

CORRIGENDUM

Drift-kinetic stability analysis of z-pinch
J. Plasma Phys. vol. 41, 1989, p. 45

By H. O. ÅKERSTEDT

Department of Technology, Uppsala University, Box 534, S-751 21 Uppsala, Sweden

(Received 30 October 1989)

We report here some corrections to the above paper.

First there are some minor typographical errors. In (6*a*) and (8*a*) the term

$$\frac{3}{4}\gamma_4 \frac{p}{r^2} \hat{\xi}_r$$

should be replaced by

$$\frac{3}{2}\gamma_4 \frac{p}{r^2} \hat{\xi}_r$$

and the term

$$\gamma_3 \frac{p'}{r} \hat{\xi}_z$$

should be replaced by

$$\gamma_3 \frac{p'}{r} \hat{\xi}_r.$$

There are also two terms missing in the derivation of (6*a*). These result from the fact that the second-order angular drift frequency $\omega_D^{(2)}$ in

$$\omega_D = \omega_D^{(1)} + \omega_D^{(2)} = \frac{v_\varphi}{r} + 2 \frac{v_\varphi v_\perp}{r^2} \Omega_0 \cos \phi$$

also yields a contribution of the same order as the other terms in (6*a*). One should therefore add to the right-hand side of (6*a*) and (8*a*) the terms

$$-2p\gamma_3 \frac{p}{r} \hat{\xi}_r - 2p\gamma_1' (\hat{\xi}_r + ik \hat{\xi}_z).$$

Consequently, the quantities A_1 and C should be modified to

$$A_1 = \left(\gamma_3 \frac{p}{r} - \gamma_1 \frac{p}{r} - 2 \frac{B^2}{r} \right) \left(\rho \omega^2 - \frac{m^2 B^2}{r^2} \right) - (\gamma_1 p + B^2) \left(\rho' \omega^2 - 2 \frac{m^2 B B'}{r^2} + 2 \frac{m^2 B^2}{r^3} \right),$$

$$C = (\gamma_1 p + B^2) \left(-\rho \omega^2 2\gamma_3 \frac{p}{r^2} - \gamma_3' \frac{p}{r} - 2 \frac{B B'}{r} + \frac{m^2 B^2}{r^2} \right) - \left(\gamma_3 \frac{p}{r} + p' + B B' \right) \left(-\gamma_1 \frac{p}{r} + \gamma_3 \frac{p}{r} - 2 \frac{B^2}{r} \right).$$

These changes do not lead to any modifications in the analysis of the $m = 0$ mode, since then $\gamma_3' = 0$ and $\gamma_1' = 0$. For the $m = 1$ mode, however, the analysis

does have to be modified. First of all, the boundary-layer equation becomes somewhat different. It now reads

$$\xi_z'' + \frac{1}{\eta} \xi_z' + \left(g_0 - \frac{1}{\eta^2} \right) \xi_z = 0,$$

the regular solution of which is $\xi_z = J_1(g_0^{1/2} \eta)$, $g_0 = g(0)$.

For the constant-current-density case there is only one turning point if $g_0 < 0$ ($\text{Im}(\omega) > \omega_A$), and no turning point for $g_0 > 0$ ($\text{Im}(\omega) < \omega_A$). In the limit of large k the turning point moves towards the boundary, meaning that the mode becomes more and more localized at the boundary. The growth rate in this limit becomes $\text{Im}(\omega) = 3^{1/2} \omega_A$, i.e. the same as for perpendicular MHD.

For the Bennett profile we now find only one turning point $r_t(\omega)$ (previously two turning points were found), so that the mode is localized at $r < r_t(\omega)$. The dispersion relation (31) should then be replaced by

$$k \int_0^{r_t(\omega)} g^{1/2} dr = n\pi.$$

In the limit of large k , $r_t(\omega) \rightarrow 0$, meaning that the mode becomes localized at the axis. In this limit the growth rate can be found by solving $g(0) = 0$, giving $\text{Im}(\omega) \approx 1.4\omega_A$, which should be compared with the growth rate found from perpendicular MHD, $\text{Im}(\omega_{\text{MHD}}) \approx 2.4\omega_A$. The drift-kinetic model thus gives, for the Bennett profile, a reduction in growth rate, but the reduction is not as large as was reported earlier.