Correspondence

Pragmatic Situationism: Dirty Words?

Dear Editors:

In the article, Ethics Committees: Promise Or Peril? published in the September issue, Father McCormick uses a quotation from an article of mine as an example of "a rootlessness which is corrosive of morality." This "rootlessness" is alleged to stem from a medical point of view which regards each patient as "unique." I am taking the trouble to reply to Father Mc-Cormick's accusation because of Father McCormick's expressed concern that such views, which he claims I exemplify, might result in ethics committees (presumably ones on which I serve) viewing cases from a standpoint of "pragmatic situationism"-a "dirty word" in Father Mc-Cormick's opinion.

There is an important difference beyond semantics between basing treatment (or nontreatment) "on the circumstances of each case" (my words) and on the basis of viewing "each case as unique" (Father McCormick's interpretation of how health care personnel view patients). Physicians such as myself who base treatment on the circumstances of each case or on a "case by case basis"2 consider the diagnostic similarities and differences that permit prediction of each patient's likely response to therapy. Although most patients can be placed within broad diagnostic categories in which there is a statistical likelihood of response to common therapeutic modalities, at the same time each patient has individual characteristics related to his own physiology and anatomy which in turn are determined by his genetic makeup (which is unique). Consideration of those features that distinguish one patient from another within broad disease categories, whether appendicitis or Down syndrome, is vital to both good medical and ethical decisionmaking; those who fail to take these differences into account are mediocre physicians and mediocre ethicists. I do not consider situationism to be a dirty word, nor do I consider pragmatic to be a dirty word. Some professional ethicists would like patients to

fit neatly into their decision boxes and are frustrated when they do not. The fact that such philosophers are better at raising questions than providing answers suggests to me that patients resist such categorization.

As a member of several ethics committees, I intend to continue to look for differences as well as similarities between patients which must be taken into consideration in formulating clinical decisions that are also ethical. I hope that my fellow committee members feel the same way.

Anthony Shaw, M.D.

Director, Department of Pediatric Surgery

City of Hope National Medical Center Clinical Professor of Surgery University of California School of Medicine

Los Angeles, California

Reference

1. Shaw, A., Dilemmas of Informed Consent in Children, New England Journal of Medicine 289(17): 627 (March 8, 1984).

2. Stahlman, M., Boat, T., Oliver, T., Care of High Risk Infants, Science 255 (4665): 980 (August 31, 1984)

INFORMED CONSENT: A STUDY OF DECISIONMAKING IN PSYCHIATRY. By Charles W. Lidz, Alan Meisel, E. Zerubavel, M. Carter, R.M. Sestak, and Loren H. Roth (Guilford Publications, Inc., New York, N.Y.) (1984) 365 pp., \$11.95.

Licensing & Credentialing

Daley DM, State Licensure for Laboratory Professionals: A Survey, LABORATORY MEDICINE 15(8): 554-57 (August 1984).

Geelhoed GW, Surgical Specialty Training and Certification in North America: Indications for Surgical Intervention? SOUTHERN MEDICAL JOUR-NAL 77(11): 1428–34 (November 1984) [13-227].

Gold BA, Disciplining Physicians: How it Works, Physician's Management 24(10): 237-43 (October 1984) [13-050].

46 Law, Medicine & Health Care

Long Term Care

Cushing M, Wronged Rights in Nursing Homes, American Journal of Nursing 84(10): 1213–18 (October 1984) [13-025].

Frobsky-Komlos P, Home- and Community-Based Long Term Care for the Elderly Poor, CLEARINGHOUSE REVIEW 18(4): 377-79 (August/September 1984).

Grimaldi PL, How Major Regulations Strive to Ensure Quality Care in Nursing Homes, Healthcare Finan-CIAL Management 38(9): 50-66 (September 1984).

Guncheon KF, Insurers Ironing Out Wrinkles in Long-Term Care Coverage, Hospitals 58(14): 110, 113 (July 16, 1984).

Lind SE, Transferring the Terminally III, New England Journal of Medicine 311(18): 1181-82 (November 1, 1984) [13-240].

Medical Devices

Bowen OR, Experimental Medical Devices, Drugs and Techniques: Their Future Social, Medical and Political Implications, (Part 3), Indiana Medicine 77(8): 613-15 (August 1984) [13-053].

Hardy RWF, Biotechnology and Health Care, Delaware Medical Jour-Nal 56(7): 427–28 (July 1984).

Medical Malpractice

Adams EK, Zuckerman S, Variation in the Growth and Incidence of Medical Malpractice Claims, JOURNAL OF HEALTH POLITICS. POLICY AND LAW 9(3): 475–88 (Fall 1984) [13-255].

Andrews T, Infant Tolling Statutes in Medical Malpractice Cases: State Constitutional Challenges, JOURNAL OF LEGAL MEDICINE 5(3): 469–87 (September 1984) [13-262].