

Contents Volume 13:1 March 1990

| | | | |
|---|----|----|-----------|
| Dawkins, M. S. From an animal's point of view: Motivation, fitness, and animal welfare | | | 1 |
| Precommentary by: Singer, P. The significance of animal suffering | | | 9 |
| Open Peer Commentary | | | |
| Archer, J. Ethological motivational theory as a basis for assessing animal suffering | 12 | | |
| Arluke, A. The significance of seeking the animal's perspective | 13 | | |
| Broom, D. M. The importance of measures of poor welfare | 14 | | |
| Burghardt, G. M. Animal suffering, critical anthropomorphism, and reproductive rights | 14 | | |
| Byrne, R. W. Having the imagination to suffer, and to prevent suffering | 15 | | |
| Chapman, C. R. On the neurobiological basis of suffering | 16 | | |
| Dantzer, R. Animal suffering: The practical way forward | 17 | | |
| DeGrazia, D. On Singer: More argument, less prescriptivism | 18 | | |
| Donnelley, S. Epistemology, ethics, and evolution | 18 | | |
| Dupré, J. The philosophical foundations of animal welfare | 19 | | |
| Fox, M. A. Taking the animal's viewpoint seriously | 20 | | |
| Fraser, A. F. Concepts of suffering in veterinary science | 21 | | |
| Frey, R. G. Animals, science, and morality | 22 | | |
| Gray, J. A. In defence of speciesism | 22 | | |
| Hughes, B. O. & Petherick, J. C. Experimental investigation of animal suffering | 23 | | |
| Jackson, F. Singer's intermediate conclusion | 24 | | |
| Jamieson, D. Science and subjective feelings | 25 | | |
| Magnus, D. & Thiel, P. Hidden adaptationism | 26 | | |
| Magurran, A. E. Obtaining and applying objective criteria in animal welfare | 26 | | |
| McFarland, D. Suffering by analogy | 27 | | |
| Mench, J. A. & Stricklin, W. R. Consumer demand theory and social behavior: All chickens are not equal | 28 | | |
| Mendl, M. Developmental experience and the potential for suffering: Does "out of experience" mean "out of mind"? | | 28 | |
| | | | 29 |
| Monaghan, P. Consumer demand: Can we deal with differing priorities? | | | 29 |
| Ng, Y.-K. The case for and difficulties in using "demand areas" to measure changes in well-being | | | 30 |
| Novak, M. A. & Meyer, J. S. Seeking the sources of simian suffering | | | 31 |
| Rachlin, H. Suffering as a behaviorist views it | | | 32 |
| Rollin, B. E. Science and value | | | 32 |
| Rowan, A. N. To suffer, or not to suffer? That is the question | | | 33 |
| Salzen, E. A. Emotion, empathy, and suffering | | | 34 |
| Sapontzis, S. F. The meaning of speciesism and the forms of animal suffering | | | 35 |
| Segal, E. F. Animal well-being: There are many paths to enlightenment | | | 36 |
| Shettleworth, S. J. & Mrosovsky, N. From one subjectivity to another | | | 37 |
| Timberlake, W. The attribution of suffering | | | 38 |
| Toates, F. Broadening the welfare index | | | 40 |
| Townsend, A. Pain, suffering, and distress | | | 41 |
| Turkkan, J. S. Paradoxical experimental outcomes and animal suffering | | | 42 |
| Walker, S. Natural and unnatural justice in animal care | | | 43 |
| Wall, P. D. Who suffers? | | | 43 |
| Wemelsfelder, F. "Perceived cost" may reveal frustration, but not boredom | | | 44 |
| Widowski, T. Consumer demand theory and animal welfare: Value and limitations | | | 45 |
| Postcommentary | | | |
| Singer, P. Ethics and animals | | | 45 |
| Author's Response | | | |
| Dawkins, M. S. Other minds and other species | | | 49 |
| Schull, J. Are species intelligent? | | | 63 |
| Open Peer Commentary | | | |
| Clayton, P. The ontology of "intelligent species" | 75 | | |
| Csányi, V. Are species Gaia's thoughts? | 76 | | |
| Dennett, D. C. Teaching an old dog new tricks | 76 | | |
| Derrickson, K. C. & Greenberg, R. S. Unfortunately, scale and time matter | 77 | | |
| Ghiselin, M. T. Are libraries intelligent? | 78 | | |
| Hendersen, R. W. Species intelligence: Hazards of structural parallels | 78 | | |
| Johnson, M. H. Similarities and dissimilarities between adaptation and learning | 79 | | |
| Kalat, J. W. Species intelligence: Analogy without homology | | | 80 |
| Leiser, D. Evolution, development, and learning in cognitive science | | | 80 |
| Lloyd, E. A. "Intelligent" evolution and neo-Darwinian straw men | | | 81 |
| MacKay, W. A. The way of all matter | | | 82 |
| Odling-Smee, F. J. Biotic intelligence (BI)? | | | 83 |
| Piattelli-Palmarini, M. Which came first, the egg-problem or the hen-solution? | | | 84 |
| Russell, P. A. "Intelligence" as description and as explanation | | | 86 |
| Salthe, S. N. Misplaced predicates and misconstrued intelligence | | | 86 |

