

ON THE DIVERGENCE OF HERMITE-FEJÉR TYPE
 INTERPOLATION WITH EQUIDISTANT NODES

T.M. MILLS AND SIMON J. SMITH

If $f(x)$ is defined on $[-1, 1]$, let $\bar{H}_{1n}(f, x)$ denote the Lagrange interpolation polynomial of degree n (or less) for f which agrees with f at the $n+1$ equally spaced points $x_{k,n} = -1 + (2k)/n$ ($0 \leq k \leq n$). A famous example due to S. Bernstein shows that even for the simple function $h(x) \equiv |x|$, the sequence $\bar{H}_{1n}(h, x)$ diverges as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for each x in $0 < |x| < 1$. A generalisation of Lagrange interpolation is the Hermite-Fejér interpolation polynomial $\bar{H}_{mn}(f, x)$, which is the unique polynomial of degree no greater than $m(n+1) - 1$ which satisfies $\bar{H}_{mn}^{(p)}(f, x_{k,n}) = \delta_{0,p} f(x_{k,n})$ ($0 \leq p \leq m-1, 0 \leq k \leq n$). In general terms, if m is an even number, the polynomials $\bar{H}_{mn}(f, x)$ seem to possess better convergence properties than the $\bar{H}_{1n}(f, x)$. Nevertheless, D.L. Berman was able to show that for $g(x) \equiv x$, the sequence $\bar{H}_{2n}(g, x)$ diverges as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for each x in $0 < |x| < 1$. In this paper we extend Berman's result by showing that for any even m , $\bar{H}_{mn}(g, x)$ diverges as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for each x in $0 < |x| < 1$. Further, we are able to obtain an estimate for the error $|\bar{H}_{mn}(g, x) - g(x)|$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Suppose $-1 \leq x_{0,n} < x_{1,n} < \dots < x_{n,n} \leq 1$ is an arbitrary system of interpolation nodes. (We shall often write $x_{k,n}$ as x_k .) Let $m \geq 1$ be an integer, and suppose f is a real-valued function defined on $[-1, 1]$. The $(0, 1, \dots, m-1)$ Hermite-Fejér (HF) interpolation polynomial $\bar{H}_{mn}(f, x)$ for f is the unique polynomial of degree at most $m(n+1) - 1$ which satisfies the $m(n+1)$ conditions

$$\begin{cases} \bar{H}_{mn}(f, x_k) = f(x_k) & (k = 0, 1, \dots, n), \\ \bar{H}_{mn}^{(p)}(f, x_k) = 0 & (p = 1, 2, \dots, m-1; k = 0, 1, \dots, n). \end{cases}$$

Note that $\bar{H}_{1n}(f, x)$ is the well-known Lagrange interpolation polynomial for $f(x)$. In 1914, Faber [4] showed that for any system of nodes, there exists a function $f(x)$, continuous on $[-1, 1]$, such that $\bar{H}_{1n}(f, x)$ does not converge uniformly to $f(x)$ on $[-1, 1]$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. On the other hand, Fejér [5] showed in 1916 that if

Received 22nd February, 1993.

Copyright Clearance Centre, Inc. Serial-fee code: 0004-9729/94 \$A2.00+0.00.

$x_k = -\cos(((2k + 1)\pi)/(2n + 2))$ (so the x_k are the zeros of the Chebyshev polynomial $T_{n+1}(x) = \cos((n + 1) \arccos x)$), and if f is continuous on $[-1, 1]$, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \overline{H}_{2n}(f, x) = f(x),$$

uniformly in $[-1, 1]$. Thus $(0, 1)$ HF interpolation seems to possess better convergence properties than Lagrange interpolation.

Fejér’s result has prompted many authors to study $(0, 1, \dots, m - 1)$ HF interpolation, particularly when the nodes of interpolation are the zeros of some orthogonal polynomials (such as the Chebyshev polynomials). Much less popular has been a study of $(0, 1, \dots, m - 1)$ HF interpolation based on the equidistant nodes

$$(1.1) \quad x_{k,n} = x_k = -1 + \frac{2k}{n} \quad (k = 0, 1, \dots, n).$$

One reason for the lack of attention paid to equidistant nodes is a result of Bernstein [2], who showed in 1918 that if $h(x) \equiv |x|$, and the x_k are given by (1.1), then the sequence $\overline{H}_{1n}(h, x)$ diverges as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for each x in $0 < |x| < 1$. Thus Lagrange interpolation on equidistant nodes diverges for a simple function such as $h(x)$. A quantitative version of Bernstein’s result was developed by Byrne, Mills and Smith [3], who showed that if $0 < |x| < 1$, then

$$(1.2) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log |\overline{H}_{1n}(h, x) - h(x)| = \frac{1}{2} [(1 + x) \log(1 + x) + (1 - x) \log(1 - x)].$$

(See also Li and Mohapatra [6].)

