

## DERIVATIONS AND AUTOMORPHISMS OF EXTERIOR ALGEBRAS

DRAGOMIR Ž. DJOKOVIĆ

Dedicated to D. S. Mitrinović

**0. Introduction.** In this paper we study the Lie algebra  $\mathcal{D}$  of derivations of the exterior algebra  $\mathcal{E}$  of a vector space  $V$  over a field  $K$  of characteristic  $\neq 2$ , and the group  $A$  of automorphisms of  $\mathcal{E}$ .

Both  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  have natural  $\mathbf{Z}_2$ -gradings  $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}_0 \oplus \mathcal{D}_1$  and  $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_0 \oplus \mathcal{E}_1$ . Let  $A_0$  be the subgroup of  $A$  which preserves this grading of  $\mathcal{E}$ . We show that  $\mathcal{D}_1$  is the ideal of inner derivations of  $\mathcal{E}$  except in the case when  $\dim V = \mathbf{X}_0$ .

For  $A$  we assume that  $\dim V = n$  is finite. In the case when  $K$  is the complex field,  $A_0$  has been determined by F. A. Berezin [1]. He claimed there that  $A = A_0$ , which is erroneous. In fact  $A$  is a semidirect product  $A = N_1 \rtimes A_0$  where  $N_1$  is the group of inner automorphisms of  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $N_1$  is abelian. All our results are established for arbitrary  $K$  of characteristic  $\neq 2$ .

It is important to note that  $\mathcal{D}$  is the Lie algebra of *ordinary derivations* of  $\mathcal{E}$ . The case of graded derivations (also called *antiderivations*) is much easier and well-known. See for instance [4] or [3, p. 111–114].

**1. Preliminaries.** Let  $A$  be an associative algebra over a field  $K$ . With respect to the bracket operation,  $[a, b] = ab - ba$ ,  $A$  becomes a Lie algebra over  $K$  which we will denote by  $A_L$ .

Each  $a \in A$  determines a derivation  $D_a$  of  $A$  defined by  $D_a(x) = [a, x] = ax - xa$  ( $x \in A$ ). The map  $A_L \rightarrow \text{Der } A$  which sends  $a$  to  $D_a$  is a homomorphism of Lie algebras. The image of this homomorphism is  $\text{Inder } A$ , the Lie algebra of inner derivations of  $A$ .

If  $a \in A$  and  $D \in \text{Der } A$  then we have  $[D, D_a] = DD_a - D_aD = D_b$  where  $b = D(a)$ . This shows that  $\text{Inder } A$  is an ideal of  $\text{Der } A$ .

Now let us assume that  $A$  is  $\mathbf{Z}_2$ -graded, i.e.,  $A = A_0 \oplus A_1$  is a fixed direct decomposition such that  $A_i A_j \subset A_{i+j}$  ( $i, j = 0, 1$ ; indices are added modulo 2). Let  $\text{Der}_i A$  ( $i = 0, 1$ ) be the subspace of  $\text{Der } A$  consisting of all derivations  $D$  such that  $D(A_j) \subset A_{i+j}$  ( $j = 0, 1$ ).

**LEMMA 1.** *With the above hypotheses we have  $\text{Der } A = \text{Der}_0 A \oplus \text{Der}_1 A$ ,  $\text{Der}_0 A$  is a subalgebra of  $\text{Der } A$ , and  $[\text{Der}_0 A, \text{Der}_1 A] \subset \text{Der}_1 A$ .*

---

Received October 8, 1977. This work was supported in part by NRC Grant A-5285.

*Proof.* The last two assertions are obvious. It is also clear that  $\text{Der}_0 A \cap \text{Der}_1 A = 0$  and so it remains to prove that  $\text{Der } A = \text{Der}_0 A + \text{Der}_1 A$ .