| | | | |
|--|----|---|----|
| Silverstein, A. Natural teleology and species intelligence | 87 | Thompson, N. S. Why would we ever doubt that species are intelligent? | 94 |
| Smith, J. D. Are species intelligent? Look for genetic knowledge structures | 89 | | |
| Sterelny, K. Learning, selection, and species | 90 | Editorial Commentary | 94 |
| Sternberg, R. J. Of cockroaches as kings | 91 | | |
| Strong, G. W. Neo-Lamarckism, or, The rediscovery of culture | 92 | Author's Response | |
| Sumida, B. H. Effective search using Sewall Wright's shifting balance hypothesis | 93 | Schull, J. Are species intelligent?: Not a yes or no question | 94 |

Wahlsten, D. Insensitivity of the analysis of variance to heredity-environment interaction

109

Open Peer Commentary

| | | | |
|--|-----|---|-----|
| Bookstein, F. L. An interaction effect is not a measurement | 121 | glass: Distortions of heredity-environment interactions | 135 |
| Bullock, D. Methodological heterogeneity and the anachronistic status of ANOVA in psychology | 122 | Henderson, N. D. Why do gene-environment interactions appear more often in laboratory animal studies than in human behavioral genetic research? | 136 |
| Carlier, M. & Marchaland, C. Interaction between genotype and environment: Yes, but who truly demonstrates this kind of interaction? | 123 | Hirsch, J. A nemesis for heritability estimation | 137 |
| Cheverud, J. M. Inheritance and the additive genetic model | 124 | Kempthorne, O. How does one apply statistical analysis to our understanding of the development of human relationships? | 138 |
| Chiszar, D. A. & Gollin, E. S. Additivity, interaction, and developmental good sense | 124 | Kline, P. Heredity and environment: How important is the interaction? | 139 |
| Cicchetti, D. V. On the insensitivity of the ANOVA to interactions: Some suggested simulations | 125 | Lipp, H.-P. Flechsig's rule and quantitative behavior genetics | 139 |
| Crow, J. F. How important is detecting interaction? | 126 | Maxwell, S. E. Why are interactions so difficult to detect? | 140 |
| Crusio, W. E. Estimating heritabilities in quantitative behavior genetics: A station passed | 127 | McGuffin, P. & Katz, R. Who believes in estimating heritability as an end in itself? | 141 |
| Dawes, R. M. Monotone interactions: It's even simpler than that | 128 | Nyborg, H. Good, bad, and ugly questions about heredity | 142 |
| Denenberg, V. H. Effects of correlation on interactions in the analysis of variance | 129 | Plomin, R. Trying to shoot the messenger for his message | 144 |
| Detterman, D. K. Don't kill the ANOVA messenger for bearing bad interaction news | 131 | Schönemann, P. H. Inherited quality control problems | 145 |
| Dudley, R. M. Interaction and dependence prevent estimation | 132 | van Noordwijk, A. J. Variation in means and in ends | 145 |
| Goodall, C. One statistician's perspective | 133 | | |
| Goodnight, C. J. On the relativity of quantitative genetic variance components | 134 | Author's Response | |
| Harrington, G. M. Through the ANOVA looking- | | Wahlsten, D. Goals and methods: The study of development versus partitioning of variance | 146 |

Continuing Commentary

| | | | |
|--|-----|---|-----|
| On Searle, J. R. (1980) Minds, brains, and programs. BBS 3:417-457. | | | 163 |
| MacQueen, K. G. Not a trivial consequence | 163 | Author's Response | |
| | | Searle, J. R. The causal powers of the brain: The necessity of sufficiency | 164 |
| On Plomin, R. & Daniels, D. (1987) Why are children in the same family so different from one another? BBS 10:1-60. | | | 165 |
| Humphreys, L. D. The obvious method of analysis of data is sometimes inadequate | 165 | Authors' Response | |
| | | Plomin, R. Why are children in the same family so different? Response to commentary by Lloyd D. Humphreys | 165 |

