# International Journal of Microwave and Wireless Technologies

cambridge.org/mrf

## **Research Paper**

**Cite this article:** Golait M, Gaikwad M, Patil BH, Varun Yadav M, Baudha S, Kumar Bramhane L (2023). Design of a flower shaped compact printed antenna for ultra-wideband communication. *International Journal of Microwave and Wireless Technologies* **15**, 1172–1178. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1759078722001106

Received: 10 September 2021 Revised: 16 September 2022 Accepted: 16 September 2022

#### Key words:

Planar antenna; antenna design; modelling and measurements; electromagnetic compatibility

Author for correspondence: Lokesh Kumar Bramhane, E-mail: lokesh.bramhane@nitgoa.ac.in

© The Author(s), 2022. Published by Cambridge University Press in association with the European Microwave Association



# Design of a flower shaped compact printed antenna for ultra-wideband communication

Manohar Golait<sup>1</sup>, Mahendra Gaikwad<sup>2</sup>, Balasaheb H. Patil<sup>3</sup>, Manish Varun Yadav<sup>4</sup>, Sudeep Baudha<sup>5</sup> and Lokesh Kumar Bramhane<sup>6</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Electronics Engineering, School of Engineering and Technology, G H Raisoni University, Saikheda, Madhya Pradesh, India; <sup>2</sup>Electronics and Telecommunication, G. H. Raisoni College of Engineering, Nagpur, India; <sup>3</sup>Vidya Pratishthan's Kamalnayan Bajaj of Institute of Engineering & Technology, MIDC Baramati, Pune, Maharashtra, India; <sup>4</sup>Department of Aeronautical and Automobile Engineering, Manipal Institute of Technology, Manipal Academy of Higher Education, Manipal, Karnataka, 576104 India; <sup>5</sup>Electrical & Electronics Engineering Department, BITS Pilani, K K Birla Goa Campus, Goa, India and <sup>6</sup>Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering, National Institute of Technology, Goa, India

## Abstract

In this communication, a compact flower shaped printed antenna for ultra-wideband communication is proposed. The "flower-shaped" structure is capable of transmitting UWB-band signals. The designed antenna exhibits a return loss ranging from 2.3 to 11 GHz with an 8.7 GHz central frequency and has 130% relative bandwidth. The suggested design includes a patch in the shape of a flower with several slots fed by a microstrip line. Multiple slots have been designed for better resonances at lower modes. The antenna is constructed with an FR4 substrate, and a 50  $\Omega$  A-type connector feeds it. The optimum dimensions of the designed antenna are  $12 \times 16 \times 1.6$  cubic-millimeters and  $0.092\lambda \times .12\lambda \times 0.012\lambda$  in lambda. The proposed structure also demonstrates stable radiation patterns across the operating bandwidth. The proposed radiator has a high gain of 2.67 dBi, and an efficiency of 85%. It is compact, lightweight, and easy to make. Therefore, it can be used for UWB applications.

## Introduction

Microstrip antennas have become a necessity in today's times, owing to their small size and ease of manufacturing. Many different designs are made to increase the radiator electrical path so that the signal strength of the antenna is good. This widespread usage has demanded the development of suitable antennas to be used in the UWB systems. Various designs have been presented for the ultra-wideband, such as curved slot, printed circular ring, triangularshaped, circular, rectangular, "U", and "L" shaped and printed semi-circular slots [1-6]. The antenna called as a defective ground structure by making slots in the ground plane, resonates with lower oscillations is reported [7]. Two resonant bands are obtained by trims slots in the structure, rectangular patch, and attaching circles in the backplane [8, 9]. UWB spectrum is reported by adding a corrugated structure and by adding a half-curved element slot in the radiator [9, 10]. Small-fractal elements are added to the structure, and multiple slots are also introduced to enhance the bandwidth [11, 12]. A unique method is used to the truncated backplane with an extended patch for improved performance as reported [13]. Linear polarization pattern has been achieved; by extending the circular patch with PGP (partial ground plane) and cutting a rectangular element [14, 15]. UWB spectrum applications have been reported due to; trimming semi-circular shape slots in the ground plane, making a modified patch element with the defected backplane [16, 17]. Planar radiator with a unique shape like "Dumbbell Shaped" planar antenna and a flexible radiator are reported [18, 19]. Increasing the signal path by making a rectangular strip and slot in defective backplane for stable pattern is reported [20]. Balanced radiation pattern has been achieved; by a modified patch with PGP backplane and properly cutting a circular slots on the backplane in planar antenna [21, 22]. Higher frequencies were achieved by adding rectangular plates at the edges as reported [23]. High gain is achieved by designing Slotted circular fractal antenna [24]. Large impedance is reported by cutting wide-slot cone from front-side antenna [25]. The lower band starts resonating by making L-shape strip as reported [26]. The main objectives of the presented antenna are useful for the ultra-wideband applications, which exhibits a return loss from 2.3 to 11 GHz with an 8.7 GHz central frequency and has 130% impedance bandwidth. The peak gain is achieved at 9.8 GHz with a value of 2.67 dBi and the peak radiation efficiency is observed at 9.2 GHz with a value of 0.851 or efficiency of 85%. Proposed design antenna configuration, four stages of development, and their return loss (magnitude of  $S_{11}$ ) of the "flower-shaped" antenna and variation in radiation loss  $(S_{11})$  of the antenna (A) "a" parameter, (B) "b" parameter, (C) "c" parameter with various simulated and measured results are discussed in other sections.