Let  $p_i: A \rightarrow A_i$  ( $i = 0, 1$ ) be the canonical projections. For  $D \in \text{Der } A$  we define  $D_i$  ( $i = 0, 1$ ) by

$$D_i|_{A_j} = p_{i+j} \circ D|_{A_j} \quad (j = 0, 1).$$

Clearly the linear transformations  $D_i$  satisfy  $D_i(A_j) \subset A_{i+j}$  ( $j = 0, 1$ ). We claim that they are derivations of  $A$ , which will complete the proof. Thus we have to show that  $D_i(xy) = (D_i x)y + x(D_i y)$  holds for  $x, y \in A$ . Clearly, it suffices to prove this when  $x$  and  $y$  are homogeneous, say  $x = x_j \in A_j$  and  $y = y_k \in A_k$  ( $i, j, k = 0, 1$ ). Then

$$\begin{aligned} D_i(x_j y_k) &= p_{i+j+k}(D(x_j y_k)) \\ &= p_{i+j+k}((Dx_j)y_k) + p_{i+j+k}(x_j(Dy_k)) \\ &= (p_{i+j}(Dx_j))y_k + x_j(p_{i+k}(Dy_k)) \\ &= D_i(x_j)y_k + x_j D_i(y_k). \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 2. Assume moreover that  $A$  is anticommutative, i.e.,

$$yx = (-1)^{ij}xy \text{ for } x \in A_i, y \in A_j \text{ (} i, j = 0, 1 \text{)}.$$

Then  $\text{Inder } A$  is an abelian ideal of  $\text{Der } A$  and  $\text{Inder } A \subset \text{Der}_1 A$ .

*Proof.* We have mentioned before that  $\text{Inder } A$  is an ideal of  $\text{Der } A$ . Recall that we have a surjective Lie algebra homomorphism  $A_L \rightarrow \text{Inder } A$  whose kernel is clearly the center  $Z_A$  of  $A$ . Thus  $\text{Inder } A \cong A_L/Z_A$  as Lie algebras. Since  $A_0 \subset Z_A$  (by anticommutativity) and  $[A, A] \subset A_0$  we see that  $A_L/A_0$  is abelian and also  $A_L/Z_A$  is abelian. Thus  $\text{Inder } A$  is an abelian ideal of  $\text{Der } A$ .

If  $a \in A_0$  then  $D_a = 0$  and if  $a \in A_1$  then  $D_a \in \text{Der}_1 A$ . This proves that  $\text{Inder } A \subset \text{Der}_1 A$ .

**2. Derivations of exterior algebras.** Let  $V$  be a vector space over a field  $K$  and let  $\mathcal{O}$  be the exterior algebra of  $V$ .  $\mathcal{O}$  has a  $\mathbf{Z}$ -grading

$$\mathcal{O} = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \mathcal{O}^i$$

where  $\mathcal{O}^i$  is the  $i$ -th exterior power of  $V$ . In particular,  $\mathcal{O}^0 = K$  and  $\mathcal{O}^1 = V$ .

We shall be more interested in the induced  $\mathbf{Z}_2$ -grading

$$\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_0 \oplus \mathcal{O}_1$$

where

$$\mathcal{O}_0 = \sum_{i \geq 0} \mathcal{O}^{2i}, \quad \mathcal{O}_1 = \sum_{i \geq 0} \mathcal{O}^{2i+1}.$$

If  $\text{char } K = 2$  then  $\mathcal{O}$  is commutative and it follows from [2, Chapter III, §10, Prop. 14] that every linear map  $V \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$  extends uniquely to a derivation of  $\mathcal{O}$ .

Therefore we shall assume from now on that  $\text{char } K \neq 2$ .

Since  $\mathcal{O}$  is anticommutative, we have  $\mathcal{O}_0 \subset \mathcal{Z}$  where  $\mathcal{Z}$  is the center of  $\mathcal{O}$ . In fact it is known that we have equality  $\mathcal{O}_0 = \mathcal{Z}$  except in the case when  $\dim V = n$  is finite and odd. In the exceptional case we have  $\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{O}_0 \oplus \mathcal{O}^n$ .

We shall write  $\mathcal{D} = \text{Der } \mathcal{O}$ ,  $\mathcal{I} = \text{Inder } \mathcal{O}$ , and  $\mathcal{D}_i = \text{Der}_i \mathcal{O}$  ( $i = 0, 1$ ). We know from Lemmas 1 and 2 that  $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}_0 \oplus \mathcal{D}_1$ ,  $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{D}_1$  and that  $\mathcal{I}$  is an abelian ideal of  $\mathcal{D}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be the maximal ideal of  $\mathcal{O}$ , i.e.,