| | | | |
|--|-----|---|-----|
| <i>On</i> Skarda, C. A. & Freeman, W. J. (1987) How brains make chaos in order to make sense of the world. BBS 10:161–95. | | | 166 |
| Krieger, D. A putative role for transient local coherence in cognitive function | 167 | Authors' Response Freeman, W. J. & Skarda, C. A. Chaotic dynamics versus representationalism | 167 |
| <i>On</i> Swerdlow, N. R. & Koob, G. F. (1987) Dopamine, schizophrenia, mania, and depression: Toward a unified hypothesis of cortico-striato-pallido-thalamic function. BBS 10:197–245. | | | 168 |
| Wu, J. C., Siegel, B. V., Jr., Haier, R. J. & Buchsbaum, M. S. Testing the Swerdlow/Koob model of schizophrenia pathophysiology using positron emission tomography | 169 | Authors' Response Swerdlow, N. R. & Koob, G. F. Toward a unified hypothesis of cortico-striato-pallido-thalamus function? | 172 |
| Sawaguchi, T. Dysfunction of the dopaminergic modulation of GABAergic circuitry in the prefrontal cortex must be involved in psychoses and movement disorders | 170 | | |
| <i>On</i> Sperber, D. & Wilson, D. (1987) Précis of <i>Relevance: Communication and Cognition</i> . BBS 10:697–754. | | | 177 |
| Politzer, G. Characterizing spontaneous inferences | 177 | Authors' Response | |
| Garnham, A. & Perner, J. Does manifestness solve problems of mutuality? | 178 | Sperber, D. & Wilson, D. Spontaneous deduction and mutual knowledge | 179 |
| <i>On</i> Glezer, I. I., Jacobs, M. S. & Morgane, P. J. (1988) Implications of the “initial brain” concept for brain evolution in Cetacea. BBS 11:75–116. | | | 185 |
| Deacon, T. W. Confusing size-correlated differences with phylogenetic “progression” in brain evolution | 185 | Authors' Response Glezer, I. I., Jacobs, M. S. & Morgane, P. J. Allometric tricks: Confusion about phylogenetic “progression” in brain evolution? | 187 |
| <i>On</i> Benbow, C. P. (1988) Sex differences in mathematical reasoning ability in intellectually talented preadolescents: Their nature, effects, and possible causes. BBS 11:169–232. | | | 190 |
| Borkowski, J. G. “Small” gender differences on the SAT: A scenario about social origins | 190 | Rushton, J. P. Sex, ethnicity, and hormones | 194 |
| Bouchard, T. J., Jr., & Segal, N. L. Advanced mathematical reasoning ability: A behavioral genetic perspective | 191 | Hoyenga, K. B. Some of the pathological assumptions in the sciences of gender | 194 |
| Boomsma, D. I. Does every smart boy have a smart sister? | 192 | Eliot, J. Spatial ability: Not enough space to make a sex difference | 196 |
| Petersen, A. C., Crockett, L. J. & Graber, J. Issues in the development of mathematical precocity | 192 | Author's Response | |
| Richardson, K. Go back to cognitive theory | 193 | Benbow, C. P. Sex differences in mathematical reasoning ability among the intellectually talented: Further thoughts | 196 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| Näätänen, R. The role of attention in auditory information processing as revealed by event-related potentials and other brain measures of cognitive function | 201 |
| Open Peer Commentary | |
| Banquet, J. P., Smith, M. J. & Renault, B. Bottom-up versus top-down: An alternative to the automatic-attended dilemma? | 233 |
| Cammann, R. Is there a mismatch negativity (MMN) in the visual modality? | 234 |
| Candelaria de Ram, S. The sensory basis of mind: Feasibility and functionality of a phonetic sensory store | 235 |
| Ciesielski, K. T. Variability, gnostic units and N2 | 236 |
| Cowan, N. Converging evidence about information processing | 237 |
| Czigler, I. Is the attentional trace theory modality specific? | 238 |
| Deecke, L., Asenbaum, S. & Lang, W. "Context-related" brain DC activity during selective attention | 239 |
| Giard, M.-H. More in the early selection process than the attentional-trace mechanism? | 240 |
| Grossberg, S. Attention and recognition learning by adaptive resonance | 241 |
| Hansen, J. C. Processing negativity: Comparison process or selective processing? | 242 |
| Harter, M. R. & Anllo-Vento, L. Modality differences: Memory trace development or efferent cortical priming? | 243 |
| Johnston, W. A. & Hawley, K. J. Novel popout in vision | 244 |
| Karmos, G. & Csépe, V. Is ERP the right key to open the "black box"? | 245 |
| Kranda, K. Modelling attention in man | 246 |
| Kristeva, R. & Cheyne, D. Similarities between attentional and preparatory states | 247 |
| Libet, B. Attentional theories and conscious perception | 247 |
| Michie, P. T., Siddle, D. A. T. & Coltheart, M. Stimulus selection, sensory memory, and orienting | 248 |
| Miller, J. ERPs and attention: Deep data, broad theory | 249 |
| Okita, T. Further processing: When does it commence? | 250 |
| Rugg, M. D. ERPs and the fate of unattended stimuli | 251 |
| Scharf, B. The case for precocious effects of attention on auditory processing | 252 |
| Sereno, A. B. Searching for a neurophysiological view of ERP components | 253 |
| Sirevaag, E. J. & Kramer, A. F. On the structure and capacity of selection processes | 254 |
| Tipper, S. P. Early or late selection? Still an open issue | 255 |
| Ullsperger, P. & Baldeweg, T. Sensory adaptation and mismatch negativity | 255 |
| Underwood, G. Attention and awareness: Using the to-be-ignored evidence | 256 |
| Verbaten, M. N. Näätänen's auditory model from a visual perspective | 256 |
| Verleger, R. Top-down, fast-same, and acoustic perception | 257 |
| Woldorff, M. G. & Hillyard, S. A. Attentional influence on the mismatch negativity | 258 |
| Woods, D. L. Selective auditory attention: Complex processes and complex ERP generators | 260 |
| Author's Response | |
| Näätänen, R. Automatic and attention-dependent processing of auditory stimulus information | 261 |