Fig. 1. The optimum dimension and configuration of the proposed "Flower-shaped" antenna.

#### **Design principle and structure**

Figure 1 shows the "flower-shaped" structure's configuration with labeled parameters. The optimum size of the designed structure is 12 mm × 16 mm × 1.6 mm. FR4 substrate is used to etch out structure with a (4.3) dielectric constant, and (0.025) loss tangent. The FR4's upper layer is copper (annealed) with a conductivity of  $5.8 \times 10^7$  S/m. The relative bandwidth [27] of the designed structure is 130%, with a central frequency of 8.7 GHz (2.3 to 11 GHz). The "flower-shaped" structure's length is represented by "j" and width by "k". "c" is the thickness of the FR4. The design of the patch is a flower-shaped structure developed from a simple circular patch antenna.

To develop a flower shaped structure, firstly, the circular shape of a simple patch antenna is converted to a flower frame by etching out a circular shape from inside. "a" represents the diameter of the circular shape. The properly etched out and reduced ground makes a partial ground-plane (PGP) and is denoted by "dxg". One rectangular slot of length "b" and width "g" is cut into it. This rectangular slot is properly adjusted on both sides of the rectangular elements of the ground plane, which helps to match the impedance in the desired band. The design is further developed by creating a flower shaped geometry inside the frame. In addition, several circular slots with diameters of "b" and "c" are etched inside the main patch. Then the slots with the shape of a "d" were added in the center of the main patch, these slots helped the structure to resonate at lower frequencies.

This flower shaped structure is fed by 50  $\Omega$  microstrip line with a width of "b" and length of "e". All the other dimensions with their optimum dimensions are shown in Fig. 1.

#### **Development of antenna**

Figure 2(a) shows the 04-stage development of the designed radiator. The "flower-shaped" antenna development was done by using Computer Simulation Technology (CST) software.

Figure 2(b) shows the reflection coefficient (magnitude of  $S_{11}$ ) of the suggested antenna. Stage-01 shows a simple circular patch with a fixed ground plane. A circular element with a diameter of 11 mm is being fed through a "2 mm × 2.59 mm" microstrip line. The length of the backplane is  $8 \times 16 \text{ mm}^2$ , producing dual-band resonances from 3.7 to 5.4 GHz and 8.2 to 8.6 GHz. Stage 02 shows the revision of the front patch. This is done by turning the circular patch into a flower frame of diameter 3 mm by cutting circular grooves from the inside of the upper circular patch. Due to this, the triple band is resonating from 3.2 to 4.6 GHz, 5.7 to 8.2 GHz and 9.5 to 11 GHz. Stage 03 shows modifications done in the ground plane. A plane is reduced from 8 mm to 1 part. This helped reduce the lower and upper bands of the operating frequency range from 2.6 to mm and a slot is cut out in the partial ground patch, of width 2 mm and length 1 mm, at the lower 9.2 GHz.

Stage 04 is the proposed structure. The development is finalized by making multiple slots in the main patch. Two circular slots "b" and "c" are cut into the plane with diameter of 2 mm and "1.5mm". There is an additional cut out of 5 mm in the geometry. These slots are in the main patch and help extend the lower and higher limits of the operating range to more than 8.7 GHz (2.3 to 11 GHz).



**Fig. 2.** (a) Four stages of development, (b) The simulated return loss (magnitude of  $S_{11}$ ) of the "flower-shaped" antenna.



0

**Fig. 3.** Simulated variation in radiation loss  $(S_{11})$  of the antenna (a) "a" parameter, (b) "b" parameter, (c) "c" parameter.



**Fig. 4.** (a) Testing setup in an anechoic chamber, (b) simulated and measured reflection coefficient  $(S_{11})$ .

#### Parameter study of antenna

We have conducted a parametric study to optimize the parameters that could affect the  $(S_{11})$  results during the design of the radiator. We have discussed the 03-parameter variations and optimized the design on this basis taking into account their effect on the reflection coefficient (magnitude of  $S_{11}$ ) results.



11



Fig. 5. (a) Simulated and measured input impedance curve, (b) Gain and radiation efficiency of the "Flower-shaped" antenna.

