# **ESTIMATION OF THE ZEOLITE CONTENTS OF TUFFACEOUS SAMPLES**  FROM THE BIGADIC CLINOPTILOLITE DEPOSIT, WESTERN TURKEY

# AHMET SIRKECIOĞLU AND AYŞE ERDEM-SENATALAR

Department of Chemical Engineering, Istanbul Technical University, 80626 Maslak, Istanbul, Turkey

Abstract--Variation of the NH<sub>4</sub>+-exchange and CO<sub>2</sub>-adsorption capacities with zeolite content was investigated in detail to assess the potential use of these capacities for the estimation of the zeolite contents of the samples taken from the Bigadiq clinoptilolite deposit in western Anatolia, as an alternative to the widely used semi-quantitative X-ray diffraction (XRD) technique. Samples with known clinoptilolite contents taken from 2 different zones with fine- and coarse-grained tuffs of the Bigadic deposit were used for this purpose. Na-enriched forms of the samples were prepared by repeated ion-exchange with NaC1 solutions, and  $NH_4^+$ -forms by repeated Na exchange followed by  $NH_4^+$  exchange with  $NH_4Cl$  solutions, which in turn were calcined to obtain the H-forms.  $NH<sub>4</sub>$ <sup>+</sup>-exchange capacities by Kjeldahl analyses of the  $NH_4^+$ -forms and CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption isotherms in the 0 to 100 kPa range of Na- and H-forms of the samples were determined. Dubinin-Astakhov model parameters were calculated from the isotherm data.

A strong relationship exists between the experimental  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ -adsorption capacities at 100 kPa of the Na-forms and the zeolite contents of the samples. Although the Dubinin-Astakhov model represented the isotherm data quite well, the relationships between the amounts of adsorbate at saturation pressure, calculated from the model, and the zeolite contents of the samples were weaker. The strength of the relationship between  $NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>$  exchange capacities and zeolite contents was seen to vary with the zone of origin. There is a very strong relationship between the adsorption and ion-exchange capacities of the samples in their Na-forms taken from the fine-grained zone, indicating that either ion-exchange or adsorption capacity measurements can be used to estimate the zeolite contents of the samples taken from this zone, whereas, significant diffusion hindrance was observed against ion-exchange of hydrated cations from aqueous solutions for some samples from the coarse-grained zone. Inspection of the data pointed to systematic errors in the zeolite contents determined by a semi-quantitative XRD technique. When both zones are considered together, CO<sub>2</sub>-adsorption capacities at 100 kPa of the samples in their Na-forms can be used as a reliable measure of the zeolite content, which in turn is an important index to predict the performance of natural samples in various applications.

Key Words—Clinoptilolite, CO<sub>2</sub> Adsorption, Dubinin-Astakhov Isotherm Model, Natural Zeolites, NH<sub>4</sub>+-Exchange, Zeolite Content.

# INTRODUCTION

Zeolites, especially the synthetic ones, have obtained widespread applications in industry as adsorbents, catalysts and ion exchangers. Although several zeolite minerals, such as clinoptilolite, mordenite and phillipsite, are available in mineable deposits having relatively high purity, extensive application of natural deposits has not yet been achieved. Some of the reasons that natural zeolites have not competed with synthetics in industrial applications are variation of the zeolite content and the cationic composition of the zeolite with location and the lack of practical test methods to estimate the zeolite content of the samples taken from a deposit.

Determination of the zeolite content of natural samples is important as an index to predict their performance in various applications (Mumpton 1988). Semiquantitative XRD, for which the calibration curve has to be prepared with a purified zeolite sample, is the most commonly applied technique for this purpose. Making use of the relationships between ion exchange or adsorption performances and zeolite content have been proposed (Johnson 1978; Valyon et al. 1981; Sheppard and Gude 1982; Kallo et al. 1982; Sersale 1985) as alternatives to the time-consuming, cumbersome and sometimes inaccurate X-ray procedures.

The  $N<sub>2</sub>$ -adsorption capacity measurements that have been used to estimate the zeolite content of synthetic materials (Johnson 1978) are not suitable for natural samples containing zeolites such as clinoptilolite because of the small pore openings in the crystal structure.