$$\mathcal{M} = \sum_{i \geq 1} \mathcal{O}^i.$$

We shall denote by  $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$  the  $\mathcal{M}$ -completion of  $\mathcal{O}$ . Clearly,  $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$  inherits a  $\mathbf{Z}_2$ -grading from  $\mathcal{O}$ ;  $\hat{\mathcal{O}} = \hat{\mathcal{O}}_0 \oplus \hat{\mathcal{O}}_1$ . In fact  $\mathcal{O}$  is a subalgebra of  $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$  and is dense in  $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$  for the  $\mathcal{M}$ -topology.  $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_i$  is the closure of  $\mathcal{O}^i$  ( $i = 0, 1$ ) in  $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$  for the same topology. The elements of  $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$  can be identified with the formal infinite series

$$(1) \quad x = \sum_{i \geq 0} x_i, \quad x_i \in \mathcal{O}^i.$$

If  $x_i = 0$  for all  $i$  except finitely many of them, then  $x \in \mathcal{O}$ .

Let  $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$  be the idealizer of  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ , i.e.,  $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$  consists of all  $x \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}$  such that  $x\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{M}x \subset \mathcal{M}$ . Clearly  $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$  is a subalgebra of  $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$  containing  $\mathcal{O}$ . It is easy to see that if  $x \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}$  satisfies  $xV \subset \mathcal{M}$  and  $Vx \subset \mathcal{M}$  then in fact  $x \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}$ .

Thus if  $x$  is given by (1) then  $x \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}$  if and only if for every  $y \in V$   $x_i y = 0$  for all but finitely many  $i \geq 0$ .

For  $a \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}$  let  $D_a$  be the corresponding inner derivation of  $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ . From the definition of  $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$  it follows that  $D_a(\hat{\mathcal{O}}) \subset \hat{\mathcal{O}}$ . Hence we have a restriction homomorphism  $\text{Inder } \hat{\mathcal{O}} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ . This is clearly injective and we denote by  $\hat{\mathcal{I}}$  the image in  $\mathcal{D}$  of this homomorphism. It is clear that  $\hat{\mathcal{I}} \subset \mathcal{D}_1$ .

**THEOREM 3.** *We have  $\hat{\mathcal{O}} = \mathcal{O}$  except when a basis of  $V$  has cardinality  $\aleph_0$ .*

**THEOREM 4.** *We have  $\hat{\mathcal{I}} = \mathcal{D}_1$ . Moreover, if the cardinality of a basis of  $V$  is not  $\aleph_0$  then  $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{D}_1$ .*

Let us first introduce some notation. Let  $a_i$  ( $i \in I$ ) be a basis of  $V$  and assume that the index set  $I$  is totally ordered. By  $\mathcal{F}$  we shall denote the set of all finite subsets of  $I$ . We have a partition of  $\mathcal{F}$  into  $\mathcal{F}_0$  and  $\mathcal{F}_1$  where  $\mathcal{F}_0$  (resp.  $\mathcal{F}_1$ ) consists of those  $S \in \mathcal{F}$  whose cardinality is an even (resp. odd) integer.

The algebra  $\mathcal{O}$  has a basis  $\{a_S \mid S \in \mathcal{F}\}$  where if  $S = \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k\}$  with  $i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k$  then

$$a_S = a_{i_1} a_{i_2} \dots a_{i_k}.$$

In particular  $a_\emptyset = 1$  is the identity element of  $\mathcal{O}$  and  $a_{\{i\}} = a_i$  for  $i \in I$ .

*Proof of Theorem 3.* If  $I$  is a finite set then  $\hat{\mathcal{O}} = \mathcal{O}$  and consequently  $\hat{\mathcal{O}} = \mathcal{O}$ .

Now let us assume that  $I$  is not countable. Let  $x \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}$  be arbitrary and write  $x = x_0 + x_1 + x_2 + \dots$  with  $x_r \in \mathcal{O}^r$ . Let  $P = \{r \mid x_r \neq 0\}$ . For  $r \in P$  let  $I_r = \{i \in I \mid a_i x_r = 0\}$ . Then each  $I_r$  is a finite set and consequently their

union is countable. Therefore there exists an  $i \in I$  such that  $i \notin I_r$  for all  $r \in P$ . Then  $a_i x_r \neq 0$  for all  $r \in P$ . Since

$$a_i x = a_i x_0 + a_i x_1 + a_i x_2 + \dots \in \mathcal{E}$$

and  $a_i x_r \in \mathcal{E}^{r+i}$  ( $r \geq 0$ ), this implies that  $P$  is a finite set. Consequently  $x \in \mathcal{E}$  and so  $\mathcal{E}^{\hat{}} = \mathcal{E}$ .

