

- June, Audrey Williams. 2015. "The Invisible Labor of Minority Professors." *Chronicle of Higher Education*, November 8. www.chronicle.com/article/The-Invisible-Labor-of/234098.
- Mathews, A. Lanethea, and Kristi Andersen. 2001. "A Gender Gap in Publishing? Women's Representation in Edited Political Science Books." *PS: Political Science & Politics* 31 (1): 143–47.
- Misra, Joya, Jennifer Hickey Lundquist, Elissa Holmes, and Stephanie Agiomavritis. 2011. "The Ivory Ceiling of Service Work." Washington, DC: American Association of University Professors. *January–February*. www.aaup.org/article/ivory-ceiling-service-work#.XqSngi-zo6g.
- Monforti, Jessica Lavariega, and Melissa Michelson. 2008. "Diagnosing the Leaky Pipeline: Continuing Barriers to the Retention of Latinas and Latinos in Political Science." *PS: Political Science & Politics* 41 (1): 161–66.
- Orr, Marissa. 2019. *Lean Out: The Truth about Women, Power, and the Workplace*. New York: Harper Collins.
- Perna, Laura W. 2001. "Sex and Race Differences in Faculty Tenure and Promotion." *Research in Higher Education* 42 (5): 541–67.
- Pyke, Karen. 2011. "Service and Gender Inequity Among Faculty." *PS: Political Science & Politics* 44 (1): 85–87.
- Reid, Rebecca A., and Todd Curry. 2019. "The White Man Template and Academic Bias: 'Legitimate' Pursuits of Knowledge Are Expressions of Power." *Inside Higher Ed*, April 12. www.insidehighered.com/advice/2019/04/12/how-white-male-template-produces-barriers-minority-scholars-throughout-their.
- Sandberg, Sheryl. 2013. *Lean In: Women, Work, and the Will to Lead*. New York: Knopf.
- Social Sciences Feminist Network Research Interest Group. 2017. "The Burden of Invisible Work in Academia: Social Inequalities and Time Use in Five University Departments." *Humboldt Journal of Social Relations* 39 (39): 228–45.
- Teele, Dawn, and Kathleen Thelen. 2017. "Gender in the Journals: Publication Patterns in Political Science." *PS: Political Science & Politics* 50 (2): 433–47.
- Thomas, Peter L. 2017. "Power, Responsibility, and the White Men of Academia." *Huffington Post*, May 30. www.huffingtonpost.com/entry/power-responsibility-and-the-white-men-of-academia_us_592d58bce4b08861ed0cbce.
- Turner, Caroline Stoello Viernes. 2002. "Women of Color in Academe: Living with Multiple Marginalities." *Journal of Higher Education* 73 (1): 74–93.
- Whitaker, Manya. 2017. "The Unseen Labor of Mentoring." *Chronicle Vitae*, June 12. <https://chroniclevitae.com/news/1825-the-unseen-labor-of-mentoring>.
- Windsor, Leah C., and Kerry F. Crawford. 2020. "Best Practices for Normalizing Parents in the Academy: Higher- and Lower-Order Processes and Women and Parents' Success." *PS: Political Science & Politics* 53 (2): 275–80.
- Wingfield, Adia Harvey, and Renee Skeete. 2016. "Maintaining Hierarchies in Predominantly White Organizations: A Theory of Racial Tasks as Invisible Labor." In *Invisible Labor: Hidden Work in the Contemporary World*, eds. Marion Crain, Winifred Poster, and Miriam Cherry, 47–68. Oakland: University of California Press.

SUPPORTING JUNIOR WOMEN: STRATEGIES FOR MEN COLLEAGUES

Emily M. Farris, *Texas Christian University*
Julia Marin Hellwege, *University of South Dakota*
Andrea Malji, *Hawaii Pacific University*

DOI:10.1017/S1049096521000068

Women are less likely to be tenured and promoted due in part to an inhospitable gendered institutional climate (Hesli, Lee, and Mitchell 2012). Interventions often direct women to undertake tasks to improve their odds at success; we instead suggest ways

Junior women faculty cannot reach parity in achieving tenure simply by leaning in.

that men can be better allies to improve junior women's advancement. Based on our experiences, observations, and academic literature, we specifically examine the ways that junior women may be undermined in the profession in research, teaching, and service and make suggestions for men to intervene formally and informally to produce more equitable institutions.

Research

Scholarly productivity is generally the primary measure of promotion, and women can face challenges related to research. Empirical comparisons of academic submissions find that women submit to journals at lower rates and subsequently are published less frequently due to systemic issues, such as journal gatekeeping on gendered research interests (Key and Sumner 2019; Teele and Thelen 2017). Women face additional challenges to their time for research, with increased demands from teaching, service, and unequal distribution of household and childcare duties, which likely have been further exacerbated during the COVID-19 pandemic (Goulden, Mason, and Wolfinger 2013).