For $(0, 1)$ HF interpolation on the equidistant nodes (1.1), Berman [1] showed in 1958 that even for $g(x) \equiv x$, the sequence $\overline{H}_{2n}(g, x)$ diverges as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for each x in $0 < |x| < 1$. The only results of this type for $(0, 1, \dots, m - 1)$ HF interpolation ($m \geq 3$) that we have been able to locate in the literature are due to Mendelevič [7]. In particular, Mendelevič showed that if m is even, the $(0, 1, \dots, m - 1)$ HF interpolation process based on the equidistant nodes $y_{k,n} = k/n$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots, n$) diverges for the function

$$\Psi(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & (0 \leq x < 1/2), \\ x - 1/2 & (1/2 \leq x \leq 1), \end{cases}$$

on a set $E \subset [0, 1]$, where E has measure greater than 0.26.

In this paper we shall prove the following theorem that both generalises and quantifies Berman’s divergence result, and also provides a simpler example of divergence of $(0, 1, \dots, m - 1)$ HF interpolation on equidistant nodes for even m than Mendelevič’s example.

THEOREM 1. *Suppose $m \geq 2$ is even, and $g(x) \equiv x$. Then for each x in $0 < |x| < 1$, the $(0, 1, \dots, m - 1)$ Hermite-Fejér interpolation polynomials $\overline{H}_{mn}(g, x)$ based on the equidistant nodes*

$$x_{k,n} = x_k = -1 + \frac{2k}{n} \quad (k = 0, 1, \dots, n)$$

satisfy

(1.3)

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log |\overline{H}_{mn}(g, x) - g(x)| = \frac{m}{2} [(1 + x) \log(1 + x) + (1 - x) \log(1 - x)].$$

The proof of Theorem 1 will be presented in Section 3. We note that since ± 1 are interpolation nodes for all n , then $g(-1) = \overline{H}_{mn}(g, -1)$ and $g(1) = \overline{H}_{mn}(g, 1)$ for all n . Furthermore, since the equidistant nodes are distributed symmetrically about 0, then $\overline{H}_{mn}(f, x)$ is an odd function whenever $f(x)$ is odd. Hence $g(0) = \overline{H}_{mn}(g, 0) = 0$ for all n . Thus Theorem 1 settles the convergence behaviour of $\overline{H}_{mn}(g, x)$ for all x in $[-1, 1]$. We also point out that our proof of Theorem 1 does not readily adapt to the case when $m(\geq 3)$ is an odd number. However, we conjecture that Theorem 1 remains true for all such values of m . (For $m = 1$, Theorem 1 is false, since $\overline{H}_{mn}(g, x) \equiv g(x)$, although (by (1.2)) it does hold true if g is replaced by $h(x) \equiv |x|$.)

2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

In this section we introduce further notation and some preliminary results that will be needed for the proof of Theorem 1.

Suppose

$$(2.1) \quad -1 \leq x_{0,n} < x_{1,n} < \dots < x_{n,n} \leq 1$$

is a system of interpolatory nodes, and let $m \geq 1$ be an integer. If $f(x)$ is $m - 1$ times differentiable on $[-1, 1]$, the $(0, 1, \dots, m - 1)$ Hermite interpolation polynomial for f is the unique polynomial $H_{mn}(f, x)$ of degree $m(n + 1) - 1$ or less which satisfies

$$H_{mn}^{(p)}(f, x_k) = f^{(p)}(x_k) \quad (p = 0, 1, \dots, m - 1; k = 0, 1, \dots, n).$$

$H_{mn}(f, x)$ can be written in the form

$$(2.2) \quad H_{mn}(f, x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} f^{(j)}(x_k) A_{jk}(x),$$

where the polynomials $A_{jk}(x)$ (more precisely, $A_{jkmn}(x)$) are the unique polynomials of degree $m(n + 1) - 1$ or less which satisfy

$$A_{jk}^{(p)}(x_q) = \delta_{jp} \delta_{kq} \quad (j, p = 0, 1, \dots, m - 1; k, q = 0, 1, \dots, n).$$

