

# ON FUNDAMENTAL OPERATIONS IN GROUPS

S. FAJTLOWICZ

(Received 1 June 1970)

Communicated by G. E. Wall

By an *operation* in equationally definable class of group we mean here the element of a free group in this class generated by set  $\{x, y\}$ .

An operation  $\omega(x, y)$  is called *fundamental* in a class of groups  $K$  if for every group  $G \in K$  the operation  $xy^{-1}$  can be expressed in terms of  $\omega$ .

Higman and Neumann raised in [1] the problem: Is there any binary operation other than  $xy^{-1}$ ,  $x^{-1}y$ ,  $yx^{-1}$ ,  $y^{-1}x$  fundamental in the class of all groups?\*

To show that the above operations are unique fundamental in class  $G$  it suffices to show that for every  $k = 1, 2, \dots$  they are unique fundamental in the class  $N_k$  of all nilpotent groups of class  $k$ . In fact free groups are residually nilpotent.

I do not know if the converse is true, that is, if a word  $\omega$ , fundamental in the class  $N$  of all nilpotent groups must be fundamental in every group  $H$ ; although the free groups are subdirect products of the nilpotent groups, it can happen that term  $T_k$  which expresses  $xy^{-1}$  in  $N_k$  in terms of  $\omega$  depends on  $k$ .

The authors of [1] noted that their problem has negative solution for the class  $N_1$  of all abelian groups. The first proof was published by Padmanabhan [3]. Our aim is to prove the same for  $N_2$ . Since we need the theorem about  $N_1$  we shall state it now and give a proof shorter than that of [3].

**THEOREM 1.** *The only operations fundamental in the class of all abelian groups are  $x-y$  and  $y-x$ .*

**PROOF.** Every binary operation in an abelian group is of the form  $mx + ny$ . Suppose that  $\omega(x, y) = mx + ny$  is fundamental in  $N_1$ . Then we must have

\* The interpretation of the problem of Higman and Neumann became a source of controversy. In [2] Hulanicki and Świerczkowski gave an example of a group in which there are fundamental operation other than those mentioned above. Padmanabhan has observed [3] that there is an easier example of such group. However, it should be remarked that the example of Hulanicki and Świerczkowski was only a by-product of investigations concerning some problems of Marczewski regarding weak automorphisms of a group.

$|m| = |n| = 1$ . In fact, if for example  $|m| > 1$ , then in the group  $Z_m$  (cyclic group of order  $m$ ) the operation  $mx + ny$  depends on at most, one variable and thus their superpositions depends on at most one variable. Similarly in other cases, the operation  $x + y$  is not fundamental because it leads by superpositions only to operations with non negative coefficient. To see that  $-x - y$  is not fundamental, let us observe that the following property of linear combinations is preserved under the operation of superposition:

$$\text{sum of coefficients is equal } 1 \pmod{k},$$

But for  $m = n = -1$  the sum of coefficients of  $\omega$  is equal  $1 \pmod{3}$ . Thus Theorem 1 is proved.

**THEOREM 2.** *The only operations fundamental in the class of all nilpotent groups of class 2 are: right division, left division, and their transposes.*

**PROOF.** Let  $(x, y)$  denote the commutator of  $x$  and  $y$  i.e. the word  $x^{-1}y^{-1}xy$ . In the class  $N_2$  the following well known identities hold:

$$\begin{aligned} (x, (y, z)) &= ((x, y), z) = e \\ (x^n, y) &= (x, y)^n \\ (xy, z) &= (xz, yz) \end{aligned}$$

As was observed in [2], using these identities every binary operation in  $N_2$  can be represented in the form  $x^\alpha y^\beta (x, y)^\gamma$ .

Let  $\omega(x, y) = x^\alpha y^\beta (x, y)^\gamma$  be a fundamental operation in  $N_2$ . Since abelian groups are nilpotent of class 2, by Theorem 1 we have  $\alpha = 1 \beta = -1$  (we are omitting symmetric cases).

Thus  $\omega(x, y) = xy^{-1}(x, y)^\gamma$ .

If  $\gamma = 1$  we have  $\omega(x, y) = xy^{-1}(x, y) = y^{-1}x$ .

Suppose  $0 \neq \gamma \neq 1$ .

We shall show that in the class  $N^\gamma$  of groups satisfying identities  $(x, y)^{2\gamma-1} = ((x, y), z) = e$  the following holds.

$$(*) \quad \omega^{-1}(x, y) = \omega(x^{-1}, y^{-1}).$$

As a matter of fact

$$\begin{aligned} \omega^{-1}(x, y) \cdot \omega^{-1}(x^{-1}, y^{-1}) &= [xy^{-1}(x, y)^\gamma]^{-1} [x^{-1}y(x^{-1}, y^{-1})^\gamma]^{-1} \\ &= (x, y)^{-\gamma} yx^{-1}(x^{-1}, y^{-1})^{-\gamma} y^{-1}x = yx^{-1}y^{-1}x(x, y)^{-2\gamma} = (y^{-1}, x)(x, y)^{-2\gamma} \\ (x, y)^{-2\gamma+1} &= e. \end{aligned}$$

Let us observe that (\*) means that the operation  $h(x) = x^{-1}$  is an automorphism of the algebra  $(G, \omega)$ . Thus if  $G$  belongs to  $N^\gamma$ , the operation  $h(x) = x^{-1}$

is its automorphism. But if  $\omega$  is fundamental,  $h(x)$  is the automorphism of the group  $G$ . The only groups for which  $x^{-1}$  is an automorphism are abelian. But if  $0 \neq \gamma \neq 1$  it is easy to show that  $N_1 \subsetneq N^\gamma \subseteq N_2$ . Thus if  $\omega$  is fundamental we must have  $\gamma = 0$  or  $\gamma = 1$ .

### References

- [1] G. Higman and B. H. Neumann, 'Groups as groupoids with one law', *Publicationes Mathematicae* 2 (1952), 215–221.
- [2] A. Hulanicki and S. Świerczkowski, 'On group operations other than  $xy$  and  $yx$ ' *Publicationes Mathematicae* 9 (1962), 142–148.
- [3] R. Padmanabhan, 'A note on inverse binary operation in abelian groups', *Fundamenta Mathematicae* LXV (1969), 61–63.

Department of Mathematics,  
State University of New York at Buffalo,  
U.S.A.

### Present address

Department of Mathematics  
University of Houston  
Texas, 77004  
U.S.A.