Valyon et al. (1981) have proposed to use the amount of the adsorbate at saturation pressure, calculated from the Dubinin-Astakhov model applied to CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption isotherms of the natural samples in their H-forms, as an estimate of the zeolite content. The characteristic energy of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ -adsorption on the H-forms of the 4 mordenite and 3 clinoptilolite-containing rocks were seen to vary in a narrow range by these researchers, and this was taken to indicate that adsorption took place mainly in the zeolite pores (Valyon et al. 1981; Kallo et al. 1982). Adsorption on the outer surfaces and on accompanying minerals was neglected, and the estimation of zeolite content was based on only 1 reference sample for each zeolite type.

The relationship between the ion-exchange performance and the zeolite content was weak in some cases (Lieu et al. 1988a) and deviated considerably for clinoptilolites from the results determined by other methods (Valyon et al. 1981; Kallo et al. 1982). Conversely, the strength of the relationship is known to depend on the pretreatments and experimental procedures applied (Erdem-Şenatalar et al. 1993).

A cation-exchange capacity (CEC) method based on ion-sieving properties of the zeolites was proposed (Ming and Dixon 1987), where t-butylammonium ion was used to differentiate the non-zeolitic ion-exchange capacity of clinoptilolite-containing soils from total exchange capacity determined by Na exchange. This procedure also has drawbacks such as the possibility of limited diffusion of t-butylammonium ion in nonzeolitic phases.

For a performance test based, for example, on an adsorption (or ion-exchange) capacity to be used to estimate the zeolite content of natural samples, exclusion of either the zeolitic or non-zeolitic components should not be necessary. To demonstrate this, let us denote the capacities (mmoles/g) of pure zeolitic components by  $q_z$  and non-zeolitic components by  $q_{NZ}$ , and the weight fraction of the zeolite in a sample by x. The total capacity of the sample,  $q$ , can then be written as in Equation [1] as the sum of the capacities of 2 components:

$$
q = q_{Z}x + q_{NZ}(1 - x)
$$
 [1]

The above equation, when rearranged, yields the following linear relationship between capacity and zeolite content:

$$
q = (q_Z - q_{NZ})x + q_{NZ} \tag{2}
$$

It then follows that as long as the non-zeolitic components show uniform capacity for the specific gas (or ion) throughout a deposit and this capacity is different in magnitude from that of the zeolite component, a linear calibration curve for the deposit, between the capacity and zeolite content, given by Equation [2], should be possible to establish experimentally. Prior to such a study, the zeolite, of course, should be converted into a near-homoionic form to eliminate as much as possible the well-known effects on capacity of the variation of the cationic composition with location (Ackley and Yang 1992; Sirkecioğlu et al. 1995).  $CO_2$ -adsorption and NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-exchange capacity measurements are suitable to be used in this respect to estimate the zeolite content for a clinoptilolite deposit. Clinoptilolite is known to have a high capacity and a high selectivity for the small and polar gases (Flanigen and Mumpton 1981) such as  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ , which has a high quadrupolar moment (Barrer and Murphy 1970) and also for the  $NH<sub>4</sub>$ <sup>+</sup> ion (Ames 1960). Both capacities are significantly higher for clinoptilolite than for any of the probable accompanying minerals (Worrall 1986). Additionally, Na- and H-forms of clinoptilolite

are known to give the highest adsorption capacities for CO<sub>2</sub> (Yücel and Culfaz 1988).

Zeolite-bearing mineral assemblages in pyroclastic deposits of the nonmarine Neogene basins are widespread in western Anatolia (Ataman 1977). The most important occurrence, having a large reserve of approximately 2 billion tons and a high clinoptilolite content, is in the Neogene Bigadic basin, which extends for 300 km<sup>2</sup> (Baysal et al. 1986). The lacustrine zeolitic tufts, about 250 m thick, are rhyolitic in composition and are mainly coarse-grained  $(2 \text{ to } 4 \text{ mm})$ and vitric in the lower part of the unit and fine-grained (less than 0.25 mm) and vitric in the upper part. Localizations containing finer grains and altered zones of coarser particles were also seen to be present in the coarse- and fine-grained zones, respectively (Sirke $ci$ oğlu et al. 1990).

Zeolites in the tufts were classified as Ca-rich clinoptilolites, based on their thermal stability and cationic composition (Sirkecioğlu et al. 1990). Common pyrogenic minerals in the tuffs are quartz, K-feldspar, plagioclase and biotite. The major authigenic mineral is clinoptilolite, which coexists with clay minerals, silica minerals, feldspars and carbonates (Etibank 1989).

Samples from the coarse-grained lower part have a higher ratio of alkali to alkaline earth cations in the zeolites and are thermally more stable than those from the fine-grained zone (Sirkecioğlu et al. 1990). The clinoptilolite-rich tuff samples from the 2 visibly different zones in the Bigadiç basin also have differences in their ion-exchange behavior and react differently to pretreatments (Erdem-Şenatalar et al. 1993).