Note that the $(0, 1, \dots, m - 1)$ HF interpolation polynomial for f can be written as

$$(2.3) \quad \overline{H}_{mn}(f, x) = \sum_{k=0}^n f(x_k)A_{0k}(x).$$

Now, if $g(x) \equiv x$, then $H_{mn}(g, x) \equiv x$. Hence, by (2.2),

$$\sum_{k=0}^n x_k A_{0k}(x) + \sum_{k=0}^n A_{1k}(x) = x$$

for all x in $[-1, 1]$, and so by (2.3),

$$(2.4) \quad x - \overline{H}_{mn}(g, x) = \sum_{k=0}^n A_{1k}(x).$$

Thus to prove (1.3) it will suffice to consider $\sum_{k=0}^n A_{1k}(x)$.

The following formula for the $A_{jk}(x)$ was developed by Szabados [8, Lemma 1]. Define

$$(2.5) \quad \omega_n(x) = \prod_{k=0}^n (x - x_k),$$

and put

$$(2.6) \quad \ell_k(x) = \ell_{kn}(x) = \frac{\omega_n(x)}{\omega'_n(x_k)(x - x_k)} \quad (k = 0, 1, \dots, n).$$

Then

$$(2.7) \quad A_{jk}(x) = \frac{\ell_k(x)^m}{j!} (x - x_k)^j B_{jk}(x) \quad (j = 0, 1, \dots, m - 1; k = 0, 1, \dots, n),$$

where

$$(2.8) \quad B_{jk}(x) = B_{jkmn}(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{m-j-1} b_{ik}(x - x_k)^i \quad (j = 0, 1, \dots, m - 1; k = 0, 1, \dots, n),$$

and

$$(2.9) \quad b_{ik} = b_{ikmn} = \frac{[\ell_k(x)^{-m}]_{x=x_k}^{(i)}}{i!} \quad (i = 0, 1, \dots; k = 0, 1, \dots, n).$$

We shall need the following lemma which is also due to Szabados [8, Lemmas 2 and 3].

LEMMA 1. Define

$$(2.10) \quad a_{ik} = a_{ikmn} = m \sum_{\substack{\nu=0 \\ \nu \neq k}}^n \frac{1}{(x_\nu - x_k)^i} \quad (k = 0, 1, \dots, n; i = 1, 2, \dots),$$

and let $B_{jk}(x)$ and b_{ik} be given by (2.8) and (2.9). Then

$$(2.11) \quad b_{ik} = \frac{1}{i} \sum_{\nu=1}^i a_{\nu k} b_{i-\nu, k} \quad (k = 0, 1, \dots, n; i = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Also, there exists a positive number c (depending only on j and m) so that

$$(2.12) \quad B_{jk}(x) \geq c \left(\frac{x - x_k}{x_k - x_{k\pm 1}} \right)^{m-j-1} \\ (-\infty < x < \infty, m - j \text{ odd}, 0 \leq j \leq m - 1, 0 \leq k \leq n),$$

with one of the signs in $x_{k\pm 1}$.

The formulas and results of this section so far are valid for an arbitrary system (2.1) of nodes. Henceforth we shall assume that the interpolation nodes are the equidistant nodes

$$(2.13) \quad x_k = -1 + \frac{2k}{n} \quad (k = 0, 1, \dots, n).$$

We now develop an upper bound for $|B_{1k}(x)|$ which, by (2.4) and (2.7), will be useful later when obtaining bounds for $|\overline{H}_{mn}(g, x) - x|$.

LEMMA 2. *There exist constants c_{im} ($i = 0, 1, \dots; m = 1, 2, \dots$) so that for $n \geq 2$,*

$$(2.14) \quad |b_{ik}| \leq c_{im}(n \log n)^i \quad (i = 0, 1, \dots; k = 0, 1, \dots, n).$$

PROOF: From (2.10) and (2.13) we have

$$a_{ik} = m \left(\frac{n}{2} \right)^i \sum_{\substack{\nu=0 \\ \nu \neq k}}^n \frac{1}{(\nu - k)^i} \quad (k = 0, 1, \dots, n; i = 1, 2, \dots),$$

and so
$$|a_{ik}| \leq m \left(\frac{n}{2} \right)^i \times 2 \sum_{r=1}^n \frac{1}{r^i} \leq m n^i \sum_{r=1}^n \frac{1}{r^i}.$$