0

(i)     Oreal volume (int)     Frequency for the (i)     Paysical size L <sub>m</sub> × W <sub>m</sub> (int)     Band obtained (6Hz)     Frequency (6H)     Resk frediency (%)     Pake fred		-	-	-					
(1)     0.284×0.024×0.016i     31     0.48     31 to 222     110 <th>Ref.</th> <th>Overall volume (in <math>\lambda</math>)</th> <th>Frequency for the <math>(\lambda)</math></th> <th>Physical size L<sub>sub</sub> × W<sub>sub</sub> (mm<sup>2</sup>)</th> <th>Band obtained (GHz)</th> <th>Fractional bandwidth (%)</th> <th>Peak gain (dBi)</th> <th>Peak efficiency (%)</th> <th>Polarization</th>	Ref.	Overall volume (in $\lambda$ )	Frequency for the $(\lambda)$	Physical size L <sub>sub</sub> × W <sub>sub</sub> (mm <sup>2</sup> )	Band obtained (GHz)	Fractional bandwidth (%)	Peak gain (dBi)	Peak efficiency (%)	Polarization
(j)     0.33.× 0.36.     (a)     (b)     (b) </td <td>[2]</td> <td>0.281 × 0.251 × 0.0161</td> <td>3.1</td> <td>648</td> <td>3.1 to 22.2</td> <td>150</td> <td>1.7</td> <td>NA</td> <td>Monopole</td>	[2]	0.281 × 0.251 × 0.0161	3.1	648	3.1 to 22.2	150	1.7	NA	Monopole
(a)     (b)     (b) <td>[<mark>2</mark>]</td> <td><math>0.33\lambda \times 0.36\lambda \times 0.01\lambda</math></td> <td>2</td> <td>2750</td> <td>2 to 6.8</td> <td>109</td> <td>4.8</td> <td>NA</td> <td>Monopole</td>	[ <mark>2</mark> ]	$0.33\lambda \times 0.36\lambda \times 0.01\lambda$	2	2750	2 to 6.8	109	4.8	NA	Monopole
(3)     0331×0344×0013     26     150     26 to 123     160     367     N4     Monoble       (1)     0124×0164×00124     24     31     24     13     31     160     35     76%     Monoble       (1)     0264×0264×00154     31     210     24     11     100     35     76%     Monoble       (1)     0264×0264×00154     31     210     31     1016     13     29     16%     Monoble       (1)     0554×0144×0024     31     210     217     11     12     13     73%     Monoble       (1)     0184×0144×0154     25     25     25     25     13	[28]	$0.22\lambda \times 0.24\lambda \times 0.016\lambda$	ĸ	541	3 to 11.2	115	5.4	NA	Monopole
(j)     0.123 × 0.164 × 0.0124     24     310     24 to 11     130     35     76%     Monople       (1)     0.265 × 0.054 × 0.0154     31     625     31 to 10.6     109     32     91%     Monople       (14)     0.265 × 0.0154     31     2120     31 to 10.6     109     32     91%     Monople       (14)     0.554 × 0.0144     29     875     29 to 16.3     31 to 10.6     32     87%     Monople       (17)     0.134 × 0.0144     27     29     139     23     23.6%     Monople       (17)     0.134 × 0.0144     279     286     266 0.129     31     32     23.6%     Monople       (20)     0.124 × 0.0144     274     285     256 0.129     27.9     27.9%     Monople       (21)     0.134 × 0.0143     274     285     256 0.123     27.9%     Monople       (22)     0.444 × 0.0143     274     73.6%     27.9%     Monople       (24)     0.324 × 0.214 × 0.0144     27.3     27.6	[29]	$0.33\lambda\times0.34\lambda\times0.013\lambda$	2.6	1560	2.6 to12.3	130	3.67	NA	Monopole
(1)     0.263, 0.264, c.0134     31     0.106     32     0.91%     Monople       (4)     0.553, c.0.41 × 0.021     31     2120     31 to 106     32     0.91%     Monople       (14)     0.553, c.0.41 × 0.021     31     2120     31 to 106     32     60%     Monople       (16)     0.334, c.0.44     20     875     2100     2120     31 to 106     32     60%     Monople       (17)     0.334, c.0.44     20     25     25 to 12     214 to 13     21     23     23     23     24     Monople       (20)     0.124, c.0.14     2.5     2.55     2.56 to 12.2     2.14     2.13     2.35%     2.36%     Monople       (21)     0.124, c.0.14     2.14     7.12     2.55     2.56 to 12.2     2.14     2.13     2.14     2.13     2.14%     2.13     2.14%     2.13     2.14%     2.14%     2.14%     2.14%     2.14%     2.14%     2.14%     2.14%     2.14%     2.14%     2.14%     2.14%	6	$0.12\lambda\times0.16\lambda\times0.012\lambda$	2.4	300	2.4 to 11	130	3.5	76%	Monopole