Precht, J. C. & Powley, T. L. B-afferents: A fundamental division of the nervous system mediating homeostasis? 289

| | |
|--|-----|
| Open Peer Commentary | |
| Andrews, P. L. R. & Lawes, I. N. C. Classification of afferents by input not by output? | 300 |
| Cervero, F. To classify or not to classify: That is the question | 301 |
| Davison, J. S. & Sharkey, K. A. How does the B-afferent classification apply to vagal afferent neurons? | 301 |
| Engel, B. T. B-afferents: Is an anatomic definition sufficient to characterize the organization of neural function? | 302 |
| Felten, D. L. & Felten, S. Y. Let afferents be afferents | 303 |
| Grundy, D. B-afferents: The basis for autonomic reflexes? | 304 |
| Haring, J. H. "What's in a name?" A case for redefining the autonomic nervous system | 304 |
| Hsiao, S. Convergence of autonomic afferents at brainstem neurons: Stomach reflex and food intake | 305 |
| Jancsó, G. B-afferents: A system of capsaicin-sensitive primary sensory neurons? | 306 |
| Kobayashi, S. Network-structure of the peripheral autonomic innervation apparatus should be thoroughly evaluated | 307 |
| Laughton, W. B. Does form underlie function in the neural control of homeostasis? | 308 |
| Lawson, S. Visceral, autonomic, or just plain small dark neurones? | 309 |
| Lembeck, F. & Bucsics, A. Classification of peripheral neurones | 310 |
| Maggi, C. A. Can capsaicin be used to discriminate between subpopulations of B-afferents? | 312 |
| Mendell, L. Somatic spikes of sensory neurons may provide a better sorting criterion than the autonomic/somatic subdivision | 312 |

| | | | |
|---|-----|---|-----|
| Neuhuber, W. L. Dichotomic classification of sensory neurons: Elegant but problematic | 313 | B-afferents: A neural system with dual sensory-efferent function | 316 |
| Nijijima, A. B-afferents: An important afferent input to the autonomic reflexes | 314 | Wall, P. D. Against rigid classification | 317 |
| Oehme, P., Krause, W. & Hecht, K. Neuromodulatory activity of peripherally administered substance P | 315 | Yox, D. P. B-neurons mediating homeostasis and behavior? | 317 |
| Ritter, S. & Ritter, R. C. Capsaicin-sensitivity and the sensory vagus: Do these exceptions prove or disprove the B-neuron rule for autonomic afferents? | 315 | Zilov, V. G. What about B-afferents and homeostasis from a systemic point of view? | 318 |
| Szolcsányi, J. Capsaicin-sensitive chemoceptive | | Authors' Response | |
| | | Prechtel, J. C. & Powley, T. L. Ontogeny, form, function, and prediction | 318 |

Falk, D. Brain evolution in *Homo*: The “radiator” theory 333

Open Peer Commentary

| | | | |
|---|-----|---|-----|
| Abitol, M. M. The multiple obstacles to encephalization | 344 | Grüsser, O.-J. Aristotle redivivus? Multiple causes and effects in hominid brain evolution | 356 |
| Barton, R. A. Brain evolution in <i>Homo</i> : The “hood” theory | 345 | Hargens, A. R. & Meyer, J.-U. Upright posture and cranial hemodynamics in humans and other “tall” animals | 359 |
| Bingham, G. P. The role of a behavior in evolution | 346 | Holloway, R. L. Falk’s radiator hypothesis | 360 |
| Bortz, W. M. 2d Exercise as prime mover and a cool brain | 347 | Jerison, H. J. Welcome light on a hot topic | 360 |
| Brace, C. L. The brain drain as a means of cooling hot heads | 348 | Kimbel, W. H. The radiator hypothesis: A theory in “vein” | 361 |
| Bregelmann, G. L. Brain cooling via emissary veins: Fact or fancy? | 349 | Krantz, G. S. Relating brains, blood, and bipedalism | 362 |
| Brinell, H. Selective brain cooling: A multidisciplinary concept | 350 | Limber, J. Have cooler heads prevailed? | 363 |
| Cabanac, M. Beardedness, baldness, and northern climate | 351 | Scheibel, A. B. Boiling over in the great rift valley | 364 |
| Caputa, M. On the possible evolution of brain cooling system in <i>Homo</i> : Sweating versus panting | 351 | Senut, B. Overheated brains: Radiation of radiators? | 364 |
| Dean, M. C. Venous drainage of the brain | 352 | Smith, B. H. The cost of a large brain | 365 |
| Fialkowski, K. R. Heat stress as a factor in the preadaptative approach to the origin of the human brain | 352 | Wheeler, P. E. The influence of thermoregulatory selection pressures on hominid evolution | 366 |
| Finlay, B. L. Master Mechanic, may I? Evolutionary permission versus evolutionary pressure | 353 | Whiten, A. Causes and consequences in the evolution of hominid brain size | 367 |
| Foley, R. The causes of brain enlargement in human evolution | 354 | Zihlman, A. The problem of variation | 367 |
| | | Author's Response | |
| | | Falk, D. Evolution of a venous “radiator” for cooling the cortex: “Prime releaser” of brain evolution in <i>Homo</i> | 368 |