Thus there exist constants c_m (independent of n) so that

$$(2.15) \quad |a_{ik}| \leq \begin{cases} c_m n \log n & (i = 1; k = 0, 1, \dots, n), \\ c_m n^i & (i = 2, 3, \dots; k = 0, 1, \dots, n). \end{cases}$$

We now prove (2.14) by induction on i . Since $\ell_k(x_k) = 1$ for all k , (2.9) yields $b_{0k} = 1$ for all k , and so (2.14) holds true for $i = 0$ if we define $c_{0m} = 1$. If (2.14) holds true for $i = 0, 1, \dots, r - 1$, then by (2.11) and (2.15) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 |b_{rk}| &\leq \frac{1}{r} \sum_{\nu=1}^r |a_{\nu k}| |b_{r-\nu,k}| \\
 &\leq \frac{c_m}{r} \left(n \log n \times c_{r-1,m} (n \log n)^{r-1} + \sum_{\nu=2}^r n^\nu \times c_{r-\nu,m} (n \log n)^{r-\nu} \right) \\
 &= \frac{c_m}{r} (n \log n)^r \left(c_{r-1,m} + \sum_{\nu=2}^r \frac{c_{r-\nu,m}}{(\log n)^\nu} \right) \\
 &\leq \frac{c_m}{r} (n \log n)^r \left(c_{r-1,m} + \sum_{\nu=2}^r \frac{c_{r-\nu,m}}{(\log 2)^\nu} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

On defining

$$c_{rm} = \frac{c_m}{r} \left(c_{r-1,m} + \sum_{\nu=2}^r \frac{c_{r-\nu,m}}{(\log 2)^\nu} \right),$$

the lemma is established. □

COROLLARY. *There exist constants d_m ($m = 1, 2, \dots$) so that for $n = 2, 3, \dots$,*

$$(2.16) \quad |B_{1k}(x)| \leq d_m (n \log n)^{m-2} \quad (k = 0, 1, \dots, n; -1 \leq x \leq 1).$$

PROOF: Since $|x - x_k| \leq 2$, (2.8) gives

$$|B_{1k}(x)| \leq \sum_{i=0}^{m-2} 2^i |b_{ik}|.$$

By Lemma 2 we then have

$$\begin{aligned}
 |B_{1k}(x)| &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{m-2} 2^i c_{im} (n \log n)^i \\
 &\leq d_m (n \log n)^{m-2},
 \end{aligned}$$

where $d_m = \sum_{i=0}^{m-2} 2^i c_{im}$. □

We consider next the polynomials $\ell_k(x)$ as defined by (2.5) and (2.6). Upon writing

$$(2.17) \quad x = x_j + \frac{2\theta}{n} = -1 + \frac{2}{n}(j + \theta),$$

where $0 \leq \theta < 1$, and using (2.13), we obtain

$$(2.18) \quad \ell_k(x) = \frac{(-1)^{k+j}(\theta)_{j+1}(1-\theta)_{n-j}}{(j+\theta-k)k!(n-k)!},$$

where

$$(a)_k = \begin{cases} 1 & (k = 0), \\ a(a+1)\dots(a+k-1) & (k = 1, 2, \dots). \end{cases}$$

The following lemma will be useful in determining the behaviour of the $\ell_k(x)$ for large n .

LEMMA 3. *If $|x| < 1$, and x is given by (2.17) with $0 \leq \theta < 1$, define*

$$(2.19) \quad q(x) = q_n(x) = (1 + \theta)_j (2 - \theta)_{n-j-1}.$$

Then

$$(2.20) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{1}{n} \log q(x) - \log n \right) = -1 - \log 2 + \frac{1}{2} [(1 + x) \log(1 + x) + (1 - x) \log(1 - x)].$$

PROOF: Firstly note from (2.17) that

$$(2.21) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{j}{n} = \frac{1 + x}{2},$$

and so $j, n - j \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Now, (2.19) can be written as

$$q(x) = \frac{\Gamma(j + 1 + \theta) \Gamma(n + 1 - j - \theta)}{\Gamma(1 + \theta) \Gamma(2 - \theta)},$$

and hence, upon using the asymptotic expansion [9, page 252]

$$(2.22) \quad \log \Gamma(z) = \left(z - \frac{1}{2} \right) \log z - z + \frac{1}{2} \log(2\pi) + O(z^{-1})$$

as $z \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{n} \log q(x) \\ &= \left(\frac{j + 1/2 + \theta}{n} \right) \log(j + 1 + \theta) + \left(\frac{n + 1/2 - j - \theta}{n} \right) \log(n + 1 - j - \theta) - 1 + O(n^{-1}) \\ &= \left(\frac{j + 1/2 + \theta}{n} \right) \log \left(\frac{j + 1 + \theta}{n} \right) + \left(\frac{n + 1/2 - j - \theta}{n} \right) \log \left(\frac{n + 1 - j - \theta}{n} \right) \\ & \quad + \log n - 1 + O \left(\frac{\log n}{n} \right). \end{aligned}$$