(4)     0554 × 0414 × 0022     31     1200     31 × 016     109     2     60%     Monopol       (16)     0334 × 0244 × 0014     29     81%     29 × 016     139     52     87%     Monopol       (17)     0184 × 0144     27     400     27 × 011     121     33     73.5%     Monopol       (20)     0124 × 0134     25     25 × 012     131     33     73.5%     Monopol       (20)     0124 × 0134     25     285     25 × 012     131     35     73.5%     Monopol       (21)     0124 × 0144     214     739     260     740     73     73.5%     Monopol       (24)     0234 × 0143     214     73     214     73     73     73     73     73     73     74	[11]	$0.26\lambda \times 0.26\lambda \times 0.015\lambda$	3.1	625	3.1 to10.6	109	3.2	91%	Monopole
(6)     033.×0.24.×0.014     29     875     29 to 16.3     139     5.2     87%     Monopole       (17)     0.18.×0.18.×0.014     2.7     10     3.5     73.5%     Monopole       (20)     0.12.×0.15.×0.013.     2.5     2.5 to 12.2     131     3.5     73.5%     Monopole       (21)     0.12.×0.13.×0.13.     2.5     2.5 to 12.2     131     3.5     73.5%     Monopole       (22)     0.13.×0.14.×0.15.     2.85     2.5 to 12.2     131     2.7     73.5%     Monopole       (24)     0.32.×0.014.     2.7     2.85     2.74 to 7.3     91     2.7     Monopole       (24)     0.32.×0.014.     2.7     3.60     2.74 to 7.3     17     Monopole       (24)     0.32.×0.014.     2.7     2.7     Monopole     17     12	[14]	$0.55\lambda\times0.41\lambda\times0.022\lambda$	3.1	2120	3.1 to 10.6	109	2	60%	Monopole
[1]     0.18.4 × 0.18.4 × 0.014i     2.7     400     2.7 to 11     121     3.3     78.%     Monoble       [20]     0.12.4 × 0.13.4 × 0.014i     2.5     2.85     2.5 to 12.2     131     3.5     7.8%     Monoble       [21]     0.12.4 × 0.13.4 × 0.13.4     2.85     2.85     2.85 to 1.79     131     3.5     7.5%     Monoble       [23]     0.13.4 × 0.14.4     2.85     2.85     2.85 to 1.79     122     2.79     7.9%     Monoble       [24]     0.32.4 × 0.014.4     2.74     7.92     2.74 to 7.33     91     2.79     7.9%     Monoble       [25]     0.44.4 × 0.414.5     2.74     2.74 to 7.33     91     2.79     7.9%     Monoble       [26]     0.24.4 × 0.414     2.3     120     2.74 to 7.33     91     2.79     7.9%     Monoble       [26]     0.24.4 × 0.414     2.3     120     2.14     7.3%     120     129     129     120     120     120     120     120     120%     120%     120% <	[16]	$0.33\lambda \times 0.24\lambda \times 0.014\lambda$	2.9	875	2.9 to 16.3	139	5.2	87%	Monopole
[20]     0.123 × 0.134 × 0.0134     25     25     0.122     131     3.5     73.5%     Monople       [21]     0.184 × 0.144 × 0.154     2.85     2.85     2.85     2.85     1.79     2.79     72%     Monople       [24]     0.324 × 0.0144     2.74     7.79     2.74     7.73     91     2.79     7.9%     Monople       [25]     0.444 × 0.0144     2.2     360     2.24 to 7.33     91     2.5     NA     Monople       [26]     0.24 × 0.0144     2.3     120     2.3 to 10.8     129     129     7.9     Monople       [30]     0.154 × 0.0144     2.3     1200     2.3 to 10.8     129     129     7.9     Monople       [30]     0.154 × 0.164 × 0.014     2.52     120     129     2.1     7.0     Monople       [31]     0.264 × 0.014     2.3     130     129     2.1     129     129     129     129     129     120     120     120     120     120     120     120 <td>[17]</td> <td><math display="block">0.18\lambda\times0.18\lambda\times0.014\lambda</math></td> <td>2.7</td> <td>400</td> <td>2.7 to 11</td> <td>121</td> <td>3.3</td> <td>79.8%</td> <td>Monopole</td>	[17]	$0.18\lambda\times0.18\lambda\times0.014\lambda$	2.7	400	2.7 to 11	121	3.3	79.8%	Monopole
[2]   0.181 × 0.141 × 0.151   2.85   2.85 to 1.170   12   2.79   70%   Monopole     [24]   0.324 × 0.0144   2.74   792   2.74 to 7.33   91   2.5   NA   Monopole     [25]   0.444 × 0.0144   2.2   3600   2.2 to 30   172   NA   NA   NA   Monopole     [26]   0.24 × 0.0144   2.3   1200   2.3 to 10.8   172   NA   NA   Monopole     [30]   0.154 × 0.0143   2.3   1200   2.3 to 10.8   129   2.1   70%   Monopole     [31]   0.154 × 0.014   2.52   130   126   126   12   70%   Monopole     [31]   0.154 × 0.164 × 0.011   2.52   130   126   126   NA   70%   Monopole     [31]   0.564 × 0.324 × 0.0124   2.3   132   2.3 to 13.1   126   126   NA   Monopole     [31]   0.564 × 0.324 × 0.0124   2.3   132   2.3 to 13.1   126   126   126   126   126   126   126   126   126	[20]	$0.12\lambda\times0.15\lambda\times0.013\lambda$	2.5	285	2.5 to 12.2	131	3.5	73.5%	Monopole