The zeolite contents of the samples taken from 51 localities in the deposit were determined by XRD to be 55% or more, except for one sample from the border region that contained 20% zeolite. Many samples (32 out of 51) contained at least 80% clinoptilolite (Sirkecioğlu et al. 1990). The deposit has a high potential for use in air and natural gas purifications, namely for the adsorptive separation of NO,  $CO$ ,  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ ,  $H_2S$  and SO, from N<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> streams (Triebe et al. 1994; Sirkecioğlu et al. 1995).

A detailed investigation of the dependencies of  $NH_4^+$ -exchange and  $CO_2$  adsorption capacities on zeolite content for the Bigadiç deposit was carried out in this study using a representative set of samples having known zeolite contents from both zones to assess the potential use of these capacities for the estimation of the zeolite content of bulk tuff. Repeated NaC1 treatments were performed to prepare near homoionic forms for adsorption and to determine ion-exchange capacities that are close to the limiting values.

### EXPERIMENTAL

Fifteen samples from different locations in the coarse- and fine-grained zones were selected from a larger set of samples previously taken from 4 geolog-

Table 1.  $NH_4$ <sup>+</sup>-exchange and CO<sub>2</sub>-adsorption capacities of the clinoptilolite-bearing tufts.

		%	Cation exchange	Adsorption capacity mmol/g		
Sample code	Zonet	Clinoptilolite	capacity mea/q	H-Form	Na-Form	
EIS16/22	C(F)	20	0.81	0.847	0.869	
EIS16/3	F	67	1.53	0.949	1.621	
K3/15	C(F)	67	1.68	1.865	1.873	
K3/26	C(F)	70	1.82	1.511	1.923	
EIS2/4	F	73	2.19	2.142	2.581	
K2/3	F	77	1.90	2.319	2.206	
K3/8	F	80	1.84	1.852	1.995	
K1/7	C	82	2.36	1.821	2.178	
K1/9	F	87	1.99	2.297	2.395	
K1/2	C	88	1.92	2.563	2.413	
K1/4	C	90	1.96	2.323	2.473	
K3/3	F	93	1.84	2.141	2.113	
K1/6	C	93	1.84	2.209	2.006	
K3/6	F(C)	100	1.96	2.133	2.855	
K2/16	C	100	1.98	1.933	2.698	

Key:  $\dagger F$  = Fine-grained; C = Coarse-grained; C(F) = Fine-grained localization in coarse-grained zone; and  $F(C)$  = Coarse-grained localization in fine-grained zone.

ical cross sections and three drill cores in a  $5 \text{ km}^2$  area of the Bigadiç basin (Etibank 1989). The selection of the sample set was mainly based on the zones of origin (coarse-grained or fine-grained) and the clinoptilolite contents of the samples, which had been previously determined semi-quantitatively by an XRD technique using an internal standard with purified clinoptiloliteglass mixtures (Etibank 1989; Sirkecioğlu et al. 1990; Erdem-Şenatalar et al. 1993). Care was taken to represent the range of zeolite contents in the deposit (20 to 100%) and to include a few samples from the finer and coarser grained localizations of the coarse- and fine-grained zones, respectively.

Samples were crushed and sieved to obtain 90 to  $150 \mu m$  fractions, which were then washed with hot distilled water for 24 h in a Soxhlet extractor for the removal of water-soluble impurities, approximately 4% of the original samples. Chemical analyses of the samples were reported previously by Erdem-Senatalar et al. (1993). Na-enriched forms of the samples were prepared by repeated ion exchange with NaCI solutions at 80 °C for 5 hours. 100 ml of exchange solution was used per gram of zeolite.  $NH<sub>4</sub>$ <sup>+</sup>-exchange of the Na-forms was performed after each NaC1 treatment at 80  $\degree$ C for 5 hours with 1 M NH<sub>4</sub>Cl solutions, using the same solution to zeolite ratio. The samples were then washed, dried at 50  $^{\circ}$ C for 1 day, and brought to moisture equilibrium in a saturated humidity atmosphere at 20  $^{\circ}$ C for 1 week prior to the determination of their  $NH<sub>4</sub>$ <sup>+</sup>-exchange capacities by modified Kjeldahl analysis (Lieu et al. 1988b). Treatment with NaC1 solutions was continued until constant  $NH<sub>4</sub>$ <sup>+</sup>-exchange capacities were reached. Five such treatments with NaC1 solutions were generally found to be sufficient.