The lemma is then established by letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ and using (2.21). □

3. PROOF OF THE THEOREM

We now prove Theorem 1. Since $\overline{H}_{mn}(g, x)$ is odd, we can assume without loss of generality that $x < 0$. Write $x = -1 + 2(j + \theta)/n$, where $0 \leq \theta < 1$. By (2.4) and (2.7) we have

$$\left| x - \overline{H}_{mn}(g, x) \right| = \left| \sum_{k=0}^n \ell_k(x)^m B_{1k}(x)(x - x_k) \right|.$$

Now, from (2.12), $B_{1k}(x) > 0$ for all x , and so

$$\text{sgn} [\ell_k(x)^m B_{1k}(x)(x - x_k)] = \begin{cases} +1 & (j \geq k), \\ -1 & (j < k). \end{cases}$$

Therefore, on putting $n' = [n/2]$, we obtain

$$(3.1) \quad \ell_{n'}(x)^m B_{1n'}(x)(x_{n'} - x) - \left(\sum_{k=0}^j \ell_k(x)^m B_{1k}(x)(x - x_k) \right) \leq |x - \overline{H}_{mn}(g, x)| \leq \sum_{k=0}^n \ell_k(x)^m B_{1k}(x) |x - x_k|.$$

We work firstly with the right hand side of (3.1). Since $|x - x_k| \leq 2$, and $k!(n - k)! \geq (n')!(n - n')!$, we have from (2.16) and (2.18),

$$|x - \overline{H}_{mn}(g, x)| \leq \frac{2d_m(n \log n)^{m-2}}{((n')!(n - n')!)^m} \sum_{k=0}^n \left(\frac{(\theta)_{j+1}(1 - \theta)_{n-j}}{j + \theta - k} \right)^m.$$

Now $(\theta(1 - \theta))/(|j + \theta - k|) \leq 1$ for all k , and so

$$|x - \overline{H}_{mn}(g, x)| \leq 2d_m(n + 1)(n \log n)^{m-2} \left(\frac{(1 + \theta)_j(2 - \theta)_{n-j-1}}{(n')!(n - n')!} \right)^m.$$

Thus, with $q(x)$ given by (2.19), we have

$$(3.2) \quad \frac{1}{n} \log |x - \overline{H}_{mn}(g, x)| \leq \frac{m}{n} \log \left(\frac{q(x)}{(n')!(n - n')!} \right) + O \left(\frac{\log n}{n} \right).$$

From (2.22) it follows that

$$(3.3) \quad \frac{1}{n} \log ((n')!(n - n')!) = \log n - \log 2 - 1 + O \left(\frac{\log n}{n} \right).$$

Hence, by (2.20), we can conclude from (3.2) that

$$(3.4) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log |x - \overline{H}_{mn}(g, x)| \leq \frac{m}{2} [(1 + x) \log(1 + x) + (1 - x) \log(1 - x)].$$

Next consider the summation term on the left hand side of (3.1). Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} j/n = (1 + x)/2 < 1/2$, there exists a number $\alpha < 1/2$ so that $j < \alpha n$ for all n large enough. Then, because $k!(n - k)! = \Gamma(k + 1) \Gamma(n - k + 1) > \Gamma(\alpha n + 1) \Gamma((1 - \alpha)n + 1)$ for $0 \leq$

$k \leq j$, we have (as with the derivation of (3.2)),

$$\frac{1}{n} \log \left| \sum_{k=0}^j \ell_k(x)^m B_{1k}(x)(x - x_k) \right| \leq \frac{m}{n} \log \left(\frac{q(x)}{\Gamma(\alpha n + 1)\Gamma((1 - \alpha)n + 1)} \right) + O\left(\frac{\log n}{n}\right).$$

By (2.22) we can write

$$\frac{1}{n} \log (\Gamma(\alpha n + 1)\Gamma((1 - \alpha)n + 1)) = \log n + \log (\alpha^\alpha(1 - \alpha)^{1-\alpha}) - 1 + O\left(\frac{\log n}{n}\right),$$

and so by (2.20) we have

(3.5)

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \left(\sum_{k=0}^j \ell_k(x)^m B_{1k}(x)(x - x_k) \right) \\ \leq \frac{m}{2} [(1 + x) \log(1 + x) + (1 - x) \log(1 - x)] + km, \end{aligned}$$

where $k = -\log 2 - \log (\alpha^\alpha(1 - \alpha)^{1-\alpha}) < 0$.