Finally, let us assume that  $I$  has cardinality  $\aleph_0$ . Then we may assume that  $I$  is the set of positive integers. It is easy to see that the element

$$x = \sum_{i \geq 0} a_1 a_2 \dots a_i$$

is in  $\mathcal{E}^{\hat{}}$  but is not in  $\mathcal{E}$ .

*Proof of Theorem 4.* Let  $D \in \mathcal{D}_1$  and write  $Da_i = b_i$ . Since  $a_i \in V = \mathcal{E}^1 \subset \mathcal{E}_1$  we have  $b_i \in \mathcal{E}_0$ . From  $a_i^2 = 0$  we obtain  $(Da_i)a_i + a_i(Da_i) = 0$ , i.e.,  $b_1 a_1 + a_1 b_1 = 0$ . Since  $b_i \in \mathcal{E}_0 \subset \mathcal{L}$  this gives  $2a_i b_i = 0$  and since  $\text{char } K \neq 2$  we have  $a_i b_i = 0$ .

For  $i, j \in I$  let  $I^{(i)} = I \setminus \{i\}$  and  $I^{(i,j)} = I \setminus \{i, j\}$ . We denote  $V^{(i)}$  (resp.  $V^{(i,j)}$ ) the subspace of  $V$  spanned by  $a_k$  for  $k \in I^{(i)}$  (resp.  $k \in I^{(i,j)}$ ). Further,  $\mathcal{E}^{(i)}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{E}^{(i,j)}$ ) will be the exterior algebra of  $V^{(i)}$  (resp.  $V^{(i,j)}$ ). We also put  $\mathcal{F}^{(i)} = \{S \in \mathcal{F} \mid i \notin S\}$ ,  $\mathcal{F}^{(i,j)} = \mathcal{F}^{(i)} \cap \mathcal{F}^{(j)}$ . Finally, we define  $\mathcal{F}_0^{(i)} = \mathcal{F}^{(i)} \cap \mathcal{F}_0$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_1^{(i)} = \mathcal{F}^{(i)} \cap \mathcal{F}_1$  and similarly  $\mathcal{F}_0^{(i,j)}$  and  $\mathcal{F}_1^{(i,j)}$ .

It follows from  $a_i b_i = 0$  and  $b_i \in \mathcal{E}_0$  that  $b_i = a_i c_i$  where  $c_i \in \mathcal{E}_1^{(i)}$ .

From  $a_i a_j + a_j a_i = 0$  we obtain

$$(Da_i)a_j + a_i(Da_j) + (Da_j)a_i + a_j(Da_i) = 0, \text{ or}$$

$$b_i a_j + a_i b_j + b_j a_i + a_j b_i = 0.$$

Since  $b_i \in \mathcal{E}_0 \subset \mathcal{L}$  and  $\text{char } K \neq 2$  this gives  $a_i b_j + a_j b_i = 0$ . Using  $b_i = a_i c_i$  and  $b_j = a_j c_j$  we obtain

$$(2) \quad a_i a_j (c_j - c_i) = 0.$$

Using the basis  $\{a_S \mid S \in \mathcal{F}_1^{(i)}\}$  of  $\mathcal{E}_1^{(i)}$ , we can write

$$(3) \quad c_i = \sum \alpha_S^i a_S, (S \in \mathcal{F}_1^{(i)}).$$

The coefficients  $\alpha_S^i \in K$  are defined for  $S \in \mathcal{F}_1$  and  $i \in I \setminus S$ . It follows from (2) and (3) that  $\alpha_S^i = \alpha_S^j$  whenever  $S \in \mathcal{F}_1$  and  $i, j \in I \setminus S$ . Therefore for each  $S \in \mathcal{F}_1$  there is a scalar  $\alpha_S \in K$  such that  $\alpha_S^i = \alpha_S$  for all  $i \in I \setminus S$ .

Let  $m$  be an odd positive integer and let  $\mathcal{F}^m$  be the set of all  $S \in \mathcal{F}$  of cardinality  $m$ . We claim that  $\alpha_S \neq 0$  for only finitely many  $S \in \mathcal{F}^m$ . Indeed, let  $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m$  be distinct elements of  $I$ . Since  $c_{i_1} \in \mathcal{E}$  there are only finitely many  $S \in \mathcal{F}^m$  such that  $\alpha_S \neq 0$  and  $i_1 \notin S$ . Similar statements are valid for indices  $i_2, \dots, i_m$ . Hence there are only finitely many  $S \in \mathcal{F}^m$  such that  $\alpha_S \neq 0$  and  $\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m\} \not\subset S$ . This proves our claim. Thus each sum

$$\sum \alpha_S a_S (S \in \mathcal{F}^m, m \text{ odd})$$

is in fact finite and so

$$c = \sum \alpha_S a_S (S \in \mathcal{F}_1)$$

is an element of  $\mathcal{E}$ .