 $CO<sub>2</sub>$ -adsorption experiments were carried out on the Na-enriched samples prepared by repeated ion-exchange treatments and on H-forms of the samples prepared by calcination of the  $NH<sub>4</sub>$ <sup>+</sup>-enriched forms. A constant volume adsorption system was used, and  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ -adsorption isotherms of the samples in the 0 to 100 kPa range were determined. A minimum of 15 minutes at the same pressure was allowed for each equilibrium data point. All samples were heated at a rate of 2  $\degree$ C/min to 400  $\degree$ C and were activated at this temperature and at  $10^{-5}$  mbar pressure for 6 h prior to the adsorption experiments. The Dubinin-Astakhov pore filling model (Dubinin 1975) was applied to the isotherm data, and model parameters were calculated.

 $NH<sub>4</sub>$ <sup>+</sup>-exchange capacities of the samples,  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  adsorption capacities at 100 kPa of the Na- and H-forms, and the amounts of adsorbate at saturation pressure calculated from the Dubinin-Astakhov model were correlated with the clinoptilolite contents using statistical methods (Daniel 1976) to search for linear relationships that can be applied for the prediction of zeolite contents of the tufts from this deposit.

# RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The  $NH_4$ <sup>+</sup>-exchange and  $CO_2$ -adsorption capacities at 100 kPa of the samples are given in Table 1, together with their zones of origin. Fine-grained zones are represented by the notation F and coarse-grained zones are represented by the notation C. C(F) notation denotes the finer-grained localizations of the coarsegrained zone, and F(C) denotes the altered, coarsegrained regions of the fine-grained zone. The sample codes are also listed in the table, and exact sample localities are in previous reports (Etibank 1989; Sirkecioğlu et al. 1990).

The  $NH<sub>4</sub>$ +-exchange capacities are higher than those obtained previously (Erdem-Şenatalar et al. 1993), without repeated salt treatments, for most of the samples. But, the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  adsorption capacities are generally higher for the Na-forms of the samples.

Ion-exchange and adsorption capacities are plotted against zeolite content in Figures 1 and 2, respectively. The correlation coefficients calculated for the linear regressions between the capacities and zeolite contents are listed in Table 2.

Samples from the coarse- and fine-grained zones were correlated separately, in addition to the total set of samples. The degrees of freedom become quite low when the coarse and fine zones are considered alone, we also combined one or both of the altered zones with coarse- and fine-grained zones, as can be seen from the list of the sample sets in Table 2. Regression lines obtained for the total set of samples are shown in Figures 1 and 2.

The relationship between the  $NH_4$ <sup>+</sup>-exchange capacity determined after repeated NaCI treatments and zeolite content for the total sample set of this study is

	Degrees оf	Correlation coefficients								
			Ads. Cap.-% Clin.				Ion Exc. Cap.-Ads. Cap.			
Samplet set		Ion Exc. freedom Cap.-% Clin.	H-form		Na-form		H-form		Na-form	
			q‡	$q_0$ §	q	$q_0$	q	$q_0$	q	$q_0$
All samples	13	0.79	0.75	0.59	0.87	0.79	0.70	0.39	0.83	0.78
$F +$ $F(C) + C(F)$	8	0.86	0.77	0.77	0.88	0.84	0.83	0.58	0.93	0.88
$F +$ C(F)		0.88	0.77	0.87	0.62	0.69	0.83	0.40	0.83	0.88
F(C) $F +$		0.29	0.56	0.75	0.85	0.30	0.81	0.66	0.99	0.46
F	4	0.25	0.63	0.76	0.31	0.2	0.81	0.50	0.98	0.45
$C + F(C) + C(F)$	7	0.86	0.86	0.59	0.95	0.94	0.70	0.42	0.81	0.85
$C + C(F)$	4	0.87	0.86	$-0.03$	0.95	0.81	0.70	$-0.43$	0.85	$-0.09$
F(C) $C +$	6	$-0.60$	$-0.06$	0.54	0.68	0.94	$-0.67$	0.40	$-0.15$	0.89
C	3	$-0.64$	$-0.03$	$-0.27$	0.52	0.73	$-0.68$	$-0.42$	$-0.11$	$-0.02$

Table 2. Correlation coefficients of the linear regressions obtained for Bigadic clinoptilolite samples.