Continuing Commentary

| | |
|---|-----|
| On Rao, K. R. & Palmer, J. (1987) The anomaly called psi: Recent research and criticism. BBS 10:539–643. | 383 |
|---|-----|

| | |
|---|-----|
| On Alcock, J. E. (1987) Parapsychology: Science of the anomalous or search for the soul? BBS 10:553–643. | 383 |
|---|-----|

| | | | |
|--|-----|--|-----|
| Hövelmann, G. H. The versatility of “metaphors” | 383 | Authors' Responses | |
| Hubbard, T. L. Scientific reduction and the possibility of parapsychology: Parallels from cognitive psychology | 384 | Rao, K. R. & Palmer, J. Researching data and searching for theory | 387 |
| MacKay, D. G. Why facts neither speak for themselves nor resolve the psi controversy: The view from the rational epistemology | 385 | Alcock, J. E. Parapsychology: Science of the anomalous or search for nonmaterial aspects of human existence | 390 |
| Snow, P. Small statistical aberrations and mutual information | 387 | | |

| | | |
|---|-----|--|
| <i>On Macphail, E. M. (1987) The comparative psychology of intelligence. BBS 10:645–695.</i> | | 391 |
| Gómez, J. C. Causal links, contingencies, and the comparative psychology of intelligence | 392 | |
| Rilling, M. Comparing representations between species intelligently | 392 | Author's Response |
| Vauclair, J. Wanted: Cognition | 393 | Macphail, E. M. Comparative psychology: New experimental findings, not new approaches, are needed |
| Walker, S. F. Specious comparisons versus comparative epistemology | 394 | 395 |
| <i>On Smolensky, P. (1988) On the proper treatment of connectionism. BBS 11:1–74.</i> | | 399 |
| Searle, J. R. Models and reality | 399 | |
| Goel, V. Smolensky's proper treatment of connectionism: Having it both ways | 400 | |
| Varela, F. T. & Sanchez-Leighton, V. On observing emergent properties and their compositions | 401 | |
| Oden, G. C. Connectionism: Self-abuse is improper treatment | 402 | |
| McNaughton, N. Evolution and connectionism | 402 | |
| Massaro, D. W. The psychology of connectionism | 403 | |
| Reggia, J. A. Level of analysis is not a central issue | 406 | Author's Response |
| Verschure, P. F. M. J. Smolensky's theory of mind | 407 | Smolensky, P. In defense of PTC |
| | | 407 |
| <i>On Whiten, A. & Byrne, R. W. (1988) Tactical deception in primates. BBS 11:233–273.</i> | | 412 |
| Whitehead, J. M. Gaining access to the black box | 413 | Authors' Response |
| Gómez, J. C. Primate tactical deception and sensorimotor social intelligence | 414 | Whiten, A. & Byrne, R. W. Mind and causality |
| | | 415 |
| <i>On Logue, A. W. (1988) Research on self-control: An integrating framework. BBS 11:665–709.</i> | | 419 |
| Lea, S. E. G. & Tarpy, R. M. Extending the evolutionary and economic analysis of intertemporal choice | 419 | Author's Response |
| | | Logue, A. W. Economical self-control |
| | | 420 |

Contents Volume 13:3 September 1990

Tsotsos, J. K. Analyzing vision at the complexity level 423

Open Peer Commentary

| | | | |
|--|-----|--|-----|
| Cave, K. R. The theory and practice of attention | 445 | Siegel, R. M. Is it really that complex? After all, there are no green elephants | 453 |
| Desimone, R. Complexity at the neuronal level | 446 | Strong, G. W. Algorithmic complexity analysis does not apply to behaving organisms | 453 |
| Dickinson, B. W. Computation, complexity, and systems in nature | 447 | Treisman, A. Search and the detection and integration of features | 454 |
| Eagleson, R. Task-dependent constraints on perceptual architectures | 447 | Uhr, L. Some important constraints on complexity | 455 |
| Eklundh, J.-O. What are the insights gained from the complexity analysis? | 448 | Uttal, W. R. On brains and models | 456 |
| Heathcote, A. & Mewhort, D. J. K. Is unbounded visual search intractable? | 449 | Wolfe, J. M. Complexity, guided search, and the data | 457 |
| Krueger, L. E. & Tsay, C.-Y. Analyzing vision at the complexity level: Misplaced complexity? | 449 | Zucker, S. W. Adaptation and attention | 458 |
| Kube, P. R. Complexity is complicated | 450 | | |
| Lowe, D. G. Probability theory as an alternative to complexity | 451 | Author's Response | |
| Mohnhaupt, M. & Neumann, B. Support for an intermediate pictorial representation | 452 | Tsotsos, J. K. A little complexity analysis goes a long way | 458 |