We have

$$-ca_i = a_i c = \sum_{S \in \mathcal{F}_1} \alpha_S a_i a_S = \sum_{S \in \mathcal{F}_1(i)} \alpha_S^i a_i a_S = a_i c_i = b_i \in \mathcal{E} \quad (i \in I),$$

which proves that  $c \in \mathcal{E}$ . The same computation gives  $D_c(a_i) = -2b_i = -2D(a_i)$ , and so  $D \in \mathcal{J}$ .

We have proved that  $\mathcal{D}_1 \subset \mathcal{J}$  and since we remarked before that  $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{D}_1$ , we have  $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{D}_1$ . The second assertion now follows from Theorem 3.

**3. Automorphisms of exterior algebras.** In this section we assume that  $\dim V = n$  is finite and  $\text{char } K \neq 2$ . As before,  $\mathcal{E}$  is the exterior algebra of  $V$ .

Let  $A$  be the group of automorphisms of  $\mathcal{E}$  (considered just as a  $K$ -algebra) and let  $A_0$  be the subgroup of  $A$  consisting of those automorphisms  $\sigma$  which preserve the  $\mathbf{Z}_2$ -grading of  $\mathcal{E}$ , i.e., such that  $\sigma(\mathcal{E}_i) = \mathcal{E}_i$  ( $i = 0, 1$ ).

Recall that  $\mathcal{E}$  is a local algebra with the maximal ideal  $\mathcal{M} = \sum_{i \geq 1} \mathcal{E}_i$  and that

$$\mathcal{M}^k = \sum_{i \geq k} \mathcal{E}^i \quad (k \geq 0).$$

Therefore, every  $\sigma \in A$  stabilizes the chain

$$\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{M}^0 \supset \mathcal{M}^1 \supset \mathcal{M}^2 \supset \dots \supset \mathcal{M}^n \supset 0.$$

Hence every  $\sigma \in A$  induces an automorphism  $\sigma_i$  of the vector space  $\mathcal{M}^i / \mathcal{M}^{i+1}$ . Since the canonical map  $\mathcal{E}^i \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^i / \mathcal{M}^{i+1}$  is an isomorphism we can consider  $\sigma_i$  as operating in  $\mathcal{E}^i$ .

The map  $f_i: A \rightarrow \text{GL}(\mathcal{E}^i)$  defined by  $f_i(\sigma) = \sigma_i$  is clearly a homomorphism. In particular,  $\sigma_0$  is the identity for every  $\sigma \in A$ ; i.e.,  $f_0$  is the trivial homomorphism and it is well-known that  $f_1$  is surjective. In fact every automorphism  $\tau$  of  $V$  extends uniquely to an automorphism  $g(\tau)$  of  $\mathcal{E}$ . Thus if  $N = \ker(f_1)$  then we have a short exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow N \rightarrow A \xrightarrow[g]{f_1} \text{GL}(V) \rightarrow 1$$

with  $g$  a section, i.e.,  $f_1 \circ g = \text{identity}$ .

Let  $G$  be the image of  $g$  in  $A$ . Then  $A$  is a semidirect product  $A = N \rtimes G$ .

**LEMMA 5.** *If  $\sigma \in N$  then  $\sigma_i$  is the identity for all  $i$ .*

*Proof.* We always have  $\sigma_0 = \text{identity}$  and by hypothesis we also have  $\sigma_1 = \text{identity}$ . Now let  $2 \leq k \leq n$  and let  $x_1, \dots, x_k \in V$ . Then  $\sigma(x_i) =$

$x_i + y_i$  where  $y_i \in \mathcal{M}^2$  and consequently

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(x_1x_2 \dots x_k) - x_1x_2 \dots x_k &= (x_1 + y_1)(x_2 + y_2) \dots (x_k + y_k) \\ &\quad - x_1x_2 \dots x_k \in \mathcal{M}^{k+1}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves that  $\sigma_k = \text{identity}$ .