Key:  $F =$  Fine-grained;  $C =$  Coarse-grained;  $C(F) =$  Fine-grained localization in coarse-grained zone; and  $F(C) =$  Coarsegrained localization in fine-grained zone.

 $\ddagger q$  = Adsorption capacity, obtained experimentally at 100 kPa.

 $\S q_0$  = Adsorption capacity at saturation pressure, calculated from the Dubinin-Astakhov model.

stronger than any of those tested previously (Erdem-Senatalar et al. 1993), using different pretreatment and ion-exchange procedures. Contrary to our expectations, the strength of the relationships obtained for the coarse- and fine-zone samples within themselves were not higher than that for the total sample set. However, if Figure 1 is observed more closely, it can be seen that a reasonably strong relationship exists for the finegrained zone, with the exception of 2 samples, those containing 73% and 93% clinoptilolite. Although that means removal of 2 out of a set of only 6 samples, we believe there is an explanation of their deviating behavior, which will be discussed later in this paper. Addition of the samples from the C(F) zone to the sample sets is seen to increase the strength of the relationships.

Contrary to what had been previously reported in the literature (Valyon et al. 1981; Kallo et al. 1982),



Figure 1. Variation of ammonium exchange capacity with clinoptilolite content. Key:  $F =$  Fine-grained;  $C =$  Coarsegrained;  $C(F)$  = Fine-grained localization in coarse-grained zone; and  $F(C) = Coarse-grained localization in fine-grained$ zone.

the relationship between  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ -adsorption capacity and zeolite content was much stronger for the samples in their Na-forms than for those in their H-forms, as can be seen from Figures 2a and b. When data on H-forms were used, scatter around the regression line increased for all zones, including the altered ones.

The amount of adsorbate at saturation pressure of the natural samples in their H-forms, calculated from the Dubinin-Astakhov isotherm model, had been suggested previously to correlate well with zeolite content. The suggestion was based on the observation that for the H-forms, the characteristic energy seemed to be independent of the zeolite content of the rock (Valyon et al. 1981, Kallo et al. 1982), implying that the adsorption took place only in the zeolitic pores. To test this claim, we also applied the Dubinin-Astakhov model to the isotherm data obtained for the Na- and H-forms. According to this model, which is based on pore filling:

$$
q = q_o \exp[-(A/E)^n]
$$
 [3]

where,  $q_0$  is the amount of adsorbate at saturation pressure,  $E$  is the characteristic energy of adsorption,  $n$  is a geometric constant, and A is the differential molar work of adsorption, which can be written as:

$$
A = RT \ln(f_s/P) \tag{4}
$$

where, P is the pressure of the adsorbing gas, and  $f_s$ is the fugacity at saturation pressure.

Table 3 lists the best values of  $E$ ,  $q_o$  and n obtained from the solution of the above equations for the isotherm data, together with the  $E$  and  $q<sub>o</sub>$  values calculated, when n was made equal to 3 as in the previous reports (Valyon et al. 1981; Kallo et al. 1982). In the latter case, the correlation coefficients of the solutions were lower, as expected.

The  $q_0$  values obtained from the Dubinin-Astakhov model yielded weaker correlations with zeolite content



Figure 2. Variation of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ -adsorption capacities of the samples in their a) H- and b) Na-forms, with clinoptilolite content. Key: F = Fine-grained; C = Coarse-grained;  $C(F)$  = Fine-grained localization in coarse-grained zone; and  $F(C)$  = Coarse-grained localization in fine-grained zone.

than the experimental adsorption capacities at 100 kPa for the total sample set. The corresponding correlation coefficients are listed in Table 2. The relationships between the  $q_0$  values calculated with  $n = 3$  and zeolite contents were much weaker. Therefore, the correlation coefficients of these regressions are not included in the table,

The values of the characteristic energies seem to vary in a relatively wide range for our set of samples in Na- and H-forms, but there is no clear trend of variation with zeolite content. This is also true for both forms, when the geometric factor is forced to three. Thus adsorption cannot be argued to take place only in the zeolitic pores for either ion-form. As was discussed above, this is not necessary either, to develop a relationship between the adsorption capacity and zeolite content. The only condition that needs to be assumed and is likely to hold is that the non-zeolitic components have similar adsorption behavior, which is different from that of the zeolite component, throughout the deposit, for which the correlation is to be developed. One reference sample of high zeolite content as was reported to be used in previous studies (Valyon et al. 1981; Kallo et al. 1982) will not be sufficient then because the regression line will not pass from the origin when extrapolated. There will be some adsorption capacity of the samples even if the zeolite contents are very low due to the adsorption on nonzeolitic components. This argument will also be valid when relating ion-exchange capacity to zeolite content.