It remains to consider the expression $\ell_{n'}(x)^m B_{1n'}(x)(x_{n'} - x)$ on the left hand side of (3.1). Because $|x_{n'} - x_{n' \pm 1}| = 2/n$, (2.12) gives

$$B_{1n'}(x) \geq c \left(\frac{n}{2}\right)^{m-2} (x_{n'} - x)^{m-2} = c(n' - j - \theta)^{m-2},$$

where c depends only on m . Thus

$$(3.6) \quad \ell_{n'}(x)^m B_{1n'}(x)(x_{n'} - x) \geq \frac{2c}{n(n' - j - \theta)} \left(\frac{q(x)}{(n')!(n - n')!} \right)^m (\theta(1 - \theta))^m.$$

By Berman [1, Lemma 1] for each x there exists an increasing sequence $\{k_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of positive integers, and a number $a(x)$ with $0 < a(x) < 1/2$, such that if we write

$$x = -1 + \frac{2}{k_n}(j + \theta),$$

where $0 \leq \theta < 1$, then $a(x) \leq \theta \leq 1 - a(x)$ for all n . Hence we can assume $\theta(1 - \theta)$ has a positive lower bound, and then, on using $n' - j - \theta \leq n$, (3.6) can be written in the form

$$\ell_{n'}(x)^m B_{1n'}(x)(x_{n'} - x) \geq \frac{c'}{n^2} \left(\frac{q(x)}{(n')!(n - n')!} \right)^m,$$

where c' depends only on m . By (2.20) and (3.3) we can conclude that

(3.7)

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log (\ell_{n'}(x)^m B_{1n'}(x)(x_{n'} - x)) \geq \frac{m}{2} [(1 + x) \log(1 + x) + (1 - x) \log(1 - x)].$$

To complete the proof of Theorem 1, we observe that the estimates (3.5) and (3.7) for the terms on the left hand side of (3.1) yield

$$(3.8) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log |x - \overline{H}_{mn}(g, x)| \geq \frac{m}{2} [(1+x) \log(1+x) + (1-x) \log(1-x)].$$

The required statement (1.3) then follows from (3.4) and (3.8).

REFERENCES

- [1] D.L. Berman, 'Divergence of the Hermite-Fejér interpolation process', (in Russian), *Uspehi Mat. Nauk.* **13** (1958), 143–148.
- [2] S. Bernstein, 'Quelques remarques sur l'interpolation', *Math. Ann.* **79** (1918), 1–12.
- [3] G.J. Byrne, T.M. Mills and S.J. Smith, 'On Lagrange interpolation with equidistant nodes', *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **42** (1990), 81–89.
- [4] G. Faber, 'Über die interpolatorische Darstellung stetiger Funktionen', *Jahresber. Deutsch. Math. Verein.* **23** (1914), 190–210.
- [5] L. Fejér, 'Über interpolation', *Göttinger Nachrichten* (1916), 66–91.
- [6] X. Li and R.N. Mohapatra, 'On the divergence of Lagrange interpolation with equidistant nodes', *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* (to appear).
- [7] L.B. Mendelevič, 'Divergence of the Hermite interpolation polynomials with multiple equidistant nodes', (in Russian), in *Proceedings of the Central Regional Association of Mathematics Departments, Functional Analysis and the Theory of Functions 2* (Kalinin. Gos. Ped. Inst., Kalinin, 1971), pp. 136–142.
- [8] J. Szabados, 'On the order of magnitude of fundamental polynomials of Hermite interpolation', *Acta Math. Hungar.* (to appear).
- [9] E.T. Whittaker and G.N. Watson, *A course of modern analysis*, 4th edition (Cambridge Univ. Press, London, 1973).

Department of Mathematics
 La Trobe University, Bendigo
 PO Box 199
 Bendigo, Vic. 3550
 Australia