LEMMA 6.  $N$  is a unipotent group.

*Proof.* Let  $1$  be the identity map of  $\mathcal{E}$ . It follows from Lemma 5 that for  $\sigma \in N$  we have  $(\sigma - 1)(\mathcal{M}^i) \subset \mathcal{M}^{i+1}$  ( $i \geq 0$ ) and so  $\sigma - 1$  is nilpotent, i.e.,  $\sigma$  is unipotent.

Let  $N_0 = N \cap A_0$ . Since  $A = N \rtimes G$  and  $G \subset A_0$  it follows that  $A_0 = N_0 \rtimes G$ .

Recall that every inner derivation of  $\mathcal{E}$  is of the form  $D_a$  ( $a \in \mathcal{E}_1$ ).

LEMMA 7. If  $a, b \in \mathcal{E}_1$  then  $D_aD_b = 0$ .

*Proof.* It suffices to check that  $D_aD_b(x) = 0$  for  $x \in \mathcal{E}_i$  ( $i = 0, 1$ ). Indeed

$$\begin{aligned} D_aD_b(x) &= a(bx - xb) - (bx - xb)a \\ &= (abx + xba) - (axb + bxa). \end{aligned}$$

This is zero because  $xba = bax = -abx$  and  $bxa = (-1)^{i+1}xab = -axb$ .

In particular, it follows from this lemma that  $D_a^2 = 0$  for  $a \in \mathcal{E}_1$  and so  $\exp(D_a) = 1 + D_a \in A$ . Since for  $a \in \mathcal{E}_1$

$$(1 + D_a)(x) = x + ax - xa \quad (x \in \mathcal{E})$$

it is clear that  $1 + D_a \in N$ .

If  $a, b \in \mathcal{E}_1$  then by Lemma 7

$$(1 + D_a)(1 + D_b) = 1 + D_{a+b} = (1 + D_b)(1 + D_a).$$

Hence, the automorphisms  $1 + D_a$  ( $a \in \mathcal{E}_1$ ) form an abelian subgroup of  $N$  which we will denote by  $N_1$ . The map  $\mathcal{E}_1 \rightarrow N_1$  sending  $a$  to  $1 + D_a$  is a homomorphism of the additive group of  $\mathcal{E}_1$  onto  $N_1$  with kernel  $\mathcal{E}_1 \cap \mathcal{Z}$ .

For  $k \geq 1$  let  $M_k$  be the subgroup of  $N_1$  consisting of all automorphisms  $1 + D_a$  with  $a \in \mathcal{E}^{2k-1}$ .

THEOREM 8. For each  $k \geq 1$  the product  $M^{(k)} = M_k M_{k+1} \dots$  is a normal subgroup of  $A$ . In particular,  $N_1 \triangleleft A$ .

*Proof.* For  $a \in \mathcal{E}$  and  $\sigma \in A$  we have  $\sigma D_a \sigma^{-1} = D_{\sigma(a)}$ . It remains to notice that  $M^{(k)}$  consists of all  $1 + D_a$  with  $a \in \mathcal{M}^{2k-1}$ , and that  $\mathcal{M}^{2k-1}$  is  $\sigma$ -stable.

Now let us define for  $k \geq 1$  the subgroup  $N^{(k)}$  of  $N$ . It consists of all  $\sigma \in N$  such that

$$\sigma(x) \in \mathcal{P}_k = \mathcal{E}_1 + \mathcal{M}^{2k} \quad \text{for } x \in V.$$

It is clear that

$$N = N^{(1)} \supset N^{(2)} \supset \dots \supset N^{(m)} \supset N^{(m+1)} = N_0$$

where  $m = \begin{bmatrix} n \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$ , and that

$$N^{(k)} \cap N_1 = M^{(k)} \quad (k \geq 1).$$

**THEOREM 9.** *We have*

- (i)  $N^{(k)} = N^{(k+1)} \times M_k \quad (k \geq 1)$ ,
- (ii)  $N = N_1 \rtimes N_0$ ,
- (iii)  $A = N_1 \rtimes A_0$ .