The relationship between the experimental  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ -adsorption capacities at 100 kPa and the clinoptilolite contents of the samples in their Na-forms seems best to estimate the zeolite contents in this study. Samples from the altered zones strengthened the relationship,

Table 3. Dubinin-Astakhov model parameters calculated from the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  isotherm data for the samples in their H- and Na-forms.

	$\%$ Clin.	Samples in H-Form					Samples in Na-form				
					$n = 3$					$n = 3$	
Zone†		$q_0$ <sub>mmol/g</sub>	Е kJ/mol	$\mathbf n$	$q_0$ $m\tilde{\text{mol}}/g$	Е kJ/mol	$q_0$ <sub>mmol/g</sub>	E kJ/mol	n	$q_0$ mmol/g	Е kJ/mol
C(F)	20	1.189	13.02	2.70	1.06	13.68	1.16	17.04	4.60	1.08	15.38
F	67	1.49	11.16	2.30	1.07	12.97	2.01	13.63	3.00	2.01	13.63
C(F)	67	2.92	12.89	1.85	1.94	16.10	2.27	14.13	3.20	2.41	13.77
C(F)	70	1.64	13.31	4.00	2.22	11.81	2.49	11.99	3.45	3.02	11.14
F	73	2.36	13.21	3.70	2.91	12.11	2.67	15.18	5.45	4.29	12.44
${\bf F}$	77	2.90	14.94	2.10	2.29	17.29	3.72	11.09	2.70	3.19	11.78
F	80	2.85	11.30	2.60	2.35	12.26	2.65	12.75	2.85	2.52	13.04
$\mathbf C$	82	2.66	11.71	2.50	2.14	12.93	2.46	13.83	3.70	3.79	11.32
F	87	2.72	13.37	3.50	3.18	12.56	2.77	14.85	3.10	2.83	14.69
C	88	5.82	9.40	1.85	2.96	12.95	2.36	16.64	4.15	2.82	15.25
C	90	3.00	13.90	2.50	2.56	15.03	2.60	14.91	4.20	3.46	13.16
$\mathbf F$	93	2.99	12.62	2.40	2.40	14.00	2.24	14.46	3.70	2.67	13.35
$\mathsf{C}$	93	3.80	12.12	1.60	2.13	17.07	2.37	14.51	3.10	2.30	12.93
F(C)	100	4.62	9.47	1.80	2.29	13.33	3.36	14.08	3.80	4.33	12.65
C	100	2.18	13.87	2.90	2.75	13.24	3.09	14.96	3.05	3.13	14.86

Key:  $F =$  Fine-grained;  $C =$  Coarse-grained;  $C(F) =$  Fine-grained localization in coarse-grained zone; and  $F(C) =$  Coarsegrained localization in fine-grained zone.



Figure 3. Variation of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ -adsorption capacities of the samples in their a) H- and b) Na-forms, with ion-exchange capacity. Key:  $F =$  Fine-grained;  $C =$  Coarse-grained;  $C(F) =$ Fine-grained localization in coarse-grained zone; and  $F(C)$  = Coarse-grained localization in fine-grained zone.

as in the case of ion-exchange capacities. The samples from the fine-grained zone that showed the highest deviation from the regression line are the same ones, those with 73 and 93% clinoptilolite contents, that also deviated in similar directions, when ion-exchange capacities were correlated with zeolite contents. When Figure 2b is inspected closely, it is seen that the zeolite contents of the coarse-zone samples can also be predicted with accuracy if the sample containing 93% clinoptilolite is neglected. This sample is also seen from Figure 1 to yield a deviation in the same direction when its ion-exchange capacity is considered. Both the ion-exchange capacity and adsorption capacity of the Na-form are lower than those expected from the zeolite content of this sample, similar to the 93% clinoptilolite containing sample from the fine-grained zone. Conversely, both capacities for the other finegrained zone sample with 73% clinoptilolite are higher than those expected from its zeolite content. This sampie is also the one that yielded the highest ion-exchange capacity at room temperature in a previous study (Erdem-Şenatalar et al. 1993).

The observation that the same samples have deviated considerably in similar directions, when the ionexchange and adsorption capacities of the Na-forms were correlated with zeolite content, prompted us to question the accuracy of the zeolite contents determined by the semi-quantitative XRD technique. An error of 5%, mainly from the purification procedure, had already been considered in the zeolite content determinations (Erdem-Senatalar et al. 1993). It is also known that X-ray line intensities may not always be proportional to the zeolite contents (Johnson 1978; Valyon et al. 1981; Ming and Dixon 1987).