(24)     0.321×0.014/     2.74     732     274 to 733     91     2.5     NA     Monopole       (25)     0.441×0.0141     2.2     3600     2.2 to 30     172     NA     NA     Monopole       (26)     0.24×0.0141     2.3     1200     2.3 to 10.8     172     NA     NA     Monopole       (30)     0.154×0.0142     2.3     1200     2.3 to 10.8     129     2.1     70%     Monopole       (31)     0.154×0.0124     2.52     120     126     2.16     NA     Monopole       (31)     0.264×0.304×0.0124     2.3     1329     2.3 to 13.6     126     126     NA     Monopole       (31)     0.264×0.304×0.0124     2.3     1329     2.3 to 13.6     126     NA     Monopole       (31)     0.264×0.304×0.0124     2.3     1329     2.3 to 13.6     126     NA     Monopole       (31)     0.264×0.304×0.0124     2.3     136     126     126     NA     Monopole	[22]	$0.18\lambda\times0.14\lambda\times0.15\lambda$	2.85	285	2.85 to 11.79	122	2.79	72%	Monopole
[25]     0.44.x 0.014.i     2.2     3600     2.2 to 30     172     NA     NA     NA     Monopole       [26]     0.2.4 x 0.014.i     2.3     1200     2.3 to 10.8     129     2.1     70%     Monopole       [30]     0.15 x 0.014.i     2.52     5.28     2.52 to 11.12     126     71     70%     Monopole       [31]     0.26 x 0.30 x 0.012.i     2.3     1329     2.3 to 13.6     126     5.03     80%     Monopole       Presented     0.092 x 0.012.i     2.3     1329     2.3 to 13.6     142     5.03     80%     Monopole	[24]	$0.32\lambda \times 0.2\lambda \times 0.014\lambda$	2.74	792	2.74 to 7.33	91	2.5	NA	Monopole
[26]     0.21 × 0.34 × 0.014i     2.3     1200     2.3 to 10.8     129     2.1     70%     Monopole       [30]     0.154 × 0.014i     2.52     528     2.52 to 11.12     126     16     Ma     Monopole       [31]     0.264 × 0.304 × 0.0124     2.3     1329     2.3 to 13.6     142     5.03     80%     Monopole       Presented     0.0924 × 0.124 × 0.0124     2.3     132     2.3 to 13.6     142     5.03     80%     Monopole       Monopole     2.3 to 13.6     2.3 to 13.6     132     2.40     130     2.67     85%     Monopole	[25]	$0.44\lambda \times 0.44\lambda \times 0.014\lambda$	2.2	3600	2.2 to 30	172	NA	NA	Monopole
(30)     0.153 × 0.163 × 0.014     2.52     5.28     2.52 to 11.12     126     NA     Monopole       (31)     0.263 × 0.0123     2.3     1329     2.3 to 13.6     142     5.03     80%     Monopole       Presented     0.0923 × 0.123 × 0.0123     2.3     132     2.3 to 13.6     142     5.03     80%     Monopole       Bold text in table associated with the proposed one while rest are the previously proposed changes.     130     130     2.67     85%     Monopole	[26]	$0.2\lambda  imes 0.3\lambda  imes 0.014\lambda$	2.3	1200	2.3 to 10.8	129	2.1	70%	Monopole
[31]     0.263 × 0.0123     2.3     1329     2.3 to 13.6     142     5.03     80%     Monopole       Presented     0.0923 × 0.123 × 0.0123     2.3     192     2.3 to 11     130     2.67     85%     Monopole       Bold text in table associated with the proposed one while rest are the previously proposed changes.     2.3 to 11     130     2.67     85%     Monopole	[30]	$0.15\lambda \times 0.16\lambda \times 0.01\lambda$	2.52	528	2.52 to 11.12	126	2.16	NA	Monopole
Presented     0.092/t × 0.12/x × 0.012/t     2.3     192     2.3 to 11     130     2.67     85%     Monopole       Bold text in table associated with the proposed one while rest are the previously proposed changes.     2.3 to 11     130     2.67     85%     Monopole	[31]	$0.26\lambda \times 0.30\lambda \times 0.012\lambda$	2.3	1329	2.3 to 13.6	142	5.03	80%	Monopole
Bold text in table associated with the proposed one while rest are the previously proposed changes.	Presented	0.0921 × 0.121× 0.0121	2.3	192	2.3 to 11	130	2.67	85%	Monopole
	Bold text in tab	ole associated with the proposed	d one while rest are the previc	ously proposed changes.					



