \right. \\ \left. + \int_0^1 M(re^{i\theta}, \sigma w)^{1-\alpha p} \|v_{r,s}(\cdot, \sigma e^{in})\|_p^{\alpha p} (1-\sigma)^{\alpha-1} d\sigma \right).$$

We take q -means on both sides of (3.6) with respect to $\frac{d\theta}{2\pi}$ and use Minkowski's inequalities in their discrete and continuous forms to get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.7) \quad & \left(\int_0^{2\pi} |I^{\alpha, \alpha} v(re^{i\theta}, se^{i\eta})|^q \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \right)^{1/q} \\
 & \leq C(\alpha, p) \left(\int_0^1 (1-\sigma)^{\alpha-1} \|v_{r,s}(\cdot, se^{i\eta})\|_p d\sigma \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^{2\pi} M(re^{i\theta}, se^{i\eta})^p \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \right)^{\frac{1-\alpha p}{p}} \|v_{r,s}(\cdot, se^{i\eta})\|_p^{\alpha p} (1-\sigma)^{\alpha-1} d\sigma \right) \\
 & \leq C(\alpha, p) \int_0^1 (1-\sigma)^{\alpha-1} \|v_{r,s}(\cdot, se^{i\eta})\|_p d\sigma .
 \end{aligned}$$

We used the maximal theorem

$$\int_0^2 M(re^{i\theta}, se^{i\eta})^p \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \leq C(p) \|v_{r,s}(\cdot, se^{i\eta})\|_p^p ,$$

to get the last inequality in (3.7). Next, we set

$$(3.8) \quad M(\eta) = \sup_{0 \leq \sigma < 1} \|v_{r,s}(\cdot, se^{i\eta})\|_p .$$

By Lemma 3.3, we have

$$(3.9) \quad \|v_{r,s}(\cdot, se^{i\eta})\|_p \leq C(p) \|v_{r,s}\|_p (1-\sigma)^{-1/p} .$$

By (3.8) and (3.9), we have as before

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_0^1 \|v_{r,s}(\cdot, se^{i\eta})\|_p (1-\sigma)^{\alpha-1} d\sigma \\
 & \leq C(p) \|v_{r,s}\|_p \int_0^\lambda (1-\sigma)^{\frac{\alpha-1}{p}-1} d\sigma + M(\eta) \int_\lambda^1 (1-\sigma)^{\alpha-1} d\sigma .
 \end{aligned}$$

We set $\lambda = 0$ if $M(\eta) \leq \|v_{r,s}\|_p$ and $\lambda = 1 - \left(\frac{\|v_{r,s}\|_p}{M(\eta)} \right)^p$.

otherwise. We have then for any η ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_0^1 \|v_{r,s}(\cdot, se^{i\eta})\|_p (1-\sigma)^{\alpha-1} d\sigma \leq C(\alpha, p) (\|v_{r,s}\|_p \\
 & \quad + \|v_{r,s}\|_p^{\alpha p} M(\eta)^{1-\alpha p}) .
 \end{aligned}$$

If we take q -means on both sides with respect to $\frac{d\eta}{2\pi}$, we have

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left(\int_0^{2\pi} \left(\int_0^1 ||v_{r,s}(\cdot, \sigma e^{i\eta})||_p^{(1-\sigma)^{\alpha-1}} d\sigma \right)^q \frac{d\eta}{2\pi} \right)^{1/q} \\ & \leq C(\alpha, p) (||v_{r,s}||_p + ||v_{r,s}||_p^{\alpha p} (\int_0^2 M(\eta)^p d\eta)^{1/q}) \\ & \leq C(\alpha, p) ||v_{r,s}||_p \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 3.4. If we note that $\log \frac{1}{\rho} \sim 1 - \rho$ as $\rho \rightarrow 1^-$ and we combine

(3.7) and (3.10), we have

$$M_q(r, s; I^{\alpha, \alpha} v) \leq C(\alpha, p) ||v_{r,s}||_p.$$

So

$$||I^{\alpha, \alpha} v||_q \leq C(\alpha, p) ||v||_p. \quad \square$$

4. Proof of the main theorem

By Proposition 2.2, $D^{\frac{1}{2}}, \frac{1}{2}v \in h^2(U^2)$. By Theorem 3.5, for any α ($0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$),

$$I^{\alpha, \alpha} D^{\frac{1}{2}}, \frac{1}{2}v = D^{\frac{1}{2}-\alpha}, \frac{1}{2}v \in h^p(U^2)$$

where $p = \frac{2}{1-2\alpha}$

Taking α arbitrarily close to $\frac{1}{2}$, we see that $v \in h^p(U^2)$ for any $p > 0$. By Proposition 2.3, for each p ($1 < p < \infty$), $|v|^p$ has a 2-harmonic majorant in U^2 . If $p \leq 1$, then $|v|^p \leq |v|^2 + 1$. By the assertion above, $|v|^2 + 1$ has a 2-harmonic majorant; so does $|v|^p$. This completes the proof. \square

References

- [1] P.L. Duren, *Theory of H^p spaces*, (Academic Press, New York, NY. 1970).
- [2] T.M. Flett, "The dual of an inequality of Hardy and Littlewood and some related inequalities", *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 38 (1972), 746-765.

- [3] J.B. Garnett, *Bounded analytic functions*, (Academic Press, New York, NY 1981).
- [4] G.H. Hardy and J.E. Littlewood, "Some properties of fractional integrals, II", *Math. Z.* 34 (1932), 403-439.
- [5] G.H. Hardy and J.E. Littlewood, "Theorems concerning mean values of analytic or harmonic functions", *Quart. J. Math.* 12 (1941), 221-256.
- [6] H.O. Kim, "Derivatives of Blaschke products", *Pacific J. Math.*, 114 (1984), 175-189.
- [7] H.O. Kim, "On a theorem of Hardy and Littlewood on the polydisc", *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* (to appear).
- [8] W. Rudin, *Function theory in polydiscs*, (W.A. Benjamin, Inc., New York, NY, 1969).
- [9] S. Yamashita, "Dirichlet-finite functions and harmonic majorants", *Illinois J. Math.*, 25 (1981), 626-631.

Department of Applied Mathematics,
Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology,
P.O. Box 150,
Cheongryang,
Seoul,
Korea.