When the ion-exchange and adsorption capacities for Na-forms were correlated between themselves, the samples with 73 and 93% clinoptilolite contents indeed were observed to fall on the regression line. Figure 3b shows this relationship. The scatter is again much higher for the samples in their H-forms, as can be seen from Figure 3a. The correlation coefficients of these relationships are listed in Table 2. There is a very strong correlation ( $r = 0.98$ ) between the ion-exchange and adsorption capacities for samples taken from the fine-grained zone, in their Na-forms, pointing once again to the inaccuracies of the X-ray technique and implying that either ion-exchange or adsorption capacities of Na-forms can be used to estimate zeolite content for this zone.

When the total sample set is considered, the scatter in Figure 3b arises mainly from some coarse-zone samples and from the altered coarser grained sample originating from the fine-grained zone, which gave higher adsorption but lower ion-exchange capacities. For these samples, it is evident that there is more diffusion hindrance for the hydrated cations in aqueous solutions than for gas diffusion. Significantly lower room temperature exchange capacities had been recorded previously (Erdem-Şenatalar et al. 1993) for the coarse-grained zone samples in accordance with this conclusion. As can be seen from Figure 2b,  $CO_2$ adsorption capacity of Na-forms at 100 kPa is a much more reliable measure of zeolite content for samples from this zone.

In previous studies, multi-point BET (Brunauer, Emmett and Teller) surface areas calculated from  $N_2$ adsorption isotherms, which do not include micropore areas, had been observed to vary between 17 and 31  $m^2/g$  for Bigadiç samples (Erdem-Şenatalar et al. 1993). The values seemed to decrease in the coarse, grained zone with increasing zeolite content. A major difference in the meso- and macro-porosity of the samples from 2 zones is the ratio of pore volumes of the pores above 750  $\AA$  to those in the range of 100 to 750 A, which was reflected to the bimodal distribution obtained by Hg-porosimetry (Etibank 1989). This ratio was in the range of 0.1 to 1.1 for samples from the fine zone and about 1.8 for those from the coarse zone.

In spite of the greater macroporosity in the coarsegrained zone, it is interesting to observe higher diffusion hindrance during cation exchange in aqueous solutions for some samples of this zone. The imposed diffusion-limitations for the hydrated cations clearly are due to other specific factors related to the matrix structure and/or texture for samples from the coarsegrained zone.

# **CONCLUSIONS**

Experimental  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ -adsorption capacities at 100 kPa of the Bigadic clinoptilolite samples in their Na-forms can be used to estimate zeolite content, as an alternative to the semi-quantitative XRD technique. The X-ray method seems to yield inaccurate results especially for some samples from the fine-grained zone, for which there is a strong linear relationship between the  $NH_4$ <sup>+</sup>-exchange and  $CO_2$ -adsorption capacities of the Na-forms at 100 kPa. Therefore, ion-exchange capacities are also good measures of the zeolite contents for the samples from this zone, whereas significant diffusion hindrance was observed against ion-exchange from aqueous solutions for some coarse-zone samples. Adsorption capacities of the Na-forms are correlated more strongly to the zeolite contents for the samples of this zone. The scatter increases, decreasing the strength of the relationships, when H-forms are used for adsorption capacity determinations. Although the Dubinin-Astakhov model represents the isotherm data well, the relationships between the amounts of adsorbate at saturation pressure, calculated from the model equations, and the zeolite contents of the samples are generally weaker.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank E Esenli and I. Kumbasar of the Geology Department of Istanbul Technical University for providing the samples and for their help in the initial characterization studies.