*Proof.* By Theorem 8,  $N_1 \triangleleft A$ . If  $a \in \mathcal{E}_1$  and  $1 + D_a \in A_0$  then for  $x \in V$  we must have  $D_a(x) = 0$ . Thus  $D_a = 0$  and so  $N_1 \cap A_0 = 1$ . Hence in order to prove (ii) and (iii) it suffices to show that  $N = N_1N_0$  and  $A = N_1A_0$ . Since  $A = NG$  and  $G \subset A_0$  it suffices to prove only that  $N = N_1N_0$ . This last equality clearly follows from (i), which we now proceed to prove.

If  $a \in \mathcal{E}^{2k-1}$  then for  $x \in V$  we have  $(1 + D_a)x - x = D_ax \in \mathcal{E}^{2k}$ . Thus if  $1 + D_a \in N^{(k+1)}$  then  $D_ax = 0$  for all  $x \in V$ , i.e.,  $D_a = 0$ . Therefore  $N^{(k+1)} \cap M_k = 1$ .

We claim that  $M_k$  normalizes  $N^{(k+1)}$ . For this purpose let  $\sigma \in N^{(k+1)}$ ,  $a \in \mathcal{E}^{2k-1}$ ,  $x \in V$ . Then we have to show that

$$(1 - D_a)\sigma(1 + D_a)x \in \mathcal{P}_{k+1}.$$

We have

$$(1 - D_a)\sigma(1 + D_a)x = x + (\sigma x - x) - D_a\sigma D_ax + (\sigma D_a - D_a\sigma)x.$$

Since  $x \in \mathcal{P}_{k+1}$ ,  $\sigma x - x \in \mathcal{P}_{k+1}$  and  $D_a\sigma D_ax \in \mathcal{M}^{2k+1} \subset \mathcal{P}_{k+1}$  we need only show that  $(\sigma D_a - D_a\sigma)x \in \mathcal{P}_{k+1}$ . This is so because  $D_a(\sigma x - x) \in D_a(\mathcal{M}^2) \subset \mathcal{M}^{2k+1} \subset \mathcal{P}_{k+1}$  and  $\sigma D_ax - D_ax \in \mathcal{P}_{k+1}$ . This last relation holds because  $D_ax \in \mathcal{M}^{2k}$  and  $\sigma_{2k} = \text{identity}$ .

It remains to show that  $N^{(k)} = M_k N^{(k+1)}$ . Let  $\sigma \in N^{(k)}$ . For  $x \in V$  we can write uniquely

$$\sigma(x) = x + \tau(x) + z$$

where  $\tau(x) \in \mathcal{E}^{2k}$  and  $z \in \mathcal{M}^2 \cap \mathcal{P}_{k+1}$ .

Since  $x^2 = 0$  we have

$$0 = (\sigma x)^2 = (x + \tau(x) + z)^2 = 2x\tau(x) + u$$

where  $u \in \mathcal{M}^{2k+2}$ . Thus  $x\tau(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in V$ . By [2, Chapter III, § 10, Prop. 14]  $\tau$  extends to a unique derivation  $D$  of  $\mathcal{E}$ . Clearly  $D \in D_1$  and since  $\mathcal{D}_1 = \mathcal{S}$  by Theorem 4, there exists an  $a \in \mathcal{E}_1$  such that  $D_a = D$ . Since  $\tau(x) = Dx = D_ax = ax - xa \in \mathcal{E}^{2k}$  for all  $x \in V$ , we may assume that  $a \in \mathcal{E}^{2k-1}$ .

We finish the proof by showing that  $(1 - D_a)\sigma \in N^{(k+1)}$ . This is equivalent to

$$(1 - D_a)\sigma x \in \mathcal{P}_{k+1} \text{ for } x \in V.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - D_a)\sigma x &= x + \tau(x) + z - D_a(x) - D_a\tau(x) - D_az \\ &= x + z - D_az \end{aligned}$$

because  $D_a(x) = \tau(x)$  and  $D_a\tau(x) = D_a^2(x) = 0$  by Lemma 7. Since  $x \in \mathcal{E}_1$ ,  $z \in \mathcal{P}_{k+1}$  and  $D_az \in D_a(\mathcal{M}^2) \subset \mathcal{M}^{2k+1} \subset \mathcal{P}_{k+1}$ , the proof is complete.

**4. Inner automorphisms of  $\mathcal{E}$ .** Our hypotheses about  $K, V, \mathcal{E}$  will be the same as in the preceding section.