Male colleagues can help to overcome these disparities beginning within their own university by taking an active interest in the research of junior female colleagues. Men can organize scholarly opportunities (e.g., brainstorming sessions and manuscript workshops) and assist in the development of junior women's work by providing constructive feedback and encouraging submission. Men should highlight women's research both within and outside of the department. University events should include junior women colleagues, not simply because representation is needed but rather because of their expertise.

Journal editors and conference organizers should make explicit expectations for intersectional diversity during calls for submissions. Male allies should avoid organizing and serving on male-exclusive panels, also known as "manels." They should avoid publishing only with other men and also include junior women in networking opportunities. Men should reflect on the underlying cause of the exclusion and actively work to remedy it by recruiting through resources such as Women Also Know Stuff (Goodman and Pepinsky 2019).

Teaching

In the classroom, sexist barriers challenge junior women in developing their teaching portfolio and practices. For instance, students hold women to different standards and make additional demands on female faculty (El-Alayli, Hansen-Brown, and Ceynar 2018). Students evaluate women, especially women of color, with bias (Flaherty 2019).

To overcome these challenges, we recommend that junior women's needs are addressed first in course development and scheduling. To assist in course development, faculty should share teaching resources—including previous syllabi and course materials—to reduce the burden of new course preparation, particularly for women who spend a greater percentage of their time devoted to teaching (Winslow 2010). Men can lead the department in conducting an audit of course offerings and schedules to ensure gender equity. We suggest scheduling practices that accommodate those with caregiving responsibilities, along with advocating for family-friendly leave policies and childcare facilities at the univer-

sity. Departments can be mindful of who offers more labor-intensive classes and responsibilities (e.g., overseeing internships and theses) and find ways to balance and compensate accordingly.

We also suggest that men develop teaching practices that are more inclusive for their women students and colleagues, thereby creating an institutional culture that recognizes and values

women's contributions to scholarship and their place in the classroom. In their own courses, men can integrate gender into their curriculum (Cassese, Bos, and Duncan 2012), develop their curriculum's representation using tools such as the Gender Balance Assessment Tool (Sumner 2018), use diverse teaching examples, and refer to women by their professional titles.

Finally, we encourage men to champion their junior women colleagues in more holistic evaluation measures of teaching and to lead discussions regarding bias in student evaluations of teaching (Anderson and Miller 1997). As one effective strategy to remedy unconscious bias, men can encourage departments and universities to adopt language in evaluations to make students aware of it (Peterson et al. 2019).

Service

Service is least valued for tenure decisions, yet women tend to engage in more service (Park 1996). Much of service work takes place in committees, wherein women can be overburdened in an effort to create gender balance. Furthermore, committee work largely relies on members to volunteer for activities, which women are more likely to do. We encourage men to volunteer and also take on committee tasks. Much of the work of women—particularly women of color—is invisible labor; therefore, we propose that men consider creating subcommittees or other titled opportunities to give women appropriate credit for significant tasks and leadership. We also encourage men to work with female colleagues to nominate them for leadership positions, which often depend on self-nomination and are gendered (Ely, Ibarra, and Kolb 2011).

Professional behavior during meetings also impacts women, especially junior women. Men should avoid behavior such as “mansplaining,” interruptions, and ignoring or co-opting women's suggestions. Furthermore, it is imperative for men to call out and counter such behavior and to do so immediately. Men also can proactively amplify women's dismissed voices by either reverting credit to where it is due or reiterating the idea and awarding credit if the idea is dismissed. The order is important because men can use their privilege to successfully promote the idea and then give credit to the woman who initially suggested it.

Overall, one of the most important actions for men is to protect junior women colleagues from service, either by taking on more service themselves, nominating other men, or supporting women in saying “no.” However, the paternalistic behavior of saying no for women is inappropriate. Being an ally for women faculty—especially junior women faculty—likely means giving more of oneself to ensure a gendered balance of service.