#### REFERENCES

- Ackley MW, Yang RT. 1992. Adsorption characteristics of high-exchange clinoptilolites. Ind Eng Chem Res 30:2523- 2530.
- Ames LL. 1960. The cation sieve properties of clinoptilolite. Am Mineral 45:689-700.
- Ataman G. 1977. Zeolite occurrences in western Anatolia. Earth Sci 3:85-94 (in Turkish).
- Barrer RM, Murphy EVT. 1970. Influence of decationation and dealumination on sorption by mordenite and clinoptilolite. J Chem Soc (A): p 2506-2514.
- Baysal O, Gündoğdu N, Temel A, Öner F. 1986. Geological investigation of the zeolite formations in Bigadiç. Hacettepe University Project Report: YUVAM. p 85-2 (In Turkish).
- Daniel C. 1976. Applications of statistics to industrial experimentation. New York: John Wiley & Sons. 296 p.
- Dubinin MM. 1975. Physical adsorption of gases and vapors in micropores. In: Cadenhead DA, editor. Progress in surface and membrane science. New York: Academic Press. p  $1 - 70.$
- Erdem-Şenatalar A, Sirkecioğlu A, Güray I, Esenli F, Kumbasar I. 1993. Characterization of the clinoptilolite-rich tufts of Bigadiq: Variation of the ion-exchange capacity with pretreatments and zeolite content. In: von Balmoos R, Higgins JB, Treacy MMJ, editors. Proceedings of Ninth International Zeolite Conference. Boston: Butterworth-Heinemann. Volume 2. p 223-230.
- Etibank. 1989. Investigation of the technological properties of Bigadiç zeolites. Etibank Project Report. 112 p (in Turkish).
- Flanigen EM, Mumpton FA. 1981. Commercial properties of natural zeolites. In: Mumpton FA, editor. Mineralogy and geology of natural zeolites. Washington D.C.: Mineralogical Society of America. p 165-174.
- Johnson MFL. 1978. Estimation of the zeolite content of a catalyst from nitrogen adsorption. J Catalysis 52:425-431.
- Kallo D, Papp J, Valyon J. 1982. Adsorption and catalytic properties of sedimentary clinoptilolite and mordenite from Tokaj Hills, Hungary. Zeolites 2:13-16.
- Lieu K, Williford CW, Reynolds WR. 1988a. Cation exchange characteristics of Gulf Coast clinoptilolite. In: Kallo D, Sherry HS, editors. Occurrence, properties and utilization of natural zeolites. Budapest: Akademiai Kiado. p 449-461.
- Lieu K, Williford CW, Reynolds WR. 1988b. Comparison of wet chemistry CEC determinations. In: Kallo D, Sherry HS, editors. Occurrence, properties and utilization of natural zeolites. Budapest: Akademiai Kiado. p 541-550.
- Ming DW, Dixon JB. 1987. Quantitative determination of clinoptilolite in soils by a cation-exchange capacity method. Clays Clay Miner 35:463-468.
- Mumpton FA. 1988. Development of uses for natural zeolites: A critical commentary. In: Kallo D, Sherry HS, editors. Occurrence, properties and utilization of natural zeolites. Budapest: Akademiai Kiado. p 333-366.
- Sersale R. 1985. Natural zeolites: Processing, present and possible applications. In: Drzaj B, Hocevar S, Pejovnik S, editors. Zeolites. Amsterdam: Elsevier. p 503-512.
- Sheppard RA, Gude AJ. 1982. Mineralogy, chemistry, gas adsorption and  $NH<sub>4</sub>$ +-exchange capacity for selected zeolitic tufts from western United States. U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report: 82-969. 16 p.
- Sirkecioğlu A, Esenli F, Kumbasar I, Eren RH, Erdem-Şenatalar A. 1990. Mineralogical and chemical properties of Bigadiç clinoptilolite. In: Savaşçin MY, Eronat AH, editors. Proc International Earth Science Congress on Aegean Regions. IESCA Pub. No. 2, 1:291-301.
- Sirkecioğlu A, Altav Y, Erdem-Şenatalar A. 1995. Adsorption of H<sub>2</sub>S and SO<sub>2</sub> on Bigadic clinoptilolite. Separation Science and Technology 30:2747-2762.
- Triebe RW, Tezel H, Erdem-Şenatalar A, Sirkecioğlu A. Promising air purifications on clinoptilolite. In: Karge HG, Weitkamp J, editors. Zeolite science 1994: Recent progress and discussions. Amsterdam: Elsevier. p. 219-220.
- Valyon J, Papp J, Kallo D. 1981. Estimation of mordenite and clinoptilolite content in Hungarian rhyolitic tufts. In: Sersale R, Collella C, Aiello R, editors. Recent Progress Reports and Discussion of the 5th. International Convention on Zeolites. Napoli: Giannini. p 199-202.
- Worrall WE. 1986. Clays and ceramic raw materials. London: Elsevier. 239 p.
- Yücel H, Culfaz A. 1988. Characterization of clinoptilolites of western Anatolia. In: Kallo D, Sherry HS, editors. Occurrence, properties and utilization of natural zeolites. Budapest: Akademiai Kiado. p 99-108.

*(Received 31 October 1994; accepted 12 January 1996; Ms. 2588)*