Since  $\mathcal{E}$  is a local algebra, an element  $x \in \mathcal{E}$  is invertible if and only if  $x \notin \mathcal{M}$ . We shall denote by  $U$  the group of units of  $\mathcal{E}$ , i.e.,  $U = \mathcal{E} \setminus \mathcal{M}$ . Clearly  $U_0 = U \cap \mathcal{E}_0$  is a subgroup of  $U$ . We put

$$U_1 = U \cap (1 + \mathcal{E}_1) = 1 + \mathcal{E}_1.$$

Of course,  $U_1$  is *not* a subgroup (in general) but we have

$$aU_1a^{-1} = U_1 \text{ for } a \in U_0.$$

The center  $Z$  of  $U$  is contained in the center  $\mathcal{Z}$  of  $\mathcal{E}$  and so we have

$$Z = U \cap \mathcal{Z}.$$

Since  $\mathcal{E}_0 \subset \mathcal{Z}$  we have  $U_0 \subset Z$ . In fact  $U_0 = Z$  except when  $\dim V = n$  is odd. In the exceptional case we have

$$Z = U_0 \cdot (1 + \mathcal{E}^n).$$

**THEOREM 10.**  $U_1$  is a system of coset representatives of  $U_0$  in  $U$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x, y \in \mathcal{E}_1$ . Then  $1 + x, 1 + y$  are in  $U_1$  and

$$(1 + x)^{-1}(1 + y) = (1 - x)(1 + y) = 1 - x + y - xy.$$

If this product belongs to  $U_0$  then since  $1 - xy \in \mathcal{E}_0$  and  $y - x \in \mathcal{E}_1$  we must have  $y - x = 0$ , i.e.,  $y = x$ . This shows that if  $x \neq y$  then  $(1 + x)U_0 \neq (1 + y)U_0$ .

It remains to show that  $U = U_0U_1$ . Letting  $a \in U$  we have to show that  $a \in U_0U_1$ . Clearly we may assume that  $a = 1 + b$  with  $b \in \mathcal{M}$ . If  $b \in \mathcal{E}_1$  then  $a \in U_1$  and there is nothing to prove. So let  $b = b_0 + b_1$  with  $b_i \in \mathcal{E}_i \cap \mathcal{M}$ , and  $b_0 \neq 0$ . We can write

$$b_0 = c_{2k} + c_{2k+2} + \dots$$

where  $c_{2i} \in \mathcal{E}^{2i}$  and  $c_{2k} \neq 0$  ( $k \geq 1$ ). We shall say that  $2k$  is the *order* of the element  $a$ . Now it is clear that  $(1 - c_{2k})a$  has order  $> 2k$  and our claim follows by induction.



The automorphisms of  $\mathcal{E}$  of the form  $x \rightarrow axa^{-1}$  ( $a \in U, x \in \mathcal{E}$ ) are called *inner*. The inner automorphisms of  $\mathcal{E}$  form a group  $\text{Inaut } \mathcal{E}$  and we have a short exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow Z \rightarrow U \rightarrow \text{Inaut } \mathcal{E} \rightarrow 1.$$

THEOREM 11. *Let  $N_1$  be the group defined in the previous section. We have*

$$N_1 = \text{Inaut } \mathcal{E}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $a \in U$ . By Theorem 10 we can write  $a = (1 + b)c$  with  $b \in \mathcal{E}_1$  and  $c \in U_0$ . Since  $U_0 \subset Z \subset \mathcal{L}$  we have, for  $x \in \mathcal{E}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} axa^{-1} &= (1 + b)cxc^{-1}(1 - b) = (1 + b)x(1 - b) \\ &= x + bx - xb = (1 + D_b)(x). \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $bx = 0$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{E}$  because  $b \in \mathcal{E}_1$ .

This proves that  $\text{Inaut } \mathcal{E} \subset N_1$ .

Conversely, if  $a \in \mathcal{E}_1$  then  $1 + D_a$  is simply conjugation by  $1 + a \in U$ .

This Theorem gives an alternative proof of the assertion  $N_1 \triangleleft A$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. F. A. Berezin, *Automorphisms of a Grassman algebra*, *Mathematičeskie Zametki* 1 (1967), 269–276.
2. N. Bourbaki, *Algèbre* (Chap. 1–3, Hermann, Paris, 1970).
3. W. H. Greub, *Multilinear algebra* (Springer, 1967).
4. V. G. Kac, *Lie superalgebras*, *Advances in Mathematics*, 26 (1977), 8–96.

*University of Waterloo,  
Waterloo, Ontario*