Hanson, S. J. & Burr, D. J. What connectionist models learn: Learning and representation in connectionist networks 471

Open Peer Commentary

| | | | |
|---|-----|--|-----|
| Barash, S. Relatively local neurons in a distributed representation: A neurophysiological perspective | 489 | Phillips, W. A., Hancock, P. J. B. & Smith, L. S. Realistic neural nets need to learn iconic representations | 505 |
| Bridgeman, B. What connectionists learn: Comparisons of model and neural nets | 491 | Rager, J. E. The analysis of the learning needs to be deeper | 505 |
| Brown, G. D. A. & Oaksford, M. Representational systems and symbolic systems | 492 | Sharkey, N. E. There is more to learning than meets the eye (or ear) | 506 |
| Chater, N. Connectionism and classical computation | 493 | Suppes, P. Problems of extension, representation, and computational irreducibility | 507 |
| Golden, R. M. Are connectionist models just statistical pattern classifiers? | 494 | Timberlake, W. Connectionist models: Too little too soon? | 508 |
| Haberlandt, K. Expose hidden assumptions in network theory | 495 | Toulouse, G. Advances in neural network theory | 509 |
| Hendler, J. But what is the substance of connectionist representation? | 496 | Van Gelder, T. Connectionist models learn what? | 509 |
| Jordan, M. I. A non-empiricist perspective on learning in layered networks | 497 | Weaver, M. & Kaplan, S. Connectionist learning and the challenge of real environments | 510 |
| Kruschke, J. K. How connectionist models learn: The course of learning in connectionist networks | 498 | | |
| Lamberts, K. & d'Ydewalle, G. What can psychologists learn from hidden-unit nets? | 499 | Author's Response | |
| Langley, P. Approaches to learning and representation | 500 | Hanson, S. J. Learning and representation: Tensions at the interface | 511 |
| Levelt, W. J. M. On learnability, empirical foundations, and naturalness | 501 | | |
| Maki, W. S. Toward a unification of conditioning and cognition in animal learning | 501 | | |
| Munsat, S. Keeping representations at bay | 502 | | |
| Pavel, M. Learning from learned networks | 503 | | |

Previc, F. H. Functional specialization in the lower and upper visual fields in humans: Its ecological origins and neurophysiological implications 519

Open Peer Commentary

| | | | |
|--|-----|--|-----|
| Abrams, R. A. Does visual-field specialization really have implications for coordinated visual-motor behavior? | 542 | Bracewell, R. M. Seeing double: Dichotomizing the visual system | 543 |
| | | Brannan, J. R. The benefits and constraints of visual processing dichotomies | 544 |

| | | | |
|--|-----|---|-----|
| Breitmeyer, B. G. Ups and downs of the visual field: Manipulation and locomotion | 545 | Marsolek, C. J. Why the computations must not be ignored | 554 |
| Bruce, C. J. & MacAvoy, M. G. Response field biases in parietal, temporal, and frontal lobe visual areas | 546 | Osaka, N. Peripheral lower visual fields: A neglected factor? | 555 |
| Bryden, M. P. & Underwood, G. Twisting the world by 90° | 547 | Siegel, R. M. Properties of neurons in the dorsal visual pathway of the monkey | 555 |
| Butter, C. M. Functional specialization in the visual system: Retinotopic or body centered? | 548 | Strong, G. W. Different regions of space or different spaces altogether: What are the dorsal/ventral systems processing? | 556 |
| Chalupa, L. M. & White, C. A. Visual information in the upper and lower visual fields may be processed differently, but how and why remains to be established | 549 | Williams, R. W. The primary visual system does not care about Previc's near-far dichotomy. Why not? | 557 |
| Crewther, D. P. The ups and downs of visual fields | 550 | Young, A. W. Only half way up | 558 |
| Findlay, J. M. Ecology and functional specialization: The whole is less than the sum of the parts | 551 | | |
| Goodale, M. A. & Graves, J. A. Pigeons, primates, and division of labor in the vertebrate visual system | 551 | | |
| Heilman, K. M., Bowers, D. & Shelton, P. Attention to near and far space: The third dichotomy | 552 | | |
| Kinsbourne, M. & Duffy, C. J. The role of dorsal/ventral processing dissociation in the economy of the primate brain | 553 | | |
| | | Author's Response | |
| | | Previc, F. H. Visual processing in three-dimensional space: Perceptions and misperceptions | 559 |