Fig. 6. Simulated and measured radiation pattern of "Flower-shaped" antenna.



Fig. 7. Simulated 3-D surface current distribution of "Flower- shaped" antenna.

we conclude that the optimized result (reflection coefficient) is obtained when the diameter is fixed at 3 mm.

Figure 3(b) shows the variation of the reflectance coefficient curves with variation in "b", varying from 1 to 3 mm. "b" is the

diameter of the upper circular slot. When it's at 1 mm, the results are only helpful from 3.2 to 9.2 GHz. If the size is 3 mm, the results are better but still not usable across the range. Thus optimized results are obtained at 2 mm.

Figure 3(c) shows the variation of reflection coefficient curves with "c" parameter. "c" represents the diameter of remaining circular slots. "c" ranges from 1 to 2 mm. By changing the dimensions of the diameter slots cut into it. The size of "c" is kept at 1 mm; the radiator is helpful for dual bandwidth from 2.7 to 5 GHz and from 7 to 9 GHz. The size of "c" is increased, observed bandwidth of 2.7 to 9.2 GHz at 2 mm. mainly, in this case, impedance mismatching is visible at higher frequencies. Thus the optimized results are seen at 1.5 mm.

#### Results

The presented structure is a low-profile UWB antenna. A modified patch antenna with a PGP plane of optimum length operates in the range from 2.3 to over 11 GHz. A unique "flower" shaped design in patches with multiple slot elements helped to further enhance the upper and lower bound. Multiple circular slots in the main patch along the PGP plane help to match the impedance across the working band.

Figure 4(a) shows the "flower-shaped" (stage-04) antenna measurement setup in an anechoic chamber. The "flower-shaped" antenna testing and measurement are conducted with (VNA) and a 50 $\Omega$  (SubMiniature) A-type connector attached to a microstrip line. Figure 4(b) shows the coefficient of reflection (S<sub>11</sub>) curve. Both simulated and measured curves are in good agreement, except for a slight resonance shift at the mid frequencies.

Figure 5(a) shows the simulated and measured real i/p and imaginary i/p impedance of the structure. As one can see from the above figure, the real part of the antenna hovers around 50 ohms in the operating range, i.e., between 2.3 and 11 GHz. The real part of the impedance varies from 35 to 70 ohms, the imaginary part of the impedance varies from -30 to 10 ohms. It shows us that the impedance can behave as a capacitive impedance for some frequencies. The imaginary part is negative and can act as capacitive impedance for other frequencies where the imaginary part is positive. There is also a mismatch of impedance at the frequencies where the antenna doesn't have the required return loss. Both simulated and measured curves are in good agreement.

Figure 5(b) shows the variation of the simulated and measured antenna efficiency and gain curve. Peak gain is achieved at 9.8 GHz with a value of 2.67 dBi and the peak radiation efficiency is observed at 9.2 GHz with a value of 0.851 or efficiency of 85%. Both simulated and measured curves are in good agreement. As visible from the graph, the antenna efficiency gradually decreases while the frequency increases as the ohmic loss increase at higher frequencies.

Table 1 shows an outcome comparison between the "flowershape" structure and previously published printed antennas with respect to various parameters by comparing all parameters. According to the table, our presented antenna is suitable for ultrawideband. The proposed structure aims to design a compact-sized printed antenna, which is easy to printed and capable of transmitting UWB signals from (2.3–11 GHz).

Figure 6 show the Co-pol., and Cross(X)-Pol. Radiation patterns at 03 different frequencies (4, 6 and 9.2 GHz). The radiation pattern is defined as H-plane by  $\Phi = 0$ , X-Z plane, and E-plane by  $\Phi = 90$ , Y-Z plane. Stable Omnidirectional H-plane & Bi-directional E-plane radiations patterns are obtained at 03 different frequencies, 4/6/9.2 GHz. At all frequencies, the antenna is very efficient, and therefore the radiation patterns are stable.

Figure 7 shows the 3D-vector current distribution at two different frequencies (4 and 9.2 GHz). Figures 7(a) and 7(c) shows

the front view, and Figs 7(b) and 7(c) shows the backplane of the structure. We can replace the antenna with the equivalent surface currents on its body, and the resulting radiated field will be similar to the one radiated by the antenna. Therefore, the surface current can help us see the radiation without the help of the primary source of current.

#### Conclusion

A compact flower shaped printed antenna for the ultra-wideband spectrum is proposed. The suggested "flower-shaped" structure is measured and investigated. The optimum dimensions of the designed antenna structure are  $12 \times 16 \times 1.6$  mm<sup>3</sup>. The structure shows excellent stable radiation pattern. The 3D-vector surface current distribution shows good signal strength. The 3D-vector surface current distribution shows good signal strength. The peak gain is observed to be 2.67 dB and its antenna efficiency to be 85%. The relative bandwidth of the proposed structure is 130%, with a central frequency of 8.7 GHz (2.3 to 11 GHz). It is compact, low signal distortion, and suitable impedance matching over the UWB frequency. It can also be used for the (Wi-Fi-2.4 GHz), (WiMAX-2.5/3.5/5.5 GHz), (WLAN-2.5/5.2/5.8 GHz) and satellite communication at 4/6 GHz, among other UWB communications.