Conclusion

Junior women faculty cannot reach parity in achieving tenure simply by leaning in. To improve the structural inequalities that persist in academia, men must rise to the challenge to create a supportive campus environment. Annual evaluations as well as external tenure letters should be free of gender bias. Departments should consider appropriate salary points that are less reliant on negotiation, given that women are less likely to do so (Babcock and Laschever 2003). Men also may affirmatively work to notice and nominate worthy junior women for awards because women are less likely to self-promote (Exley and Kessler 2019). As departments recruit women to their positions, they also must prioritize the support necessary to achieve tenure. ■

REFERENCES

- Anderson, Kristi, and Elizabeth D. Miller. 1997. “Gender and Student Evaluations of Teaching.” *PS: Political Science & Politics* 30 (2): 216–19.
- Babcock, Linda, and Sara Laschever. 2003. *Women Don't Ask: Negotiation and the Gender Divide*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Cassese, Erin C., Angela L. Bos, and Lauren E. Duncan. 2012. “Integrating Gender into the Political Science Core Curriculum.” *PS: Political Science & Politics* 45 (2): 238–43.
- El-Alayli, Amani, Ashely A. Hansen-Brown, and Michelle Ceynar. 2018. “Dancing Backwards in High Heels: Female Professors Experience More Work Demands and Special Favor Requests, Particularly from Academically Entitled Students.” *Sex Roles* 79:136–50.
- Ely, Robin, Herminia Ibarra, and Deborah Kolb. 2011. “Taking Gender into Account: Theory and Design for Women's Leadership Development Programs.” *Academy of Management Learning & Education* 10:474–93.
- Exley, Christine L., and Judd B. Kessler. 2019. “The Gender Gap in Self-Promotion.” Washington, DC: National Bureau of Economic Research. NBER Working Paper No. 26345. www.nber.org/papers/w26345.
- Flaherty, Colleen. 2019. “Teaching Evals: Bias and Tenure.” *Inside Higher Education*. www.insidehighered.com/news/2019/05/20/fighting-gender-bias-student-evaluations-teaching-and-tenures-effect-instruction.
- Goodman, Sara Wallace, and Thomas Pepinsky. 2019. “Gender Representation and Strategies for Panel Diversity: Lessons from the APSA Annual Meeting.” *PS: Political Science & Politics* 52 (4): 669–76.
- Goulden, Marc, Mary Mason, and Nicholas Wolfinger. 2013. *Do Babies Matter?: Gender and Family in the Ivory Tower*. New Brunswick, NJ: Rutgers University Press.
- Hesli, Vicki L., Jae Mook Lee, and Sara McLaughlin Mitchell. 2012. “Predicting Rank Attainment in Political Science: What Else Besides Publications Affects Promotion?” *PS: Political Science & Politics* 45 (3): 475–92.
- Key, Ellen, and Jane Lawrence Sumner. 2019. “You Research Like a Girl: Gendered Research Agendas and Their Implications.” *PS: Political Science & Politics* 52 (4): 663–68.
- Park, Shelley M. 1996. “Research, Teaching, and Service: Why Shouldn't Women's Work Count?” *Journal of Higher Education* 67 (1): 46–84.
- Peterson, David, Lori A. Biederman, David Andersen, Tessa M. Ditonto, and Kevin Roe. 2019. “Mitigating Gender Bias in Student Evaluations of Teaching.” *PLoS ONE* 14 (5): e0216241. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0216241>.
- Sumner, Jane Lawrence. 2018. “The Gender Balance Assessment Tool (GBAT): A Web-Based Tool for Estimating Gender Balance in Syllabi and Bibliographies.” *PS: Political Science & Politics* 51 (2): 396–400.
- Teele, Dawn Langan, and Kathleen Thelen. 2017. “Gender in the Journals: Publication Patterns in Political Science.” *PS: Political Science & Politics* 50 (2): 433–47.
- Winslow, Sarah. 2010. “Gender Inequality and Time Allocations Among Academic Faculty.” *Gender & Society* 24 (6): 769–93.

INCLUSIVE AND NON-INCLUSIVE NETWORKS

Anisha C. Datta, *Duke University*

David A. Siegel, *Duke University*

DOI:10.1017/S104909652100007X

Despite an increasing focus on gender equity in the profession, substantial inequity remains. Previous studies (Gumpertz et al. 2017; Kaminski and Geisler 2012) analyzed the “leaky pipeline” that results in the underrepresentation of women—as well as those with transgender, nonbinary, and other gender identities—in academic and tenured positions (Box-Steffensmeier et al. 2015; Wolfinger, Mason, and Goulden 2008). Extant work suggests multiple possible causes, including family commitments (Box-Steffensmeier et al. 2015; Suito, Mecom, and Feld 2001) and disproportionate participation in “service activities,” such as graduate-student mentoring (Rosser et al. 2016).

This article focuses on a different cause, one that can arise even when all parties are well intentioned: non-inclusive professional networks. Professional networks pass along information and recommendations that drive personnel decisions (e.g., hiring), acceptance into graduate programs or selective conferences and workshops, and the granting of awards. According to the literature on “workplace