Continuing Commentary

| | | | |
|---|-----|--|-----|
| On Dennett, D. C. (1983) Intentional systems in cognitive ethology: The "Panglossian paradigm" defended. <i>BBS</i> 6:343–390. | | | 577 |
| Amundson, R. Doctor Dennett and Doctor Pangloss: Perfection and selection in biology and psychology | 577 | Author's Response | |
| | | Dennett, D. C. Dr. Pangloss knows best | 581 |
| On Dennett, D. C. (1988) <i>Précis of The Intentional Stance</i> . <i>BBS</i> 11:495–546. | | | 582 |
| De Gelder, B. The matter of other minds | 582 | Author's Response | |
| | | Dennett, D. C. Abstracting from mechanism | 583 |
| On Turkkan, J. S. (1989) Classical conditioning: The new hegemony. <i>BBS</i> 12:121–179. | | | 584 |
| Goudie, A. J. Opponent processes in classical conditioning: The jury is still out | 584 | Author's Response | |
| Kirsch, I. The placebo effect as a conditioned response: Failures of the "litmus test" | 585 | Turkkan, J. S. The search for convincing experimental tests of conditioned drug effects | 586 |

Contents Volume 13:4 December 1990

Searle, J. R. Consciousness, explanatory inversion, and cognitive science 585

Open Peer Commentary

| | | | |
|---|-----|--|-----|
| Block, N. Consciousness and accessibility | 596 | McDermott, D. Zombies are people, too | 617 |
| Bridgeman, B. Intention itself will disappear when its mechanisms are known | 598 | Piattelli-Palmarini, M. Somebody flew over Searle's ontological prison | 618 |
| Carlson, R. A. Conscious mental episodes and skill acquisition | 599 | Rey, G. Constituent causation and the reality of mind | 620 |
| Chomsky, N. Accessibility "in principle" | 600 | Rosenthal, D. M. On being accessible to consciousness | 621 |
| Clark, A. Aspects and algorithms | 601 | Schull, J. When functions are causes | 622 |
| Czyzewska, M., Hill, T. & Lewicki, P. The ability versus intentionality aspects of unconscious mental processes | 602 | Shevrin, H. Unconscious mental states do have an aspectual shape | 624 |
| Dresher, B. E. & Hornstein, N. Language and the deep unconscious mind: Aspectualities of the theory of syntax | 602 | Skarda, C. A. The neurophysiology of consciousness and the unconscious | 625 |
| Dreyfus, H. L. Searle's Freudian slip | 603 | Taylor, C. The possibility of irreducible intentionality | 626 |
| Freeman, W. J. Consciousness as physiological self-organizing process | 604 | Ter Meulen, A. The causal capacities of linguistic rules | 626 |
| Freidin, R. Grammar and consciousness | 605 | Uleman, J. S. & Uleman, J. K. Unintended thought and nonconscious inferences exist | 627 |
| Glymour, C. Unconscious mental processes | 606 | Underwood, G. Conscious and unconscious representation of aspectual shape in cognitive science | 628 |
| Harman, G. Intentionality: Some distinctions | 607 | Velmans, M. Is the mind conscious, functional, or both? | 629 |
| Higginbotham, J. Searle's vision of psychology | 608 | Young, A. W. Consciousness, historical inversion, and cognitive science | 630 |
| Hobbs, J. R. Matter, levels, and consciousness | 610 | Zelazo, P. D. & Reznick, J. S. Ontogeny and intentionality | 631 |
| Hodgkin, D. & Houston, A. I. "Consciousness" is the name of a nonentity | 611 | | |
| Holender, D. On doing research on consciousness without being aware of it | 612 | Editorial Commentary | 632 |
| Kulli, J. C. Is Searle conscious? | 614 | | |
| Limber, J. What's it like to be a gutbrain? | 614 | Author's Response | |
| Lloyd, D. Loose connections: Four problems in Searle's argument for the "Connection Principle" | 615 | Searle, J. R. Who is computing with the brain? | 632 |
| Matthews, R. J. Does cognitive science need "real" intentionality? | 616 | | |

Penrose, R. Précis of *The Emperor's New Mind: Concerning computers, minds, and the laws of physics* 643