#### References

- Mishra B, Verma RK, Yashwanth N and Singh RK (2021) A review on microstrip patch antenna parameters of different geometry and bandwidth enhancement techniques. *International Journal of Microwave and Wireless Technologies* 14, 652–673.
- Li G, Zhai H, Li T, Li L and Liang C (2012) A compact antenna with broad bandwidth and quad-sense circular polarization. *IEEE Antennas* and Wireless Propagation Letters 11, 791–794.
- Yash B, Yadav MV and Baudha S (2020) A compact mace shaped ground plane modified circular patch antenna for ultra-wideband applications. *Telecommunications and Radio Engineering* 79, 363–381.
- Khidre A, Lee KF, Elsherbeni AZ and Yang F (2013) Wide band dualbeam U-slot microstrip antenna. *IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation* 61, 1415–1418.
- Vishwakarma DK and Baudha S (2016) Bandwidth enhancement of a planar monopole microstrip patch antenna. *International Journal of Microwave and Wireless Technologies* 8, 237–242.
- Srivastava I, Baudha S and Yadav MV (2020) A Novel Approach for Compact Antenna with Parasitic Elements Aimed at Ultra-Wideband Applications. In 2020 14th European Conference on Antennas and Propagation (EuCAP) IEEE, 14(4), pp. 1–5.
- Chakraborty M, Rana B, Sarkar PP and Das A (2012) Design and analysis of a compact rectangular microstrip antenna with slots using defective ground structure. *Procedia Technology* 4, 411–416.
- Ghosh A, Ghosh SK, Ghosh D and Chattopadhyay S (2016) Improved polarization purity for circular microstrip antenna with defected patch surface. International Journal of Microwave and Wireless Technologies 8, 89–94.
- Baudha S and Yadav MV (2019) A compact ultra-wide band planar antenna with corrugated ladder ground plane for multiple applications. *Microwave and Optical Technology Letters* 61, 1341–1348.
- Abdelraheem AM and Abdalla MA (2016) Compact curved half circular disc-monopole UWB antenna. *International Journal of Microwave and Wireless Technologies* 8, 283–290.
- Fallahi H and Atlasbaf Z (2015) Bandwidth enhancement of a CPW-fed monopole antenna with small fractal elements. *AEU-International Journal* of Electronics and Communications 69, 590–595.
- Awad NM and Abdelazeez MK (2018) Multislot microstrip antenna for ultra-wide band applications. *Journal of King Saud University-Engineering Sciences* 30, 38–45.

- Basak A, Manocha M, Baudha S and Yadav MV (2020) A compact planar antenna with extended patch and truncated ground plane for ultra wide band application. *Microwave and Optical Technology Letters* 62, 200–209.
- Yadav MV and Baudha S (2021) A miniaturized printed antenna with extended circular patch and partial ground plane for UWB applications. *Wireless Personal Communications* 116, 311–323.
- 15. Deshmukh AA, Singh D, Zaveri P, Gala M and Ray KP (2016) Broadband slot cut rectangular microstrip antenna. *Procedia Computer Science* 93, 53–59.
- Hota S and Mangaraj BB (2019) Miniaturized planar ultra-wideband patch antenna with semi-circular slot partial ground plane. In 2019 IEEE Indian Conference on Antennas and Propogation (InCAP). IEEE, pp. 1–4.
- Baudha S and Yadav MV (2019) A novel design of a planar antenna with modified patch and defective ground plane for ultra-wideband applications. *Microwave and Optical Technology Letters* 61, 1320–1327.
- Garg H and Baudha S (2019) Dumbbell shaped microstrip broadband antenna. Journal of Microwaves, Optoelectronics and Electromagnetic Applications 18, 33-42.
- 19. Lakshmanan R and Sukumaran SK (2016) Flexible ultra wide band antenna for WBAN applications. *Procedia Technology* 24, 880–887.
- Mangaraj BB, Hota S and Varun Yadav M (2020) A novel compact planar antenna for ultra-wideband application. *Journal of Electromagnetic Waves and Applications* 34, 116–128.
- 21. Hota S and Mangaraj BB (2019) A compact, ultrawide band planar antenna with modified circular patch and a defective ground plane for multiple applications. *Microwave and Optical Technology Letters* **61**, 2088–2097.
- 22. Golait M, Varun Yadav M, Patil BH, Baudha S and Bramhane LK (2021) A compact ultra-wideband square and circular slot ground plane planar antenna with a modified circular patch. *International Journal of Microwave and Wireless Technologies* 14, 989–994.
- Mazinani SM and Hassani HR (2009) A novel broadband plate-loaded planar monopole antenna. *IEEE Antennas and Wireless Propagation Letters* 8, 1123–1126.
- Samyuktha KR, Das TK, Mishra DP and Behera SK (2020) Design of a Modified Slotted Monopole Fractal Antenna for Wideband Applications. In 2020 IEEE International Students' Conference on Electrical, Electronics and Computer Science (SCEECS). IEEE, pp. 1–4.
- 25. Cheng S, Hallbjorner P and Rydberg A (2008) Printed slot planar inverted cone antenna for ultrawideband applications. *IEEE Antennas and Wireless Propagation Letters* 7, 18–21.
- 26. Kim GH and Yun TY (2013) Compact ultrawideband monopole antenna with an inverted-L-shaped coupled strip. *IEEE Antennas and Wireless Propagation Letters* 12, 1291–1294.
- 27. Wu DW, Wang XM, Xie YJ and Niu LQ (2016) A novel hybrid loaded Vivaldi antenna with broadened relative bandwidth. *Microwave and Optical Technology Letters* 58, 2037–2041.
- Azim R, Islam MT and Misran N (2011) Compact tapered-shape slot antenna for UWB applications. *IEEE Antennas and Wireless Propagation Letters* 10, 1190–1193.
- 29. Azim R, Islam MT and Misran N (2013) Microstrip line-fed printed planar monopole antenna for UWB applications. *Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering* **38**, 2415–2422
- Nath V and Kumar M (2019) A compact flower-shaped printed monopole MIMO antenna for wideband applications. *Radio Science* 54, 963–974.
- Rani Patre S and Singh SP (2016) Study of microstrip line-fed flowershaped patch antenna providing enhanced bandwidth and radiation efficiency. *Microwave and Optical Technology Letters* 58, 2041–2046.