Open Peer Commentary

| | | | |
|---|-----|---|-----|
| Boolos, G. On "seeing" the truth of the Gödel sentence | 655 | Hodgkin, D. & Houston, A. I. Selecting for the con in consciousness | 668 |
| Boyle, F. Algorithms and physical laws | 656 | Johnson, J. L., Ettinger, R. H. & Hubbard, T. L. A long time ago in a computing lab far, far away . . . | 670 |
| Breuel, T. M. AI and the Turing model of computation | 657 | Kentridge, R. W. Parallelism and patterns of thought | 670 |
| Butterfield, J. Lucas revived? An undefended flank | 658 | Libet, B. Time-delays in conscious processes | 672 |
| Chalmers, D. J. Computing the thinkable | 658 | Lutz, R. Quantum AI | 672 |
| Davis, M. Is mathematical insight algorithmic? | 659 | MacLennan, B. The discomforts of dualism | 673 |
| Dennett, D. C. Betting your life on an algorithm | 660 | Madsen, M. S. Uncertainty about quantum mechanics | 674 |
| Doyle, J. Perceptive questions about computation and cognition | 661 | Manaster-Ramer, A., Savitch, W. J. & Zadrozny, W. Gödel redux | 675 |
| Eagleson, R. Computations over abstract categories of representation | 661 | McDermott, D. Computation and consciousness | 676 |
| Eccles, J. C. Physics of brain-mind interaction | 662 | Mortensen, C. The powers of machines and minds | 678 |
| Garnham, A. Don't ask Plato about the emperor's mind | 664 | Niall, K. K. Steadfast intentions | 679 |
| Gigerenzer, G. Strong AI and the problem of "second-order" algorithms | 663 | Perlis, D. The emperor's old hat | 680 |
| Gilden D. L. & Lappin, J. S. Where is the material of the emperor's mind? | 665 | Roeper, T. Systematic, unconscious thought is the place to anchor quantum mechanics in the mind | 681 |
| Glymour, C. & Kelly, K. Why you'll never know whether Roger Penrose is a computer | 666 | Roskies, A. Seeing truth or just seeming true? | 682 |
| Higginbotham, J. Penrose's Platonism | 667 | Smithers, T. The pretender's new clothes | 683 |
| | | Stanovich, K. E. And then a miracle happens . . . | 684 |
| | | Taylor, M. M. The thinker dreams of being an emperor | 685 |
| | | Tsotsos, J. K. Exactly which emperor is Penrose talking about? | 686 |

| | | | |
|--|-----|--|-----|
| Varela, F. J. Between Turing and quantum mechanics there is body to be found | 687 | Zytkow, J. M. Minds beyond brains and algorithms | 691 |
| Waltz, D. & Pustejovsky, J. Penrose's grand unified mystery | 688 | Author's Response | |
| Wilensky, R. Computability, consciousness, and algorithms | 690 | Penrose, R. The nonalgorithmic mind | 692 |

Pinker, S. & Bloom, P. Natural language and natural selection 707

Open Peer Commentary

| | | | |
|--|-----|---|-----|
| Bates, E. & MacWhinney, B. Welcome to functionalism | 727 | Newmeyer, F. J. Natural selection and the autonomy of syntax | 745 |
| Broadwell, G. A. Linguistic function and linguistic evolution | 728 | Ninio, A. The genome might as well store the entire language in the environment | 746 |
| Catania, A. C. What good is five percent of a language competence? | 729 | Otero, C. P. The emergence of <i>homo loquens</i> and the laws of physics | 747 |
| Frazier, L. Seeing language evolution in the eye: Adaptive complexity or visual illusion? | 731 | Pesetsky, D. & Block, N. Complexity and adaptation | 750 |
| Freyd, J. J. Natural selection or shareability? | 732 | Piattelli-Palmarini, M. An ideological battle over modals and quantifiers | 752 |
| Gopnik, M. A Rube Goldberg machine par excellence | 734 | Premack, D. On the coevolution of language and social competence | 754 |
| Hornstein, N. Selecting grammars | 735 | Ridley, M. Arbitrariness no argument against adaptation | 756 |
| Hurford, J. R. Beyond the roadblock in linguistic evolution studies | 736 | Sober, E. Anatomizing the rhinoceros | 764 |
| Jackendoff, R. What would a theory of language evolution have to look like? | 737 | Sperber, D. The evolution of the language faculty: A paradox and its solution | 756 |
| Kingston, J. Five exaptations in speech: Reducing the arbitrariness of the constraints on language | 738 | Studdert-Kennedy, M. This view of language | 758 |
| Kluender, K. R. Lessons from the study of speech perception | 739 | Tomasello, M. Grammar yes, generative grammar no | 759 |
| Lewontin, R. C. How much did the brain have to change for speech? | 740 | Tooby, J. & Cosmides, L. Toward an adaptationist psycholinguistics | 760 |
| Lieberman, P. "Not invented here" | 741 | Ulbaek, I. Why chimps matter to language origin | 762 |
| Limber, J. Language evolved – So what's new? | 742 | Wilkins, W. & Dumford, J. In defense of exaptation | 763 |
| Lindblom, B. Adaptive complexity in sound patterns | 743 | | |
| Magnus, D. Causal stories | 744 | Authors' Response | |
| Maratsos, M. Middle positions on language, cognition, and evolution | 744 | Pinker, S. & Bloom, P. Issues in the evolution of the human language faculty | 765 |