Manohar Golait obtained his B.E. degree in electronics engineering from Manoharbhai Patel Institute of Engineering and Technology, Gondia (India) in 2006 and received his M.Tech degree in electronics engineering from Bapurao Deshmukh College of Engineering, Sewagram (India) in 2016. He has been pursuing his Ph.D. degree from the Department of Electronics Engineering, G H Raisoni

University, Saikheda (India) since 2020. His field of interest are microstrip antenna, microwave engineering.



**Dr. Mahendra Gaikwad** is an professor & head, Department of Information Technology, G H Raisoni College of Engineering, Nagpur and project director of GHRC's Nano-Satellite Program having the primarily objective to develop & launch nanosatellite, he did his BE in electronics engineering. He did his M. Tech in communication engineering from Indian Institute of Technology (IIT), Bombay in 1998. He did his Ph.D. on "Network -on-chip

architecture using perfect difference network topology" from VNIT, Nagpur. He has published 112 research papers in various International and National Journals & Conferences. He has delivered more than 75+ expert lectures, keynote address in various International & National Level Conferences. He is also the recipient of prestigious National Award "Rashtriya Vidya Saraswati Purskar" for outstanding achievement in the field of engineering & technology. His research areas of interest are network-on-chip architecture, perfect difference network topology, signal processing, DIP & speech analysis and synthesis.



**Dr. Balasaheb H. Patil** obtained his bachelor's degree in electronics & telecommunication engineering from Saitribai Phule Pune University, Pune in year 2001. He obtained M.E. E&TC (microwave communication) in year 2010 from Saitribai Phule Pune University, Pune. He received Ph.D. E&TC in year 2020 from Saitribai Phule Pune University. His Ph.D. thesis is in the area of image inpainting in electronics & telecommunication engineering.



Manish Varun Yadav is an assistant professor in the Department of Aeronautical and Automobile Engineering, Manipal Institute of Technology, Manipal Academy of Higher Education, Manipal, Karnataka, he obtained his B.E. degree in electronics and communication engineering from Government Engineering College, Ujjain (India) in 2007 and obtained his M.E. degree in digital communication from Rajiv Gandhi

Proudyogiki Vishwavidyalaya, Bhopal (India) in 2011. Ph.D. degree from Department of Electrical & Electronics Engineering, Goa Campus, BITS, Pilani, (India) in 2022. His fields of interest are microstrip antenna, planar antenna. He is a life member of India Society of Technical Education (ISTE), and member of institute of electrical and electronics engineers (IEEE).



**Dr. Sudeep Baudha** is an assistant professor in the Department of Electrical and Electronics Engineering, BITS Pilani, K K Birla Goa Campus, India. He obtained his M. Tech degree in radio frequency and microwave engineering from Indian Institute of Technology, Kharagpur (India) in 2009 and Ph.D. degree from Indian Institute of Information Technology Design and Manufacturing (IIITDM), Jabalpur (India) in

2016. His fields of interest are microstrip antenna, planar antenna, microwave communication etc. He is also associated with several research journals as a reviewer. He is a member of institute of electrical and electronics engineers (IEEE).



**Dr. Lokesh Kumar Bramhane** is an assistant professor in the Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering, National Institute of Technology, Goa, India. He worked as an assistant professor in the electronics and telecommunication engineering department of G H Raisoni College of Engineering, Nagpur, (India). He obtained his B.E. degree in electronics and telecommunication engineering from the Shri Govindram Seksaria

Institute of Technology and Science, Indore, (India) 2007. He obtained his M-Tech degree in VLSI & embedded system design from NIT Bhopal in 2012 and Ph.D degree from PDPM Indian Institute of Information Technology Design and Manufacturing (IIITDM), Jabalpur, (India) in 2017. His fields of interests are semiconductor devices, biosensors, IC design, antenna design & fabrication and nanowires.